

Nevada Part C SPP/FFY 2005 APR Response Table

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|---|
| <p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>Nevada has only three EIS programs in the State. The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are: Statewide: 61.90% Northwestern Region: 80.95% Southern Region: 51.16% OSEP could not determine whether these data reflect progress or slippage because the State's FFY 2004 baseline data of 82.2% are based on monitoring data from the Northern Region only. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>The State revised its SPP improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. As requested in OSEP's March 27, 2006 SPP response letter, on page 4 of its FFY 2005 APR, the State confirmed that in implementing its timely standard under this indicator, the IFSP development date is when a parent consents to the provision of early intervention services under 34 CFR §303.404(a)(2). The State reported on pages 2 – 5 of its APR that it reviewed improvement activities, determined which activities positively impacted the provision of timely services, and revised activities that did not have an impact. For example, the State reported that it has filled 50% of its vacant positions and that it has entered into contracts with outside agencies to provide Part C services. The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of any noncompliance findings identified by the State in FFYs 2004 and 2005.</p> |
| <p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 98.48%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 96.00%.</p> | <p>The State revised its SPP improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. It is important that the State also monitor to ensure that the determination of settings in which infants and toddlers with disabilities receive early intervention services is individualized on the IFSP.</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|---|---|
| <p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p> | <p>Entry data provided.</p> | <p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> |
| <p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p> | <p>The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4A. 91% 4B. 90% 4C. 87% | <p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p> |
| <p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 0.47%. This</p> | <p>The State added an improvement activity for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|---|
| <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data under IDEA section 618 of 0.58%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 0.58%.</p> | <p>performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> |
| <p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 1.36%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 1.30%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 1.45%.</p> | <p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> |
| <p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 67.10%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 25%.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data by region are as follows: Northwestern Region – 70% (up from 48.9% in FFY 2004); Northeastern Region – 73.9% (up from 57.6% in FFY 2004); and Southern Region – 64.9% (up from 9.33% in FFY 2004).</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 data in the Special Conditions report under its FFY 2006 Part C grant award indicate compliance of 77.80%</p> | <p>The State revised the SPP improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>Nevada's FFY 2006 Part C grant award letter requires the State to submit two reports, the first by February 1, 2007, and a final report by June 1, 2007 with data demonstrating compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). The State's February 1, 2007 report under these Special Conditions provided data for the period July 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006 indicating continued improvement with the 45-day timeline requirements.</p> <p>These FFY 2006 compliance data are: statewide – 77.80%; Northwestern Region – 92.5%; Northeastern Region – 82.4%; and Southern Region – 68.7%. The State also described specific strategies including hiring for vacant positions, entering into contracts with community providers, and staff retention strategies. OSEP will respond separately to the State's June 1, 2007 progress report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|---|---|
| | Statewide for the period July 1, 2006 through November 30, 2006. | in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005. |
| <p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 85.71%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 statewide data of 50%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>The State revised its SPP improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner. On page 29 of its FFY 2005 APR, the State reported that 100% of previously identified noncompliance was corrected within one year of identification in the Southern Region, but all previously identified noncompliance in the Northern Region was not corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State submitted monitoring data for this indicator, but questioned whether its data for the Northwestern Region were representative. The State identified activities to ensure that its FFY 2006 APR data for all regions is representative.</p> <p>The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, data representative of the State that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and outstanding noncompliance in the Northern Region identified in FFY 2004.</p> |
| <p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>The State did not provide the actual numbers for the percentage for this indicator and the State must provide these actual numbers in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to data in the FFY 2006 APR that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|---|--|---|
| <p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data are 71.4%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 statewide data of 67%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner. On page 29 of its FFY 2005 APR, the State reports that 100% of previously identified noncompliance was corrected within one year of identification in the Southern Region, but all previously identified noncompliance in the Northern Region was not corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>Nevada's FFY 2006 Part C grant award letter requires the State to submit two reports, the first by February 1, 2007, and a final report by June 1, 2007 with data demonstrating compliance with the timely transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9).</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 data in its FFY 2006 Grant Special Conditions report indicate statewide compliance with this indicator of: 87.14% for the month of September 2006 and 91.8% for November 2006. The State identified additional activities in FFY 2006 to ensure compliance, including a description of the new Interlocal Agreements with Nevada's school districts and staffing. OSEP will respond separately to the State's June 1, 2007 progress report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure that they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and outstanding noncompliance identified in the Northern Region in FFY 2004.</p> |
| <p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 37.5%. This represents slippage from the revised FFY 2004 data of 78%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>The State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.</p> <p>OSEP's March 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data documenting that noncompliance is corrected within one year of identification and evidence that the State has reviewed, and if necessary revised, its improvement strategies included in the SPP to ensure that they will enable the State to include data in the APR that demonstrate compliance with this requirement.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|---|--|
| | | <p>manner. Timely correction varies by indicator and regional provider. (See OSEP Analysis/Next Steps for Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C for a thorough discussion.)</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure that they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642 and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including correction of outstanding noncompliance identified in the Northern Region in FFY 2004 related to Indicator 1, 8A and 8C. In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p> |
| <p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100% with only one complaint filed. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> |
| <p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>No due process hearing requests received in FFY 2005.</p> | <p>The State indicated it would establish/revise its SPP targets once baseline data are established but also indicated in its original SPP that its FFY 2005 through FFY 2010 targets are 100% and included SPP improvement activities. OSEP accepts the 100% targets for FFY 2005 through 2010, which are appropriate since the State must ensure timely resolution of due process hearing requests no matter how many are filed. The State reported that no due process hearing requests were received in FFY 2005.</p> |

| Monitoring Priorities and Indicators | Status | OSEP Analysis/Next Steps |
|--|---|--|
| <p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p> | <p>No resolution meetings held.</p> | <p>The State is not required to provide or meet its targets or provide improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more resolution meetings are held.</p> |
| <p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p> | <p>No mediations held.</p> | <p>The State is not required to provide or meet its targets or provide improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations are conducted.</p> |
| <p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> | <p>OSEP's March 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR revised targets for this indicator to clarify 100% for accuracy and 100% for timeliness regarding data reported under IDEA sections 616 and 618. The State revised its targets accordingly and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.</p> |