

Massachusetts Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 91.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP cannot determine whether progress was made because baseline data are not comparable due to the State's revised definition of timely.</p> <p>The State reported that it corrected 2 of 3 findings related to Indicator 1, and that the one-year timeline was not complete for the other finding.</p>	<p>In accordance with OSEP's March 30, 2006 SPP response letter the State included the following in the FFY 2005 APR:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Confirmation that its data for this indicator are based on the timely provision of all early intervention services on the IFSP; and 2. Data that are consistent with the requirements of this indicator. <p>OSEP's March 30, 2006 SPP response letter indicated that the State must include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). The data in the FFY 2005 APR show 91.7% compliance.</p> <p>In response to OSEP guidance issued after the SPP response letter, the State included the number of documented delays attributable to child or family circumstances in its calculation of compliance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005, and the pending noncompliance identified in the FFY 2005 APR.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 98.8%. The State's FFY 2004 data were 99.2%. The State's target for FFY 2005 is 95% or above.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to maintain a high level of performance. The State also indicated that it is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to ensure compliance. It is important that the State continue to monitor to ensure compliance.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers</p>	<p>Invalid entry-level data submitted</p>	<p>It is unclear to OSEP whether the State's plan to collect and report data for this</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships); B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>due to incorrect measurement.</p>	<p>indicator will result in the State's ability to provide valid and reliable baseline data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008. OSEP is available to provide technical assistance.</p>
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State reported baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> 4A. 80% 4B. 77% 4C. 86% 	<p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p> <p>In accordance with OSEP's March 30, 2006, SPP response letter, the State included in the FFY 2005 APR a sampling plan for this indicator.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> A. Other States with similar 	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data under IDEA section 618 for this indicator are 2.92%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 2.8%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>		
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data under IDEA section 618 for this indicator are 5.9%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 5.8%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 93.3%. These data represent progress from the State's FFY 2004 data of 92%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State further reported that data from the FFY 2006 Annual Report show 96% of initial IFSPs are conducted within 45 days of referral.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 30, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a). The State's FFY 2005 reported data represent progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>In response to OSEP guidance issued after the SPP response letter, the State included the number of documented delays attributable to child or family circumstances in its calculation of compliance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator used an incorrect measurement (see OSEP analysis column for additional details). Using the correct measurement, the State reported its data as 96.3%, and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator included family circumstances in its calculations. The measurement of this sub-indicator does not allow for the factoring in of family or other exceptional circumstances. The State also included in its APR data using the correct calculation, before factoring in family circumstances. These data report the State's compliance level for this indicator are 96.3%. The State should not include family circumstances when</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>OSEP accepts that data as the State's FFY 2005 reported data. These data represent progress from the FFY 2004 data of 72.3%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>calculating data for the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> <p>As required by OSEP's March 30, 2006 SPP response letter, and as noted in OSEP's July 3, 2006 FFY 2006 Part C grant award letter, the State submitted data on May 18, 2006, which resolved the prior issues under Indicator 8A.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data used an incorrect measurement (see OSEP analysis column for additional details). Using the correct measurement, the State reported its data as 73.2%, and OSEP accepts that data as the State's FFY 2005 reported data. These data represent progress from the FFY 2004 data of 61.5%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State did not report timely correction of findings under this indicator.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator included family circumstances in its calculations. The measurement of this sub-indicator does not allow for the factoring in of family or other exceptional circumstances. The State also included in its APR data using the correct calculation, before factoring in family circumstances. These data report the State's compliance level for this indicator are 73.2%. The State should not include family circumstances when calculating data for the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> <p>OSEP's March 30, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating compliance with the requirements of 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). The State's FFY 2005 reported data represent progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97.7%. These data represent progress from the State's FFY 2004 data of 85.2%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 30, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating compliance with the requirements of 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)). In the FFY 2005 APR, the State submitted data that demonstrate progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>		<p>requirements of 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>While the State did not revise its FFY 2004 baseline (9A, 9B, 9C) to reflect the single measurement currently required for this indicator, OSEP was able to recalculate the FFY 2004 baseline using State reported data. The revised FFY 2004 baseline data for this indicator are 79.1%.</p> <p>OSEP's March 30, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating compliance with the requirements of IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b). In the FFY 2005 APR, the State submitted data that demonstrate compliance.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b). In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data are 100%, with two signed written complaints filed.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.512.</p>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due</p>	<p>No fully adjudicated due process</p>	<p>The State reported one due process complaint that resulted in a settlement</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>hearing requests during FFY 2005.</p>	<p>agreement. No fully adjudicated due process hearing requests during FFY 2005.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>The State has adopted Part B due process procedures.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide its targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.</p>