## Hawaii Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
1.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 69%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.  OSEP could not determine whether the State made progress because the State's FFY 2004 data were based on its previous definition of timely services.  The State did not address timely correction under this indicator.	As requested in OSEP's March 22, 2006 SPP response letter, the State included the following in the February 1, 2007 APR:  (1) Confirmation its 30-day time period runs from parent consent;  (2) Data obtained using the updated timely standard; and  (3) The numbers used in its data calculations.  OSEP's March 22, 2006 SPP response letter also required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR data demonstrating compliance with the service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1). The State's FFY 2005 data indicate 69% compliance with these requirements.  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008 demonstrating compliance with the service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).
2.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.  [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 81.1%. The State met its FFY 2005 target of 74.5%.	The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance while monitoring to ensure compliance.  The State reported that it monitors IFSPs for natural environments requirements. It is important that the State continue to monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance Part C natural environment requirements.
3.	Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:  A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social	Entry data provided.	The State reported the required entry data and activities. The State must provide progress data and improvement activities in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.  As requested in OSEP's March 22, 2006 SPP response letter, the State clarified data for this indicator is collected on a census rather than a sampling approach.

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	relationships);		
	B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and		
	C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.		
	[Results Indicator; New]		
4.	Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:	The State reported baseline data for this indicator are:	The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities and OSEP accepts the SPP for this indicator.
		4A. 78%	The State's FFY 2005 reported baseline data were collected between September
		4B. 74%	1, 2006 and November 11, 2006, which is beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period. In the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must provide
	A. Know their rights;	4C. 89%	progress data from the FFY 2006 reporting period.
	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and	The State's APR data were collected beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period (see details in next column).	
	C. Help their children develop and learn.		
	[Results Indicator; New]		
birtl to: A. (	Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:	The State's FFY 2005 data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 5.44%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 7%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 7.1%.	The State reported slippage and OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
	A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and		
	B. National data.		
	[Results Indicator]		

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
6.	Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:  A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and  B. National data.  [Results Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 6.71%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 7.3%. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 7.3%.	The State reported slippage and OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.
7.	Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 98%. The State's data were collected beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period (see details in next column).  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100% during FFY 2005.  However, in its January 4, 2007 Progress Report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions, the State provided detailed data and analysis for the six month period from June 2006 through November 2006 indicating compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements of this indicator.	The State's FFY 2005 reported data were collected from July 2006 – September 2006. In its January 4, 2007 Progress Report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions, the State provided detailed data and analysis for the six month period from June 2006 through November 2006 indicating compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).  OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing FFY 2006 data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that continue to demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a).
8A	Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 86% (see details in next column). These data represent progress from the FFY 2004 data of 41%.	The State's FFY 2005 reported data were collected from July 2006 – September 2006. In its January 4, 2007 Progress Report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions, the State provided detailed data and analysis for the six month period from June 2006 through November 2006 indicating compliance and correction of all noncompliance findings regarding the IFSP transition

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
third birthday including:  A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;  [Compliance Indicator]	The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100% during FFY 2005.  The State provided additional FFY 2006 data in its January 4, 2007  Progress Report under its FFY 2006  Part C Grant Special Conditions indicating compliance with the IFSP transition planning requirements of this indicator and correction of findings.	planning requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include FFY 2006 data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h).
8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 94% (see details in next column).  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100% during FFY 2005.  The State provided additional FFY 2006 data in its January 4, 2007  Progress Report under its FFY 2006  Part C Grant Special Conditions indicating compliance with the LEA notification requirements of this indicator and correction of findings.	The State's FFY 2005 reported data were collected from July 2006 – September 2006. In its January 4, 2007 Progress Report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions, the State provided detailed data and analysis for the six month period from June 2006 through November 2006 indicating compliance and correction of all noncompliance findings regarding the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).  In its FFY 2005 APR, the State indicated that consent is required for LEA notification. It is unclear whether the State has adopted an opt-out policy under IDEA section 637(a)(9), 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), and OSEP's 2004 Letter to Elder. In the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must clarify whether it has adopted such an opt-out policy and exclude from its calculations (in both the numerator and denominator) for Indicator 8B, but provide a numerical count of those children whose parents elected to opt out. In addition, the State must ensure that such a policy is included in the State's FFY 2007 Part C grant application if it has not been previously provided to OSEP. If the State has not adopted such a policy, then LEAs must be notified of the child's name, date of birth, and parent contact information as required by IDEA section 637(a)(9) and 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include FFY 2006 data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with 34 CFR

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		§303.148(b)(1).
8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:  C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 94% (see details in next column). These data represent progress from the FFY 2004 data of 41%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100% during FFY 2005.  The State provided additional FFY 2006 data in its January 4, 2007 Progress Report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions indicating compliance with the timely transition conference requirements of this indicator and correction of findings.	The State's FFY 2005 reported data were collected from July 2006 – September 2006. In its January 4, 2007 Progress Report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions, the State provided detailed data and analysis for the six month period from June 2006 through November 2006 indicating compliance and correction of all noncompliance findings regarding timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as amended by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).  The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include FFY 2006 data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as amended by IDEA section 637(a)(9)).
9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2004 data of 57%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100% during FFY 2005.  The State provided additional FFY 2006 data in its January 4, 2007 Progress Report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions indicating correction of most, but not all, findings.	The State revised its FFY 2004 baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts the revision.  OSEP's March 20, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR documentation that the State ensured the correction of identified noncompliance, as soon as possible, but in no case later than one year from identification. The State provided data for this indicator indicating 97%, and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts. In its January 4, 2007 Progress Report under its FFY 2006 Part C Grant Special Conditions, the State indicated it had corrected most (97%), but not all findings.  OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 635(a)(10) and 642 and 34 CFR §303.501(b). In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR due February 1, 2008, the State must continue to disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the

	Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
			noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicator 1, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under that indicator.
10.	Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.	The State did not receive any signed written complaints during the FFY 2005 reporting period.
11.	Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	The State did not receive any due process hearing requests during the FFY 2005 reporting period.
12.	Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).  [Results Indicator; New]	Not applicable.	The State has adopted Part C due process procedures.
13.	Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.  [Results Indicator]	No mediations requested.	The State is not required to provide its targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.  [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2005 data for this indicator are 97%.  The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.	OSEP's March 22, 2006 SPP response letter instructed the State to reconsider the baseline data provided for Indicator 14 of the SPP and provide accurate data and include improvement activities, in the FFY 2005 APR, due February 1, 2007. The State revised its baseline data for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts that revision.  The State's FFY 2005 reported data were not collected within the required reporting period for Indicators 7, 8A, 8B and 8C. However, the updated data were collected in response to Special Conditions imposed on Hawaii's Part C FFY 2006 Part C grant. In the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must provide FFY 2006 data from the FFY 2006 reporting period.  OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the data collection requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642, and 34 CFR §§303.176 and 303.540.