Montana Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
1.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).
2.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 90.7%. This represents slippage from the State's FFY 2004 data of 93%. The State did not meet its target of 93%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
3.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved: A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);	Entry data provided.	The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
	B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); andC. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their		

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	needs. [Results Indicator; New]		
4.	Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator; New]	The State reported two sets of data and it is unclear which is the FFY 2005 baseline.	The State provided targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP, and OSEP accepts the targets and improvement activities because the targets reflect improvement from either of the reported FFY 2005 data sets. OSEP could not determine which of the two data sets the State submitted in the APR is the FFY 2005 baseline data. In the FFY 2006 APR due February 1, 2008, the State must clarify which data set is the FFY 2005 baseline and revise the SPP to include the data and information on the SPP template. In addition, the State needs to determine if the response rate to its survey was representative of the population served and provide that required explanation in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
5.	Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.33 %. This represents slippage from FFY 2004 data of 1.58%. The State did not meet its target of 1.58%.	OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
6.	Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data.	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 2.21%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 2.13%.	The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	[Results Indicator]		
7.	Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%, when delays due to documented exceptional family circumstances are considered. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).
8A.	Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).
	A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;		
	[Compliance Indicator]		
8B.	Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).
	B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and		

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		
8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9).
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	The State reported no findings of noncompliance in FFY 2004 and therefore correction in FFY 2005 was not applicable. The State reported that for the one finding in FFY 2005 where delay in IFSP development was caused by exceptional family circumstances, it would continue to monitor the agency to ensure compliance. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in ensuring and achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b).
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any written complaints in FFY 2005.	The State did not receive any written complaints in FFY 2005.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any requests for due process hearings in FFY 2005.	The State did not receive any requests for due process hearings in FFY 2005.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator; New]	Not applicable.	Not applicable, because the State has not adopted Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that it did not receive any requests for mediations in FFY 2005.	The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate continuing compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.