

Vermont Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 86.4%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 data of 86.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 8 of 17 findings of noncompliance from FFY 2004 were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State revised its timely standard, FFY 2004 baseline data, and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR, baseline data from FFY 2004 and progress data from FFY 2005 for this indicator. The State revised its SPP to include FFY 2004 baseline data of 86.3% and submitted FFY 2005 data demonstrating 86.4% compliance.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the remaining noncompliance from FFY 2004.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 98%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 96%.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to revise its targets of 100%. The State revised the targets for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. It is important that the State also monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p>	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		<p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to submit a revised sampling plan. The State has indicated that it will collect census data for this indicator. On April 3, 2006, OSEP accepted the State's plan to pilot its survey instrument in three representative regions of the State beginning in April 2006 and then to collect data on every child by October 2006.</p>
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Baseline, targets, and improvement activities provided.</p> <p>The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 80%</p> <p>4B. 85%</p> <p>4C. 88%</p>	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets, and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.1%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of .90%.</p>	
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 3.2%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 3.2%</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 79.8%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 data of 76.5%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 8 of 14 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State included delays due to documented exceptional family circumstances in the revised FFY 2004 baseline data but it is unclear whether the FFY 2005 data include such delays. If the State continues to track these data and wishes to include them in the compliance calculation, the number of children for whom the timeline was not met due to documented exceptional family circumstances would be included in both the numerator and the denominator of the calculation for this indicator in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, and the State must provide the specific numbers for its calculation.</p> <p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to submit data by June 1, 2006 demonstrating progress in ensuring correction of noncompliance identified in three of its regions regarding the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a) and the requirements in 34</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>CFR §303.344(a)(1) that the IFSP must contain the child’s present level of development in five domains. As indicated in OSEP’s July 3, 2006 Part C grant award letter, the State submitted data on May 24, 2006 that showed improvement in these areas. The State reported data in FFY 2005 APR showing compliance with the IFSP content requirement in 34 CFR §303.344(a)(1) and OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with that requirement. However, the FFY 2005 data for the 45-day timeline requirements, while indicating progress, continue to show noncompliance.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s reported data for this sub-indicator are 92%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 data of 60%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was not corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data and improvement activities for this sub-indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to ensure that identified noncompliance with the IFSP transition steps and services requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected and include data in the February 1, 2007 APR that demonstrate compliance with those requirements. The State’s reported FFY 2005 data demonstrate progress toward achieving compliance with these requirements.</p> <p>The State reported aggregated FFY 2005 correction data for Indicator 8 (rather than disaggregated by sub-indicator) showing that the two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 were not corrected within one year of identification. Revised FFY 2004 data (SPP page 35) indicate that the noncompliance is related to 8A (IFSP transition plan) and 8C (transition conference). In the FFY 2006 APR, due in February 2008, the State must disaggregate correction data by transition sub-indicators.</p> <p>The State must also review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this sub-indicator are 79.5%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State revised its FFY 2004 baseline for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to submit, as part of its FFY 2006 Part C grant application, its signed interagency agreement with the State Education Agency. The State submitted the signed agreement and OSEP's November 2, 2006 memorandum required certain clarifications regarding the agreement. On April 18, 2007, the State submitted the requested documentation as part of its FFY 2007 Part C grant application. OSEP will respond to that document separately.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this sub-indicator are 83%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 73%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was not corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State revised the FFY 2004 baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include data in the February 1, 2007 APR that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i). Although the FFY 2005 data show noncompliance, the data represent progress from the State's FFY 2004 data. As discussed above in Indicator 8A, prior noncompliance relating to Indicator 8C was not timely corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirement</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 60%. This represents slippage from the revised FFY 2004 data of 71%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to submit data in the February 1, 2007 APR that demonstrate compliance with the: (1) single service coordinator requirement in 34 CFR §303.23(a) and (2) the prior written notice requirements in 34 CFR §303.403(a). The State reported FFY 2005 data that demonstrate compliance with these requirements and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance.</p> <p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter also required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR documentation that the State ensured the correction of identified noncompliance, as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. The State's FFY 2005 data reported that 60% (24 of 40 findings) of the noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 in priority areas and indicators, topical areas, and other mechanisms was timely corrected. These data show noncompliance as well as slippage from the State's revised FFY 2004 data.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including correction of any remaining noncompliance from FFY 2004. In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not receive any written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	<p>The State did not receive any written complaints during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>	<p>The State did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State did not hold any resolution meetings during the reporting period.</p>	<p>The State did not hold any resolution meetings during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not hold any mediations during the reporting period.</p>	<p>The State did not hold any mediations during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and</p>	<p>The State's reported data for this</p>	<p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to revise its</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>indicator are 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>targets to clarify that it is the State’s intent to reach 100% timeliness and accuracy. The State revised the targets for this indicator in its SPP to indicate 100% on verification and timeliness. Based on the State’s FFY 2005 data that addressed both the accuracy and timeliness of the State’s reported 618 data and SPP and APR data, OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance.</p>