U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

)

)

)

)

)

)

))

)

ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, et al., Plaintiffs. v. GALE A. NORTON, Secretary of the Interior, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 1:96CV01285 (Judge Lamberth)

RECEIVED

2003 JUN 19 PM 6: 30

NANCY M.

MAYER-WHITTINGTON

CLERK

INTERIOR DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO FILE OPPOSITION TO INTERIOR DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISOUALIFY SPECIAL MASTER BALARAN

Interior Defendants oppose Plaintiffs' Motion for Enlargement of Time To File Opposition to Interior Defendants' Motion to Disgualify Special Master Balaran ("Motion for Enlargement"). The Motion for Enlargement should be denied.

On May 29, 2003, Therior Defendants filed a Motion to Disgualify Special Master Balaran. Under LCvR 7.1(b), Plaintiffs' opposition was due on June 12,2003. On that date, Plaintiffs filed their Motion for Enlargement. The Court did not grant, or otherwise rule on Plaintiffs' Motion for Enlargement as of June 12, 2003, and Plaintiffs did not file any opposition to Interior Defendants' Motion to Disgualify. Any opposition is now untimely.

If the Court nevertheless chooses to consider the Motion for Enlargement, it should be denied because Plaintiffs do not demonstrate good cause for the open-ended enlargement of time they have requested here and Defendants will be prejudiced by Plaintiffs' delay. Plaintiffs ask for permission to file an opposition fourteen days after proposed findings and conclusions are due for Trial 1.5, or fourteen days after the last day of Trial 1.5 if no proposed findings and

conclusions are ordered. Motion for Enlargement at 1. Although a brief enlargement of time may have been appropriate under the circumstances, Plaintiffs do not indicate why they need such a large amount of time.

In addition, if the matters addressed in the Motion to Disqualify are not quickly decided, Interior Defendants will suffer further prejudice. Special Master Balaran has not suspended his activities pending resolution of the Motion to Disqualify. Indeed, he has announced his intention to open entirely new investigations. <u>See</u> Letter of June 5, 2003 from Special Master Balaran to Amalia Kessler (attached as Exhibit A).

The delay in the briefing of this matter sought by Plaintiffs is unwarranted and will harm Interior Defendants. The Motion for Enlargement should be denied.

Dated: June 19,2003

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT D. McCALLUM, JR. Assistant Attorney General STUART E. SCHIFFER Deputy Assistant Attorney General J. CHRISTOPHER KOHN

Director

SANDRA P. SPOONER Deputy Director D.C. Bar No. 261495 JOHN T. STEMPLEWICZ Senior Trial Attorney PHILLIP M. SELIGMAN Commercial Litigation Branch Civil Division P.O. Box 875 Ben Franklin Station Washington, D.C. 20044-0875 (202) 514-7194

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, <u>et al.</u> ,)
Plaintiffs,)
v.	
GALE NORTON, Secretary of the Interior, et al.,)
Defendants.) _) _)

Case No. 1:96CV01285 (Judge Lamberth)

ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiffs' Motion for Enlargement of Time To

File Opposition to Interior Defendants' Motion to Disqualify Special Master Balaran. Upon

consideration of the Motion, the responses thereto, and the record in this case, it is hereby

ORDERED that Plaintiffs' Motion is DENIED.

SO ORDERED.

Date:

ROYCE C. LAMBERTH United States District Judge Sandra P. Spooner John T. Stemplewicz Commercial Litigation Branch Civil Division **P.O.** Box 875 Ben Franklin Station

Washington, **D.C.** 20044-0875 Fax (202) 514-9163

Mark Kester Brown, Esq. Dennis M. Gingold, Esq. 607 - 14th Street, NW Box 6 Washington, DC 20005 Fax (202) 318-2372

Keith Harper, Esq. Native American Rights Fund 1712N Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20036-2976 Fax (202) 822-0068

Elliott Levitas, Esq. 1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800 Atlanta, GA 30309-4530

Alan L. Balaran, Esq. Special Master 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. 13th Floor Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 986-8477

Earl Old Person (*Prose*) Blackfeet Tribe P.O. Box 850 Browning, MT 59417 (**406**) 338-7530

cc:

LAW OFFICE ALAN L. BALARAN, P.L.L.C. ADMITTED IN DC AND MD

1717 PENNSYLVANIA AVE., N.W. THIRTEENTH FLOOR WASHINGTON, D.C. 20006 TELEPHONE (202) 466-5010 FAX (202) 986-5477 E-MAJL 2021/2210@erok.com

June 5.2003

VIA FACSIMILE

Amalia Kessler UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE Civil Division Commercial Litigation Branch P. O.Box 875 Ben Franklin Station Washington, DC 20044-0875

RE:Cobell v. Norton Civil Action No. 96-1285Audit of the Minerals Management Service AuditOffices (No. 2003-I-0023) March 2003

Dear Ms. Kessler:

In March 2003, rhe Department of the Interior Office of the Inspector General ("OIG") issued its Audit of the Minerals Management Service Audit Offices ("MMS Audit Repon"). (An electronic copy of the MMS Audit Report will be transmitted for your review.) The stated objective of that report "was to determine whether MMS" internal quality control system provides reasonable assurance that MMS audits are performed in accordance with established policies, procedures, and the Government Auditing Srandards (Srandards)." See Memorandum from Anne Richards, Regional Audit Manager, Central Region to the Assistant Secretary for Land and Minerals Management.

Since MMS is responsible for the annual collection of \$6 billion in royalties and fees for minerals produced from federal, urbal and allotted lands, I became concerned upon reading a section of the MMS Audit entitled "Professionalism," where the OIG reported that it selected for review an audit involving Navajo Indian leases. According to the MMS Audit Report,

[w]hen MMS officials could not locate this audit file, instead of informing [the OIG] of that fact, they recreated and backdated the working papers. The recreated papers were dared to when MMS believed the work had been clone rather than when the replacement working papers were actually created.

MMS Audit Report at 8. The OIG also reported that MMS "then granted a cash award, citing "creativity," to the auditor who reconstructed the working papers." Id. At 8.

The MMS Audit Report mentions two other instances of missing files pertaining to Indian leases; a statistical possibility that working papers for as many as 62 audits are missing; the existence of "incomplete tiles" for the audits performed by the same employees responsible for recreating and backdating the Navajo leases file; and 30 "incomplete sets" of files (lacking working papers or master indices). Id. at 9.'

Aside from the violation of Court orders implicated by the loss of Navajo leasing files containing trust information, MMS failed to inform the Court, the plaintiffs (or, I suspect, the Navajo allottees) that trust documentation was missing and/or that files containing IIM information were "incomplete."? Instead, MMS auditors "recreated" and "backdated" the records in an attempt to deceive the OIG. And one was awarded a cash bonus for his duplicity. Beyond this, trust information missing from these incomplete files and work papers are germane to the underlying litigation and thus discoverable by plaintiffs. Given the findings of the OIG, plaintiffs can not determine whether documents produced by the agency are "originals" or "recreations" generated by "creative" employees awaiting cash bonuses.

I am confident that had the OIG nor uncovered this problem in the course of performing its audit, the loss of the Navajo trust information would not have come to light. I am therefore informing you of **my** intention to investigate MMS' leasing files to determine whether individual Indian trust information is properly maintained and safeguarded.

Thank you

Sincerely,

Alan L. Balaran SPECIAL MASTER

Electronic attachment cc: Dennis Gingold, Esq. (w/attachment)

¹ These figures were based on statistical and judgment samples and not an exhaustive review of each file. <u>Id.</u> at **S-9**.

 $^{^{2}}$ As the MMS Audit Report is dated March 2003, I suspect that the agency was aware that trust documentation was missing at the time the audit was undertaken in 2001.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury that, on June 19,2003 I served the foregoing Interior Defendants' Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Enlargement of Time to File Opposition to Interior Defendants' Motion to Disqualify Special Master Balaran by facsimile in accordance with their written request of October 31,2001 upon:

Keith Harper, Esq. Native American Rights Fund 1712 N Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036-2976 (202) 822-0068

Per the Court's Order of April 17,2003, by facsimile and by U.S. Mail upon:

Earl Old Person (*Prose*) Blackfeet Tribe P.O. Box 850 Browning, MT 59417 (406) 338-7530

By facsimile and U.S. Mail:

Alan L. Balaran, Esq. Special Master 1717 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. 13th Floor Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 986-8477 Dennis M Gingold, Esq. Mark Kester Brown, Esq. 607 - 14th Street, NW Box 6 Washington, D.C. 20005 (202) **3**18-2372

By U.S. Mail upon:

Elliott Levitas, Esq 1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800 Atlanta, GA 30309-4530

Kevin P. Kingston