

Oregon Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 99%. This represents progress from the State's FFY 2004 data of 98%. The State did not meet its FFY target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter indicated that OSEP looked forward to data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). The State submitted FFY 2005 data demonstrating a high level of compliance with these requirements.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 81%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 62%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 64%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their 	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State must provide progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
needs. [Results Indicator; New]		
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4A. 65% 4B. 58% 4C. 71% 	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p> <p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR a revised sampling plan and methodology describing how data were collected and how specified deficiencies in data collection were addressed. The State provided a revised sampling plan and OSEP accepts the State's sampling plan.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are .74%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of .51%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.78%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 1.55%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data</p>	<p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter indicated that OSEP looked forward</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>for this indicator are 99.4%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 95.9%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>to data in the APR, due February 1, 2007, demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a). The State submitted FFY 2005 data demonstrating a high level of compliance with these requirements.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrates compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 94%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 78%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported aggregated data for Indicator 8 (rather than disaggregated by sub-indicator) showing that noncompliance related to Indicator 8 identified in FFY 2004 was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to ensure that identified noncompliance was corrected within one year of identification and include data in the February 1, 2007 APR demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). The State's FFY 2005 data of 94% represent progress toward achieving compliance. In addition to reporting on the correction of FFY 2004 noncompliance, the State also reported that prior noncompliance related to Indicator 8A and 8C identified in FFY 2003 was corrected in 2005.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR, FFY 2004 baseline data and FFY 2005 progress data. The State's FFY 2005 APR reported that there is LEA notification for all children receiving Part C services who are potentially eligible for Part B services.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 87%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 89%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported aggregated data for Indicator 8 (rather than disaggregated by sub-indicator) showing that noncompliance related to Indicator 8 identified in FFY 2004 was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to ensure that identified noncompliance was corrected within one year of identification and include data in the February 1, 2007 APR demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i). The State's FFY 2005 data do not show compliance with these requirements. However, in addition to reporting on the correction of FFY 2004 noncompliance, the State also reported that prior noncompliance from FFY 2003 was corrected in 2005.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 99%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 data of 89%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State revised the FFY 2004 baseline data and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 15, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include data in the February 1, 2007 APR demonstrating compliance with the requirement that identified noncompliance is corrected, as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification and its final progress report which was due on November 4, 2006. The State submitted FFY 2005 data demonstrating a high level of compliance with this requirement. The State reported the timely correction of 110 of 111 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 relating to SPP/APR indicators.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including correction of remaining noncompliance from FFY 2004. In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must continue to disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints in FFY</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>receive any signed written complaints in 2005-2006.</p>	<p>2005.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings in 2005-2006.</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not hold any resolution meetings in 2005-2006.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more resolution meetings were held.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not hold any mediations in 2005-2006.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%, due to one child count table containing inaccurate data, which the State has since corrected. OSEP cannot determine whether there was progress or slippage because the</p>	<p>The State submitted revised targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its FFY 2005 APR and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and commends the State for its data analysis under this indicator. OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that are reported as a single percentage and demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	FFY 2004 baseline data and FFY 2005 data were not reported in comparable terms.	642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.