

### Ohio Part C SPP/FFY 2005 APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>FFY 2005 data not reported. Under its Compliance Agreement entered into after the FFY 2005 reporting period, the State must provide verification of progress in its quarterly progress reports and monitoring data in its December 31, 2007 progress report.</p>	<p>On November 8, 2006, the State entered into a compliance agreement with the U.S. Department of Education (Department or OSEP) under Part C of the IDEA, which includes the timely service provision requirements of this indicator. This Compliance Agreement requires Ohio to demonstrate progress through quarterly reports and to come into compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) no later than November 8, 2009.</p> <p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State revised its SPP improvement activities to incorporate the November 8, 2006 Compliance Agreement Work plan and OSEP accepts those revisions. In accordance with OSEP’s February 27, 2006 SPP response letter, the State also included in its FFY 2005 APR the State’s revised timeliness standard to be consistent with Part C regulations.</p> <p>Under the Compliance Agreement, the State must submit its monitoring reports for four “pilot” counties in the State’s December 31, 2007 Compliance Agreement progress report. The State must include this data in its FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, and the status of correction of these four counties under this indicator.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 84%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 77%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>It is important that the State also monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p>	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 90.5%</p> <p>4B. 91.4%</p> <p>4C. 90.5%</p>	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p> <p>The State reported that sampling methodology resulted in under-representation of specific sub-populations in the State. The State included the revised sampling methodology in SPP, which is currently under review by OSEP. Please call your OSEP State Contact for technical assistance on the sampling plan.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data under IDEA section 618 for this indicator are 1.38%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2004 data of .94%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target</p>	<p>The State revised its SPP/APR improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State used in its data calculation its FFY 2005 updated child count information submitted by the State to Westat on November 1, 2006. The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Results Indicator]	of 1.0%.	
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data under IDEA section 618 for this indicator are 2.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2004 data of 2.14%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 2.2%.</p>	<p>The State used in its data calculation its FFY 2005 updated child count information submitted by the State to Westat on November 1, 2006.</p> <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>FFY 2005 data not reported.</p> <p>Under its Compliance Agreement entered into after the FFY 2005 reporting period, the State must provide verification of progress in its quarterly progress reports and monitoring data in its December 31, 2007 progress report.</p>	<p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State revised its SPP improvement activities to incorporate the November 8, 2006 Compliance Agreement Work plan and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's November 2006 Compliance Agreement requires the State to demonstrate progress through quarterly reports to the Department and to come into compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a) no later than November 8, 2009. Under the Compliance Agreement, the State must submit its monitoring reports for four "pilot" counties in the State's December 31, 2007 Compliance Agreement progress report in addition to verification of other activities in its quarterly progress reports due on June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2007. The State must include this data in its FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, and the status of correction of these four counties under this indicator.</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps</p>	<p>FFY 2005 data not reported.</p> <p>Under its Compliance Agreement entered into after the FFY 2005 reporting period, the State must provide verification of progress in its quarterly progress reports and monitoring data in its December 31, 2007 progress report.</p>	<p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State revised its SPP improvement activities to incorporate the November 8, 2006 Compliance Agreement Work plan and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's November 2006 Compliance Agreement requires the State to demonstrate progress through quarterly reports to the Department and to come into compliance with the IFSP transition content in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) no later than November 8, 2009. Under the Compliance Agreement, the State must submit its monitoring reports for four "pilot" counties in the</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>		<p>State's December 31, 2007 Compliance Agreement progress report in addition to verification of other activities in its quarterly progress reports due on June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2007. The State must include this data in its FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, and the status of correction of these four counties under this indicator.</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and  [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. However, it is unclear whether the State has adopted an opt-out policy under IDEA section 637(a)(9), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), and <i>OSEP's 2004 Letter to Elder</i>. In the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must clarify whether it has adopted such an opt-out policy and exclude from its calculations (in both the numerator and denominator) for this Indicator 8B, but provide a numerical count of those children whose families elected to opt out. In addition, the State must ensure that such a policy is included in the State's FFY 2007 Part C grant application, if it has not been previously provided to OSEP. If the State has not adopted such a policy, then LEAs must be notified of the child's name, date of birth, and parent contact information as required by IDEA section 637(a)(9) and 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that continue to demonstrate compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p>	<p>FFY 2005 data not reported.  Under its Compliance Agreement entered into after the FFY 2005 reporting period, the State must provide verification of progress in its quarterly progress reports and monitoring data in its December 31, 2007 progress report.</p>	<p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State revised its SPP improvement activities to incorporate the November 8, 2006 Compliance Agreement Work plan and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's November 2006 Compliance Agreement requires the State to demonstrate progress through quarterly reports to the Department and to come into compliance with the timely transition conference in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as amended by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) no later than November 8, 2009.</p> <p>Under the Compliance Agreement, the State must submit its monitoring reports for four "pilot" counties in the State's December 31, 2007 Compliance Agreement progress report in addition to verification of other activities in its quarterly progress reports due on June 30, September 30 and December 31,</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
[Compliance Indicator]		2007. The State must include this data in its FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, and the status of correction of these four counties under this indicator.
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>FFY 2005 data not reported.</p> <p>Under its Compliance Agreement entered into after the FFY 2005 reporting period, the State must provide verification of progress in its quarterly progress reports and monitoring data in its December 31, 2007 progress report.</p>	<p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State revised its SPP improvement activities to incorporate the November 8, 2006 Compliance Agreement Work plan and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State's November 2006 Compliance Agreement requires the State to demonstrate progress through quarterly reports to the Department and to come into compliance with the timely correction requirements of this indicator no later than November 8, 2009.</p> <p>Under the Compliance Agreement, the State must submit its monitoring reports for four "pilot" counties in the State's December 31, 2007 Compliance Agreement progress report in addition to verification of other activities in its quarterly progress reports due on June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2007. The State must include this data in its FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, and the status of correction of these four counties under this indicator.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 data are 100% based on the timely resolution of three complaints that resulted in written reports with findings within the 60-day timeline.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §303.512(b).</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not receive any due process hearing requests.</p>	<p>The State did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>The State has adopted the Part C due process hearing procedures under 34 CFR §303.420.</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported one request for mediation in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>Although the State reported its FFY 2005 data as 100% for this indicator, as explained in the next column, the State did not meet its target of 100% for this indicator.</p>	<p>Although the State reported data for this indicator is 100%, OSEP's analysis indicates that the State's 100% target for this indicator was not met.</p> <p>The State was unable to collect or provide FFY 2005 data during FFY 2005 for Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8C and 9. Under Indicators 5 and 6, the State reported that it used updated child count information submitted by the State to Westat on November 1, 2006. Therefore, the child count data tables originally submitted under IDEA section 618 were revised by the State after the due date.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure that they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely and accurate data reporting requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540, as modified by the State's November 2006 Compliance Agreement with the Department.</p>