

**Texas Part C SPP/ FFY 2005 APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 82.7%. It is unclear if these data represent progress from the FFY 2004 reported data of 66% because the FFY 2004 data did not measure delays attributable to documented family circumstances.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>It is unclear whether the State made any findings under this indicator in FFY 2004 that required correction in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 26, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to provide data that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). The State's FFY 2005 data indicate noncompliance with the requirements of this indicator.</p> <p>The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of any findings identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 98.5%.</p> <p>The State's target for this indicator for FFY 2005 is 95% or above.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 APR data indicate that 95% or more of infants and toddlers with disabilities primarily received early intervention services in the natural environment. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>It is important that the State also monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social</p>	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006, due February 1, 2008.</p> <p>OSEP's March 26, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to submit a revised sampling methodology to OSEP either before or with the FFY 2005 APR. Prior to the submission of the State's FFY 2005 APR, the State clarified</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		<p>that though plans submitted in the original SPP allowed for the possible use of sampling of children in several large programs, the decision was made not to sample but to use a phase-in approach to census which was discussed and approved by OSEP on April 6, 2006.</p>
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Baseline, targets, and improvement activities provided.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 80.6%</p> <p>4B. 86.7%</p> <p>4C. 87.3%</p>	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP's March 26, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to submit a revised sampling methodology to OSEP either before or with the FFY 2005 APR. The State clarified that though plans submitted in the original SPP allowed for the possible use of sampling of children in several large programs, the decision was made not to sample but to use a phase-in approach to census which was discussed and approved by OSEP on April 6, 2006.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are .82%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of .81%.</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts the revision.</p> <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 1.93%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 1.90%.</p>	<p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts the revision.</p> <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 reported data of 96%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including timely correction of any findings made in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the IFSP transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97%. This</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>represents progress from the FFY 2004 reported data of 93%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including data demonstrating correction of any findings made in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 reported data of 93%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including correction of any noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b).</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100% based on the timely resolution of the one written complaint filed.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.512. The State's compliance data are based on the resolution of one written complaint.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that one due process hearing request was filed and resolved within timelines established by the parent. It is unclear if the State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State must, in its FFY 2006 APR, clarify that due process hearing requests are timely adjudicated within the 30-day timeline for resolution of Part C due process hearing requests and provide the timeline within which any due process hearing requests filed in FFY 2006 were resolved.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.419.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>The State has adopted the Part C due process hearing procedures under 34 CFR §303.420.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>No mediations held.</p>	<p>The State reported that there were no mediations requested or held during the reporting period. The State is not required to provide its targets or to provide improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 26, 2006 SPP response letter clarified that the State's FFY 2005 through FFY 2010 targets of 100% for this indicator apply to both "timely and</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>accurate” data. The State’s FFY 2005 APR addressed both timeliness and accuracy.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target (for timely and accurate data) and OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.</p>