

APPENDIX B
FIVE-YEAR ROD REVIEW INTERVIEWS

INTERVIEW RECORD

| | | | |
|---|--|------------------------|----------------------|
| Site Name: Eielson Air Force Base | | EPA ID No.: | |
| Subject: Second Five-Year ROD Review Interview | | Time: -- | Date: 8/20/03 |
| Type: Telephone Visit <u>Other</u> | | Incoming Outgoing | |
| Location of Visit: Responded Via Email | | | |

Contact Made By:

| | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Name: Mark Wilkinson | Title: Alaska Office Manager | Organization: EA |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|

Individual Contacted:

| | | |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|
| Name: Ann Farris | Title: Environmental Specialist | Organization: ADEC |
|-------------------------|--|---------------------------|

| | |
|---|---|
| Telephone No: 907-451-2156 | Street Address: 610 University Ave City, State, Zip: Fairbanks, AK 99709 |
| Fax No: 907-451-5105 | |
| E-Mail Address: ann_farris@dec.state.ak.us | |

Summary Of Conversation

Questions:

1. What is your overall impression of the project? (general sentiment)

Eielson is in the advanced stages of the cleanup process. Remedies have been chosen and implemented that are believed to be protective of human health and the environment. Long-term monitoring is continuing and institutional controls on land use are in place.

2. Have there been routine communications or activities (site visits, inspections, reporting activities, etc.) conducted by your office regarding the site? If so, please give purpose and results.

As part of the Federal Facilities Agreement signed by USEPA, ADEC, and the Air Force, ADEC has been an integral part of the cleanup process. This includes, but isn't limited to, involvement on developing the Records of Decision, reviewing remedial design plans, developing the long-term monitoring program, and conducting five-year reviews.

3. Have there been any complaints, violations, or other incidents related to the site requiring a response by your office? If so, please give details of the events and results of the responses.

I'm not aware of any notices of violation issued to the Air Force regarding the restoration program.

4. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?

I feel well informed regarding the progress at the restoration sites.

5. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?

These will be incorporated into the final 5-Year Review document.

INTERVIEW RECORD

| | | | |
|--|----------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| Site Name: Eielson Air Force Base | | EPA ID No.: | |
| Subject: Second Five-Year ROD Review Interview | | Time: 1000 | Date: 8/8/03 |
| Type: Telephone <u>Visit</u> Other | Incoming Outgoing | | |
| Location of Visit: Eielson AFB, Mike Lee's office | | | |

Contact Made By:

| | | |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Name: Mark Wilkinson | Title: Alaska Office Manager | Organization: EA |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|

Individual Contacted:

| | | |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| Name: Mike Lee | Title: Deputy Base Civil Engineer | Organization: CEV Eielson AFB |
| Telephone No: 907-377-5213 | Street Address: 354 CES, 2310 Central Ave., Ste 100 | |
| Fax No: 907-377-5026 | City, State, Zip: Eielson AFB, AK 99702-2299 | |
| E-Mail Address: michael.lee@eielson.af.mil | | |

Summary Of Conversation

Questions:

1. What is your overall impression of the project? (general sentiment)

Tight, covers a lot of bases, and covers them well. Good coordination with the USACE, ADEC, and EPA. Concerned about rules changing, and compliance becoming non-compliance.

2. Have there been routine communications or activities (site visits, inspections, reporting activities, etc.) conducted by your office regarding the site? If so, please give purpose and results.

Site was just visited by the USEPA and ADEC.

3. Have there been any complaints, violations, or other incidents related to the site requiring a response by your office? If so, please give details of the events and results of the response.

None for the Installation Restoration Program. A Notice of Violation was issued for the power plant air emissions. Bag house for the facility is currently being replaced. Fugitive dust from street sweepers also became an issue about two years ago. Fugitive dust is now being controlled by wetting road surfaces before and during sweeping.

4. Do you feel well informed about the sites activities and progress?

Environmental keeps the leadership well aware of progress on the site. Remediation is ahead of schedule (ST20 and ST48) and bioventing systems are now shut down. When outdoor recreation wanted to produce snow at the Ski Hill (WP38), Environmental quickly responded with a large file with the reason why snow can not be produced using local groundwater.

5. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?

Does not understand why soil must be burned. Land spreading or land farming seems much more economical.

INTERVIEW RECORD

| | | | |
|--|--|---|--------------------------|
| Site Name: Eielson Air Force Base | | EPA ID No.: | |
| Subject: Second Five-Year ROD Review Interview | | Time: 1535 | Date: 8/1/03 |
| Type: Telephone <u>Visit</u> Other | | Incoming Outgoing | |
| Location of Visit: EA Fairbanks Office | | | |
| Contact Made By: | | | |
| Name: Mark Wilkinson | | Title: Alaska Office Manager | Organization: EA |
| Individual Contacted: | | | |
| Name: John Mazzitello | | Title: North Pole Co-Chair | Organization: RAB |
| Telephone No: 907-378-5562 | | Street Address: 3227 S. Athena Circle | |
| Fax No: 907-490-6679 | | City, State, Zip: North Pole, AK 99705 | |
| E-Mail Address: jrm@pppm.biz | | | |
| Summary Of Conversation | | | |
| <p>Questions:</p> <p><u>1. What is your overall impression of the project? (general sentiment)</u></p> <p>Very good. It obviously takes a long time to reach remediation goals. Eielson does a very good job monitoring their northern boundary. Eielson is also very proactive.</p> <p><u>2. What effects have site operations had on the surrounding community?</u></p> <p>Adversely none. Community relations have been positive for Eielson.</p> <p><u>3. Are you aware of any community concerns regarding the site or its operation and administration? If so, please give details.</u></p> <p>The community desires to be informed about any changes. Eielson is doing well.</p> <p><u>4. Are you aware of any events, incidents, or activities at the site such as vandalism, trespassing, or emergency responses from local authorities? If so, please give details.</u></p> <p>Not aware of any.</p> <p><u>5. Do you feel well informed about the site's activities and progress?</u></p> <p>Very well informed. If questions come up, I can go to or call Eielson and quickly find information.</p> <p><u>6. Do you have any comments, suggestions, or recommendations regarding the site's management or operation?</u></p> <p>Doing a good job.</p> | | | |