

Pennsylvania Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|--|---|
| <p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 78%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. While the State's FFY 2005 data appear to represent slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 85%, the FFY 2005 data are based upon the Statewide data collection system and the FFY 2004 data reflect the results of the State's annual monitoring related to this indicator.</p> <p>The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating 83% for the period, July through September 2006.</p> <p>The State reported that 89% of prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>As required by OSEP's March 10, 2006, SPP response letter, the State confirmed in the FFY 2005 APR that the IFSP development or meeting date is when a parent consents to the provision of early intervention services under 34 CFR §303.404(a)(2). OSEP's March 10, 2006 correspondence also required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). In the FFY 2005 APR, the State reported 78% compliance with these requirements.</p> <p>The State also reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner. The State reported that 89% of noncompliance was corrected within one year of the date the State identified the noncompliance. The State did not report on whether the remaining findings have subsequently been corrected. The State revised its SPP improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State must continue to review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including data demonstrating correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005, and remaining uncorrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p> |
| <p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 99%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 95% with 2% of those infants and toddlers receiving services in community settings as determined by their IFSP team.</p> | <p>The State revised its SPP targets and improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State also indicated that it is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|---|--|
| | | compliance. It is important that the State continue to monitor to ensure compliance. |
| <p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p> | Entry data provided. | The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008. |
| <p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p> | <p>The State's reported baseline data (weighted scores) for this indicator are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4A. 66% 4B. 62% 4C. 77% | <p>The State provided baseline data, targets, and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR a revised sampling methodology that describes how data were collected, or if the State chose to gather census data, rather than sample, to inform OSEP and revise the SPP accordingly. The State reported in the FFY 2005 APR that it gathered census data for this indicator, rather than sampling, and the State has revised the SPP to reflect this change.</p> <p>The State did not submit a copy of the survey used to gather data for this indicator that was required by the instructions for the SPP/APR. In its FFY 2006 APR due February 1, 2008, the State must include a copy of the survey or if the survey is posted on the State's website, inform OSEP accordingly of where the document may be found.</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|---|
| <p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.6%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 1.47%.</p> | <p>The State revised its SPP targets for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> |
| <p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 3.3%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 3.1%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 3.4%.</p> | <p>The State reported progress and OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> |
| <p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 92%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 81%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 64% of prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to ensure the noncompliance is corrected and include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a). The data in the FFY 2005 APR represent progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>In its March 10, 2006 letter, OSEP also required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR an improvement activity to address barriers to compliance that were identified in the SPP and advised the State it should review and, if necessary, revise its improvement strategies. The State revised its SPP improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner. The State reported that 64% of noncompliance was corrected within one year of the date the State identified the noncompliance. The State did not report on whether the remaining findings have subsequently been corrected.</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|---|---|
| | | <p>The State must continue to review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including data demonstrating correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005, and remaining uncorrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p> |
| <p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 81%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 76%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 62% of prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner. However, as explained in the next column, it is unclear to OSEP whether the correction data provided by the State are associated with Indicator 8A.</p> | <p>OSEP identified noncompliance regarding transition steps in the IFSP in its February 2002 Monitoring Report. In a letter dated March 19, 2003, OSEP accepted the State's plan to correct the noncompliance.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). The data in the FFY 2005 APR represent progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006 letter also indicated that the State should review and, if necessary, revise its improvement strategies.. The State has revised its SPP improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts these revisions.</p> <p>The State also reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner. The State reported that 62% of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 related to Indicator 8 was corrected within one year of the date the State identified the noncompliance. It is unclear to OSEP whether the correction data provided by the State are associated with Indicator 8A and/or 8C. The State did not report on whether the remaining findings have subsequently been corrected..</p> <p>The State must continue to review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including data demonstrating correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005, and the remaining uncorrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2004. The State must submit its information in a manner that clearly identifies the correction data for this indicator.</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|--|---|
| <p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State's FFY 2004 data were 100%. The FFY 2005 data are based upon the Statewide data collection system and the FFY 2004 data reflect the results of the State's annual monitoring related to this indicator.</p> | <p>The State has revised its SPP improvement activities and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p> |
| <p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>As noted in the analysis column, OSEP recalculated the State's data, and found the actual target data for this indicator to be 83.5%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. OSEP cannot determine whether there was progress or slippage.</p> <p>The State reported that 62% of prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner. However, as explained in the next column, it is unclear to OSEP whether the correction data provided by the State are associated with Indicator 8C.</p> | <p>The State revised its improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported its FFY 2005 data for this indicator as 91%. However, the State took into consideration "exceptional systems issues with the Part B preschool programs." OSEP recalculated the State's FFY 2005 data, and found the actual target data for this indicator to be 83.5%. In the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must report data that do not include "exceptional systems issues with Part B preschool programs" as being timely.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006, SPP response letter indicated that the State must include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i), as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9). The data in the FFY 2005 APR indicate 83.5% compliance.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner. The State reported that 62% of noncompliance was corrected within one year of the date the State identified the noncompliance. However, it is unclear to OSEP whether the correction data provided by the State are associated with Indicator 8A and/or 8C. The State did not report on whether the remaining findings have subsequently been corrected.</p> <p>The State must continue to review its improvement activities and revise them, if</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|--|
| | | <p>appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9), including data demonstrating correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005, and remaining uncorrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2004. The State must submit its information in a manner that clearly identifies the correction data for this indicator.</p> |
| <p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 74%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. This represents slippage from the State's recalculated FFY 2004 baseline data of 77%.</p> | <p>The State revised its FFY 2004 baseline and analysis of that data for this indicator in its SPP. The State also revised the improvement activities for this indicator. OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b). The FFY 2005 data indicate 74% compliance.</p> <p>The State reported that all prior noncompliance was not corrected in a timely manner. The State must provide data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including correction of any uncorrected findings from FFY 2004.</p> <p>In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p> |
| <p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%, based on the timely resolution of nine complaints filed. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.512.</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|---|
| particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator] | | |
| 11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator] | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 50%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. OSEP cannot determine progress.</p> <p>The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating 100% for the first quarter of the 2006-2007 reporting period.</p> | <p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>There were two due process hearings that were fully adjudicated during the FFY 2005 reporting period. One of the two hearings was fully adjudicated within the 30-day timeline. OSEP could not determine whether the State made progress because in its FFY 2004 baseline data, the State included as meeting the applicable timelines, hearings adjudicated beyond the 30-day timeline if the hearing timeline was extended at the family's request.</p> <p>OSEP's August 14, 2006 verification visit response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the due process hearing procedures in 34 CFR §303.423(b). The State provided data for the first quarter of the 2006-2007 reporting period that indicates two hearings were requested during this time frame and both resulted in fully adjudicated hearings within the 30-day timeline.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure this noncompliance was corrected without delay and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.423(b).</p> |
| 12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator; New] | Not applicable. | The State revised its SPP to reflect that this indicator is not applicable because the State implements Part C due process procedures. OSEP accepts this revision to the SPP. |
| 13. Percent of mediations held that | The State reported that it received | The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|--|
| <p>resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p> | <p>two requests for mediation, both of which resulted in mediation agreements.</p> | <p>FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p> |
| <p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are 100%. However, OSEP cannot determine whether the State met its FFY 2005 target of 100% because the State addressed the timeliness, but not accuracy, of its data.</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. However, OSEP cannot determine whether the State met its FFY 2005 target of 100% because the State addressed the timeliness, but not accuracy, of its data.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540. In its FFY 2006 APR, the State must address whether its data are both timely and accurate.</p> |