

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 96.74%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>As required by OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter, the State confirmed in the February 1, 2007 APR that the starting date for its timeline is when a parent consents to the provision of early intervention services.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 95.52%. This represents slippage from FFY 2004 data of 95.81%. The State's FFY target is 95% or above.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State revised the FFY 2004 SPP baseline data and targets for this indicator to 95.81% in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. While the State's targets do not demonstrate an increase from its revised FFY 2004 baseline data, because the State reported more than 95% of infants and toddlers received services in natural environments, there is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2005 APR data indicates that more than 95% of infants and toddlers with disabilities primarily received early intervention services in the natural environment. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure and improve performance and expects that the State monitors the service settings identified in the individualized family services plans (IFSPs) to ensure that they contain a statement of the natural environments in which early intervention services will appropriately be provided, including a justification of the extent, if any, to which services will not be provided in the natural environment.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/</p>	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> <p>As required by OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter, the State informed OSEP in the February 1, 2007 APR that it will not sample for this indicator, but instead will collect census data.</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <p>A. 92.11%</p> <p>B. 83.55%</p> <p>C. 88.16%</p>	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities. OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p> <p>As required by OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter, the State submitted with the February 1, 2007 APR a revised sampling plan for this indicator, but also informed OSEP that beginning in FFY 2006, the State will survey all parents of infants and toddlers receiving early intervention services to report under this indicator.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.35%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 1.23%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 2.03%. This represents slippage from FFY 2004 data of 2.04%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 2.05%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 96.75%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 data of 88.09%. The State did not meet the FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance related to this indicator was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State revised the FFY 2004 baseline for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR, progress data from all sites monitored and FFY 2004 baseline data for five sites not reported in the FFY 2004 SPP. As indicated, the State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data to include data not previously reported. In addition, the State reported in the February 1, 2007 APR, that its FFY 2005 data included monitoring data collected from all 26 early intervention service sites.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97.82%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 data of 88.03%. The State did not meet the FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported aggregated data for Indicator 8 (rather than disaggregated by sub-indicators) showing that 5 of 6 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 were corrected in a timely</p>	<p>The State revised the FFY 2004 baseline for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR, progress data from all sites monitored and FFY 2004 baseline data for five sites not reported in the FFY 2004 SPP. As indicated, the State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data to include data not previously reported. In addition, in the February 1, 2007 APR, the State reported that its FFY 2005 data included monitoring data collected from all 26 early intervention service sites.</p> <p>The State reported that additional technical assistance and monitoring were conducted in the site that did not timely correct its noncompliance related to</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
	manner.	<p>Indicator 8.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its target for FFY 2005.</p>	<p>The State revised the FFY 2004 baseline for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 13, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR, progress data from all sites monitored and FFY 2004 baseline data for five sites not reported in the SPP. As indicated, the State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data to include data not previously reported. In addition, in the February 1, 2007 APR, the State reported that its FFY 2005 data included monitoring data collected from all 26 early intervention service sites.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 99.42%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 data of 85.90%.</p>	<p>The State revised the FFY 2004 baseline for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts the State’s revision.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 13, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR, progress data from all sites monitored and FFY 2004 baseline data for the five sites not reported in the FFY 2004 SPP. As indicated, the State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data to include data not previously reported. In addition, in the February 1, 2007 APR, the State reported that its FFY 2005 data included monitoring data collected from all 26 early intervention service sites.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in achieving a high level of compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirement in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9).</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 95.88%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 data of 86.15%. The</p>	<p>The State revised the FFY 2004 baseline for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 13, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>State did not meet the FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>February 1, 2007 APR, documentation that the State ensured the correction of identified noncompliance, as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification, and monitoring data from all the State’s Part C programs.</p> <p>In the February 1, 2007 APR, the State reported that in “FFY 2005, 93 of 97 (95.88%) findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 were corrected within one year of identification.” The State also reported that “each of the nine findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2003 that were not corrected within one year of identification (FFY 2004) were corrected in FFY 2005.” In addition, the State reported that its FFY 2005 data included monitoring data collected from all 26 early intervention service sites.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including data on the correction of outstanding noncompliance related to Indicator 8 which was identified in FFY 2004. In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>The State did not receive any signed written complaints in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>The State did not receive any requests for due process hearings in FFY 2005.</p>

**Oklahoma Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not conduct any resolution meetings in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more resolution meetings were held.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not hold any mediations in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>As required by OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter, the State revised the targets for this indicator in its SPP to specifically indicate 100% accuracy and 100% timeliness regarding data reported to OSEP, and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>As noted above, and also requested by OSEP's March 13, 2006 SPP response letter, the State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data for Indicators 7, 8 and 9.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.</p>