

Nebraska Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 99.43% (FFY 2004 data were 100%). The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 under this indicator has already been corrected.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 10, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR monitoring data that demonstrates that IFSP service initiation dates are reasonable and that early intervention services are provided as soon as possible after the IFSP meeting, and report any noncompliance with this monitoring requirement. The State submitted monitoring data that indicated one finding of noncompliance, which was corrected within one year of identification.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 91.0%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 85.5%.</p> <p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 under this indicator has already been corrected.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to ensure and improve performance.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ 	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 76%</p> <p>4B. 73%</p> <p>4C. 86%</p>	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p> <p>On page 21 of its APR, the State provided information suggesting that FFY 2006 data may not be available. The State must provide FFY 2006 data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are .64%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of .74%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of .74%.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.67%. This represents slippage from FFY 2004 data of 1.73%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 1.74%.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
B. National data. [Results Indicator]		
7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 79.8%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 under this indicator has already been corrected.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006 SPP response letter reported that OSEP looked forward to data in the February 1, 2007 APR that demonstrated continuing compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a). The State submitted the required data in the February 1, 2007 APR, but slippage was reported.</p> <p>It is unclear whether the State included instances of delay due to documented family circumstances in its calculation for this indicator. If the State collects this data and wishes to include it in the FFY 2006 APR, the number of children for whom the timeline was not met due to documented exceptional family circumstances would be included in both numerator and the denominator of the measurement for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).</p>
8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 86%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 97%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 under this indicator was corrected immediately.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State only provided one target for Indicator 8. OSEP assumes that the aggregated 100% target used in the APR applies to 8A, 8B, and 8C.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006 SPP response letter reported that OSEP looked forward to data in the February 1, 2007 APR that demonstrated compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). The State submitted the required data in the February 1, 2007 APR, but slippage was reported.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate full compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006 SPP response letter reported that OSEP looked forward to data in the February 1, 2007 APR that demonstrated continuing compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i). The data in the February 1, 2007 APR show continuing compliance.</p> <p>The State did not provide the actual numbers (raw data) used in its compliance calculation for this indicator. In the FFY 2006 APR due February 1, 2008, the State must, in addition to reporting the percentage required in this indicator, provide the actual numbers used in the calculation of that percentage.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a) (9).</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State reported that all findings of noncompliance identified in 2005-2006 related to priority areas and non-priority areas were corrected immediately or within one year of identification.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b). In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR due February 1, 2008, the State should</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
identification. [Compliance Indicator]		continue to disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of any noncompliance identified by the State.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints in FFY 2005.	The State did not receive any signed written complaints in FFY 2005.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings in FFY 2005.	The State did not receive any due process hearing requests in FFY 2005.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator; New]	The State reported that it did not hold any resolution meetings during this reporting period.	The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more resolution meetings were held.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that it did not hold any mediations during this reporting period.	OSEP's March 10, 2006 SPP response letter advised the State that it could remove the targets and improvement activities for this indicator if the number of mediations for FFY 2005 is less than 10 and that targets and improvement activities must be added only when the number of mediations reaches 10 or greater for a reporting period. The State removed the targets and improvement activities from its SPP and FFY 2005 APR.
14. State reported data (618 and	The State reported "an overall	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>rating of 95%” for its FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator, indicating that while all data is 100% timely and 100% accurate, “there has been some difficulty reporting assessment data with 100% of components covered” because the State’s reporting system is not yet fully operational.</p> <p>OSEP cannot determine whether there is progress or slippage because the FFY 2004 data and FFY 2005 data were not reported in comparable terms.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP’s March 10, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data that demonstrate compliance with this indicator. In the February 1, 2007 APR, the State reported “an overall rating of 95%” for its FFY 2005 data.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642 and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.340.</p>