

POWC MACT Example Case Study



Susan J. Miller

Clayton Group Services

104 Tower Court

Cary, North Carolina 27513

smiller@claytongrp.com

(919) 468-0135

1

Approach

- Discuss some overall “truths”
- Look at a basic example

smiller@claytongrp.com

2

Truth #1

- **IF:** Your average coating content is less than:
 - 0.8 kg HAP / kg coating
- OR
- 4.0 kg HAP/ kg solids

smiller@claytongrp.com

3

Truth #1 (concluded)

- **THEN:** The 95 percent option is ALWAYS **more stringent** than complying with the respective content limits through a combination of controls and content.

smiller@claytongrp.com

4

Truth #2

- **IF:** Your average solids content is **greater than** 20 percent...
- **THEN:** 0.2 kg HAP / kg solids is ALWAYS the less stringent content option.

smiller@claytongrp.com

5

Truth #3

- **IF:** your average solids content is **less than** 20 percent...
- **THEN:** 0.04 kg HAP/ kg coating is ALWAYS the less stringent content option.

smiller@claytongrp.com

6

And Finally...

- **IF** your average solids content equals 20 percent
- **THEN** both content-based options are equal.

smiller@claytongrp.com

7

One last overall truth...

- Many facilities will have trouble demonstrating that they have a PTE.
[This may be okay.]
- You do not have to demonstrate a capture efficiency (if using solvent recovery)

smiller@claytongrp.com

8

Case Study 1- Background

- Facility XYZ has current HAP emissions of over 25 tons/year.
- Facility has 1 line, using three different coatings

smiller@claytongrp.com

9

Some caveats

- Example uses 3 coatings
 - Facilities will likely have more
 - Contents are not real coatings
 - Providing entire coating, not components
- Only using 3 months of data
- Ignoring solvent recovery or other control

smiller@claytongrp.com

10

Where does coating information come from?

- Material Safety Data Sheets
- Other source: certified product data sheet
- Data Hierarchy
 - Method 311 (HAP)
 - Method 24 (VOC, solids)
 - Formulation data

smiller@claytongrp.com

11

12% HAP / 100 = 0.12 HAP/coating

The Coatings

Coating	% HAP	% VOC	% Solids	Type	HAP/coating
A	12%	20%	80%		0.12
B	40%	80%	20%		0.40
C	3%	30%	10%	aqueous	0.03

% HAP = lb HAP / lb coating * 100

Divide by 100 to compare to 0.04 lb HAP / lb coating limit

NOTE: Exactly the same as kg HAP / kg coating

smiller@claytongr

12

Calculating "per unit solids"

Percent
HAP

Percent
solids

$$\frac{\text{lb HAP}}{\text{lb coating}} \times 100 \div \frac{\text{lb solids}}{\text{lb coating}} \times 100 =$$

$$\frac{\text{lb HAP}}{\cancel{\text{lb coating}}} * \frac{\cancel{\text{lb coating}}}{\text{lb solids}} = \frac{\text{lb HAP}}{\text{lb solids}}$$

smiller@claytongrp.com 13

The Coatings

Coating	% HAP	% VOC	% Solids	Type	HAP/solids
A	12%	20%	80%		0.15
B	40%	80%	20%		2.00
C	3%	30%	10%	aqueous	0.30

12%
HAP

/

80%
Solids

=

0.15
HAP/solids


smiller@claytongrp.com 14

Compare to the Limits

Coating	HAP/ coating	Meets MACT? (0.04)	HAP/ solids	Meets MACT? (0.20)
A	0.12	N	0.15	Y
B	0.40	N	2.00	N
C	0.03	Y	0.30	N

smiller@claytongrp.com 15

Which Option Would
 • Work Best for this
 Facility?



16

Things to Consider

- How much of each coating will be used?
- Is averaging an option?
 - Definitely!
 - Actually the only option (other than material substitution)

smiller@claytongrp.com 17

3 Months of Data

Month	Line	Material Code	Usage (lb)
Jan-02	1	A	600
Jan-02	1	B	250
Jan-02	1	C	300
Feb-02	1	A	1000
Feb-02	1	B	90
Feb-02	1	C	800
Mar-02	1	A	1000
Mar-02	1	B	400
Mar-02	1	C	600

smiller@claytongrp.com 18

To Calculate Values

$$\text{Monthly Coating Usage (lbs)} * \text{Percent of \{HAP, VOC, Solids\}} = \text{Monthly Usage of \{HAP, VOC, solids\} (lbs)}$$

smiller@claytongrp.com

19

3 Months of Data

Month	Material Code	Usage (lb)	Lb HAP	Lb VOC	Lb Solids
Jan-02	A	600	72	120	480
Jan-02	B	250	100	200	50
Jan-02	C	300	9	90	30
Feb-02	A	1000	120	200	800
Feb-02	B	90	36	72	18
Feb-02	C	800	24	240	80
Mar-02	A	800	96	160	600
Mar-02	B	250	100	200	50
Mar-02	C	300	9	90	30

Usage of A \times HAP content for A (Slide 14) = Lb of HAP

[600] \times [12 %] = [72]

smiller@claytongrp.com

20

Lb HAP/ Lb Coating

Month	Mat'l	Usage (lb)	Lb HAP	Lb VOC	Lb Solids	0.04	0.2
						Lb HAP/lb Coating	Lb HAP/lb solids
Jan-02	A	600	72	120	480	0.12	0.15
Jan-02	B	250	100	200	50	0.40	2.00
Jan-02	C	300	9	90	30	0.03	0.30
Feb-02	A	1000	120	200	800	0.12	0.15
Feb-02	B	90	36	72	18	0.40	2.00
Feb-02	C	800	24	240	80	0	0
Lb of HAP		1000	120	200	800	0	0
Lb of HAP / Lb of Coating		0	0	0	0	0	0
[72] / [600]						[0.12]	

smiller@claytongrp.com

21

Lb HAP/ Lb Solids

						0.04	0.2
Month	Mat'l	Usage (lb)	Lb HAP	Lb VOC	Lb Solids	lb HAP/lb Coating	lb HAP/lb solids
Jan-02	A	600	72	120	480	0.12	0.15
Jan-02	B	250	100	200	50	0.40	2.00
Jan-02	C	300	9	90	30	0.03	0.30
Feb-02	A	1000	120	200	800	0.12	0.15
Feb-02	B	90	36	72	18	0.40	2.00
Feb-02	C	800	24	240	80	0.03	0.30
Lb of HAP		1000	120	200	800	0	0
Lb of Solids		0	0	0	0	0	0
		/				=	
			[480]				[0.15]

sn [72] pp. [0.15]

Same Results as Slide 15

						0.04	0.2
Month	Mat'l	Usage (lb)	Lb HAP	Lb VOC	Lb Solids	lb HAP/lb Coating	lb HAP/lb solids
Jan-02	A	600	72	120	480	0.12	0.15
Jan-02	B	250	100	200	50	0.40	2.00
Jan-02	C	300	9	90	30	0.03	0.30
Feb-02	A	1000	120	200	800	0.12	0.15
Feb-02	B	90	36	72	18	0.40	2.00
Feb-02	C	800	24	240	80	0.03	0.30
Mar-02	A	1000	120	200	800	0.12	0.15
Mar-02	B	400	160	320	80	0.40	2.00
Mar-02	C	600	18	180	60	0.03	0.30

smiller@claytongrp.com

23

Summing All Coatings by Month

Month	Mat'l	Usage (lb)	Lb HAP	Lb VOC	Lb Solids
Jan-02	A	600	72	120	480
Jan-02	B	250	100	200	50
Jan-02	C	300	9	90	30

Month	Total Coating	Total HAP	Total VOC	Total solids
Jan-02	1150	181	410	560
Feb-02	1890	180	512	898
Mar-02	2000	298	700	940

smiller@claytongrp.com

24

Comparing to MACT Limits

Month	Total Coating	Total HAP	Total VOC	Total solids	Lb HAP/ Lb coating	Lb HAP/ Lb solids
Jan-02	1150	181	410	560	0.157	0.323
Feb-02	1890	180	512	898	0.095	0.200
Mar-02	2000	298	700	940	0.149	0.317

Only one month is in compliance for all coatings averaged together.

smiller@claytongrp.com

25

Percent of Standard

Month	Lb HAP/ Lb coating	Lb HAP/ Lb solids	Average solids	% Standard (coating)	% Standard (solids)
Jan-02	0.157	0.323	49%	393%	162%
Feb-02	0.095	0.200	48%	238%	100%
Mar-02	0.149	0.317	47%	373%	159%

e.g., 0.157 / 0.04 * 100 = 393%

e.g., 0.317 / 0.20 * 100 = 159%

smiller@claytongrp.com

26

Looking Back at our Truth #2

Month	Lb HAP/ Lb coating	Lb HAP/ Lb solids	Average solids	% Standard (coating)	% Standard (solids)
Jan-02	0.157	0.323	49%	393%	→ 162%
Feb-02	0.095	0.200	48%	238%	→ 100%
Mar-02	0.149	0.317	47%	373%	→ 159%

Solids contents are all over 20% and lb/lb solids is always a lower % of standard

27

What would you do next?

- Consider ability to adjust coating usage
 - Different coatings
 - Different relative amounts
- Consider solvent recovery
[Even a little can be a lot!]

smiller@claytongrp.com

28

To Wrap Things Up

- There are multiple compliance options under this rule
- The “best” depends on many factors
- Need to evaluate specific operations

smiller@claytongrp.com

29
