

Illinois Part C SPP/FFY 2005 APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported as its FFY 2005 data 98.53%, based on the number of services provided in a timely manner, rather than the percent of children with IFSPs who receive services in a timely manner. Although these data do not reflect the measurement for this indicator, they represent a high level of performance.</p> <p>Although the State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%, it reported timely correction under this indicator.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The timely service provision targets in the State's February 2007 revised SPP for this indicator are the same as the targets in its December 2005 SPP and reflect the measurement for this indicator. However, the State's FFY 2005 APR reflects a 100% target based on a different measurement (i.e., the number of timely services and not the number of children with IFSPs who received timely services).</p> <p>The State must use both the correct target and measurement in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008. Although the State's FFY 2005 reported data do not reflect the measurement, they represent a high level of performance.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). In its FFY 2006 APR, the State must calculate its percentage for this indicator based on the number of children with IFSPs whose Part C services are initiated in a timely manner (instead of the number of Part C services on IFSPs that are provided in a timely manner).</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 88.8%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 86%.</p>	<p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>The State did not provide actual numbers for this indicator. The State must provide this required information in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> <p>It is important that the State monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.</p>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate</p>	<p>Entry data provided.</p>	<p>The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1,</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>		2008.
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 baseline data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 76.8%</p> <p>4B. 86.5%</p> <p>4C. 90.2%</p>	The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 1.07%. This represents slippage from the State's FFY 2004 reported data of 1.09%. The State did not meet its FFY</p>	The State reported slippage and OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>2005 target of 1.20%.</p>	
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The FFY 2005 child count data that the State reported under section 618 are 3.0%. This represents progress from the State's FFY 2004 data of 2.86%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 3.14%.</p>	<p>Although the State reported FFY 2005 child count data under section 618 of 3.0%, the State reported FFY 2005 data of 3.11% for this indicator in the APR. In the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must report data for this indicator that are consistent with its 618 data. OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 98.67%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 95%. Although the State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%, it reported timely correction under this indicator.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 71.8%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 baseline data of 70.6%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported under Indicator 9 that it partially timely corrected noncompliance for Indicator 8.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 2, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to provide data in the February 1, 2007 FFY 2005 APR that demonstrate compliance with the IFSP transition content requirements of this indicator. The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 71.8%. In its FFY 2005 APR Indicator 9 data, the State reported that 17.5% of its FFY 2004 findings of noncompliance for Indicator 8 was corrected within one year from identification, but did not break its transition correction data down further for Indicators 8A, 8B, and 8C. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure that they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the IFSP transition</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 78.5%. This represents progress from the revised FFY 2004 baseline data of 78.0%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported under Indicator 9 that it partially timely corrected noncompliance for Indicator 8.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 2, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to provide data demonstrating compliance with the LEA notification requirements of this indicator in the February 1, 2007 FFY 2005 APR.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 78.5%. In its FFY 2005 APR Indicator 9 data, the State reported that 17.5% of its FFY 2004 findings of noncompliance for Indicator 8 was corrected within one year from identification, but did not break its transition correction data down further for Indicators 8A, 8B, and 8C.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure that they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the LEA notification requirements of 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 77.8%. This represents slippage from the revised FFY 2004 baseline data of 81.3%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported under Indicator 9 that it partially timely corrected noncompliance for Indicator 8.</p>	<p>OSEP's March 2, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to provide data in the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007, that demonstrate compliance with the timely transition conference requirements of this indicator.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 77.8%. In its FFY 2005 APR Indicator 9 data, the State reported that 17.5% of its FFY 2004 findings of noncompliance for Indicator 8 was corrected within one year from identification, but did not break its transition correction data down further for Indicators 8A, 8B, and 8C.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely transition requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as amended by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects</p>	<p>Although the State's FFY 2005 APR did not report one specific percentage for this indicator, it appears, based on the data and</p>	<p>The State revised its SPP improvement activities for this indicator and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 2, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in its</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>detailed analysis provided by the State, that the FFY 2005 data for this indicator are 51%. (OSEP arrived at this calculation by dividing 107 findings that were corrected or in full compliance by the 210 FFY 2004 findings reported on page 44 of the FFY 2005 APR.)</p> <p>OSEP could not determine progress or slippage because the State's FFY 2004 APR data were not recalculated into one percentage.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating that the State ensured the correction of identified noncompliance, as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>Although the State's FFY 2005 APR did not report one specific percentage for this indicator, it appears, based on the data and detailed analysis provided by the State, that the FFY 2005 data for this indicator are 51%. OSEP arrived at this calculation by dividing 107 findings that were corrected or in full compliance by the 210 FFY 2004 findings reported on page 44 of the FFY 2005 APR. OSEP could not determine progress or slippage because the State's FFY 2004 APR data were not recalculated into one percentage.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that provide one specific percentage and demonstrate compliance with the timely correction requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642 and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b).</p> <p>In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005 (including disaggregating the status of timely correction of findings for Indicators 8A, 8B, and 8C, rather than reporting on all findings for Indicator 8 in an aggregated manner). In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%, based on the timely resolution of 18 complaints filed.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §303.512(b).</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not receive any hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>	<p>The State did not receive any hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State reported it held no resolution sessions during the FFY 2005 reporting period as it received no due process hearing requests.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide or meet its targets or provide improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more resolution meetings are held.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State received no mediation requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide or meet its targets or provide improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations are conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not provide a specific percentage for this indicator. However, as noted in the next column and under Indicator 1 above, the State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100% for this indicator.</p>	<p>The State did not provide a specific percentage that reflects its FFY 2005 performance data for this indicator. The State reported that its IDEA section 618 and SPP/APR data under IDEA section 616 were timely, but did not address the accuracy of those data as required by the measurement for this indicator. In addition, the State did not provide FFY 2005 data under Indicator 1 that reflect the correct measurement.</p> <p>The State must provide data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that include a specific percentage that reflects both the timeliness and accuracy of its FFY 2006 data under IDEA section 618 and SPP/APR under IDEA section 616 and that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618 and 642 and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.</p>

