

Operation & Maintenance Practices Panel Discussion Misfueling Presentation

Richard Moskowitz

American Trucking Associations

A (August 6, 2003)

TRUCKING ASSOCIATIONS







Misfueling Incentives

★Unintentional Misfueling

- Mistake
- Contamination (higher than 15 ppm)
- No verification at the pump

★Intentional Misfueling

- ULSD is unavailable (80/20 rule)
- Price savings (retailer or end user)
- Rental industry





ATA's Perspective Misfueling Problems

- **★** Misfueling may cause problems with the emission control components
- ★ Consequences are not yet understood, because ECDs are not yet developed
- ★ There may be a difference between misfueling with 30 ppm sulfur and 500 ppm sulfur or splash blending 5000 ppm kerosene:
 - One tank of slightly contaminated ULSD may compromise the performance of the catalyst for a period of time
 - One tank of highway fuel may destroy the NOx adsorber/ PM trap permanently.
- ★ Misfueling falls on the shoulders of the end user
 - Void the emissions control device warranty
 - Trucker pays, unless you can prove that misfueling was someone else's fault
- **★** NOx adsorbers are likely to cost \$4,000 to \$5,000, making misfueling a very expensive mistake.



Imperfect Solutions

- **★** Truck / Fuel Tank Markings (as proposed)
- **★** Color-coded nozzles/scuffguards
 - Could limit mistakes, but doesn't solve contamination or intentional misfueling
 - No standard colors would require pump retrofits
- **★** Unique size/shape/length nozzles and filler pipes
 - Flow restrictions / productivity
 - Compatibility with existing fleet
 - Retrofit all trucks and pumps
 - Redesign and test of all fuel tanks (\$\$\$)
- **★** Electronic pump/vehicle interface (transponders)
 - Not invented
 - Auto industry abandoned the idea with ethanol
 - Retrofit all trucks and pumps





The Solution

★ONE NATIONAL DIESEL FUEL STANDARD

