

North Carolina Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 95%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 80%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 10, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to ensure that noncompliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected within one year of its identification and include data in the FFY 2005 APR that demonstrate compliance with these requirements. The State's FFY 2005 reported data represent progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>The State also reported that prior noncompliance regarding this indicator was partially corrected, indicating that four of sixteen Child Development Service Agencies (CDSAs) achieved 100% compliance.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 96%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>It is important that the State also monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social</p>	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 89.1%</p> <p>4B. 82.8%</p> <p>4C. 91%</p>	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p>	<p>The FFY 2005 data are .78%, as reported under section 618 based on the State's December 2005 child count. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of .70%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005</p>	<p>The State reported actual target data of 1.03% for this indicator in its FFY 2005 APR, although the State's December 2005 child count 618 data for children between birth and one year of age were .78%. The State must provide data that are consistent with its 618 data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>target of 1.0%.</p>	<p>performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The FFY 2005 data are 1.85%, as reported under section 618 based on the State's December 2005 child count. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 1.71%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 1.94 %.</p>	<p>The State reported actual target data of 2.16% for this indicator in its February 2007 FFY 2005 APR, although the State's December 2005 child count 618 data were 1.85%. The State must provide data that are consistent with its 618 data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008. OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 53%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported that 17 of 18 CDSAs achieved compliance in FFY 2005, and the remaining CDSA subsequently achieved compliance. The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating 95% compliance for the period between September 1, 2006 and December 31, 2006.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP's March 10, 2006, SPP response letter required the State, under the Special Conditions on the FFY 2005 grant award, to submit a final progress report on April 14, 2006. The State's April 10, 2006 data indicated that 80% of children with new IFSPs had an IFSP either developed within the 45-day timeline, or not timely developed but the delay was due to exceptional family circumstances. OSEP's July 3, 2006, FFY 2006 grant award letter included Special Conditions to ensure compliance with the 45-day timeline. Those Special Conditions required the State to submit two progress reports, the first with the State's FFY 2005 APR and the second by June 1, 2007. The progress report that the State submitted with its APR indicated 88% compliance for record reviews completed in September 2006 and 95% compliance for record reviews completed in December 2006. The State reported that 17 of 18 CDSAs achieved 100% compliance in FFY 2005, and the remaining CDSA subsequently achieved compliance. OSEP appreciates receiving, and will respond separately to, the State's May 15, 2007 second progress report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>OSEP also looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 90%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 66%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>OSEP’s March 10, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to ensure that noncompliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected within one year of its identification and include data in the FFY 2005 APR that demonstrate compliance with these requirements. The State’s FFY 2005 reported data represent progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>The State also reported that prior noncompliance regarding this indicator was partially corrected, indicating that six percent of FFY 2004-2005 noncompliance was corrected within one year (one of 15 CDSAs).</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 88%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 83%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected.</p>	<p>OSEP’s March 10, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to ensure that noncompliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected within one year of its identification and include data in the FFY 2005 APR that demonstrate compliance with these requirements. The State’s FFY 2005 reported data represent progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>The State also reported that prior noncompliance regarding 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was partially corrected in a timely manner, indicating that 29% of FFY 2004 noncompliance was corrected within one year (four of 14 CDSAs).</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 81%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 30%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 9 of 18 CDSAs achieved compliance in FFY 2005, and seven other CDSAs subsequently achieved compliance.</p> <p>The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period, indicating 85% compliance for the period between September and November 2006.</p>	<p>and the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006 SPP response letter required the State, under the Special Conditions on the FFY 2005 grant award, to submit a final progress report on April 14, 2006. The State's April 10, 2006 data indicated that 55% of children had timely transition planning conferences. OSEP's July 3, 2006 FFY 2006 grant award letter included Special Conditions to ensure compliance with timely transition planning conferences. Those Special Conditions required the State to submit two progress reports, the first with the State's February 2007 APR and the second by June 1, 2007.</p> <p>The progress report that the State submitted with its APR indicated 69% compliance for record reviews completed in September 2006 and 85% compliance for record reviews completed in December 2006.</p> <p>The State reported that nine of 18 CDSAs achieved compliance in FFY 2005, and two other CDSAs subsequently achieved compliance. The State also provided information regarding the enforcement actions the State is taking to correct the remaining noncompliance.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates receiving, and will respond separately to, the State's May 15, 2007 second progress report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure that they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the outstanding noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 43%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period. See OSEP</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period, indicating further correction of all CDSAs under Indicator 7 and correction for all but two CDSAs under Indicator 8C.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>Analysis column.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 10, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in its FFY 2005 APR documentation that it was effective in correcting all noncompliance within one year from identification.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2005 data for this indicator are 43%, however, the State reported subsequent correction indicating at least 53.1%. The State must review its improvement activities, and revise them, if appropriate.</p> <p>The State provided timely correction data by indicator. OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including data on the correction of the outstanding noncompliance identified in FFY 2004. In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. Assuming the State's data are accurate (see Analysis column), the State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>In the FFY 2005 APR for this indicator, the State reported that 100% of 14 complaints were resolved within the 60-day timeline. OSEP assumes these are the correct data. However, for the same reporting period, in Table 4, the State reported that it received 13 complaints, which were withdrawn or dismissed. In the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must clarify its FFY 2005 data and provide data for Indicator 10 for FFY 2006 that are consistent with the data in Table 4.</p> <p>OSEP's March 10, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR confirmation that it has modified its procedures to distinguish the State's due process complaint system (hearings) from its Part C administrative complaint system. The State provided this confirmation in the APR.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.512.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not receive any hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>	<p>The State did not receive any hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>The State has adopted the Part C due process hearing procedures under 34 CFR §303.420.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it received one request for mediation, and that it resulted in an agreement.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance. OSEP looks forward to data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.</p>