# Characteristics of Apartments Completed: Annual 2005 

## INTRODUCTION

The Survey of Market Absorption (SOMA) measures how soon privately financed, nonsubsidized, unfurnished units in buildings with five or more units are rented or sold (absorbed) after completion. In addition, the survey collects data on characteristics such as number of bedrooms, asking rent, and asking price.

As with all surveys, estimates may vary from actual values because of sampling variation or other factors. All statements in this report have undergone statistical testing and are significant at the 90-percent confidence level.

## HIGHLIGHTS ${ }^{1}$

- Preliminary estimates from the SOMA show that, during 2005, a total of 111,900 privately financed, nonsubsidized, unfurnished rental apartments in buildings of five units or more were completed in permit-issuing areas in the United States. This total is lower by about 43,100 from the estimated 155,000 completions in 2004. New unfurnished rental completions in 2005 were less than similar completions in every year dating back to 1994, in which there was no statistical difference (Table 8).
- The South, with 51 percent, had the largest proportion of new, unfurnished rental completions of any region, followed by the West, with 27 percent. The Midwest ( 17 percent) ranked third, while the Northeast had

4 percent of new rental completions in 2005 (Table 1 and Figure 1).

- Two-bedroom units were the predominant size built, accounting for about 50 percent of newly constructed rental apartments, followed by onebedroom units (33 percent). The third group comprised units with three or more bedrooms (14 percent), and the smallest group, efficiencies (no bedrooms), accounted for 3 percent of new 2005 rental completions (Table 1).
- The median monthly asking rent for all unfurnished rental apartments completed in 2005 was $\$ 943$-not statistically different than the $\$ 1,007$ (in 2005 dollars; $\$ 974$ in 2004 dollars) median rent for unfurnished apartments completed in 2004 (Table 2). Units with three or more bedrooms had a median asking rent of $\$ 1,166$, the highest among the four categories. The median asking rent for efficiencies (\$889) did not differ statistically from that for both onebedroom and two-bedroom units, however the $\$ 955$ median asking rent for two-bedroom units exceeded that for one-bedroom units (\$864) by about \$91 (Table 2).
- In 2005, about 33 percent of the completed unfurnished rental apartments had a median asking rent of $\$ 1,150$ or more-by far the largest proportion of rental completions based on asking rent. Units renting between $\$ 1,050-$ \$1,149 comprised 7 percent of new unfurnished rental completions,

[^0]Questions regarding these data may be directed to Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division, telephone: 301-763-3199. For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.
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## Table 1. Unfurnished Apartments Completed by Rent and Number of Bedrooms for the United States and Regions: 2005

[Privately financed, nonsubsidized, unfurnished rental apartments in buildings with five units or more. Data regarding number of bedrooms and asking rent are collected at the initial interview, i.e., 3 months following completion. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding. Medians and percents are computed using unrounded data]

| Characteristic | Number of unfurnished apartments |  |  |  |  | Percent distribution |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | United States | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Total. | 111,900 | 4,800 | 19,500 | 57,400 | 30,300 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| Asking Rent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$750 | 28,600 | (Z) | 11,900 | 14,300 | 2,400 | 26 | (Z) | 61 | 25 | 8 |
| \$750 to \$849 | 13,800 | - | 2,600 | 8,000 | 3,100 | 12 | (X) | 14 | 14 | 10 |
| \$850 to \$949 | 14,500 | (Z) | 2,000 | 8,900 | 3,500 | 13 | (Z) | 10 | 16 | 12 |
| \$950 to \$1,049. | 10,500 | 100 | 600 | 6,500 | 3,300 | 9 | 1 | 3 | 11 | 11 |
| \$1,050 to \$1,149 | 8,100 | 100 | 500 | 4,700 | 2,700 | 7 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 9 |
| \$1,150 or more | 36,500 | 4,600 | 1,800 | 14,900 | 15,200 | 33 | 96 | 9 | 26 | 50 |
| Median asking rent. | \$943 | \$1,150+ | \$750- | \$921 | \$1,150+ | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| Bedrooms |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No bedroom | 3,200 | 500 | 1,100 | 800 | 800 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 1 | 3 |
| 1 bedroom. . | 37,300 | 2,400 | 4,700 | 20,200 | 10,100 | 33 | 49 | 24 | 35 | 33 |
| 2 bedrooms.. | 56,300 | 1,600 | 10,200 | 28,700 | 15,900 | 50 | 33 | 52 | 50 | 52 |
| 3 bedrooms or more | 15,100 | 400 | 3,500 | 7,700 | 3,500 | 14 | 8 | 18 | 13 | 12 |

- Represents zero. X Not applicable. Z Fewer than 50 units or less than one-half of 1 percent.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.
a lower proportion than each of the asking rent categories, except the 9 percent renting for \$950$\$ 1,049$. The $\$ 950-\$ 1,049$ group was lower by four percentage points than the $\$ 850-\$ 949$ group (13 percent), but did not differ statistically from the 12 percent comprising the group renting between \$750-\$849 (Table 2).

- The 3-month absorption rate for unfurnished rental apartments was 64 percent. The rate for such apartments renting for less than $\$ 750$ (71 percent) was higher by about 13 percentage points than the rate for those renting for \$850-\$949 and \$1,050$\$ 1,149$ (58 percent). There were no other statistical differences in the 3 -month absorption rates among asking rent categories (Table 2).
- There were no statistically significant differences among 3-month absorption rates for unfurnished apartments built in 2005 based on number of bedrooms (Table 2 ).
- More new unfurnished rental units were completed inside central cities of metropolitan areas ( 51 percent), about 8 percent more than the 43 percent built outside the nation's central cities (suburbs). About 4 percent of new rental units were completed outside of metropolitan areas. Three-month absorption rates among these three types of areas did not differ (Table 3).
- Of the 111,900 unfurnished rental apartments completed in 2005, 95 percent had air conditioning available, while 77 percent had a swimming pool available. About 5 percent included electricity in the monthly rent, and 6 percent included the cost of natural gas (Table 4).
- About 81,800 condominium and cooperative apartments were completed in 2005, some 22,200 more than the 59,600 such completions in 2004. Within 3 months, 75 percent of these units completed in 2004 had been sold (absorbed). The South, with 49 percent of new condominium and cooperative completions in 2005 had a larger proportion than any other region. There were no other statistical differences among the percentage of completions in the other three regions (Table 5).
- The median asking price for all new condominium apartments built in 2005 was \$303,100—not statistically different from the 2004 median asking price of $\$ 274,100$ (in 2005 dollars; $\$ 265,100$ in 2004 dollars). Eighty-three percent of all new condominiums built in 2005 had two bedrooms or more (Table 6).
- Forty-one percent of newly built condominiums had a median asking price above $\$ 350,000$, a larger proportion than in any of the other asking-price ranges, followed by those selling for less than \$150,000 (18 percent). There were no other statistical differences among the proportion of new condominium units by asking price range. Comparisons of 3-month
absorption rates for units in each of the asking-price ranges showed no statistical differences (Table 6).
- Approximately 258,000 apartments in residential buildings with five units or more were completed in 2005, about 27,400 units fewer than the 285,400 total completions in 2004, but not statistically different from total completions in 2003. There were fewer completions in 2005 and 2003 than in every other year dating back to 1999. Forty-three percent of 2005 completions were nonsubsidized, unfurnished rental apartments; 18 percent were subsidized and tax credit units; 32 percent were condominiums and cooperatives; 2 percent were furnished rental units; and the remaining 5 percent were not in the scope of the survey (Table 8).


## CHARACTERISTICS OF THE DATA

All statistics from the SOMA refer to apartments in newly constructed buildings with five units or more. Absorption rates reflect the first time an apartment is rented after completion or the first time a condominium or cooperative apartment is sold after completion. If apartments initially intended to be sold as condominium or cooperative units are, instead, offered by the builder or building owner for rent, they are counted as rental apartments. Units categorized as subsidized and tax credited are those built under two U.S.
Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD) programs (Section 8, Low Income Housing Assistance and Section 202, Senior Citizens Housing Direct Loans) and all units in buildings containing apartments in the Federal Housing Administration (FHA) rent supplement program. The data on privately financed units include privately owned housing subsidized by state and local governments. Time-share units, continuing-care retirement units, and turnkey units (privately built for and sold to local public housing authorities after completion) are outside the scope of the survey.

Tables 1 through 4 are restricted to privately financed, nonsubsidized, unfurnished rental apartments. Table 5 is restricted to privately financed, nonsubsidized, condominium and cooperative apartments, while Table 6 is limited to privately financed, nonsubsidized condominium apartments only. Table 7 covers privately financed, nonsubsidized, furnished rental apartments and Table 8 is a historical summary of the totals for all types of newly constructed apartments in buildings with five units or more. Estimates published in this report are preliminary and are subject to revision in the H-130, Market Absorption of Apartments annual report.

## NOTE TO DATA USERS

The SOMA adopted new ratio estimation procedures in 1990 to derive more accurate estimates of completions. ${ }^{2}$ This new procedure was used for the first time in processing annual data for 1990. Please use caution when comparing completions in 1990 and following years with those in earlier years.

## SAMPLE DESIGN

The U.S. Census Bureau designed the survey to provide data concerning the rate at which privately financed, nonsubsidized, unfurnished units in buildings with five units or more are rented or sold (absorbed). In addition, the survey collects data on characteristics such as number of bedrooms, asking rent, and asking price.

Buildings for the survey came from those included in the Census Bureau's Survey of Construction (SOC). ${ }^{3}$ For the SOC, the United States is first divided into primary sampling units (PSUs), which are stratified based on population and building permits. The PSUs to be used for the survey are then randomly selected from each stratum. Next, a sample of geographic locations that issue permits is chosen within each of the selected PSUs. All newly constructed buildings with five units or more within sampled places and a subsample of buildings with one to four units are included in the SOC.

For the SOMA, the Census Bureau selects, each quarter, a sample of buildings with five units or more that have been reported in the SOC sample as having been completed during that quarter. The SOMA does not include buildings in areas that do not issue permits. In each of the subsequent four quarters, the proportion of units in the quarterly sample that are sold or rented (absorbed) are recorded, providing data for absorption rates $3,6,9$, and 12 months after completion.

## ESTIMATION

Beginning with data on completions in the fourth quarter of 1990 (which formed the base for absorptions in the first quarter of 1991), the Census Bureau modified the estimation procedure and applied the new estimation procedure to data for the other three quarters of 1990 so that annual estimates using the same methodology for four quarters could be derived. The Census Bureau did not perform any additional re-estimation of past data.

[^1]Using the original estimation procedure, the Census Bureau created design-unbiased quarterly estimates by multiplying the counts for each building by its base weight (the inverse of its probability of selection) and then summing over all buildings. Multiplying the design-unbiased estimate by the following ratioestimate factor for the country as a whole provides the following estimate:
total units in buildings with five units or more in permitissuing areas as estimated by the SOC for that quarter
total units in buildings with five units or more as estimated by the SOMA for that quarter

Beginning with January 2001 completions, the SOC revised its methodology for estimating the number of units completed for $5+$ multiunit structures. See http://www.census.gov/ftp/pub/const/www /new_methodology_const.html for these changes. Thus, caution is required when comparing data from 2001 and forward to any estimates prior to 2001.

In the modified estimation procedure, instead of applying a single ratio-estimate factor for the entire country, the Census Bureau computes separate ratio-estimate factors for each of the four geographic regions. Multiplying the unbiased regional estimates by the corresponding ratio-estimate factors provides the final estimates for regions. The Census Bureau obtains the final estimates for the country by summing the final regional estimates.

This procedure produces estimates of the units completed in a given quarter that are consistent with published figures from the SOC and reduces, to some extent, the sampling variability of the estimates of totals. Annual absorption rates are obtained by computing a weighted average of the four quarterly estimates.

Absorption rates and other characteristics of units not included in the interviewed group or not accounted for are assumed to be identical to rates for units about which data were obtained. The noninterviewed and not-accounted-for cases constitute less than 2 percent of the sample housing units in this survey.

## ACCURACY OF THE ESTIMATES

The SOMA is a sample survey and, consequently, all statistics in this report are subject to sampling variability. Estimates derived from different samples would differ from these.

Two types of possible errors are associated with data from sample surveys: nonsampling and sampling.

## Nonsampling Errors

In general, nonsampling errors can be attributed to many sources: inability to obtain information about all cases in the sample, difficulties with definitions, differences in interpretation of questions, inability or unwillingness of the respondents to provide correct information, and data processing errors. Although no direct measurements of any bias that might result from nonsampling errors have been obtained, the Census Bureau thinks that most of the important response and operational errors were detected during review of the data for reasonableness and consistency.

## Sampling Errors

The particular sample used for this survey is one of many possible samples of the same size that could have been selected using the same design. Even if the same questionnaires, instructions, and interviewers were used, estimates from different samples would likely differ from each other. The deviation of a sample estimate from the average of all possible samples is defined as the sampling error. The standard error of a survey estimate provides a measure of this variation and, thus, is a measure of the precision with which an estimate from a sample approximates the average result from all possible samples.

If all possible samples were selected, if each was surveyed under the same general conditions, and if an estimate and its estimated standard error were calculated from each sample, then:

- Approximately 68 percent of the intervals from one standard error below the estimate to one standard error above the estimate (i.e., the 68-percent confidence interval) would include the average result from all possible samples.
- Approximately 90 percent of the intervals from 1.6 standard errors below the estimate to 1.6 standard errors above the estimate (i.e., the 90-percent confidence interval) would include the average result from all possible samples.
- Approximately 95 percent of the intervals from two standard errors below the estimate to two standard errors above the estimate (i.e., the 95-percent confidence interval) would include the average result from all possible samples.

This report uses a 90-percent confidence level as its standard for statistical significance.

For very small estimates, the lower limit of the confidence interval may be negative. In this case, a better
approximation to the true interval estimate can be achieved by restricting the interval estimate to positive values, that is, by changing the lower limit of the interval estimate to zero.

The reliability of an estimated absorption rate (i.e., a percentage) computed by using sample data for both the numerator and denominator depends on both the size of the rate and the size of the total on which the rate is based. Estimated rates of this kind are relatively more reliable than the corresponding estimates of the numerators of the rates, particularly if the rates are 50 percent or more.

Tables A, B, and C present approximations to the standard errors of various estimates shown in the report. Table A presents standard errors for estimated totals, and Tables B and C present standard errors for estimated percentages for rental apartments and condominiums, respectively. To derive standard errors that would be applicable to a wide variety of items and could be prepared at moderate cost, a number of approximations were required. As a result, the tables of standard errors provide an indication of the order of magnitude of the standard errors rather than the precise standard error for any specific item. Standard errors for values not shown in Tables A, B, or C can be obtained by linear interpolation.

## ILLUSTRATIVE USE OF THE STANDARD ERROR TABLES

Table 6 of this report shows that there were about 80,000 new condominium apartments built in 2005. Table A shows the standard error of an estimate of this size to be approximately 5,380. To obtain a 90percent confidence interval, multiply 5,380 by 1.6 and add and subtract the result from 80,000 , yielding limits of 71,390 and 88,610 . The average estimate of these units completed in 2005 may or may not be included in this computed interval, but one can say that the average is included in the constructed interval with a specified confidence of 90 percent.

Table 6 also shows that the rate of absorption after 3 months for these condominium apartments is 75 percent. Table C shows the standard error on a 75 percent rate on a base of 80,000 to be approximately 3.1 percent. Multiply 3.1 by 1.6 (yielding 5.0 ) and add and subtract the result from 75 . The 90 -percent confidence interval for the absorption rate of 75 percent is from 70 percent to 80 percent.

Table 6 also shows that the median asking price for the estimated 80,000 condominium apartments was $\$ 303,100$. The standard error of this median is about \$20,000.

Several statistics are needed to calculate the standard error of a median.

- The base of the median-the estimated number of units for which the median has been calculated. In this example, 80,000.
- The estimated standard error from Table C of a 50-percent characteristic on the base of the median (o50\%). In this example, the estimated standard error of a 50-percent characteristic with the base of 80,000 is about 3.6 percent.
- The length of the interval that contains the median. In this example, the median lies between $\$ 300,000$ and $\$ 349,999$. The length of the interval is $\$ 50,000$.
- The estimated proportion of the base falling in the interval that contains the median: in this example, 9 percent ( 7,300 condominium units selling for $\$ 300,000$ to $\$ 349,999$ divided by 80,000 condominium units). The standard error of the median is obtained by using the following approximation:


For this example, the standard error of the median of $\$ 303,100$ is:

$$
3.6 \times 50,000 / 9=\$ 20,000
$$

Therefore, 1.6 standard errors equals $\$ 32,000$. Consequently, an approximate 90 -percent confidence interval for the median asking price of $\$ 303,100$ is between $\$ 271,100$ and $\$ 335,100$ ( $\$ 303,100$ plus or minus $\$ 32,000$ ).

Figure 1.

## Percent Distribution of New Unfurnished Rental and New Condominium and Cooperative Units Completed by Region: 2005



Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

Figure 2.
Median Asking Rent/Price for Unfurnished Rental and Condominium Apartments Completed in 2005


[^2]

[^3]Table 2. Unfurnished Apartments Completed and 3-Month Absorption Rate by Rent and Number of Bedrooms for the United States and Regions: 2005
[Privately financed, nonsubsidized, unfurnished rental apartments in buildings with five units or more. Data regarding number of bedrooms and asking rent are collected at the initial interview; i.e., 3 months following completion. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding. Medians and percents are computed using unrounded data]

| Characteristic | Number of unfurnished apartments |  |  |  |  | Percent absorbed within 3 months |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | Northeast | Midwest | South | West | United States | Northeast | Midwest | South | West |
| Total | 111,900 | 4,800 | 19,500 | 57,400 | 30,300 | 64 | 75 | 63 | 63 | 65 |
| Less than \$750. | 28,600 | (Z) | 11,900 | 14,300 | 2,400 | 71 | (Z) | 70 | 72 | 71 |
| \$750 to \$849. | 13,800 | - | 2,700 | 8,000 | 3,100 | 61 | (X) | 57 | 63 | 62 |
| \$850 to \$949. | 14,500 | (Z) | 2,000 | 8,900 | 3,500 | 58 | (Z) | 46 | 60 | 59 |
| \$950 to \$1,049 | 10,500 | 100 | 600 | 6,500 | 3,300 | 63 | 80 | 47 | 62 | 69 |
| \$1,050 to \$1,149 | 8,100 | 100 | 500 | 4,700 | 2,700 | 58 | 96 | 62 | 59 | 55 |
| \$1,150 or more. | 36,500 | 4,600 | 1,800 | 14,900 | 15,200 | 63 | 74 | 55 | 57 | 66 |
| Median asking rent | \$943 | \$1,150+ | \$750- | \$921 | \$1,150+ | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| No bedroom | 3,200 | 500 | 1,100 | 800 | 800 | 66 | 90 | 64 | 60 | 62 |
| Less than \$950. | 1,800 | (Z) | 1,100 | 300 | 400 | 66 | (Z) | 64 | 65 | 73 |
| \$950 or more | 1,400 | 500 | (Z) | 500 | 400 | 66 | 90 | (Z) | 57 | 51 |
| Median asking rent | \$889 | \$1,150+ | \$750- | \$991 | \$966 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 1 bedroom. | 37,300 | 2,400 | 4,700 | 20,200 | 10,100 | 66 | 78 | 63 | 64 | 68 |
| Less than \$750. | 11,400 | - | 3,800 | 6,500 | 1,100 | 71 | (X) | 63 | 75 | 73 |
| \$750 to \$849. | 6,600 | - | 500 | 4,900 | 1,200 | 64 | (X) | 64 | 62 | 73 |
| \$850 to \$949. | 4,900 | - | 100 | 2,900 | 1,900 | 64 | (X) | 65 | 63 | 65 |
| \$950 to \$1,049 | 2,000 | (Z) | (Z) | 1,500 | 500 | 66 | (Z) | (Z) | 65 | 71 |
| \$1,050 to \$1,149 | 2,100 | (Z) | 200 | 1,200 | 700 | 56 | (Z) | 80 | 50 | 58 |
| \$1,150 or more. | 10,300 | 2,300 | 100 | 3,200 | 8,500 | 66 | 78 | 23 | 53 | 68 |
| Median asking rent | \$864 | \$1,150+ | \$750- | \$823 | \$1,103 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 2 bedrooms. | 56,300 | 1,600 | 10,200 | 28,700 | 15,900 | 62 | 64 | 64 | 62 | 61 |
| Less than \$750. | 13,900 | (Z) | 6,200 | 6,600 | 1,100 | 71 | (Z) | 73 | 69 | 67 |
| \$750 to \$849. | 5,900 |  | 1,700 | 2,600 | 1,600 | 58 | (X) | 48 | 68 | 52 |
| \$850 to \$949. | 7,900 | - | 1,100 | 5,500 | 1,300 | 55 | (X) | 45 | 59 | 47 |
| \$950 to \$1,049. | 6,400 | - | 200 | 4,000 | 2,200 | 63 | (X) | 49 | 60 | 68 |
| \$1,050 to \$1,149 | 4,600 | 100 | 100 | 2,700 | 1,700 | 58 | 99 | 41 | 61 | 54 |
| \$1,150 to \$1,249 | 2,900 | (Z) | 200 | 1,800 | 900 | 67 | (Z) | 46 | 65 | 76 |
| \$1,250 or more. | 14,600 | 1,500 | 700 | 5,400 | 7,000 | 58 | 61 | 57 | 52 | 62 |
| Median asking rent | \$955 | \$1,250+ | \$750- | \$942 | \$1,151 | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 3 bedrooms or more | 15,100 | 400 | 3,500 | 7,700 | 3,500 | 65 | 81 | 64 | 62 | 71 |
| Less than \$750. | 2,000 | - | 900 | 1,000 | 100 | 79 | (X) | 87 | 69 | 100 |
| \$750 to \$849. | 1,100 | - | 400 | 500 | 200 | 64 | (X) | 85 | 41 | 71 |
| \$850 to \$949. | 1,400 | - | 800 | 400 | 200 | 53 | (X) | 44 | 67 | 58 |
| \$950 to \$1,049 | 1,600 | - | 300 | 800 | 500 | 64 | (X) | 46 | 66 | 75 |
| \$1,050 to \$1,149 | 1,200 | - | 200 | 700 | 300 | 61 | (X) | 48 | 67 | 54 |
| \$1,150 to \$1,249 | 1,400 | - | 300 | 1,000 | 100 | 65 | (X) | 57 | 68 | 50 |
| \$1,250 or more. | 6,400 | 400 | 600 | 3,300 | 2,200 | 65 | 81 | 57 | 59 | 73 |
| Median asking rent | \$1,166 | \$1,250+ | \$904 | \$1,195 | \$1,250+ | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |

- Represents zero. X Not applicable. Z Fewer than 50 units or less than one-half of 1 percent.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

Table 3. Unfurnished Apartments Completed and 3-Month Absorption Rate by Rent and Number of Bedrooms for the United States and Inside or Outside Metropolitan Areas: 2005
[Privately financed, nonsubsidized, unfurnished rental apartments in buildings with five units or more. Data regarding number of bedrooms and asking rent are collected at the initial interview; i.e., 3 months following completion. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding. Medians and percents are computed using unrounded data]

| Characteristic | Number of unfurnished apartments |  |  |  | Percent absorbed within 3 months |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | United States | Inside metropolitan areas |  | Outside metropolitan areas | United States | Inside metropolitan areas |  | Outside metropolitan areas |
|  |  | central city | Not in central city |  |  | $\underset{\text { central city }}{\text { In }}$ | Not in central city |  |
| Total... | 111,900 | 56,900 | 47,600 | 7,400 | 64 | 63 | 64 | 65 |
| Less than \$750 | 28,600 | 15,400 | 8,000 | 5,200 | 71 | 70 | 71 | 72 |
| \$750 to \$849 | 13,800 | 8,000 | 5,900 | 600 | 61 | 60 | 65 | 45 |
| \$850 to \$949 | 14,500 | 5,800 | 8,500 | 200 | 58 | 60 | 56 | 78 |
| \$950 to \$1,049. | 10,500 | 4,900 | 5,600 | (Z) | 63 | 62 | 64 | (Z) |
| \$1,050 to \$1,149 | 8,100 | 3,700 | 4,400 | 100 | 58 | 56 | 60 | 100 |
| \$1,150 or more | 36,500 | 19,100 | 16,000 | 1,400 | 63 | 61 | 66 | 42 |
| Median asking rent | \$943 | \$936 | \$987 | \$750- | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| No bedroom . | 3,200 | 2,200 | 900 | 100 | 66 | 63 | 73 | 67 |
| Less than \$950 | 1,800 | 1,500 | 200 | 100 | 66 | 66 | 70 | 67 |
| \$850 or more | 1,400 | 700 | 700 | - | 66 | 59 | 74 | (X) |
| Median asking rent | \$889 | \$751 | \$1,150+ | \$750- | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 1 bedroom. | 37,300 | 20,000 | 15,900 | 1,300 | 66 | 64 | 69 | 57 |
| Less than \$750 | 11,400 | 6,400 | 4,000 | 1,000 | 71 | 75 | 67 | 56 |
| \$750 to \$849 | 6,600 | 3,500 | 3,100 | - | 64 | 63 | 65 | (X) |
| \$850 to \$949 | 4,900 | 1,900 | 3,000 | - | 64 | 53 | 71 | (X) |
| \$950 to \$1,049. | 2,000 | 1,100 | 900 | - | 66 | 56 | 79 | (X) |
| \$1,050 to \$1,149 | 2,100 | 1,100 | 1,000 | - | 56 | 53 | 60 | (X) |
| \$1,150 or more | 10,300 | 6,000 | 3,900 | 300 | 66 | 61 | 73 | 60 |
| Median asking rent | \$864 | \$856 | \$880 | \$750- | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 2 bedrooms. . | 56,300 | 26,300 | 25,600 | 4,400 | 62 | 61 | 61 | 68 |
| Less than \$750 | 13,900 | 7,000 | 3,700 | 3,300 | 71 | 67 | 75 | 73 |
| \$750 to \$849 | 5,900 | 3,700 | 1,800 | 500 | 58 | 57 | 63 | 46 |
| \$850 to \$949 | 7,900 | 2,800 | 5,000 | 100 | 55 | 68 | 47 | 100 |
| \$950 to \$1,049. | 6,400 | 2,200 | 4,200 | - | 63 | 64 | 62 | (X) |
| \$1,050 to \$1,149 | 4,600 | 1,800 | 2,800 | - | 58 | 55 | 61 | (X) |
| \$1,150 to \$1,249 | 2,900 | 1,300 | 1,600 | - | 67 | 64 | 70 | (X) |
| \$1,150 or more. | 14,600 | 7,500 | 6,500 | 500 | 58 | 57 | 60 | 52 |
| Median asking rent | \$955 | \$939 | \$1,006 | \$750- | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |
| 3 bedrooms or more | 15,100 | 8,300 | 5,200 | 1,600 | 65 | 65 | 66 | 62 |
| Less than \$750 | 2,000 | 900 | 200 | 800 | 79 | 67 | 87 | 89 |
| \$750 to \$849 | 1,100 | 700 | 400 | (Z) | 64 | 59 | 74 | (Z) |
| \$850 to \$949 | 1,400 | 900 | 400 | 100 | 53 | 48 | 61 | 55 |
| \$950 to \$1,049... | 1,600 | 1,200 | 400 | (Z) | 64 | 69 | 51 | (Z) |
| \$1,050 to \$1,149 | 1,200 | 600 | 600 | 100 | 61 | 65 | 53 | 100 |
| \$1,150 to \$1,249 | 1,400 | 600 | 800 | (Z) | 65 | 62 | 66 | (Z) |
| \$1,250 or more | 6,400 | 3,400 | 2,400 | 600 | 65 | 70 | 68 | 20 |
| Median asking rent . | \$1,166 | \$1,121 | \$1,226 | \$750- | (X) | (X) | (X) | (X) |

- Represents zero. X Not applicable. Z Fewer than 50 units or less than one-half of 1 percent.

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

Table 4. Unfurnished Apartments Completed and 3-Month Absorption Rate by Amenities and Utilities for the United States: 2005
[Privately financed, nonsubsidized, unfurnished rental apartments in buildings with five units or more. Data regarding features and utilities are collected at the initial interview; i.e., 3 months following completion. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding. Percents are computed using unrounded data]

| Characteristic | Number | Percent | Percent absorbed within 3 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total .. | 111,900 | 100 | 64 |
| AMENITIES |  |  |  |
| Swimming pool |  |  |  |
| Available |  |  |  |
| Included in rent | 85,600 | 76 | 63 |
| At extra cost . | 1,500 | 1 | 37 |
| Not available. | 24,900 | 22 | 67 |
| Parking |  |  |  |
| Available |  |  |  |
| Included in rent | 104,600 | 93 | 64 |
| At extra cost. | 5,400 | 5 | 58 |
| Not available. | 2,000 | 2 | 55 |
| Air-conditioning |  |  |  |
| Available | 105,900 | 95 | 64 |
| Not available. | 6,000 | 5 | 66 |
| Dishwasher |  |  |  |
| Available . | 109,600 | 98 | 64 |
| Not available. | 2,300 | 2 | 50 |
| UTILITIES |  |  |  |
| Electricity |  |  |  |
| Included in rent | 5,700 | 5 | 59 |
| At extra cost . | 106,300 | 95 | 64 |
| Gas |  |  |  |
| Available |  |  |  |
| Included in rent | 7,000 | 6 | 72 |
| At extra cost. | 39,000 | 35 | 65 |
| Not available. | 65,900 | 59 | 62 |

[^4]
## Table 5. Condominium and Cooperative Apartments Completed and 3-Month Absorption Rate by Number of Bedrooms and Geography: 2005

[Privately financed, nonsubsidized condominium and cooperative apartments in buildings with five units or more. Data regarding number of bedrooms are collected at the initial interview; i.e., 3 months following completion. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding. Percents are computed using unrounded data]

| Characteristic | Number | Percent | Percent absorbed within 3 months |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total . | 81,800 | 100 | 75 |
| BEDROOMS |  |  |  |
| Fewer than 2 bedrooms | 14,800 | 18 | 79 |
| 2 bedrooms | 47,300 | 58 | 72 |
| 3 bedrooms or more | 19,700 | 24 | 81 |
| REGION |  |  |  |
| Northeast | 12,000 | 15 | 56 |
| Midwest | 13,000 | 16 | 60 |
| South | 39,800 | 49 | 82 |
| West | 17,100 | 21 | 84 |
| AREA |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan areas | 77,500 | 95 | 75 |
| In central city | 39,200 | 48 | 77 |
| Not in central city (suburbs). | 38,300 | 47 | 73 |
| Outside metropolitan areas | 4,300 | 5 | 76 |

Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

Table 6. Condominium Apartments Completed and 3-Month Absorption Rate by Asking Price, Number of Bedrooms, and Geography: 2005
[Privately financed, nonsubsidized condominium apartments in buildings with five units or more. Data regarding number of bedrooms and asking price are collected at the initial interview; i.e., 3 months following completion. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding. Medians and percents are computed using unrounded data]

| Characteristic | Number | Percent | Percent absorbed within 3 months | Median asking price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total..................................... . . | 80,000 | 100 | 75 | \$303,100 |
| ASKING PRICE |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$150,000 | 14,100 | 18 | 77 | (X) |
| \$150,000 to \$199,999. | 9,500 | 12 | 78 | (X) |
| \$200,000 to \$249,999. | 8,000 | 10 | 75 | (X) |
| \$250,000 to \$299,999. | 7,900 | 10 | 71 | (X) |
| \$300,000 to \$349,999. | 7,300 | 9 | 66 | (X) |
| \$350,000 or more | 33,200 | 41 | 77 | (X) |
| BEDROOMS |  |  |  |  |
| Fewer than 2 bedrooms. | 14,300 | 18 | 79 | \$281,600 |
| 2 bedrooms. | 46,200 | 58 | 72 | \$282,600 |
| 3 bedrooms or more | 19,600 | 25 | 81 | \$400,000+ |
| REGION |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast. | 11,900 | 15 | 57 | \$350,000+ |
| Midwest. | 11,900 | 15 | 59 | \$187,200 |
| South | 39,200 | 49 | 82 | \$311,200 |
| West. | 17,000 | 21 | 75 | \$291,900 |
| AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan areas. | 76,000 | 95 | 75 | \$301,500 |
| In central city . | 38,500 | 48 | 78 | \$321,800 |
| Not in central city (suburbs) | 37,500 | 47 | 73 | \$272,400 |
| Outside metropolitan areas . . . . | 4,000 | 5 | 84 | \$350,000+ |

X Not applicable.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

Table 7. Furnished Apartments Completed and 3-Month Absorption Rate by Asking Rent, Number of Bedrooms, and Geography: 2005
[Privately financed, nonsubsidized, furnished rental apartments in buildings with five units or more. Data regarding number of bedrooms and asking rent are collected at the initial interview; i.e., 3 months following completion. Details may not sum to totals because of rounding. Medians and percents are computed using unrounded data]

| Characteristic | Number | Percent | Percent absorbed within 3 months | Median asking rent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total.. | 5,400 | 100 | 85 | \$1,150+ |
| ASKING RENT |  |  |  |  |
| Less than \$950 | 1,900 | 36 | 92 | (X) |
| \$950 or more | 3,500 | 64 | 82 | (X) |
| BEDROOMS |  |  |  |  |
| Fewer than 2 bedrooms. | 1,400 | 26 | 92 | \$750- |
| 2 bedrooms or more | 4,000 | 74 | 83 | \$1,250+ |
| REGION |  |  |  |  |
| Northeast. | 1,000 | 19 | 100 | \$1,150+ |
| Midwest. | 1,000 | 18 | 73 | \$1,150+ |
| South . | 2,600 | 48 | 80 | \$849 |
| West. | 800 | 15 | 98 | \$1,150+ |
| AREA |  |  |  |  |
| Inside metropolitan areas. | 5,200 | 96 | 86 | \$1,150+ |
| In central city. | 3,700 | 69 | 82 | \$1,150+ |
| Not in central city (suburbs) | 1,500 | 27 | 96 | \$1,150+ |
| Outside metropolitan areas. | 200 | 4 | 67 | \$1,150+ |

X Not applicable.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

Table 8. Total Apartments Completed in Buildings With Five Units or More: 1970 to 2005
[Details may not sum to totals because of rounding. Percents are computed using unrounded data]


[^5]Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

Table A. Standard Errors for Estimates of Apartments in Buildings With Five Units or More: Completions in 2005

| Estimated number | Standard error |  | Estimated number | Standard error |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rental apartments | Condominium apartments |  | Rental apartments | Condominium apartments |
| 500. | 300 | 200 | 25,000 | 2,500 | 2,900 |
| 800 | 400 | 300 | 35,000 | 2,900 | 3,500 |
| 1,000 | 500 | 400 | 50,000 | 3,500 | 4,200 |
| 2,000 | 700 | 600 | 75,000 | 4,300 | 5,200 |
| 3,000 | 900 | 800 | 100,000 | 5,000 | 6,100 |
| 4,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | 150,000 | 6,100 | 7,500 |
| 5,000 | 1,100 | 1,200 | 250,000 | 7,900 | (X) |
| 10,000 | 1,600 | 1,800 | 350,000 | 9,300 | (X) |
| 15,000 | 1,900 | 2,200 | 450,000 | 10,600 | (X) |
| 20,000 | 2,200 | 2,600 | 600,000 | 12,200 | (X) |

X Not applicable.
Note: See page 5 for instructions on the use of this table.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

Table B. Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages for Rental Apartments: Completions in 2005

| Estimated percentages | 500 | 800 | 1,000 | 5,000 | 10,000 | 20,000 | 50,000 | 75,000 | 100,000 | 150,000 | 350,000 | 600,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 or 2. | 9.9 | 7.8 | 7.0 | 3.1 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| 95 or 5. | 15.4 | 12.1 | 10.9 | 4.9 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 |
| 90 or 10 | 21.1 | 16.7 | 14.9 | 6.7 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.5 | 1.2 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| 80 or 20 | 28.2 | 22.3 | 14.4 | 8.9 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 1.6 | 1.1 | 0.8 |
| 75 or 25 | 30.5 | 24.1 | 21.6 | 9.6 | 6.8 | 4.8 | 3.0 | 2.5 | 2.2 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.9 |
| 60 or 40 | 34.5 | 27.3 | 24.4 | 10.9 | 7.7 | 5.5 | 3.5 | 2.8 | 2.4 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |
| 50. | 35.2 | 27.8 | 24.9 | 11.1 | 7.9 | 5.6 | 3.5 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.3 | 1.0 |

Note: See page 5 for instructions on the use of this table.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

Table C. Standard Errors of Estimated Percentages for Condominium Apartments: Completions in 2005

| Estimated percentages | 500 | 800 | 1,000 | 3,000 | 5,000 | 10,000 | 15,000 | 25,000 | 50,000 | 75,000 | 100,000 | 150,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 or 2. | 12.5 | 9.9 | 8.9 | 5.1 | 4.0 | 2.8 | 2.3 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.7 |
| 95 or 5. | 19.5 | 15.4 | 13.8 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 |
| 90 or 10 | 26.8 | 21.2 | 19.0 | 11.0 | 8.5 | 6.0 | 4.9 | 3.8 | 2.7 | 2.2 | 1.9 | 1.5 |
| 80 or 20 | 35.8 | 28.3 | 25.3 | 14.6 | 11.3 | 8.0 | 6.5 | 5.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.1 |
| 75 or 25 | 38.7 | 30.6 | 27.4 | 15.8 | 12.2 | 5.7 | 7.1 | 5.5 | 3.9 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 2.2 |
| 60 or 40 | 43.8 | 34.6 | 31.0 | 17.9 | 13.9 | 9.8 | 8.0 | 6.2 | 4.4 | 3.6 | 3.1 | 2.5 |
| 50. | 44.7 | 35.4 | 31.6 | 18.3 | 14.1 | 10.0 | 8.2 | 6.3 | 4.5 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.6 |

Note: See page 5 for instructions on the use of this table.
Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE<br>Economics and Statistics Administation<br>U.S. Census Bureau<br>Washington, DC 20233-0001

## SOMA Data User:

The U.S. Census Bureau is contacting known users of the Survey of Market Absorption (SOMA) data to inform them that the survey may be discontinued due to funding issues and to provide users with a point of contact to express their views on the importance of the data.
The Census Bureau processes the Survey of Market Absorption under a reimbursable agreement with the Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD). The Census Bureau receives no funding of our own for this survey apart from the money received from HUD, but does consider it an essential part of knowing what is happening in the housing industry. Funding for the SOMA has become an issue. Many federal agencies, including HUD, are feeling the budget crunch and have had to make spending cuts. For FY 2007, the Census Bureau has received only half of the normal funds for the SOMA. This will allow us to complete data collection for the 2006 statistical months (complete January-December processing). Whether we receive additional funding to continue the survey remains to be seen at this time. We may not know until the fall of 2006.
We believe it would be helpful if users contacted HUD to express their needs for SOMA data. It would be of great benefit if as many data users as possible would let HUD know that the information provided by the SOMA is useful, important, and necessary. Our counterparts at HUD also consider this very important data, but they are the ones that must decide on the future of the survey. Hopefully, getting positive feedback from the industry and data users will influence the decisionmakers to continue the survey. Please be as specific as possible about the consequences of not having SOMA data in the future. Please state how it would affect your interests and usage of the data from SOMA.

To express your interest in the continuation of the survey, please send your comments to:

```
Ronald J. Sepanik
Director, Housing \& Demographic Analysis Division
Office of Policy Development \& Research
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development
451 7th Street, SW, Room 8218
Washington, DC 20410
E-mail: ronald_j._sepanik@hud.gov
202-708-1060
```

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact me.
Thanks for your support.
Howard A. Savage
Chief, Financial and Market Characteristics Branch
Housing and Household Economic Statistics Division
U. S. Census Bureau

Voice No.: 301-763-5665
E-mail: howard.a.savage@census.gov

## U S C E N S U S B U R E A U

U.S. Department of Commerce
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Details may not sum to totals because of rounding.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ See ESTIMATION section below.
    ${ }^{3}$ See the January 2005 issue of "Housing Starts," Current Construction Reports, Series C20, for details of this survey.

[^2]:    *Northeast and West median asking rent is $\$ 1,150$ or more.
    **Midwest median asking rent is $\$ 750$ or less.
    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

[^3]:    *Northeast median asking price is $\$ 350,000$ or more.

[^4]:    Source: U.S. Census Bureau, Survey of Market Absorption, 2005.

[^5]:    * Beginning with data in the second quarter of 2004, the category was expanded to include units built under the Low Income Housing Tax Credit program. Z Fewer than 50 units or less than one-half of 1 percent.
    ${ }^{1}$ Other includes time-sharing units, continuing-care retirement units, and turnkey units (privately built for and sold to local public housing authorities subsequent to completion).

