

**Kansas Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 93.6%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 92%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>In Indicator 9, the State reported that it did not make any findings related to Indicator 1 in FFY 2004.</p>	<p>OSEP’s February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). The data in the FFY 2005 APR show progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>As required by OSEP’s February 27, 2006, SPP response letter, the State identified in its FFY 2005 APR several sources for its response to this indicator, including the State’s new infant-toddler data system, instead of family survey results.</p> <p>KDHE also stated in the FFY 2005 APR, as required by OSEP’s February 27, 2006 letter, that the State’s timely standard means Part C services must be provided within 30 days of parent consent.</p> <p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State indicated that it was unable to track reasons for delays but will add this to its data field in the Kansas Infant-Toddler database for reporting in February 2008. If the State adds these data to its database and wishes to include them in the compliance calculation, the number of children for whom the timeline was not met due to documented exceptional family circumstances would be included in both the numerator and the denominator of the calculation for this indicator in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, and the State must provide the specific numbers for its calculation.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 98.2%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 96.9%. The State met its</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>It is important that the State also monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>FFY 2005 target of 95%.</p>	<p>individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 55.3%</p> <p>4B. 93.8%</p> <p>4C. 96.4%, 97%, and another measure based on a scale of 1 – 10 having a mean score of 8.91. (See analysis column).</p>	<p>The State provided data, targets and improvement activities for this indicator. (The targets for Indicators 4A and 4B were included in the SPP submitted last year, and the targets for 4C were submitted in the State's April 2007 revised SPP/APR). OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator (including the targets for 4C, which maintain a high level of performance - above 95%). OSEP could not determine which of the two data sets the State submitted in the APR are the FFY 2005 baseline data for 4C (and the third data point is unclear). In the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must clarify which data set is the FFY 2005 baseline for 4C and revise its SPP to identify that data.</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.21%. This represents slippage from FFY 2004 data of 1.23%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 1.25%.</p>	<p>The State reported slippage. OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 2.52%. This represents slippage from FFY 2004 data of 2.57%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 2.74%.</p>	<p>The State reported slippage in FFY 2005 and OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 97.3%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 96%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>In Indicator 9, the State reported that it corrected 94 of 96 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 within one year of identification, and that the remaining two findings were subsequently corrected.</p>	<p>OSEP's February 27, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to ensure that the identified noncompliance was corrected and include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). The data in the FFY 2005 APR show progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements of 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a), including the correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 APR</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met the FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met the FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 APR reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met the FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).</p> <p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State did not report data regarding the number of delays due to documented exceptional family circumstances. If the State tracks these data and wishes to include them in the compliance calculation, the number of children for whom the timeline was not met due to documented exceptional family circumstances would be included in both the numerator and the denominator of the calculation for this indicator in the FFY 2006 APR, due</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		February 1, 2008, and the State must provide the specific numbers for its calculation.
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 91.3%, which represents the timely correction of 115 of 126 findings. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 100%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two findings regarding Indicator 7 that were not corrected within one year of identification were subsequently corrected.</p>	<p>OSEP's February 27, 2006, SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR documentation that the State ensured the correction of identified noncompliance as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification. The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 was partially corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State reported that 10 of 13 findings of noncompliance for Indicator 5 and 3 of 6 findings of noncompliance for Indicator 6 were resolved within one year of identification. The State also reported that two findings regarding Indicator 7 that were not corrected within one year of identification, were subsequently corrected.</p> <p>The State must implement and review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA section 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including data on the correction of outstanding noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.</p> <p>In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1 and 7, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%, with 1 complaint filed. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.512.</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
[Compliance Indicator]		
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State did not receive any hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period.	The State did not receive any hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator; New]	No resolution sessions were conducted during the FFY 2005 reporting period.	The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more resolution sessions were held.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	No mediations were requested during the FFY 2005 reporting period.	The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.