

South Dakota Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the noncompliance it identified in 2004 – 2005 related to this indicator was corrected.</p>	<p>OSEP’s March 14, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to submit, in its FFY 2005 APR, data demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). The data in the February 1, 2007 APR demonstrate compliance.</p> <p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State did not report data regarding the number of delays due to documented exceptional family circumstances. If the State collects this data and wishes to include it in the compliance calculation, the number of children for whom the timely receipt of services was not met due to documented exceptional family circumstances would be included in both the numerator and denominator of the calculation for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1).</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 96.8%. This represents progress from the State’s FFY 2004 data of 96%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 96.3%.</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 APR data indicates that 95% or more of infants and toddlers with disabilities primarily received early intervention services in the natural environment. OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State indicated that it is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance Part C natural environment requirements. OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to ensure compliance. It is important that the State continue to monitor to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p>	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 96%</p> <p>4B. 89%</p> <p>4C. 89%</p>	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are .82%. This represents slippage from FFY 2004 data of .89%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of .91%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 2.91%. This</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 2.84%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 2.85%.</p>	
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97.3%. The State's FFY 2004 data was 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State's FFY 2004 data was 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State's FFY 2004 data was 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]		
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 94.6%. The State's FFY 2004 data was 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State's FFY 2004 data was 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b). In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 7 and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no signed written complaints were filed during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>	<p>The State reported that no signed written complaints were filed during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no due process hearings were filed during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>	<p>The State reported that no due process hearings were filed during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p> <p>As required by OSEP's March 14, 2006 SPP response letter, the State revised its SPP to be consistent with the issues raised by OSEP regarding the State's description of its mediation and due process hearing procedures. In addition, in its FFY 2007 grant application, Section II, Assurance 14, the State indicated that it would revise its procedural safeguards by June 30, 2008 to reflect the 2004 IDEA amendments.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Baseline, targets, and improvement activities provided. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more resolution meetings were held.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more resolution meetings were held.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the FFY 2005 reporting period. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA section 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.</p>