

**Iowa Part C SPP/FFY 2005 APR Response Table**

| <b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>  | <b>Status</b>  | <b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>   |
|--|--|---|
| <p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>  | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in its FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating compliance with this indicator and a revised timeliness standard. The State provided its revised timeliness standard and data demonstrating compliance.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1).</p> |
| <p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>   | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 96.4%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 96%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 96.1%.</p>                         | <p>The State indicated that it is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements. It is important that the State continue to monitor to ensure compliance.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance while ensuring compliance.</p>   |
| <p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate</p> | <p>Entry data provided.</p>  | <p>The State reported the required entry data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>  |

| <b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>   | <b>Status</b>  | <b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>  |
|---|--|--|
| <p>behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>   |  |  |
| <p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p> | <p>Baseline data, targets, and improvement activities provided.</p> <p>The baseline data are:</p> <p>4A. 89.9%</p> <p>4B. 89.5%</p> <p>4C. 91%</p>   | <p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.</p> |
| <p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>   | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.22%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 1.12%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 1.1%.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>  |
| <p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p>   | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 2.33%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 2.12%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target</p>          | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>  |

| <b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>  | <b>Status</b>   | <b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>   |
|--|---|---|
| B. National data.<br>[Results Indicator]   | of 2.1%.  |   |
| 7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.<br>[Compliance Indicator]   | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 87%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 89%.</p> <p>Although the State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%, the State reported that prior noncompliance was corrected in a timely manner.</p> | <p>OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in its FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating compliance with this indicator and account for delays due to family circumstances in its calculation. The State provided its FFY 2005 data with the appropriate calculations.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including correction of any noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>  |
| <p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 87%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 68%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>  | <p>OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in its FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating compliance with this indicator. The State's FFY 2005 data represent progress but show continuing noncompliance. The State reported that during FFY 2005, noncompliance was identified in nine regional grantees and that they had submitted corrective action plans.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the IFSP transition planning requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of any noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p> |
| <p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if</p>   | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 96%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>  | <p>OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in its FFY 2005 APR the required data and calculations. The State's FFY 2005 data are 96%. The State also reported that during FFY 2005, noncompliance was identified in two regional grantees and that they had submitted corrective action plans.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including correction</p>  |

| <b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>   | <b>Status</b>  | <b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>   |
|---|--|---|
| <p>child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>   |  | <p>of any noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>   |
| <p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p> | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 87%. This represents progress from the FFY 2004 data of 46%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>                       | <p>OSEP's February 27, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in its FFY 2005 APR data demonstrating compliance with this indicator. The State's FFY 2005 data represent progress but show continuing noncompliance. The State also reported that during FFY 2005, noncompliance was identified in eight regional grantees and that they had submitted corrective action plans.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including correction of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p> |
| <p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>   | <p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%, based on timely correction of all 104 noncompliance citations identified in FFY 2004.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> | <p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b). In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must continue to disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 7, 8A, 8B and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>  |
| <p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a</p>  | <p>The State reported that no written complaints were filed in FFY 2005.</p>   | <p>No written complaints were filed during FFY 2005 and the State's 100% target was not applicable during FFY 2005.</p>   |

| <b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>   | <b>Status</b>   | <b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>  |
|---|---|--|
| particular complaint.<br>[Compliance Indicator]   |   |  |
| 11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.<br>[Compliance Indicator]   | The State reported that no due process hearing requests were filed in FFY 2005.   | No due process hearing requests were filed during FFY 2005 and the State's 100% target was not applicable during FFY 2005.   |
| 12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).<br>[Results Indicator; New] | Not applicable.   | Not applicable as Iowa has adopted the Part C due process procedures under 34 CFR §303.420.  |
| 13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.<br>[Results Indicator]  | The State reported that no mediation requests were received during FFY 2005 and that the State removed its targets related to mediations. | The State is not required to provide or meet its targets or provide improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations are conducted.   |
| 14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.<br>[Compliance Indicator]   | The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 100%.<br>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 100%.                             | OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance, and looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540. |