

159 Plastics Avenue Pittsfield, MA 01201

### Transmitted via Electronic Mail

December 5, 2006

Mr. Dean Tagliaferro **EPA Project Coordinator** c/o Weston Solutions, Inc. 10 Lyman Street Pittsfield, MA 01201

Re: GE-Pittsfield/Housatonic River Site

40s Complex (GECD120)

Summary of Initial Inspection of Temporary Stockpile Area

Dear Mr. Tagliaferro:

On November 17, 2006, the General Electric Company (GE) performed an inspection of the temporary stockpile located within the 40s Complex at GE's facility in Pittsfield, Massachusetts, in accordance with Attachment E of GE's July 6, 2005 letter to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) titled Supplemental Building Material Characterization Report - Buildings 42, 43/43-A, 44 (Characterization Report), as conditionally approved by EPA on August 18, 2005. That document required GE to perform an initial inspection of the vegetative cover on the stockpile within one month after completion of construction, semi-annual inspections for the first year after construction, and annual inspections thereafter consistent with the post-removal site control obligations identified in Attachment J of the Statement of Work for Removal Actions Outside the River (Appendix E of the Consent Decree). This letter presents the results of the initial inspection conducted by GE since completing construction of the temporary stockpile and its vegetative cover in October 2006.

In accordance with Attachment E of the Characterization Report, the inspections of the temporary stockpile are to include the following steps:

- Visually inspect the vegetated surfaces for evidence of topsoil erosion, damage to the synthetic components (e.g., the erosion control mat, geotextile), uneven settlement relative to the surrounding/final topography, areas of bare or sparse vegetation, signs of ponding water from storm events, vehicle ruts and/or other visual abnormalities;
- Visually compare the existing surface grades with the final grading plan prepared upon completion of stockpile construction;
- If during the visual inspections, areas that are undisturbed (i.e., those areas not being used to obtain material for backfill/grading purposes) are identified to be deficient with components shown n Figures E-1 and/or E-2 of Attachment E of the Characterization Report, or if surface abnormalities are present, repair those areas and if needed, re-install topsoil and/or seed those areas that are bare or have sparse vegetation; and

 Conduct periodic maintenance of the soil covered areas after vegetation has been established, which will include mowing once every two to three weeks (depending on growth) and, if necessary, watering to keep the vegetative layer from dying.

In November 2006, GE conducted the initial inspection of the temporary stockpile at the 40s Complex. The results are reported on the attached Inspection Check List. This initial inspection revealed that the vegetative cover of the temporary stockpile is beginning to establish itself, with no deficiencies observed or corrective actions required at this time. The next inspection of the stockpile is anticipated to be conducted in April 2007.

Please feel free to contact me at (413) 448-5902 with any questions or comments.

Sincerely,

Michael Carroll/EGB

Michael T. Carroll

Manager, Pittsfield Remediation Programs

#### Attachment

cc:

T. Conway, EPA\*

J. Kilborn, EPA

H. Inglis, EPA

R. Howell, EPA\*

S. Steenstrup, MDEP (2 copies)

J. Rothchild, MDEP\*

A. Symington, MDEP\*

K.C. Mitkevicius, USACE

L. Palmieri, Weston (2 copies)

Mayor J. Ruberto, City of Pittsfield

Pittsfield Department of Health

T. Hickey, Director, PEDA

N.E. Harper, MA AG\*

D. Young, MA EOEA\*

J. Bernstein, Bernstein, Cushner & Kimmel

T. Bowers, Gradient

S. Wilson, CHA

R. McLaren, GE\*

A. Silfer, GE\*

J. Bieke, Goodwin Procter

S. Gutter, Sidley Austin Brown & Wood

J. Nuss, BBL

GE Internal Repositories

Public Information Repositories

(\* without attachments)

## **EXHIBIT E-1**

# STOCKPILE AREA INSPECTION CHECK LIST

	40s COMPLEX RAA
VI	SUAL ON-SITE INSPECTION
	Conducted By: Gregg Rabasco/Mike Hassett Representing: BBL, an ARCADIS company
l	Inspection Start Date: November 17, 2006
1.	List other individuals and their company/agency that were present during the visual on-site inspection.  Mike Argue (Weston Solutions on behalf of EPA)
2.	. Is there any visual evidence that the soil cover has been altered since the last inspection?  X No*
	Yes - If yes, describe below and indicate on a copy of the topographic map.
	*Note that this is the first inspection of the stockpile area.
3.	. Is there any visual evidence that the stockpile is being utilized as a source of backfill/grading material?
	X No, (go to question 5) Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan, also see question 4.
4.	Is the entity using the stockpile as a source of backfill/grading material following the general "house-keeping" practices by maintaining side slopes at a maximum of 25% (4 horizontal to 1 vertical), installation of soil erosion control measures (i.e., silt fence, hay bales), dust suppression measures, and/or other items necessary to control migration of materials
	from the stockpile?  No - If no, list deficiencies  Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such activity on a plan.
	See response to Item 3 above.
5.	Is there any visual evidence of excessive soil erosion since the last inspection?  X No*
	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such erosion on a plan.
	*Note that this is the first inspection of the stockpile area and the vegetative cover has not yet completely established itself.

## **EXHIBIT E-1**

## STOCKPILE AREA INSPECTION CHECK LIST

40s COMPLEX RAA	
6.	Is there any visual evidence of sparse and/or dead vegetation within the stockpile area?  X No*
Į.	Yes - If yes, describe below and show the location(s) of such area(s) on a plan.
	*Note that this is the first inspection of the stockpile area and the vegetative cover has not yet completely established itself.
7.	If any of the conditions listed in the responses to Questions 2 through 6 appears to have altered the surface grade of the the property compared to the surface grade shown on the topographic survey map or the most current drawing of the stockpile area (if available), identify the approximate area/location of such grade change on a plan.
8.	Inspection Completed:
FO	LLOW-UP ITEMS
	esponses to any of Questions 2 through 6 above were Yes, indicate below the appropriate follow up activity, the entity who conduct the follow up activity and an approximate schedule for completing each activity.
	<del>-</del>