Idaho Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
1.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 72%. OSEP could not determine whether the State made progress because baseline data from the SPP were not comparable to the FFY 2005 data. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 was partially corrected in a timely manner. The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating 83.3% compliance as of December 1, 2006.	As requested in OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter, the State revised its timely definition for this indicator in its SPP. The State also revised its SPP improvement activities. OSEP accepts those revisions. As requested in OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter, the State included in its calculation (both in the numerator and the denominator) those children for whom delays are attributable to documented exceptional family circumstances. OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter also required the State to submit, in its FFY 2005 APR, its final progress report, which was due October 29, 2006, demonstrating compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1). The data in the FFY 2005 APR show continuing noncompliance. In addition, the State reported that of the six regions in which noncompliance was identified during FFY 2004, one region corrected the noncompliance within 12 months, and another region came into compliance during the reporting year. (page 35 of APR) It appears that the State also reported updated information regarding findings made in FFY 2005, but it is unclear if the findings were made in the same regions in which the noncompliance was identified in FFY 2004, and it is unclear which regions corrected the noncompliance (pages 5 and 37 of APR). The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.
2.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 92.5%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]	92%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance. It is also important that the State monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.
3.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:	Entry data provided.	The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
	A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);		
	B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and		
	C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.		
	[Results Indicator; New]		
4.	Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State's reported baseline data for this indicator are: 4A. 56% 4B. 52%	The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.
	A. Know their rights;	4C. 68%	
	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and		
	C. Help their children develop		

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	and learn. [Results Indicator; New]		
5.	Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.75%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 1.59%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
6.	Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to: A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and B. National data. [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 2.9%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 2.73%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.
7.	Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 90.3%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 88.8%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to submit, by February 1, 2007, data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342(a). Although the data in the FFY 2005 APR show noncompliance, the State's data represents progress from its FFY 2004 data, and the State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004. The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.
8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: A. IFSPs with transition steps and services; [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 79%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 81.25%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to ensure that the identified noncompliance was corrected and include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h). The data in the FFY 2005 APR show continuing noncompliance, but the State reported timely correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004. The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.
8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 87.5%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 58%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner for three of four regions in which noncompliance was identified in FFY 2004. The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating this region was not yet at full compliance as of December	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to ensure that the identified noncompliance was corrected and include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1). While the data in the FFY 2005 APR show noncompliance, they represent progress from the State's FFY 2004 data. In addition, the State reported that it timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 in three of four regions. The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	2006.	
8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 84%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 66.7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%. The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner for four of five regions in which noncompliance was identified in FFY 2004. The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating this region was not yet at full compliance as of December 2006.	The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. As requested in OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter, the State included in its calculation (both in the numerator and the denominator) those children for whom delays are attributable under Indicator 8C to documented exceptional family circumstances. OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter also required the State to ensure that the identified noncompliance was corrected and include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)). While the data in the FFY 2005 APR show noncompliance, they represent progress from the State's FFY 2004 data. In addition, the State reported that it timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 in four of five regions. The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 and the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004.
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 75.6%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 29%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	The State revised its improvement activities and baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. OSEP's March 24, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR documentation that the State ensured the correction of identified noncompliance, as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification. While the data in the FFY 2005 APR show noncompliance, they represent progress from the State's FFY 2004 data. In its FFY 2005 APR, the State reported that it identified in 2004-2005 and timely corrected in 2005-2006 noncompliance found in one region for Indicator 5 because it was below expected levels of children 0-1 year of age served by the

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		one region as compared to the State and national demographics. The State may not find regions in noncompliance based on the number of children they identify and serve. In January 2007, the State verbally explained to OSEP that this finding was based on the lack of public awareness activities in the region and not a numerical goal.
		The State reported that noncompliance identified in 2004-2005 that was to be corrected in 2005-2006 was partially corrected in a timely manner in Indicators 1, 2, 8B, and 8C and fully corrected in Indicators 5, 7, 8A and two non-priority areas relating to eligibility and file documentation.
		The State must implement and evaluate its improvement activities and revise the activities, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b), including data on the correction of outstanding noncompliance identified in FFY 2004. In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.
10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]	The State did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2005 reporting period.	The State did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2005 reporting period. The State described improvement activities it completed.
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within	The State did not receive any hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period.	The State did not receive any hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period. The State described improvement activities it completed.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
the applicable timeline.		
[Compliance Indicator]		
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator; New]	Not applicable because Part B due process procedures have not been adopted.	Not applicable because Part B due process procedures have not been adopted.
Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	No mediations were held.	The State reported that no mediations were requested or held during the FFY 2005 reporting period. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	The State provided updated information regarding its statewide database in the SPP. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA section 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.