

GE 159 Plastics Avenue Pittsfield, MA 01201 USA

Transmitted via Electronic Mail and Regular Mail

November 2, 2007

Mr. Dean Tagliaferro EPA Project Coordinator c/o Weston Solutions, Inc. 10 Lyman Street Pittsfield, MA 01201

Re: GE-Pittsfield/Housatonic River Site

40s Complex (GECD120)

Summary of Semi-Annual Inspection of Temporary Stockpile Area

Dear Mr. Tagliaferro:

On October 18, 2007, the General Electric Company (GE) performed an inspection of the temporary stockpile located within the 40s Complex at GE's facility in Pittsfield, Massachusetts, in accordance with Attachment E of GE's July 6, 2005 letter to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) titled Supplemental Building Material Characterization Report – Buildings 42, 43/43-A, 44 (Characterization Report), as conditionally approved by EPA on August 18, 2005. That document required GE to perform an initial inspection of the vegetative cover on the stockpile within one month after completion of construction, semi-annual inspections for the first year after construction, and annual inspections thereafter consistent with the post-removal site control obligations identified in Attachment J of the Statement of Work for Removal Actions Outside the River (Appendix E of the Consent Decree). This letter presents the results of the third inspection conducted by GE since completing construction of the temporary stockpile and its vegetative cover in October 2006 (initial inspection conducted on November 17, 2006; first semi-annual inspection conducted on April 24, 2007).

In accordance with Attachment E of the Characterization Report, the inspections of the temporary stockpile are to include the following steps:

- Visually inspect the vegetated surfaces for evidence of topsoil erosion, damage to the synthetic
 components (e.g., the erosion control mat, geotextile), uneven settlement relative to the
 surrounding/final topography, areas of bare or sparse vegetation, signs of ponding water from
 storm events, vehicle ruts and/or other visual abnormalities;
- Visually compare the existing surface grades with the final grading plan prepared upon completion of stockpile construction;
- If during the visual inspections, areas that are undisturbed (i.e., those areas not being used to obtain material for backfill/grading purposes) are identified to be deficient with components shown on Figures E-1 and/or E-2 of Attachment E of the Characterization Report, or if surface abnormalities are present, repair those areas and if needed, re-install topsoil and/or seed those areas that are bare or have sparse vegetation; and

 Conduct periodic maintenance of the soil covered areas after vegetation has been established, which will include mowing once every two to three weeks (depending on growth) and, if necessary, watering to keep the vegetative layer from dying.

The results of the October 18, 2007 inspection are reported on the attached Inspection Check List. This inspection revealed that the stockpile remains in overall good condition, with no visible signs of excessive erosion or sparse vegetation, or use as a backfill source. This inspection represents the second (and final) semi-annual inspection. Moving forward, in accordance with Attachment E of the Characterization Report, inspections will be conducted on an annual frequency. As such, the next inspection of the stockpile is anticipated to be conducted in August or September 2008.

Please feel free to contact me at (413) 448-5902 with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Michael Carroll/GDR

Michael T. Carroll

Manager, Pittsfield Remediation Programs

Attachment

cc:

T. Conway, EPA*

J. Kilborn, EPA

H. Inglis, EPA

R. Howell, EPA*

S. Steenstrup, MDEP (2 copies)

J. Rothchild, MDEP*

A. Symington, MDEP

K.C. Mitkevicius, USACE

L. Palmieri, Weston (2 copies)

Mayor J. Ruberto, City of Pittsfield

T. Hickey, Director, PEDA

J. Bernstein, BCK Law, P.C.*

T. Bowers, Gradient

S. Wilson, CHA

R. McLaren, GE*

A. Silfer, GE*

R. Gates, GE*

J. Bieke, Goodwin Procter

S. Gutter, Sidley Austin Brown & Wood

J. Nuss, ARCADIS BBL

GE Internal Repositories

Public Information Repositories

(* without attachments)

EXHIBIT E-1

STOCKPILE AREA INSPECTION CHECK LIST 40s COMPLEX RAA VISUAL ON-SITE INSPECTION Conducted By: Mike Hassett, Gregg Rabasco Representing: ARCADIS BBL Inspection Start Date: October 18, 2007 1. List other individuals and their company/agency that were present during the visual on-site inspection. Mike Argue (Weston Solutions on behalf of EPA) 2. Is there any visual evidence that the soil cover has been altered since the last inspection? Yes - If yes, describe below and indicate on a copy of the topographic map. 3. Is there any visual evidence that the stockpile is being utilized as a source of backfill/grading material? X No, (go to question 5) Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan, also see question 4. 4. Is the entity using the stockpile as a source of backfill/grading material following the general "house-keeping" practices by maintaining side slopes at a maximum of 25% (4 horizontal to 1 vertical), installation of soil erosion control measures (i.e., silt fence, hay bales), dust suppression measures, and/or other items necessary to control migration of materials from the stockpile? No - If no, list deficiencies Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan. See response to Item 3 above. 5. Is there any visual evidence of excessive soil erosion since the last inspection? Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such erosion on a plan.

EXHIBIT E-1

STOCKPILE AREA INSPECTION CHECK LIST 40s COMPLEX RAA 6. Is there any visual evidence of sparse and/or dead vegetation within the stockpile area? Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such area(s) on a plan. 7. If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 2 through 6 appears to have altered the surface grade of the the property compared to the surface grade shown on the topographic survey map or the most current drawing of the stockpile area (if available), identify the approximate area/location of such grade change on a plan. 8. Inspection Completed: October 18, 2007 FOLLOW-UP ITEMS If responses to any of Questions 2 through 6 above were Yes, indicate below the appropriate follow up activity, the entity who will conduct the follow up activity and an approximate schedule for completing each activity.