

### Colorado Part C FFY 2005 SPP/APR Response Table

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 87%. These data are at the same level of compliance as the State's FFY 2004 reported data.</p> <p>The State did not meet its target of 100%.</p> <p>The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating 95.21% compliance for December 1, 2006.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 20, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the FFY 2005 APR data that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1).</p> <p>The State reported that the Colorado Department of Human Services (CDHS), Division for Developmental Disabilities (DDD), the new lead agency designated as of December 30, 2005, and fully operational as of July 1, 2006, implemented a web-based database in order to collect accurate data to allow for improved monitoring of the State's 28-day timeline. From that database, DDD submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating 95.21% compliance for December 1, 2006.</p> <p>The State did not submit raw data and the State must provide this information in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including correction of any findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 95%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 87.50%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 95%.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions. The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p> <p>It is important that the State continue to monitor to ensure that IFSP teams make individualized decisions regarding the settings in which infants and toddlers receive early intervention services, in accordance with Part C natural environment requirements.</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication); and</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Entry data not provided.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State reported that entry data was not provided due to small sample size, and that it has a plan to collect the child outcome data. In addition, the State reported that it would provide entry and progress data in the FFY 2006 APR.</p> <p>The State must provide entry, progress data, and improvement activities with the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:</p> <p>A. Know their rights;</p> <p>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and</p> <p>C. Help their children develop and learn.</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are:</p> <p>4A. 86%</p> <p>4C. 96%</p> <p>The State did not submit baseline data for 4B, see analysis column.</p>	<p>The State's April 3, 2007 revised SPP provided targets for 4B for FFYs 2007 – 2010 to reflect an increase of 1.25% above the prior FFY actual data. OSEP accepts that target language with the clarification that the State's targets for FFYs 2007-2010 for this indicator are 1.25 percentage points above the prior year's data and not 1.25%. The State must provide baseline data for 4B in the FFY 2006 APR.</p> <p>The State provided baseline data, targets and improvement activities, in its December 2005 SPP, for 4A and 4C, which OSEP accepts.</p> <p>The State must provide the required data and measurement in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008.</p>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are .74%.</p>	<p>The State revised the targets and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of .70%.</p>	<p>performance.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator under IDEA section 618 are 1.85%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 1.70%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2005 target of 1.8%.</p>	<p>The State revised the target and improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State met its target and OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 78.6%. This represents progress from FFY 2004 data of 60.67%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State submitted data beyond the FFY 2005 reporting period indicating 81% compliance for July 1 to December 1, 2006.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>OSEP's March 20, 2006 SPP response letter required the State to include in the February 1, 2007 APR a final progress report, which was due November 5, 2006, demonstrating compliance with the requirements at 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a). The data in the FFY 2005 APR show progress toward achieving compliance.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1) and 303.342(a), including correction of any findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8A. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 88.6%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 95%.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State referred to an assumption regarding late plans due to family reasons. It is unclear if the State included family circumstances in</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>its calculations. The measurement of this sub-indicator does not allow for the factoring of family circumstances. The State must provide in the FFY 2006 APR, due in February 2008, data clarifying their numbers for this measurement.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including correction of any findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>8B. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not submit data for FFY 2005 under this indicator.</p>	<p>The State did not submit data for FFY 2005 under this indicator. In the SPP submitted in December 2005, the State’s reported data for this indicator were 100%. As noted under Indicator 1 in this table, CDHS assumed full responsibility as the new lead agency as of July 1, 2006.</p> <p>The State must provide the required data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008. The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1).</p>
<p>8C. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2005 reported data for this indicator are 88.6%. This represents slippage from the FFY 2004 data of 95%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>In its FFY 2005 APR, the State referred to an “assumption” regarding late conferences due to family reasons. However, it is unclear if the State included family circumstances in its calculations. The State may not rely on an “assumption” regarding family circumstances, but may take <u>documented</u> exceptional family circumstances into consideration. If the State tracks these data and wishes to include them in the compliance calculation, the number of children for whom the timeline was not met due to documented exceptional family circumstances would be included in both the numerator and the denominator of the calculation for this indicator in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, and the State must provide the specific numbers for its</p>

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
		<p>calculation.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to include data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9), including correction of any findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State provided information regarding various findings that were made by CDE, the lead agency during FFY 2005. The State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p> <p>OSEP cannot determine whether there was progress or slippage because the State did not submit FFY 2005 data consistent with the required measurement for this indicator.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>As mentioned in Indicator 1 in this table, CDHS was designated the new lead agency for Part C in Colorado as of December 30, 2005. As of July 1, 2006, the transition was fully implemented. In its revised SPP and FFY 2005 APR, the State provided information regarding various findings that were made by the former lead agency. On page 38 of the revised SPP, the State indicated that most of the EIS programs that had developed plans of correction under the prior monitoring were no longer providing EI services in June 2006.</p> <p>In the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must submit updated data regarding the correction of any outstanding findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005.</p> <p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing data in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, that demonstrate compliance with the requirements in IDEA sections 616(a), 642, and 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501(b). In its response to Indicator 9 in the FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, the State must disaggregate by APR indicator the status of timely correction of the noncompliance findings identified by the State during FFY 2005. In addition, the State must, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, and 8C, specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators. The State must also report on the correction of any noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 for Indicator 8B.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-</p>	<p>There were no complaints filed in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>		
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>There were no requests for due process hearings in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>There were no requests for due process hearings in FFY 2005.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator; New]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>The State has not adopted Part B due process procedures.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that one mediation was filed and resolved in FFY 2005.</p>	<p>The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which 10 or more mediations were conducted.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>Although the State reported that 100% of the required tables were submitted on time, the State did not meet its FFY 2005 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State did not submit data for Indicator 8, and did not provide entry data for Indicator 3. In addition, the State did not use the required measurement for Indicator 9. The State must provide the required data, in the FFY 2006 APR,</p>

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
		due February 1, 2008.