## Maryland Part C SPP/FFY 2005 APR Response Table

N	Ionitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
1.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 96%. OSEP cannot determine progress or slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 86% because the FFY 2004 data (unlike the FFY 2005 data) did not factor in delays due to exceptional documented family circumstances.  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.  The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.	The State revised its SPP improvement activities to address remaining challenges and provided a good analysis for this indicator. OSEP accepts those revisions. The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner and, on page 36 of the APR, provided the State's revised timely standard as requested in OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f).
2.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.  [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 89.7%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 88%.  The State met its FFY 2005 target of 88.5%.  The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.	The State revised its SPP targets for this indicator in the FFY 2005 APR. OSEP accepts those revisions.  OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18 and 303.344(d)(1)(ii) that all IFSPs identify that each Part C service is provided in the natural environment or that there is an appropriate child-based justification for the service setting. The State's FFY 2005 APR data indicated correction of, and compliance with, Part C's IFSP natural environments requirements and confirmed that the State is monitoring for individualized setting decisions in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance while also improving performance.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators		Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
3.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:	Entry data provided.	The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide child outcomes progress data and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
	A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);		
	B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication); and		
	C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.		
	[Results Indicator; New]		
4.	Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:  A. Know their rights;  B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and  C. Help their children develop and learn.  [Results Indicator; New]	Baseline, targets, and improvement activities provided.  The State reported baseline data for this indicator as:  4A. 74%  4B. 72%  4C. 82%	The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.  OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to revise its sampling methodology for this indicator. The State reported that data collection for this indicator is based on census data, and not a sampling plan.  The State did not include in its analysis the extent to which parent survey responses were representative of its population and must provide this information for its FFY 2006 data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
5.	Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:  A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and  B. National data.  [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 1.24%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 1.22%  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 1.33%.	The State provided a detailed analysis, conducted monitoring to ensure compliance with related requirements, and identified specific improvement activities for this indicator.  The State reported progress and OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.

N	Ionitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
6.	Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:  A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and  B. National data.  [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 2.88%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 2.78%.  The State met its FFY 2005 target of 2.88%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
7.	Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 92%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 85%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.  The State reported that prior noncompliance was partially corrected in a timely manner.  The State submitted updated data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating 100% compliance as of September 30, 2006.	OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 C.F.R. §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 and correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 in three local infants and toddlers programs (LITPs) that were required to develop corrective action plans (CAPs). The State reported on page 36 in the FFY 2005 APR data indicating partial correction during FFY 2005 and correction and 100% compliance with these requirements as of September 30, 2006.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).
8A	Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  A. IFSPs with transition steps and	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 97.6%. This represents slippage from FFY 2004 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.  The State did not identify	The State revised its SPP improvement activities to address remaining challenges and provided a good analysis for this indicator. OSEP accepts those revisions.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
services; [Compliance Indicator]	prior noncompliance for this indicator.	
8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 98.9%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 95%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.  The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.	The State revised its SPP improvement activities to address remaining challenges and provided a good analysis for this indicator. OSEP accepts those revisions. The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(1).
8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 92%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 69%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.  The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected.	The State revised its SPP improvement activities to address remaining challenges and provided a good analysis for this indicator. OSEP accepts those revisions.  OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data that demonstrate compliance with timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as amended by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) and correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 in one LITP. The State reported in the FFY 2005 APR that its FFY 2005 APR data are 92% and that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this	OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data that demonstrated compliance regarding the identification and correction of 100% of noncompliance as soon as possible but

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.  [Compliance Indicator]	indicator are 98.0%.  This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 62%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	in no case later than one year from identification as required at 34 CFR §303.501(b). OSEP's February 2007 letter also requested the State to provide data demonstrating correction of the one remaining LITP that was identified in FFY 2004 as being in noncompliance with the comprehensive evaluation requirements in 34 CFR §303.322(c)(3)(ii) and IFSP present level of functioning requirements in 34 CFR §303.344(a).
	The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating 100% compliance as of September 30, 2006.	The State provided data indicating compliance with the general supervision and correction requirements and correction by the remaining LITP on the evaluation and IFSP content requirements in 34 CFR §303.322(c)(3)(ii) and 303.344(a). The State reported, under Indicators 1, 2, 8B, and 8C, that prior noncompliance in those areas, identified in FFY 2004, was corrected in a timely manner. The State also provided updated data as of September 30, 2006 for Indicator 7 that indicated correction of all prior areas of noncompliance.
		OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642 and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.510(b). In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must continue to disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of noncompliance findings the State made during FFY 2005.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance.
Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	No fully adjudicated due process hearings in FFY 2005.	OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to provide in its FFY 2006 Part C application clarification regarding its policies and practice in enforcing the 30-day timeline for adjudication of due process hearing requests under Part C. The State's May 25, 2006 submission resolved the issue under this indicator and the State's FFY 2006 Part C grant award letter reflects that resolution.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		The State reported that one due process hearing request was filed during FFY 2005, which request was withdrawn.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).  [Results Indicator; New]	Not applicable.	The State reported that it uses Part C due process hearing procedures under 34 CFR §303.420.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	One request for mediation was received during FFY 2005.	The State is not required to provide or meet its targets, or provide improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.