

Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Geochemical Characterization of Mine Waste, Mine Drainage, and Stream Sediments at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont



Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5303

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

 Cover.
 Top left—Gaging station at the headwaters of Pike Hill Brook downstream from the Eureka and Union mines.

 Top right—Eureka and Union mines drainage.
 Center—Blue and white precipitates on the wall of the Eureka mine adit.

 Bottom left—Mine-waste piles at the Eureka and Union mines.
 Bottom right—Seeps and ferricrete deposits at the base of the Eureka and Union mines waste piles.

Geochemical Characterization of Mine Waste, Mine Drainage, and Stream Sediments at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont

By Nadine M. Piatak, Robert R. Seal II, Jane M. Hammarstrom, Richard G. Kiah, Jeffrey R. Deacon, Monique Adams, Michael W. Anthony, Paul H. Briggs, and John C. Jackson

Prepared in cooperation with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Scientific Investigations Report 2006–5303

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

U.S. Department of the Interior

DIRK KEMPTHORNE, Secretary

U.S. Geological Survey

Mark D. Myers, Director

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia: 2007

For product and ordering information: World Wide Web: http://www.usgs.gov/pubprod Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS

For more information on the USGS--the Federal source for science about the Earth, its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment: World Wide Web: http://www.usgs.gov Telephone: 1-888-ASK-USGS

Any use of trade, product, or firm names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this report is in the public domain, permission must be secured from the individual copyright owners to reproduce any copyrighted materials contained within this report.

Suggested citation:

Piatak, N.M., Seal, R.R., II, Hammarstrom, J.M., Kiah, R.G., Deacon, J.R., Adams, M., Anthony, M.W., Briggs, P.H., and Jackson, J.C., 2006, Geochemical characterization of mine waste, mine drainage, and stream sediments at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5303, 120 p.

Contents

Abstract	1
Introduction	2
Site Information	2
Previous Studies	2
Objectives	5
Geologic Setting	5
Methods	5
Mine Waste	5
Sampling	5
Mineralogy	5
Bulk Geochemical Composition	6
Acid-Base Accounting	6
Leachate Chemistry	6
Water Sampling and Analysis	6
Stream-Sediment Sampling and Analysis	7
Sample Descriptions and Locations	7
Mine-Waste Samples	7
Water and Stream-Sediment Samples	14
Results and Discussion	20
Mine Waste	20
Mineralogy	20
Sulfates and Sulfides	23
Iron Oxides	24
Bulk Geochemistry	24
Acid-Base Accounting	28
Leachate Chemistry	30
Mine Drainage and Regional Surface Waters	33
Background Waters	33
Seeps and Mine Pools	37
Surface-Mine Drainage	38
Downstream Waters and Mine-Drainage Effects	38
Comparison with Elizabeth and Ely Surface Waters	39
Stream Sediments	40
Mineralogy	40
Bulk Geochemistry	42
Conclusions	45
Acknowledgments	46
References Cited	46
Appendix 1. Bulk geochemistry of mine waste from the Pike Hill mines study area	52
Appendix 2. Analytical results of leachate tests on solid samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	
,	

Appendix 3.	Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with water and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill mines study	65
Appendix 4.	Field measurements and concentrations of elements in water samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	86
Appendix 5.	Concentrations of elements in stream sediment from the Pike Hill mines study area	118

Figures

1–3.	Ma	ps showing:	
	1.	Regional locations of the Pike Hill Copper Mine, Ely Copper Mine, and the Elizabeth Mine	2
	2.	Locations of water and stream-sediment sampling sites at the Pike Hill mines study area	3
	3.	Locations of composite and grab solid-sample sites, water sampling sites, shafts, open cuts, underground workings, and mine-waste piles at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site	4
4–9.	Pho	tographs showing:	
	4.	Sampling sites at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site	12
	5.	Sampling sites at the Eureka and Union mines at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site	13
	6.	Sampling sites at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site	15
	7.	Sampling sites at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site	16
	8.	Water-quality sampling sites at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site	16
	9.	Water-quality sampling sites at the Pike Hill mines study area	19
10–24.	Gra	phs showing:	
	10.	Relative weight percentages of minerals in mine waste from the Pike Hill mines study area	20
	11.	Effects on leachate pH of repeatedly washing with deionized water three mine-waste samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	24
	12.	Concentrations of iron and copper in mine-waste and soil samples collected from the Pike Hill mines study area	26
	13.	Concentrations of cadmium and zinc in mine-waste and soil samples collected from the Pike Hill mines study area	27
	14.	Concentrations of selenium and sulfur in mine-waste and soil samples collected from the Pike Hill mines study area	28
	15.	Acid-base accounting results for mine-waste and soil samples from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with samples from the historic mine- waste piles at the Elizabeth mine and mine waste from the Elv mine	30
	16.	Concentrations of dissolved metal in leachate as a function of pH for samples from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with leachate from the historic mine-waste piles at the Elizabeth mine and mine waste from	
		the Ely mine	32

17.	Dissolved concentrations of iron and aluminum and pH for waters from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with surface waters from the Elizabeth and Ely mines	36
18.	Dissolved concentrations of copper, zinc, and cadmium and pH for waters from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with surface waters from the Elizabeth and Ely mines	36
19.	Dissolved concentrations of sulfate and pH for waters from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with surface waters from the Elizabeth and Ely mines	37
20.	Ratio of ferrous to total dissolved iron and pH in water samples from the Pike Hill mines study area3	38
21.	Downstream variations in the concentrations of aluminum, iron, sulfate, and pH in Pike Hill Brook and the Waits River, October 2004 and August 2005	39
22.	Downstream variations in the concentrations of copper, zinc, cadmium, and pH in Pike Hill Brook and the Waits River, October 2004 and August 20054	40
23.	Relative weight percentages of minerals in stream sediments from the Pike Hill mines study area4	ł2
24.	Concentrations of cadmium, copper, selenium, and zinc in stream sediments collected from the Pike Hill mines study area4	4

Tables

1.	Sample descriptions for mine-waste and solid-grab samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	8
2.	Locations and Munsell soil color for select solid samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	11
3.	Locations of water and stream-sediment samples collected from the Pike Hill mines study area	17
4.	Estimates of the amounts of minerals in mine-waste samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	21
5.	Mineralogy of mine waste and grab samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	23
6.	Concentrations of select elements in mine-waste and soil samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	25
7.	Paste pH and acid-base accounting results for mine-waste and soil samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	29
8.	Select analytical results of leachate tests on mine-waste and soil samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	31
9.	Dissolved concentrations of select elements in water samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	34
10.	Estimates of the amounts of minerals in stream-sediment samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	41
11.	Concentration of select elements in stream-sediment samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	43

Multiply	Ву	To obtain
	Length	
centimeter (cm)	0.3937	inch (in.)
millimeter (mm)	0.03937	inch (in.)
meter (m)	3.281	foot (ft)
kilometer (km)	0.6214	mile (mi)
kilometer (km)	0.5400	mile, nautical (nmi)
	Area	
hectare (ha)	2.471	acre
square kilometer (km ²)	247.1	acre
square meter (m ²)	10.76	square foot (ft ²)
hectare (ha)	0.003861	square mile (mi ²)
square kilometer (km ²)	0.3861	square mile (mi ²)
	Volume	
liter (L)	2.113	pint (pt)
liter (L)	1.057	quart (qt)
liter (L)	0.2642	gallon (gal)
	Flow rate	
cubic meter per second (m ³ /s)	35.31	cubic foot per second (ft ³ /s)
	Mass	
gram (g)	0.03527	ounce, avoirdupois (oz)
kilogram (kg)	2.205	pound avoirdupois (lb)
metric ton per day	1.102	ton per day (ton/d)

Conversion Factors, Datums, and Abbreviations

Temperature in degrees Celsius (°C) may be converted to degrees Fahrenheit (°F) as follows:

°F=(1.8×°C)+32

Horizontal coordinate information is referenced to the insert datum name (and abbreviation) here, for instance, "North American Datum of 1983 (NAD 83)"

Specific conductance is given in microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius (μ S/cm at 25°C).

Concentrations of chemical constituents in water are given either in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or micrograms per liter (μ g/L).

Abbreviations

- ABA Acid-base account
- AP Acid-generating potential
- $\mathsf{BC}-\mathsf{Below}\ \mathsf{confluence}$
- DI Deionized water
- DO Dissolved oxygen
- $\mathsf{DOC}-\mathsf{Dissolved}\ \mathsf{organic}\ \mathsf{carbon}$
- ESP Eastern United States precipitation
- HG-AAS Continuous-flow hydride-generation atomic adsorption spectrometry
- IC Ion chromatography
- ICP-AES or AES Inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry
- ICP-MS or MS Inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry
- NIST National Institute of Standards and Technology
- NPL National Priorities List
- NP Neutralizing potential
- NNP Net-neutralization potential
- **ORP** Oxidation-reduction potential
- PRG Preliminary Remediation Goals
- SD Stream sediment
- USEPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- VTDEC Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation
- XRD X-ray diffraction analysis

This page is intentionally blank.

Geochemical Characterization of Mine Waste, Mine Drainage, and Stream Sediments at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont

By Nadine M. Piatak, Robert R. Seal II, Jane M. Hammarstrom, Richard G. Kiah, Jeffrey R. Deacon, Monique Adams, Michael W. Anthony, Paul H. Briggs, and John C. Jackson

Abstract

The Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site in the Vermont copper belt consists of the abandoned Smith, Eureka, and Union mines, all of which exploited Besshi-type massive sulfide deposits. The site was listed on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) National Priorities List in 2004 due to aquatic ecosystem impacts. This study was intended to be a precursor to a formal remedial investigation by the USEPA, and it focused on the characterization of mine waste, mine drainage, and stream sediments. A related study investigated the effects of the mine drainage on downstream surface waters. The potential for mine waste and drainage to have an adverse impact on aquatic ecosystems, on drinking-water supplies, and to human health was assessed on the basis of mineralogy, chemical concentrations, acid generation, and potential for metals to be leached from mine waste and soils. The results were compared to those from analyses of other Vermont copper belt Superfund sites, the Elizabeth Mine and Ely Copper Mine, to evaluate if the waste material at the Pike Hill Copper Mine was sufficiently similar to that of the other mine sites that USEPA can streamline the evaluation of remediation technologies. Mine-waste samples consisted of oxidized and unoxidized sulfidic ore and waste rock, and flotation-mill tailings. These samples contained as much as 16 weight percent sulfides that included chalcopyrite, pyrite, pyrrhotite, and sphalerite. During oxidation, sulfides weather and may release potentially toxic trace elements and may produce acid. In addition, soluble efflorescent sulfate salts were identified at the mines; during rain events, the dissolution of these salts contributes acid and metals to receiving waters. Mine waste contained concentrations of cadmium, copper, and iron that exceeded USEPA Preliminary Remediation Goals. The concentrations of selenium in mine waste were higher than the average composition of eastern United States soils. Most mine waste was potentially acid generating because of paste-pH values of less than 4 and negative net-neutralization potentials (NNP). The processed flotation-mill tailings, however, had a near neutral paste pH, positive NNP, and a few weight percent calcite. Leachate tests indicated that elements

and compounds such as Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, Se, SO_4 , and Zn were leached from mine waste in concentrations that exceeded aquatic ecosystem and drinking-water standards. Mine waste from the Pike Hill mines was chemically and mineralogically similar to that from the Elizabeth and Ely mines. In addition, metals were leached and acid was produced from mine waste from the Pike Hill mines in comparable concentrations to those from the Elizabeth and Ely mines, although the host rock of the Pike Hill deposits contains significant amounts of carbonate minerals and, thus, a greater acid-neutralizing capacity when compared to the host rocks of the Elizabeth and Ely deposits.

Water samples collected from unimpacted parts of the Waits River watershed generally contained lower amounts of metals compared to water samples from mine drainage, were alkaline, and had a neutral pH, which was likely because of calcareous bedrock. Seeps and mine pools at the mine site had acidic to neutral pH, ranged from oxic to anoxic, and generally contained concentrations of metals, for example, aluminum, cadmium, copper, iron, and zinc, that exceeded aquatic toxicity standards or drinking-water standards, or both. Surface waters directly downstream of the Eureka and Union mines were acidic, as indicated by pH values from 3.1 to 4.2, and contained high concentrations of some elements including as much as 11,400 micrograms per liter (µg/L) Al, as much as 22.9 μ g/L Cd, as much as 6,790 μ g/L Cu, as much as 23,300 μ g/L Fe, as much as 1,400 μ g/L Mn, and as much as 3,570 µg/L Zn. The concentrations of these elements exceeded water-quality guidelines. Generally, in surface waters, the pH increased and the concentrations of these elements decreased downstream from the mines. The stream sediments also contained concentrations of trace elements such as cadmium, copper, selenium, and zinc that exceeded toxicity standards for aquatic life. The highest concentrations of metals were found directly downstream of the Eureka and Union mines, and concentrations decreased with increasing distance from the mines. The concentrations of metals in mine drainage and in stream sediments at the Pike Hill Superfund site were comparable to those found at the Elizabeth and Ely mines.

Introduction

Site Information

The Pike Hill Copper Mine was placed on the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) National Priorities List (NPL) in July of 2004 due to the impacts of mininggenerated contaminants on nearby aquatic ecosystems. The Elizabeth Mine and the Ely Copper Mine also are listed on the NPL because of aquatic ecosystem and human-health impacts. All three abandoned mine sites worked Besshi-type massive sulfide deposits and are in the Vermont copper belt (fig. 1). This report focuses on the mines at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site, which includes the Smith (also known as Bicknell), Eureka (also known as Corinth), and Union mines. The Eureka and Union mines, each of which is larger than the Smith mine, are near the top and northeast slope of Pike Hill near the town of Corinth (figs. 2 and 3).

72°30' 72°15 QUEBEC Pike Hill Copper VT Mine Waits NY Rive NH Corinth 44°00 MA **Ely Copper** Schoolhouse Brook Mine West West Branch Fairlee Ompompanoosuc River East Branch Ompompanoosuc Orange Co. South River Windsor Co. Strafford X Elizabeth Vermont Mine copper belt NEW HANDE 43°45' West Hartford[®] Connecticut \odot Hanover 0 5 miles kilometers 0 10 ●,Lebanon

Figure 1. Regional locations of the Pike Hill Copper Mine, Ely Copper Mine, and the Elizabeth Mine. Modified from McKinstry and Mikkola (1954) and White and Eric (1944).

They are located approximately 300 meters (m) apart and are generally considered to be a single impacted landscape. The smaller Smith mine is on the southern flank of the hill (fig. 3). The entire site encompasses about 87.5 hectares and contains approximately 18,000 metric tons of mill and mine dumps that contain an average of 1.6 percent copper (USEPA, 2004a). The underground mines operated intermittently between 1847 and 1919, and this was the only site in the Vermont copper belt where magnetic ore separation was successful. The mines contributed an estimated 3,900,000 kilograms (kg) of copper, which constitutes about 6 percent of the known production from this copper belt (Kierstead, 2001). Past mining activity is evident by open mine cuts, trenches, shafts, adits, waste-rock piles including piles of mill tailings, and the foundations and remnants of an ore cobbing house, a blacksmith shop, the flotation/magnetic separation mill, and a tramway. The mine site landscape is a combination of barren open areas and patches of acid-tolerant deciduous and coniferous trees.

The northeast slope of Pike Hill Copper Mine, which contains most of the mine waste and the physical features associated with the Union and Eureka mines, is drained by a single small stream, which is the headwater to Pike Hill Brook (fig. 2). Pike Hill Brook has a stream reach of approximately 7 kilometers (km) and it enters a series of natural wetlands approximately 3.5 km downstream from the mine site; the outflow from the wetlands travels another 3 km before it enters the Waits River. Surface and ground waters from the area impacted by the Smith mine drain into a small unnamed tributary that flows south for approximately 1.6 km before it enters Cookville Brook (fig. 2). Approximately 4.5 km from this confluence, Cookville Brook and its tributaries form the South Branch of the Waits River. The South Branch then joins the main branch of the Waits River approximately 8 km downstream. The Waits River eventually flows into the Connecticut River. Both of these rivers are used for recreational purposes and contain fisheries.

Previous Studies

Several preliminary geochemical investigations were made in the Pike Hill area. Slack and others (1984) found detectable gold in several stream-sediment samples from watersheds surrounding the mines. In addition, Slack and others (1990) reported anomalous concentrations of cobalt, copper, and zinc in stream sediments from drainages near the site. The massive sulfide ore was found to contain high Cd, Co, Cu, Mn, Se, and Zn (Slack and others, 2001). The leaves of several birch species were found to contain anomalous concentrations of several metals including copper and zinc, and airborne spectroradiometric data indicated anomalous spectral signatures for forest canopy surrounding the Eureka and Union mines (Power and Milton, 1990).







Figure 3. Locations of composite and grab solid-sample sites, water sampling sites, shafts, open cuts, underground workings, and mine-waste piles at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site. Modified from White and Eric (1944).

Thesis projects by two students from Middlebury College in Vermont included the geochemical and mineralogical analysis of waste-rock material (Wierscinski, 1999) and ironoxide precipitates (Totten, 1999). Macroinvertebrate and fish populations in surface waters downstream of the site have been significantly impaired because of acidic mine drainage (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2004a).

Objectives

The USEPA requested that the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) conduct a preliminary study of mine waste, mine drainage, and stream sediments at the Pike Hill mines to provide a foundation for the design of a formal remedial investigation at the site. This study focused on mine waste, mine-drainage environments, and stream sediments. A companion study assessed the current water-quality conditions and element loads in and around the Pike Hill Brook watershed with an emphasis on the downstream effects of the mine drainage on biological organisms (Kiah and others, 2007).

This report describes the type of waste piles and their mineralogy, chemical composition, and acid-generating potential to clarify the role of the mine waste as a potential source of contamination. Leachate tests were also done to determine the potential mobility of various chemical constituents in runoff from individual waste piles. Initial characterization of the mine waste was reported in Piatak and others (2006a). The preliminary results of sequential extraction experiments for selenium on several mine-waste samples and a stream-sediment sample from Pike Hill were described in Piatak and others (2006b).

This report also characterizes the geochemistry of various mine-drainage environments and compares element and acid content with guidelines for aquatic ecosystems and drinkingwater standards to understand the aqueous geochemical environments present in the area. The mineralogy and chemical composition of stream sediments in the impacted watershed were determined to provide information about the potential for adverse impacts on the aquatic ecosystem. Elemental content in the sediments was then compared to sediment-quality standards for freshwater ecosystems. The results from this study were then compared to similar studies of the Elizabeth and Ely mines.

Geologic Setting

The Vermont copper belt includes the Elizabeth, Ely, and Pike Hill mines, as well as several smaller mines and prospects (fig. 1). The massive sulfide deposits in the Vermont copper belt were likely formed on an ancient seafloor by submarine hydrothermal-exhalative and replacement processes (Slack and others, 1993). The deposits are stratabound and generally stratiform, and they contain massive ores composed of pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, and minor sphalerite and pyrite within metasedimentary and minor mafic metavolcanic rocks of Silurian and Devonian age. The massive sulfides are generally closely associated with amphibolite. The deposits and host rocks were deformed during several stages of folding and amphibolitegrade metamorphism (Slack and others, 1993).

The Pike Hill mines exploited a sheetlike ore zone that strikes a few degrees west of north and dips about 30° east (White and Eric, 1944). The deposits are in the Silurian Waits River Formation, which consists of calcareous pelite, pelite, minor quartzose metalimestone and metadolostone, and sparse calcite marble. In contrast, the Elizabeth and Ely deposits occur in the younger (Early Devonian) Gile Mountain Formation, which is characterized by siliciclastic rocks including graphitic pelite and quartzose granofels and lesser micaceous quartzite, calcareous pelite, hornblende schist, and amphibolite (Slack and others, 1993). The host rock of the Pike Hill deposits contains significant amounts of carbonate minerals, and, thus, has a greater acid-neutralizing capacity than the host rocks of the Elizabeth and Ely deposits.

Methods

Mine Waste

Sampling

Mine-waste piles at the Pike Hill mines site were divided on the basis of waste type, color, and geographic location. Eleven composites of soil-sized waste-pile material were collected having a minimum of 30-equal sample increments over a measured area divided into a stratified grid. Surface composites of background and downslope soils consisted of three-to-ten increments. Increments were homogenized, stored in plastic bags, air dried, and dry-sieved through a 10-mesh sieve so that the less than 2 millimeter (mm) soil-size fraction was collected, and then the material was split into aliquots by fractional shoveling (Pitard, 1993). The composite-sample protocol was developed by the USGS for the rapid screening and characterization of historical mine-waste piles (Smith and others, 2002). Samples of ferricrete and hardpan were also air-dried, sieved to less than 2 mm, and split. Grab samples collected for mineral-identification purposes were either stored in plastic bags or sealed plastic vials until analysis.

Mineralogy

X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) was used by USGS laboratories in Reston, Va., to identify minerals. XRD was done on samples pulverized in alcohol for 3 minutes in a McCrone micronizer equipped with agate grinding pellets. The powder patterns were collected with a Scintag X1 automated powder diffractometer equipped with a Peltier detector having CuK α radiation. The XRD patterns were analyzed with the use of Material Data Inc.'s JADE software and standard reference patterns. The relative amount of phases in each pattern was estimated with the Siroquant computer program, which utilizes the full XRD profile in a Rietveld refinement (Taylor and Clapp, 1992). The accuracy of the Siroquant results is approximately \pm 5 weight percent.

Evidence for readily soluble salts was evaluated by filtering mine-waste leachates (see below) that had been evaporated to dryness. In addition, 2.0 grams (g) of mine waste was mixed with 40 milliliters (mL) of deionized water (DI), shaken, and processed in a centrifuge. Water was then decanted, and the pH was measured. The procedure was repeated with fresh DI each day for 8 days. The decanted waters were evaporated. Leachate evaporates from both experiments were evaluated with the use of XRD.

Bulk Geochemical Composition

The bulk chemical composition of solid mine-waste samples was determined with the use of inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES) and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) following acid-digestion of the sample with a mixture of HCl-HNO₃-HClO₄-HF (Briggs, 2002a; Briggs and Meier, 2002). These analyses were conducted at USGS laboratories in Denver, Colo. For interpretation purposes, the ICP-AES results were used for the major elements (e.g., Al, Ca, Fe), whereas ICP-MS results were used for relevant trace elements such as As, Cd, Co, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, and Zn, although all of the results are reported in the appendices. The samples were digested with a mixture of HNO₃-HF-HClO₄ and analyzed for selenium with the use of continuous-flow hydride-generation atomic absorption spectrometry (HG-AAS) by SGS Laboratories (formerly XRAL) in Toronto, Canada (Hageman and others, 2002). The USGS job numbers and laboratory-sample numbers for entry into the National Geochemical Database (Smith, 2002) are listed in Appendices 1 to 5 for mine waste, leachates, reference waters and field-blank samples, waters, and stream sediments, respectively. Certified National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) reference materials were analyzed to evaluate accuracy and precision.

Acid-Base Accounting

Acid-base account (ABA) was originally designed to estimate the inherent capacity of coal-mine waste to produce or to neutralize acid (Sobek and others, 1978). Currently, this method is used to evaluate metal-mine waste as well as coal-mine waste, and it typically includes the determination of paste pH, which is a quick measure of relative acid generation or acid neutralization (White and others, 1999). The pastepH method was based on Price and others (1997) and was determined in USGS laboratories in Reston, Va., and in the Vizon SciTec, Inc., laboratories in Vancouver, British Columbia. ABA is numerically quantified as the net-neutralization potential (NNP), which is the difference between the neutralization potential (NP) and the acid-generating potential (AP), and it was determined by Vizon SciTec, Inc. with the use of a combination of the Sobek method to determine NP and the modified Sobek method to determine AP (Sobek and others, 1978; White and others, 1999). The AP, NP, and NNP were reported as kilograms of $CaCO_3$ per metric ton of mine waste. For this study, NNP of less than 0 and paste pH of less than 4.0 were considered potentially acid generating (Sobek and others, 1978).

Leachate Chemistry

A modification of the field-leach test developed by Hageman and Briggs (2000) was done on splits of the samples in USGS laboratories in Reston, Va. A split of the less than 2-mm size fraction was used because this represents the most chemically reactive material in weathered mine waste (Hageman and Briggs, 2000). This size fraction choice may slightly overestimate the leachability of the waste material, but it did not appear to miss any readily leachable phases (Smith and others, 2002). The sample was combined with a solution that approximates eastern United States precipitation (ESP) at a solution-to-sample ratio of 20:1 (mass basis) to provide an indication of the potential chemical composition of runoff. A mixture of sulfuric acid and nitric acid was added to deionized water to adjust the pH to 4.2 ± 0.1 (USEPA, 1994). The mixtures were shaken for 5 minutes, and, after 24 hours, the pH, specific conductance, dissolved oxygen (DO), temperature, and oxidation-reduction potential (ORP) were measured on unfiltered splits. The leachates were then filtered through 0.45-micrometer (µm) pore-size nitrocellulose filters and analyzed for cations by ICP-AES and ICP-MS and for the anions chloride, fluoride, nitrate, and sulfate by ion chromatography (IC) in USGS laboratories in Denver, Colo. The ICP-AES, ICP-MS, and IC analyses were done according to USGS methods outlined in Lamothe and others (2002), Briggs (2002b), and Theodorakos and others (2002). The ICP-AES results were used for major elements, the ICP-MS were used for trace elements, and the IC results were used for anions. Concentrations of dissolved total iron and ferrous iron were determined with the use of colorimetric kits containing 1, 10 phenanthroline indicator on a Hach DR/2000 spectrophotometer.

Water Sampling and Analysis

Field methods for aqueous samples were based on those described by Ficklin and Mosier (1999). Samples were collected in 1-liter high-density polyethylene bottles that were rinsed twice with sample water prior to collection. Splits were filtered through 0.45 µm pore-size nitrocellulose filters. Filtered and raw splits, which were acidified with ultra-pure nitric acid and stored in high-density polyethylene bottles that were acid-washed with 10 percent HCl, were analyzed for

dissolved and total acid soluble cations, respectively. Filtered and unacidified aliquots for anion and alkalinity analysis were stored in high-density polyethylene bottles that were not acid-washed and were refrigerated until they were analyzed. Unacidified splits for dissolved organic carbon (DOC) were filtered, stored in precombusted glass vials, and frozen upon return to the laboratory.

Water temperature, pH, specific conductance, DO, ORP, dissolved ferrous iron, and dissolved total iron were measured on site at the time of sample collection. The pH was measured with the use of an Orion 290A meter fitted with an Ag/AgCl epoxy electrode and temperature probe; specific conductance was measured with an Orion 135 specific conductance meter. DO was analyzed with the use of Chemetrix high-range ampoules, and ORP was determined with the use of an Orion 290A meter fitted with an ORP triode electrode (model 91-79) and calculated in reference to Orion ORP standard 967901. Concentrations of dissolved total iron and ferrous iron were determined with the use of colorimetric kits containing 1, 10 phenanthroline indicator on a Hach DR/2000 spectrophotometer. Alkalinity samples were analyzed by Gran titration with 0.18 N H_xSO_x.

Water samples were analyzed for cations by ICP-AES and ICP-MS and for the anions chloride, fluoride, nitrate, and sulfate by IC in USGS laboratories in Denver, Colo. The ICP-AES, ICP-MS, and IC analyses were performed according to USGS methods outlined in Lamothe and others (2002), Briggs (2002b), and Theodorakos and others (2002). The ICP-AES results were used for the interpretation of major elements, ICP-MS was used for trace elements, and IC was used for anions. Concentrations of DOC were determined by high temperature combustion and measurement of evolved CO_2 by infrared detections in the Marine Chemistry Laboratory at the University of Washington (Hedges and Stern, 1984).

Stream-Sediment Sampling and Analysis

Stream-sediment samples were composites of at least 30-sample increments, and they were wet-sieved in the field to remove material coarser than 2 mm. Homogenized samples were then air dried and dry-sieved to less than 80 mesh or the 177- μ m fraction (Peacock and others, 2002). Minerals were identified by use of XRD as described above for mine-waste samples. The relative amounts of phases were determined with the Siroquant computer program by the procedure outlined previously for mine-waste samples.

The bulk chemical composition of the stream-sediment samples was determined with the use of ICP-AES and ICP-MS following acid-digestion of the samples with a mixture of HNO_3 -HCl-HClO₄-HF; this analysis was done by SGS Laboratories, Toronto, Canada. An aliquot of the digested sample was aspirated into the ICP-AES and ICP-MS, and optimal elements from each were determined. The samples also were analyzed for selenium with the use of HG-AAS after digestion of the samples with a mixture of HNO₃-HF-HClO₄; this was conducted by SGS Laboratories (Hageman and others, 2002).

Sample Descriptions and Locations

Mine-Waste Samples

Sampling site locations are shown on figure 3, and sample descriptions and sampling site locations are listed in tables 1 and 2. Three mine-waste composites and several other samples were collected from the Smith mine. Composite sample 04Smith1 was collected below the organic layer from the uppermost waste pile (fig. 4A). Sample 04Smith1-1 of weathered sulfidic ore coated with efflorescent sulfate salts was collected from this uppermost waste pile (fig. 4B). In addition, sample 04Smith1-2 was collected from jarositerich soil on the slopes of this waste dump. Composite sample 04Smith2 was collected from the waste pile that was near the Smith mine adit (fig. 4C). It consisted of sandy soil and oxidized ore boulders, and it contained less organic material (leaves and plants) than sample 04Smith1. The last composite mine-waste sample, 04Smith3, from the Smith mine was collected from the lowermost dump downslope of the adit; the soil from this dump was sandy and locally contained clots of jarosite and oxidized ore boulders (fig. 4D). Sample 04Smith4 from a hardpan layer below the organic layer was collected approximately 23 m downslope from the toe of the waste piles (fig. 4E). In addition, a 3-increment composite, sample 04Smith5, of a brown soil horizon beneath the leaf litter was collected in this area (fig. 3). Two composite background-soil samples, 04Smith6 and 04Smith7, were collected at locations that were not impacted by mining (fig. 3).

Most of the solid samples collected for this study were collected from the landscape surrounding the Eureka and Union mines near the top of Pike Hill (fig. 3; table 1). Composite sample 04PKHL1 was collected from the waste piles adjacent to the open cuts at the top of Pike Hill (fig. 4F). This area was barren and had boulders that locally contained clots of jarosite. Sample 04PKHL2 was a composite collected from a mine-waste pile approximately 10-m wide that contained a mound of ore, which is shown by an arrow in figure 5A. Sample 04PKHL2-1of oxidized sulfidic ore also was collected in the same area. The series of north-south trending waste piles uphill of the main Eureka mine adit from which sample 04PKHL3 was compiled is shown in figure 5B. Yellowish-green coatings on rock chips were collected as sample 04PKHL3-1. Water sampling site PKHL-1 was located at the main Eureka mine adit (fig. 5C). At this adit, samples 04PKHL4-C and -D were collected from blue and white amorphous coatings on the wet rock face (fig. 5D) and samples 04PKHL4-A, ochre floc (fig. 5E), and 04PKHL4-E, tan precipitate, were both collected just above the waterline on the rock face. Sample 04PKHL4-B was collected from ochre stalactites in the adit entryway, and sample 04PKHL4-F was collected from host rock from the adit wall.

ea.	
/ ar	
(pn	
s st	
ine	
E	
Ē	
ike	
lе Р	
n th	
ror	
est	
npl	,
sar	,
ab'	
l-d	
olic	;
s pr	;
e ar	ŧ
aste	,
Ň	,
ine	
E E	(
s fo	
ion	
ript	(
esc	
e d£	
nple	
Sar	
-	
ě	
0	

Table 1. Samp	le description	ns for mine-waste	and solid-g	Irab samples from the Pike Hill mines study area	
[cm, centimeters; n	n, meters; °C, de	egrees Celsius; Dup, f	ield replicate	e sample]	
Sample number	Mine	Sample type	Date	Location	Description
04Smith1	Smith	Mine-dump composite	10/19/04	Uppermost dump at the Smith mine. Adjacent to a small pond (water site CKBK-4).	Olive-yellow sandy soil with weathering sulfidic ore. Covered with leaves and organic material.
04Smith1-1	Smith	Rock	10/19/04	Location same as above.	Weathered sulfidic ore with coating of white salts.
04Smith 1-2	Smith	Mineral	10/19/04	Location same as above.	Sample of locally developed yellow soil on slopes of waste dump.
04Smith2	Smith	Mine-dump composite	10/19/04	Middle dump near main Smith mine adit (water site CKBK-5).	Olive-yellow sandy soil and oxidized ore boulders. More mine waste, less jarosite, and less organic material than noted for 04Smith1.
04Smith3	Smith	Mine-dump composite	10/19/04	Lowermost dump below main Smith mine adit.	Olive-yellow sandy soil and oxidized ore boulders, locally clots of jarosite.
04Smith4	Smith	Hardpan	10/19/04	Swampy area with ferns in woods 23 m down slope from toe of lowermost mine-waste dump.	Ochre hardpan below yellow jarositic layer. Hardpan encountered at 5-8 cm below organic debris horizon.
04Smith5	Smith	Soil	10/19/04	Series of holes dug at 30 m, 46 m, and 61 m downslope from lowermost mine-waste dump. Location given for 30 m hole.	Strong-brown soil samples 2.5-15 cm below organic horizon. Composite sample of this horizon from three holes. Note that O-layer thickness increases from 10 cm at 30 m downslope to 20 cm at 46 m downslope to 30 cm at 61 m downslope.
04Smith6	Smith	Soil	10/19/04	Background soil sample (water site CKBK-2).	Upslope background-soil sample away from mining-impacted area.
04Smith7	Smith	Soil	10/19/04	Background soil sample (water site CKBK-2).	Upslope background-soil sample away from mining-impacted area.
04PKHL1	Eureka	Mine-dump composite	10/20/04	Mine-waste dump adjacent to open cuts at top of Pike Hill.	Barren, sandy, brownish-yellow, mine-waste dump. No boulders; scattered pieces of weathered ore and country rock. No water. No erosion gullies. Clots of jarosite in soil. Part of pile drains to the west.
04PKHL2	Eureka	Mine-dump composite	10/20/04	Mine waste along all-terrain-vehicle trail just down slope from 04PKHL1. Mine waste spread along 10 m of hillside with ore mound at northeast end.	Same character as 04PKHL1 but locally contains an ore pile. More cobbles and boulders, and drains to the east. Ore is fresh.
04PKHL2-1	Eureka	Rock	10/20/04	Location same as above.	Oxidized sulfidic ore from small ore pile.

Geochemical Characterization of the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont 8

[cm. centimeters: r	n. meters: °C. de£	rees Celsius: Dup. fi	ield replicate	sample]	
Sample number	Mine	Sample type	Date	Location	Description
04PKHL3	Eureka	Mine-dump composite	10/20/04	Series of north-south trending mine-waste piles just above main Eureka mine adit.	Sandy yellow soil with jarosite clots. Many fist-size cobbles of oxidized ore and country rock. Yellow-green coating on some pebbles. No fresh ore. Some shallow erosion gullies indicative of surface runoff.
04PKHL3-1 04PKHL4-A 04PKHI 4-B	Eureka Eureka Fureka	Mineral Mineral Mineral	10/20/04 10/20/04 10/20/04	Location same as above. Main Eureka mine adit. Location same as above.	Rock chips with yellow-green coating. Ochre floc in mine pool at adit. Ochre stalactites growing from drins along adit entryway.
04PKHL4-C 04PKHL4-D	Eureka Eureka	Mineral Mineral	10/20/04 10/20/04	Location same as above. Location same as above.	Bright blue precipitate on wet rock face at adit. White precipitate on wet rock face at adit.
04PKHL4-E 04PKHL4-F	Eureka Eureka	Mineral Mineral	10/20/04 10/20/04	Location same as above. Location same as above.	Tan floc just above waterline of adit pool. Chips of host rock to white precipitate.
04PKHL5-A	Union	Mineral	10/20/04	Adit in wooded area north of Eureka main adit. Western-most adit for the Union mine.	White foam floating on top of mine pool. Note that white material forms a paint-like stripe of precipitate closest to the wallrock and adjacent to a blue stripe of precipitate. White precipitate also lines the bottom of the pool.
04PKHL5-B	Union	Mineral	10/20/04	Location same as above.	Thick blue precipitate coating organic material along decline to adit pool.
04PKHL5-C 04PKHL6	Union Union	Mineral Mineral	10/20/04 10/20/04	Location same as above. Adit in wooded area adjacent to long, narrow engineered haulageway. Remnants of brick structure on site.	Vial of pure blue precipitate. Starburst sprays of delicate white gypsum crystals growing from adit ceiling. No other salts observed.
04PKHL7	Eureka and Union	Mine-dump composite	10/20/04	Large unvegetated area of mine-waste dumps below the Eureka and Union mine adits and above the road and foundation of a terraced building (possibly the flotation mill plant).	Sandy, brownish-yellow, mine-waste soil with cobbles and locally, boulders up to 0.5 m across of weathering ore. Note deep erosion gullies on dump surfaces are much more pronounced than on dumps at higher elevations.
04PKHL8	Eureka	Mineral	10/20/04	Adit directly above the Eureka adit.	Bright blue salts growing on sprays of white gypsum on adit ceiling.
04PKHL9	Eureka and Union	Mine-dump composite	10/20/04	Partly burned flotation-tailings pile above the mine road.	Locally red where burned. Deep gullies expose black tailings. Top of pile is about 18 m across and the pile rises about 15 m above the road. Depth to bedrock less than 1 m in gullies.

Table 1. Sample descriptions for mine-waste and solid-grab samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.—Continued

Sample Descriptions and Locations

Table 1. Sample descriptions for mine-waste and solid-grab samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.—Continued

[cm, centimeters; m, meters; $^\circ C,$ degrees Celsius; Dup, field replicate sample]

Sample number	Mine	Sample type	Date	Location	Description
04PKHL9-A	Eureka and Union	Tailings	10/20/04	Partly burned flotation-tailings pile above the mine road.	Red, hematite-rich tailings.
04PKHL9-B	Eureka and Union	Tailings	10/20/04	Location same as above.	Gray tailings dug from gully area.
04PKHL9-C	Eureka and Union	Tailings	10/20/04	Location same as above.	Jarosite-rich material above gray tailings.
04PKHL10	Eureka and Union	Mine-dump composite	10/20/04	Tailings piles below the road. Note material from pile 04PKHL9 present in road and drapes over slope below the road to just above 04PKHL10.	Sandy, quartz-rich processed flotation-mill tailings in several piles. No vegetation or ore.
04PKHL11	Eureka and Union	Mine-dump composite	10/20/04	Large area of barren mine waste below the mine access road	Mine-waste dumps with local concentrations of weathering ore boulders and cobbles. Efflorescent sulfate salts observed.
05PKHL11-Dup	Eureka and Union	Mine-dump composite	8/3/05	Large area of barren mine waste below the mine access road. Replicate.	Mine-waste dumps with local concentrations of weathering ore boulders and cobbles. Efflorescent sulfate salts observed.
04PKHL11-A	Eureka and Union	Tailings	10/20/04	Location same as above.	Local concentration of dark-gray flotation tailings.
04PKHL11-1 snowballs	Eureka and Union	Rock	10/20/04	Location same as above.	Weathered ore cobbles coated with white salts on mine-waste dump. Air temperature recorded as 8.8° C and relative humidity as 59.4 percent.
04PKHL12	Eureka and Union	Ferricrete	10/20/04	Seep area below mine-waste piles 04PKHL11 (water site PKHL-7).	Flat ferricrete terrace forming at seeps from base of mine-waste pile. Ferricrete is semi-hard, incorporates leaves. Note Ulothrix in seeps.
04PKHL13	Eureka and Union	Mine-dump composite	10/20/04	Lowermost mine-waste piles at Pike Hill.	Mine-waste piles with clots of yellow jarosite, cobbles and boulders of weathered ore and country rock.
04PKHL13-A	Eureka and Union	Rock	10/20/04	Location same as above.	Thin, yellow-green coatings locally developed on rock chips on mine waste in dry seep area at base of large dump.
04PKHL13-B	Eureka and Union	Ferricrete	10/20/04	Location same as above.	Ferricrete developed in dry seep area at base of lowermost large mine-waste dump.
04PKHL14	Eureka and Union	Mineral	10/20/04	Mine waste below the mine access road.	Jarosite-rich clot in mine waste.

	ll.com.M	Munsell soil								
Sample number	munsen soil color	color number	Latitude 1	Longitude 1	Latitude 2	Longitude 2	Latitude 3	Longitude 3	Latitude 4	Longitude 4
04Smith1	Olive yellow	2.5Y 6/6	44.054694	-72.305528	44.054722	-72.305722	44.054861	-72.305778	44.054889	-72.305500
04Smith2	Olive yellow	2.5 6/6	44.054528	-72.305639	44.054528	-72.305472	44.054472	-72.305528	44.054528	-72.305667
04Smith3	Olive yellow	2.5 6/6	44.054639	-72.305167	44.054556	-72.305028	44.054583	-72.304944	44.054722	-72.304722
04Smith4	Dark yellowish brown	10YR 4/4	44.054639	-72.304528						
04Smith 5	Strong brown	7.5YR 4/6	44.054556	-72.304417						
04Smith6	Yellowish brown	10YR 5/4	44.057217	-72.303336						
04Smith7	Yellowish brown	10YR 5/6	44.056972	-72.303442						
04PKHL1	Brownish yellow	10YR 6/8	44.060611	-72.308222	44.060500	-72.308972	44.060833	-72.308583	44.060889	-72.308139
04PKHL2	Yellow	2.5Y 7/6	44.060889	-72.308139	44.061028	-72.308056	44.060972	-72.307972		
04PKHL3	Yellow	2.5Y 7/6	44.061806	-72.307417	44.061444	-72.307556	44.061639	-72.307889	44.061750	-72.307917
04PKHL4-A thru F	n.d.	n.d.	44.061944	-72.307806						
04PKHL5-A thru C	n.d.	n.d.	44.062583	-72.307917						
04PKHL6	n.d.	n.d.	44.062972	-72.307806						
04PKHL7	Brownish yellow	10YR 6/8	44.062583	-72.307056	44.062694	-72.306694	44.062583	-72.306500	44.062389	-72.307000
04PKHL8	n.d.	n.d.	44.061194	-72.307861						
04PKHL9	Yellowish brown	10YR 5/6	44.062583	-72.305194	44.062500	-72.305583	44.062583	-72.305667	44.062722	-72.305611
04PKHL9-A	Red	10R 4/6	n.d.	n.d.						
04PKHL9-B	Gray	6/N	n.d.	n.d.						
04PKHL9-C	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.						
04PKHL10	Brown	7.5YR 5/4	44.062917	-72.305917	44.063111	-72.305778	44.062806	-72.305250	44.062750	-72.305306
04PKHL11	Yellow	2.5Y 7/6	44.063528	-72.305111	44.063889	-72.305278	44.064056	-72.304694	44.063639	-72.304472
05PKHL11-Dup	Yellow	10YR 7/8	44.063528	-72.305111	44.063889	-72.305278	44.064056	-72.304694	44.063639	-72.304472
04PKHL11-A	Dark gray	2.5Y 4/1	n.d.	n.d.						
04PKHL11-1	n.d.	n.d.	44.064056	-72.304694						
04PKHL12	Strong brown	7.5YR 4/6	44.063750	-72.304417						
04PKHL13	Yellow	2.5Y 7/6	44.063861	-72.303944	44.063944	-72.303333	44.063806	-72.303333	44.063694	-72.303722
04PKHL13-A	n.d.	n.d.	44.063944	-72.303333						
04PKHL13-B	Strong brown	7.5YR 4/6	44.063944	-72.303333						
04PKHL14	n.d.	n.d.	44.063450	-72.304192						

[n.d., not determined; latitude and longitude in decimal degrees and referenced to WGS 84, corners of sampling grid (1 to 4) given for composites; Dup, field replicate sample] Table 2. Locations and Munsell soil color for select solid samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.



Figure 4. Sampling sites at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site. *A.* Site of composite mine-waste sample 04Smith1. Ponded water is water sampling site CKBK-4. *B.* Sample 04Smith1-1 was collected from white salts on weathered ore. *C.* Site of composite mine-waste sample 04Smith2. *D.* Site of composite mine-waste sample 04Smith3. *E.* Site of hardpan sample 04Smith4. *F.* Site of composite mine-waste sample 04Smith1. (Sample and site numbers are shown in white boxes.)



Figure 5. Sampling sites at the Eureka and Union mines at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site. **A.** Site of composite mine-waste sample 04PKHL2. The arrow indicates fresh sulfidic ore. **B.** Site of composite mine-waste sample 04PKHL3. **C.** The main Eureka mine adit, where water site PKHL-1 was located. **D.** Samples 04PKHL4-C of blue precipitate and 04PKHL4-D of white precipitate were collected from the wall of the Eureka mine adit. **E.** Sample 04PKHL4-A was collected from ochre floc just above waterline of the Eureka adit mine pool. **F.** Samples 04PKHL5-A of white foam, 04PKHL5-B of blue precipitate, and 04PKHL5-C of blue precipitate were collected from the adit. Water sampling site PKHL-4 was located at the mine pool. (Sample and site numbers are shown in white boxes.)

Water samples for site PKHL-4 and several solid grab samples were collected from an adit, which likely had been part of the Union mine workings, north of the Eureka mine adit (fig. 5F). Sample 04PKHL5-A was collected from white, foamy material that was floating on the surface and coating the bottom of the mine pool, and samples 04PKHL5-B and -C were collected from blue precipitate-coated rock at the entrance to the adit (fig. 5F); organic materials and white precipitate-coated rock also were present. To the northeast, sample 04PKHL6 was collected from starburst sprays of delicate white gypsum crystals coating the ceiling of an adit in a wooded area (fig. 3). A composite mine-waste sample, 04PKHL7, was collected from the unvegetated area downslope of the Eureka and Union mine workings and upslope of the mine access road (figs. 3 and 6A). These waste piles had deep, eroded gullies and contained cobbles and boulders of weathered ore as much as 0.5 m in diameter. Sample 04PKHL8 was collected from bright blue, efflorescent salts grown on gypsum sprays (fig. 6B). Samples collected upslope from the mine access road included composite sample 04PKHL9, from the partially burned flotation-mill tailings (fig. 6C), and grab samples 04PKHL9-A of the red burnt material, sample 04PKHL9-B of gray material that was exposed in erosional gullies (fig. 6D), and sample 04PKHL9-C of jarositic soil above the gray material (fig. 6D). In 1993, the Corinth Fire Department was informed that smoke was emanating from the mine. Spontaneous oxidation and combustion of reactive sulfides apparently caused this section of mine waste to smolder (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2004a).

Downslope from the mine access road, sandy, quartzrich, processed flotation-mill tailings are distinctive visually in contrast to the surrounding mine-waste piles (fig. 6*E*); composite sample 04PKHL10 was collected from this material. A large area of barren mine waste below the mine access road was sampled as composite 04PKHL11 (fig. 6F), and it was resampled as a field replicate in 2005 as 05PKHL11-Dup. Weathering sulfidic ore boulders and cobbles were observed, and sample 04PKHL11-1 was collected from some that had efflorescent sulfate salts. Nearby, sample 04PKHL11-A was collected from a localized concentration of dark-gray flotation tailings (fig. 7A). Water sampling sites PKHL-7 and PKHL-8 were located at two seeps that emerged from the base of waste pile 04PKHL11, and sample 04PKHL12 was collected from the ferricrete terrace forming around these seeps (fig. 7B). Composite mine-waste sample 04PKHL13 was collected from the lowermost waste piles at Pike Hill (fig. 7C). These waste piles contained cobbles and boulders of weathered ore and country rock and clots of jarosite; jarositic clots were collected as sample 04PKHL14. Sample 04PKHL13-A from rocks with thin yellowish-green coatings (fig. 7D) and sample 04PKHL13-B of a ferricrete were collected from a dry seep at the base of the lowermost mine dump.

Water and Stream-Sediment Samples

Mine-drainage environments were characterized by the collection and analysis of samples of surface-mine drainage, mine pools, seeps, downstream waters, and background waters. These samples were collected in October 2004 and August 2005 (figs. 2 and 3; table 3). In conjunction with this study, a regional watershed study that was conducted from October 2004 to December 2005 assessed the hydrology and quality of surface waters in and around the Pike Hill Brook watershed (Kiah and others, 2007). During that study, synoptic water-quality samples were collected at 10 sites in November 2004, June 2005, and August 2005 and at 9 sites in April 2005. In addition, monthly water-quality samples and continuous data for streamflow, water temperature, pH, and specific conductance were collected at several of these sites from December 2004 to September 2006.

The August 2005 synoptic sampling results of 10 watershed samples collected during the regional assessment (Kiah and others, 2007) are included in this report. These samples are designated with the Water Resources Discipline station number given in table 3 ("0113...") followed by "Aug." Stream-sediment samples also were collected and are designated with the Water Resources Discipline station number ("0113...") followed by "-SD" (table 3).

Five water sites and one stream-sediment site were sampled from the Smith mine area (figs. 2 and 3). Waters from this mine drain into an unnamed tributary to Cookville Brook. Site CKBK-1, which includes water samples CKBK-1-2 and 01139940Aug and stream-sediment sample 01139940-SD, was located in this unnamed tributary downstream of the impacted area (fig. 2). An acidic seep downslope of the mine site enters the tributary, and water samples CKBK-2-2 and CKBK-2-3 were collected from that site (fig. 8A). In the mixing zone of the seep with the tributary, white precipitate coated the streambed. The tributary upstream of the Smith mine was chosen for the site of background samples CKBK-3-2, collected in October 2004, and CKBK-3-3, collected in August 2005 (fig. 8B). Site CKBK-4 was ponded water located in a shallow pit at the site (fig. 4A) and site CKBK-5 was the minepool water at the Smith mine adit (fig. 8C).

Samples of surface, seep, and mine-pool waters and stream sediments were collected from areas directly impacted by the Eureka and Union mines. As shown in figure 3, mine-pool waters included water from the Eureka mine adit where site PKHL-1 was located (fig. 5*C*), perched mine-pool water from an adit on the western edge of the Union mine where site PKHL-4 was located (fig. 5*F*), and mine-pool water from the Union mine haulageway where site PKHL-5 was located.



Figure 6. Sampling sites at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site. *A.* Site of composite mine-waste sample 04PKHL7. *B.* Sample 04PKHL8 was collected from blue chalcanthite and white gypsum crystals on the ceiling of an adit near the main Eureka mine adit. *C.* Composite sample 04PKHL9 was collected from partly burned tailing. *D.* Sample 04PKHL9-B was collected from gray material exposed in a gully. The overlying yellow layer was the site of sample 04PKHL9-C. *E.* Site of composite processed tailings sample 04PKHL10. *F.* Site of composite mine-waste sample 04PKHL11. (Sample numbers are shown in white boxes.)



Figure 7. Sampling sites at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site. A. Darkgray unoxidized flotationmill tailings where sample 04PKHL11-A was collected. **B.** Water sampling sites PKHL-7 and PKHL-8 were located at the seeps at the base of waste pile 04PKHL11. Sample 04PKHL12 was collected from a ferricrete deposit. Composite sample 04PKHL13 was collected from the lowermost mine-waste piles. D. Sample 04PKHL13-A was collected from yellowish-green coatings on dry seep ferricrete. (Sample and site numbers are shown in white boxes.)

Figure 8. Waterquality sampling sites at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site. A. Site CKBK-2 was a seep to unnamed tributary to Cookville Brook. **B.** A background-water sample was collected upstream of Smith mine at site CKBK-3. C. Site CKBK-5 was the Smith mine adit, where mine-pool water was collected underneath the collapsed roof. D. Site PKHL-9 was the Eureka and Union mines drainage. (Site numbers are shown in white boxes.)



Table 3. Lo	Discipline: WR	nter and strear D Water Resou	n-sediment samples co	ollected from t	he Pike Hill min no sample was co	es study area. אופריפווי	
Water site	Water site	Station	Stream-sediment			[paparo	
number (GD)	number (WRD) ¹	number (WRD)	sample number (GD)	Latitude	Longitude	Water type	Location description
CKBK-1	10	01139940	01139940-SD	44.046000	-72.298400	Downstream	Unnamed tributary to Cookville Brook. Downstream of impacted area.
CKBK-2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.053800	-72.302850	surface Seep	Seep entering unnamed tributary to Cookville Brook from west side at
CKBK-3	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.056817	-72.303833	Background	base of bend in stream. Aluminum precipitate near Smith mine. Headwaters of unnamed tributary to Cookville Brook upstream of
CKBK-4	n.a.	n.a.	п.а.	44.054800	-72.305750	Surface mine drainage	Smith mine at road. Smith mine stagnant ponded water north of main shaft. Abundant leaf litter and bacterial film.
CKBK-5	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.054567	-72.305700	Mine pool	Mine pool water at Smith mine adit.
PKHL-1	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.061933	-72.307750	Mine pool	Eureka main adit mine pool.
PKHL-2	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.063917	-72.303067	Surface mine drainage	Headwaters of Pike Hill Brook downstream of Pike Hill mines, downstream of waste pile, on edge of wooded area.
PKHL-4	n.a.	n.a.	п.а.	44.062733	-72.307833	Mine pool	Perched Union mine pool, top of Union mine ore body, just north of Eureka mine adit. White and green precipitate seeping from hill next to stope. Pool has thick white precipitate on bottom, frothy white on
PKHL-5	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.063433	-72.307217	Mine pool	top. Union mine haulageway. Bluish white precipitate on bottom, water is
PKHL-6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.063933	-72.305667	Surface mine	clear. Stream draining Union mine haulageway area, just prior to infiltrating
PKHL-7	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.063783	-72.304500	uramage Seep	lower waste plies. Seep 1 at base of lower mine waste dump, northwestern-most seep.
PKHL-8	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.063717	-72.304450	Seep	Seep 2 at base of lower mine waste dump, seep between seep 1 and
PKHL-9	n.a.	n.a.	п.а.	44.063650	-72.304383	Surface mine drainage	surface flow. Surface water from Eureka mine area downstream of lower mine dump adjacent to seeps.
PKHL-10	-	01139830	01139830-SD	44.063889	-72.299100	Surface mine drainage	Pike Hill Brook above Richardson Road. Drainage from Eureka and Unions mines upstream from first clean tributary. Eighteen meters upstream of confluence with neutral "clean" stream (PKHL-11) where white precipitates form. Orange precipitate on rocks. Field duplicates of water samples and lab replicate of stream sediment analyzed for chemistry.

Water site number (GD)	Water site number (WRD) ¹	Station number (WRD)	Stream-sediment sample number (GD)	Latitude	Longitude	Water type	Location description
n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	01139830-SD-BC	44.063889	-72.301944	Downstream surface	Pike Hill Brook several meters downstream of confluence with first clean tributary where white and orange floc precipitates.
PKHL-11	7	011398302	011398302-SD	44.064867	-72.301600	Background	Clean tributary to Pike Hill Brook at Richardson Road. Flows into
PKHL-12	4	01139833	01139833-SD	44.057667	-72.280900	Downstream	Pike Hill Brook at Pike Hill Road, West Crossing. Downstream from
PKHL-13	S	01139838	01139838-SD	44.053333	-72.253333	surtace Downstream	contluence with unimpacted tributary. Pike Hill Brook at bridge on Pike Hill Road between wetlands.
PKHL-14	9	01139839	01139839-SD	44.054867	-72.245117	surtace Downstream	Pike Hill Brook downstream of wetlands at Miller Road.
PKHL-15	8	01139826	01139826-SD	44.077283	-72.259667	surtace Background	Waits River upstream of confluence with Pike Hill Brook at Rt. 25
PKHL-16	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	44.063667	-72.292694	Downstream	Pridge crossing, near school. Pike Hill Brook downstream of PKHL-10 and PKHL-11 confluence where read crosses the brook 1 control between DKHI 10 and
17 IN 17	2	2	2	CCC810 11	026226 66	Bookeround	WIELE LOAD CLOSES HIE PLOOR. LOCATED DELWEET I NILL-10 AUD WR 1139832.
01139832	a. 3	01139832	01139832-SD	44.062500	-72.288611	Downstream	Pike Hill Brook at Carpenter Place.
01139840	Ζ	01139840	01139840-SD	44.062222	-72.235000	surface Downstream	Pike Hill Brook at mouth.
01139841	6	01139841	01139841-SD	44.055278	-72.221667	surtace Downstream surface	Waits River at Village Road downstream of confluence with Pike Hill Brook.
¹ WRD site num suffix "-3" or " <i>i</i>	ther used in Kial Aug" for sample	h and others (200 s collected in Au	7). Water-sample numbei igust 2005. Stream-sedime	rs are the Geolog nt samples are de	gic Discipline site esignated with th	e number with the e suffix "-SD" and	suffix "-2" for samples collected in October 2004 or with the the Water Resources Discipline station number.

As discussed above, samples from white and blue precipitates were collected from the perched Union mine adit, and samples were not collected from bluish-white precipitate that coated the bottom of the Union mine haulageway. Downhill from the mine access road, water samples PKHL-7-2, PIKHL-7-3 and PKHL-8-2 were collected from two seeps that emanated from the base of the mine-waste dump where solid sample 04PKHL11 was collected (figs. 2, 3, and 7B). Seep PKHL-8 was dry during the second sampling in August 2005. Surfacewater site PKHL-6 was located at a stream that drains the Union mine haulageway area, after it disappears underground for approximately 80 m and then reappears, prior to infiltrating mine dump 04PKHL11 (fig. 3). Site PKHL-9 was drainage from the Eureka mine area downstream of the mine dump adjacent to the two seeps (fig. 8D), and site PKHL-2 was the headwaters of Pike Hill Brook, downstream of the Pike Hill mines. Farther downstream, a second sampling site, PKHL-10, was located at the headwaters of Pike Hill Brook near Richardson Road (fig. 9A), and site PKHL-11 was upstream from the confluence with an unimpacted tributary. (See figs. 2 and 3 for map locations.) Stream-sediment samples also were

collected from two of these water sampling locations and are 01139830-SD, located at site PKHL-10, and 011398302-SD, located at site PKHL-11. Stream-sediment sample 01139830-SD-BC was collected a few meters downstream of the confluence of Pike Hill Brook and the first unimpacted tributary, where white and orange precipitates coated the streambed; the abbreviation "BC" in the sample name was added to indicate "below confluence." Approximately 600 m downstream of the confluence, water sample PKHL16-3 was collected. In addition, water and stream-sediment samples were collected approximately 400 m downstream of site PKHL-16 at site number 01139832 (fig. 2).

A series of three natural wetlands, which potentially could affect the geochemical cycles of sulfur and other elements from mine drainage, are approximately 3.6 km downstream from the mine site in Pike Hill Brook (fig. 2). Water samples PKHL-12-2, collected October 2004, and 01139833Aug, collected August 2005, and stream-sediment sample 01139833-SD, collected August 2005, were from Pike Hill Brook upstream of the wetlands where Pike Hill Road crosses the brook (fig. 9*B*). Water samples



Figure 9. Water-quality sampling sites at the Pike Hill mines study area. *A.* Mine drainage downstream of the Eureka and Union mines at gaging station. *B.* Water sampling site PKHL-12 was located downstream of the confluence with a clean tributary. *C.* Site of Pike Hill Brook sample PKHL-13. *D.* Site of Waits River water sample 01139841. (Site numbers are shown in white boxes.)

PKHL-13-2 and 01139838Aug, and stream-sediment sample 01139838-SD were collected between two of the wetlands where the road again crosses the brook (fig. 9C), and water samples PKHL-14-2 and 01139839Aug, and stream-sediment sample 01139839-SD were collected downstream of the wetlands at Miller Road. Water site PKHL-17 was located at an unimpacted tributary to Pike Hill Brook upstream of the lower wetland (fig. 2). Water samples PKHL-15-2 and 01139826Aug, and stream-sediment sample 01139826-SD were collected from the Waits River upstream of the confluence with Pike Hill Brook. In addition, Pike Hill Brook was sampled at its mouth, where water sample 01139840Aug and stream-sediment sample 01139840-SD were collected, and the Waits River was sampled downstream of the confluence with Pike Hill Brook, where water sample 01139841Aug and stream-sediment sample 01139841-SD were collected (figs. 2 and 9D).

Results and Discussion

Mine Waste

Mineralogy

Semiquantitative mineralogy results for composites and several grab samples from Siroquant analysis of XRD patterns are shown in table 4 and figure 10. In table 4, primary minerals are listed first, followed by secondary minerals. Primary minerals formed at the same time as the rock enclosing them; secondary minerals formed later than the rock enclosing them (Neuendorf and others, 2005). The primary and secondary mineral percentages sum to 100 weight percent and are for the crystalline part of the sample. Table 5 lists the mineralogy for mine-waste and grab samples that were not analyzed by Siroquant and that, therefore, are not listed in table 4. The primary purpose of these samples was mineral identification rather than quantification.



Figure 10. The relative weight percentages of minerals in mine waste from the Pike Hill mines study area; efflorescent sulfate minerals are "salts," and chalcopyrite, pyrrhotite, pyrite, and sphalerite are "sulfides."

[n.d., not determin	ed]										
Minorol	chan formula	 				Sa	mple numb	er			
		ELLOL	04Smith1	04Smith2	04Smith3	04Smith4	04Smith5	04Smith6	04Smith7	04PKHL1	04PKHL2
						Primary mine	rals (weight	oercent)			
Albite	NaAlSi ₃ O ₈	0.9	7.2	7.7	8.6	n.d.	5.7	3.7	5.5	6.3	14.3
Anorthite	$CaAl_2Si_2O_8$	1.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	n.d.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.9	2.3
Biotite	$K(Mg, Fe)_3(AlSi_3O_{10})(OH)_2$	0.4	0.5	0.0	0.0	n.d.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Calcite	$CaCO_3$	0.5	0.4	1.2	0.0	n.d.	0.6	0.7	0.1	0.0	0.0
Chalcopyrite	$CuFeS_2$	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.1	n.d.	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.3
Chlorite	$(Mg, Fe^{2+})_5Al(Si_3Al)O_{10}(OH)_8$	0.6	1.7	0.8	4.9	n.d.	3.2	3.5	1.6	1.6	2.6
Labradorite	$(Ca,Na)(Si,AI)_4O_8$	1.1	5.6	9.1	9.9	n.d.	7.5	8.0	0.3	3.9	6.6
Muscovite	$\mathrm{KAl}_2(\mathrm{AlSi}_3\mathrm{O}_{10})(\mathrm{OH})_2$	0.6	6.2	6.6	7.4	n.d.	10.4	8.8	13.3	11.0	14.1
Pyrite	FeS_2	0.2	0.0	0.4	1.4	n.d.	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.5
Pyrrhotite	${ m Fe}_{1-x}{ m S}$	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	n.d.	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.0	0.0
Quartz	SiO_2	1.2	26.8	33.0	29.5	5.1	63.4	72.0	77.8	39.4	25.0
Rutile	TiO_2	0.2	n.d.	0.0	1.0	n.d.	0.3	0.4	0.8	1.4	1.0
Sphalerite	ZnS	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.1	n.d.	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0
Tremolite	$\mathrm{Ca_2Mg_5Si_8O_{22}(OH)_2}$	0.9	0.5	0.0	0.0	n.d.	0.0	0.2	0.0	1.1	0.5
						Secondary m	iinerals (weig	ht percent)			
Alunogen	$Al_2(SO_4)_3 \bullet 17H_2O$	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	n.d.	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.7	0.0
Copiapite	${\rm Fe}^{2+}{\rm Fe}^{3+}_4({\rm SO}_4)_6({\rm OH})_2\bullet20{\rm H}_2{\rm O}$	0.4	0.4	1.1	0.4	n.d.	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0
Goethite	FeO(OH)	0.5	21.4	15.7	12.6	85.8	4.1	0.5	0.0	10.0	8.4
Gypsum	$CaSO_4 \bullet 2H_2O$	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.3	n.d.	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.2	0.1
Hematite	$\mathrm{Fe_2O_3}$	0.7	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Jarosite	${ m K_2Fe_6}^{3+}({ m SO_4})_4({ m OH})_{12}$	0.4	14.9	17.7	12.5	9.1	0.3	0.2	0.1	15.1	13.1
Kaolinite	$\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{Si}_2\mathrm{O}_5(\mathrm{OH})_4$	0.6	1.0	0.4	1.6	n.d.	1.7	0.0	0.0	1.3	0.7
Lepidocrocite	FeO(OH)	0.2	0.4	0.3	1.0	n.d.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7
Melanterite	$FeSO_4 \bullet 7H_2O$	0.4	0.0	0.2	0.8	n.d.	1.4	1.0	0.3	0.7	0.0
Rozenite	$FeSO_4 \bullet 4H_2O$	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	n.d.	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
Sepiolite	$Mg_4Si_6O_{15}(OH)_2 \bullet 6H_2O$	0.5	12.5	5.5	7.6	n.d.	0.2	0.1	0.0	1.9	9.6
Sulfur	S	0.4	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
						ΪĒ	t of refineme	nt			
Chi-square ²			4.24	4.2	4.22	3.08	4.55	5.44	5.17	4.48	5.48

Table 4. Estimates of the amounts of minerals in mine-waste samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.

р	
ā	
=	
7	
.=	
벋	
5	
~~~	
Ļ	
<u>ا</u> .	
b C	
۵D	
Ē	
a	
>	
4	
ᅻ	
Ś	
6	
- ăi	
Ĕ	
.⊨	
ᄃ	
_	
=	
<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	
e	
~	
<u> </u>	
<u> </u>	
e	
Ē	
τ	
E	
5	
Ģ	
1	
6	
~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
<u>_</u>	
0	
F	
E	
~ 22	
e	
5	
33	
~	
<	
1	
e	
·=	
-	
~	
. –	
ŝ	
÷	
, co	
5	
۳	
.⊨	
Ē	
-	
÷	
0	
S	
Ħ	
1	
2	
2	
E	
a	
ē	
÷	
<u> </u>	
Ť	
0	
ŝ	
e	
믗	
22	
F	
·=	
Š.	
Ш	
-	
÷	
-	
¢	
Ť	
0	
a	
Ē	
-	

[n.d., not determined]

linu; not avain						Came	ala number					
Mineral	04PKHL3	04PKHL7	04PKHL9	04PKHL9A	04PKHL9B	04PKHL10	04PKHL11	05PKHL11-C	04PKHL11A	04PKHL12	04PKHL13	04PKHL13B
						Primary m	inerals (weigh	it percent)				
Albite	4.2	2.9	2.2	0.0	1.9	0.0	4.5	7.3	6.2	0.0	6.7	0.5
Anorthite	0.0	1.3	0.9	0.1	0.0	2.3	0.0	0.3	0.0	6.2	0.0	n.d.
Biotite	0.0	2.7	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.8	0.0	0	0.0	0.0	0.0	n.d.
Calcite	0.0	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.0	2.4	0.2	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	n.d.
Chalcopyrite	0.8	3.0	1.8	1.3	14.7	0.8	1.8	1.9	0.0	2.5	0.6	n.d.
Chlorite	0.0	3.5	0.6	0.6	0.5	1.1	0.0	1.3	3.3	0.0	0.0	n.d.
Labradorite	5.1	3.7	0.0	0.8	4.2	11.4	7.8	2.1	2.8	3.7	6.1	n.d.
Muscovite	18.8	11.1	3.6	0.8	15.7	7.1	8.8	7.3	11.5	8.3	11.2	18.0
Pyrite	0.4	0.6	0.0	1.2	0.7	1.8	0.1	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	n.d.
Pyrrhotite	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.1	0.5	0.0	0	0.0	0.3	0.0	n.d.
Quartz	28.8	39.8	33.0	9.3	36.1	65.2	38.2	38	68.7	23.1	30.9	9.5
Rutile	0.8	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.5	0.1	0.1	0.8	1.2	0.0	1.0	0.7
Sphalerite	0.1	0.0	0.8	0.0	0.3	1.0	0.2	n.d.	0.0	0.0	0.3	n.d.
Tremolite	0.4	1.6	4.6	3.4	0.3	0.8	0.4	1.8	3.9	n.d.	0.0	n.d.
						Secondary I	minerals (wei	ght percent)				
Alunogen	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	0.5	0.0	n.d.
Copiapite	1.4	0.0	0.2	0.5	0.3	0.0	0.6	0.4	0.2	0.6	0.0	n.d.
Goethite	7.6	7.T	27.3	2.2	0.0	0.0	8.7	13.6	0.0	33.5	15.6	60.5
Gypsum	0.1	1.3	2.4	1.0	0.5	0.0	3.0	4	0.0	1.2	1.7	n.d.
Hematite	n.d.	n.d.	6.8	72.1	1.6	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
Jarosite	15.4	13.6	7.5	4.0	0.1	0.0	13.6	13.4	0.3	13.1	13.0	10.7
Kaolinite	2.5	2.1	2.3	0.9	0.5	0.9	2.1	2.8	0.3	1.8	0.7	n.d.
Lepidocrocite	0.8	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.6	0.2	2.0	0.6	n.d.
Melanterite	0.3	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.7	0.2	0.5	1.2	1.9	0.0	n.d.
Rozenite	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0	0.0	1.0	0.0	n.d.
Sepiolite	12.5	4.7	5.0	0.2	0.1	0.0	9.4	2.4	0.0	0.3	11.5	n.d.
Sulfur	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	19.1	0.1	n.d.	n.d.	0.1	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
						Ē	it of refinemer	т				-
Chi-square ²	4.9	3.86	4.76	2.85	4.75	6.88	4.06	4.81	4.44	2.85	4.53	3.00
¹ Average errors a	re estimated sta	ndard deviation	s that were cal	culated by Siroc	quant based on se	quare roots of d	liagonal elemer.	tts of least-square	ss variance-covaria	ance matrix.		
² Chi-square is a c Values below 6	computed statist are considered 1	ical residual to 1 easonable fits for	measure the fit or these compl	of refinement. I lex mine wastes	Chi-square $= 1$ for the due to systematic	or perfect corre c errors and im	spondence betv perfect physica	veen least-square d corrections.	s model and obser	ved data.		

Sample	Sample description	Phase
04Smith1-1	White salts coating sulfidic rock	Melanterite, gypsum
04Smith 1-2	Yellow soil	Jarosite, goethite, quartz, albite, muscovite
04PKHL2-1	Oxidized ore	Pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, sulfur, goethite
04PKHL3-1	Rock with yellow-green coating	Jarosite, quartz, albite, muscovite
04PKHL4-A	Ochre floc	Ferrihydrite
04PKHL4-B	Ochre stalactite	Ferrihydrite
04PKHL4-C	Bright blue precipitate	Amorphous
04PKHL4-D	White precipitate	Amorphous
04PKHL4-E	Ochre floc	Ferrihydrite
04PKHL4-F	Host rock to white precipitate	Calcite, quartz, anorthite, muscovite, chlorite, kaolinite
04PKHL5-A	White foam	Amorphous
04PKHL5-B	Blue precipitate	Amorphous
04PKHL5-C	Blue precipitate	Glaucocerinite
04PKHL6	Starburst sprays of white crystals	Gypsum
04PKHL8	Bright blue and white salts	Gypsum, chalcanthite
04PKHL9-C	Layer above black tailings	Jarosite, goethite, chalcopyrite, quartz, muscovite
04PKHL11-1	White salts sulfidic rock	Melanterite
04PKHL13-A	Thin yellow-green rock coating	Jarosite, gypsum, goethite, quartz, muscovite
04PKHL14	Jarosite-rich clot	Jarosite, quartz, albite, muscovite

Table 5. Mineralogy of mine waste and grab samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.

Sulfates and Sulfides

Sulfide minerals were considered primary and sulfate minerals were considered secondary in the samples collected for this study. The sample that had the highest amount of sulfides, mostly chalcopyrite, was sample 04PKHL9-B from the gray tailings from the partially burnt area; it contained approximately16 weight percent sulfides. This sample also contained 19 weight percent native sulfur and only traces (less than 1 weight percent) jarosite and gypsum. This sample was collected as a mineralogically unique subset sample to document variation within pile 04PKHL9, which contained the highest concentration of copper based on bulk chemistry (as discussed below). In contrast to the high amount of sulfides in sample 04PKHL9B, sample 04PKHL11A from dark gray tailings contained no detectable sulfides. Overall, composite mine-waste samples contained sulfides in concentrations ranging from less than 1 to approximately 4 weight percent (table 4). Sulfides present included chalcopyrite, pyrite, pyrrhotite, and sphalerite. A grab sample, 04PKHL2-1, of oxidized ore from a pile near the top of Pike Hill consisted of pyrrhotite, chalcopyrite, sulfur, and goethite (table 5). Composite sample 04PKHL10 of the quartz-rich flotation-mill tailings contained more sulfides (approximately 4 weight percent) and calcite (approximately 2 weight percent) when compared with the other composites (fig. 10). This sample also contained the highest concentrations of arsenic, cadmium, cobalt, and zinc. As the materials containing sulfides weather, they may generate acid and

leach metals of environmental concern. In addition, sulfide minerals define the acid-generating potential of the samples for acid-base accounting purposes. The background soils and downslope soil at the Smith mine did not contain detectable sulfides (approximately 1 weight percent) because these soils developed from unmineralized rock.

Jarosite was the dominant sulfate mineral in the minewaste samples (table 5). Its concentration in composite mine-waste samples ranged from 7.5 weight percent in sample 04PKHL9, from the partially burnt tailings, to 18 weight percent in sample 04Smith2, from an upper waste pile at the Smith mine. The only composite mine-waste sample that did not fall within this range was sample 04PKHL10, from the quartz-rich tailings, and it contained no detectable jarosite (table 4; fig. 10). Clots and layers of jarosite also were found within the waste piles as indicated by grab samples 04Smith1-2, 04PKHL9-C (fig. 6*D*), and 04PKHL14 (table 5). Jarosite also occurred as thin yellowish-green coating on waste rock, from which samples 04PKHL3-1 and 04PKHL13-A; were collected (table 5; fig. 7*D*).

Other sulfate minerals identified at the site included glaucocerinite $(Cu_4Al_2(SO_4)(OH)_{12}\cdot 3H_2O)$, which was found in sample 04PKHL5-C from precipitate along an adit decline (fig. 5*F*). In addition, gypsum, an efflorescent sulfate salt, was present in many mine-waste samples in minor amounts of less than 4 weight percent. Gypsum also was found as white salts on the walls and ceilings of adits at Pike Hill, where samples 04PKHL6 and 04PKHL8 were collected (table 5; fig. 6*B*).

24 Geochemical Characterization of the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont

Other efflorescent sulfate salts were commonly present in amounts that were too small to detect with the use of XRD, although quantitative mineralogy suggests that as much as 4 weight percent salts were present in samples from the Pike Hill mines study area (table 4; fig. 10). These salts included copiapite and melanterite and lesser amounts of alunogen and rozenite. To verify the presence of these salts, three splits of mine waste were rinsed repeatedly with deionized water; the rinse pH was determined, and then the rinse water was evaporated to precipitate the salts. In addition, leachate water was filtered and evaporated. The pH of the rinse water as a function of the number of rinses is shown in figure 11. The pH values of less than 3.8 for the first few days (fig. 11) were less than the equilibrium pH for the stoichiometric dissolution of jarosite (Langmuir, 1997). This suggests that the dissolution of efflorescent sulfate salts was important at the beginning of the experiment. The evaporates produced by the rinse water and leachate solutions were calcium-sulfate and hydrated iron-sulfate salts, thus confirming the presence of these salts. Melanterite and chalcanthite identified in grab samples 04PKHL11-1 and 04PKHL8, respectively, verified the presence of these salts at the site (table 5; fig. 6B). Efflorescent sulfate salts likely play a significant role in acid generation and metal sequestration. During rain events, these highly soluble salts may dissolve and contribute acid and metals to the receiving waters at mine sites.

Iron Oxides

The highest concentrations of iron were found in hardpan, as indicated by sample 04Smith4, and in ferricretes, as indicated by samples 04PKHL12 and 04PKHL13-B. The mineralogy of these samples was consistent with the chemistry



Figure 11. Effects on leachate pH of repeatedly washing with deionized water three mine-waste samples from the Pike Hill mines study area. [Black dashed line representing nominal pH of 3.8 for jarosite equilibrium from Langmuir (1997).]

in that they contained significant amounts of goethite. The ferricretes contained 34 to 61 weight percent goethite, whereas the hardpan contained 86 weight percent goethite (fig. 10). The red tailings from the partially burnt pile, where sample 04PKHL9-A was collected, contained the iron oxide hematite in a significant quantity of 72 weight percent. The only other samples that had detectable hematite were from the same pile. Composite sample 04PKHL9 contained approximately 7 weight percent hematite, and sample 04PKHL9-B, from the gray tailings, contained almost 2 weight percent hematite (table 4; fig. 10). Grab samples of ochre floc and stalactites were dominantly ferrihydrite, whereas amorphous precipitates included blue and white coatings and white foam near or in adits (table 5). The blue and white amorphous precipitates were likely copper- and aluminum-hydroxides. Aluminumhydroxides are known to selectively sorb metals as a function of pH (Smith, 1999), which might exert a significant control on water chemistry.

Bulk Geochemistry

The concentrations of elements in the mine-waste piles were evaluated by examining the variations in concentrations among piles, by comparing the concentration values to USEPA Preliminary Remediation Goals (PRGs), and by comparing the values to concentrations of elements in mine waste from the Elizabeth and Ely mines. Grab samples of unique areas within the waste piles were collected in order to understand overall variability. The concentrations of all elements analyzed in mine waste are given in appendix 1; the concentrations of selected elements and PRGs for residential and industrial soils are given in table 6. The PRGs are guidelines, not legally enforceable standards, that are used for initial evaluations of potentially contaminated sites. In the text below, the concentrations of several elements are also compared to a range or average concentrations in eastern United States soils (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984), which can be used to approximate a regional geochemical background level for a given element.

The concentrations of iron in almost all of the samples from the Pike Hill mines site exceeded the PRG for residential soils of 2.3 weight percent; the background soils contained 2.4 and 2.6 weight percent iron, and sample 04PKHL11-A, from the dark-gray tailings, contained 0.65 weight percent iron (table 6; fig. 12). The concentrations of iron in most of the samples exceeded the upper range of 10 weight percent for iron in soils in the eastern United States (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984). Sample 04Smith4 from hardpan and samples 04PKHL12 and 04PKHL13-B from ferricrete contained concentrations of iron that exceeded the industrial PRG of 31 weight percent. The anomalous iron in these samples correlates with significant goethite of greater than 30 weight percent (fig. 10), based on quantitative mineralogy. The concentrations of iron calculated from quantitative mineralogy for the crystalline portion of the samples were generally less than those determined by ICP-AES. This underestimation of iron content based on mineralogy suggests that amorphous

[mg/kg, milligrams pe	er kilogram; wt. %, weigh	t percent; <	, less tha	n; PRG, J	reliminar	y remedia	tion goal:	; values in t	oold exce	ed PRGs;	Dup, field	replicate s:	ample]				
Element		Ag	AI	As	Cd	ပိ	ŗ	Cu	Fe	Мn	Мо	İŻ	Ъb	Se	ц	>	Zn
Units		mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg I	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg I	ng/kg	mg/kg
)	Guidelines									
PRG-residential ¹		390	7.6	22	37	1,400	210	3,100	2.3	1,800	390	1,600	400	390	5.2	78	23,000
PRG-industrial¹		5,100	9.2	260	450	13,000	450	41,000	31	19,000	5,100	20,000	800	5,100	67	1,000	310,000
							2	line waste									
Sample	Sample type																
04Smith1	Mine waste	5.89	4.6	-	0.63	39.4	85.1	1,800	19	1,370	4.6	15	6.69	20.1	0.61	137	614
04Smith2	Mine waste	5.49	3.5	0.6	0.29	28.4	40.8	1,530	21	865	4	10	115	22.7	0.88	138	315
04Smith3	Mine waste	6.6	3.2	1	0.22	31.7	28.2	1,380	25	609	16.2	9.9	83.2	24.8	1.1	125	344
04Smith4	Hardpan	\Diamond	0.16	0.6	0.1	1.3	23.1	1,120	47	39	0.2	1.4	22.2	2.1	0.2	32.9	55
04Smith5	Downslope soil	\Diamond	б	0.6	0.49	14	38.7	559	14	807	1.4	25.4	9.8	0.8	0.2	61.7	521
04Smith6	Background soil	\Diamond	4.7	0.5	0.13	10.7	52.8	19	2.6	1,120	0.36	27.3	14.1	0.3	0.3	63.5	66
04Smith7	Background soil	\Diamond	3.9	0.8	0.11	9.8	45.4	18	2.4	606	0.46	23	14.2	0.4	0.3	55.6	46
04PKHL1	Mine waste	10.3	б	6.3	0.59	35	24.9	4,410	20	166	37.4	7.2	89.2	48.9	1.3	83.8	246
04PKHL2	Mine waste	6.26	4.7	3.1	0.27	29.1	37	3,000	18	218	32.2	7	58.9	47	1.6	82.2	213
04PKHL3	Mine waste	11.9	4.1	3.3	0.35	47.9	41.2	3,240	19	238	18.5	7.9	85.7	47.6	1.6	89	354
04PKHL7	Mine waste	11.4	3.3	8.5	2.5	50.7	31.8	8,060	19	302	21.6	9.6	238	52	1.2	67	593
04PKHL9	Burnt tailings	27.8	2	9.7	0	103	20	9,200	27	223	44.5	13.6	182	124.2	0.83	47.6	640
04PKHL10	Processed tailings	б	3.7	57	91.8	122	42.3	7,200	3.6	1,360	4.1	8.9	103	7.4	0.78	60.4	16,000
04PKHL11	Mine waste	7.63	2.8	3.2	0.64	36.2	24.3	6,400	19	159	19.4	7.1	78.3	60.7	1	63.1	233
05PKHL11-Dup	Mine waste	8.97	2.7	ю	1.1	39.3	22.6	8,410	22	160	22.5	4.9	85.5	65.6	1.1	65.5	278
04PKHL11-A	Black tailings	\Diamond	3.4	0.3	0.06	1.1	54.8	2,370	0.65	196	0.62	6.8	14	9.2	0.3	42.5	24
04PKHL12	Ferricrete	\Diamond	0.77	0.2	0.41	8	11.9	1,610	40	32	4.9	1.1	15.4	11.2	0.2	24.7	88
04PKHL13	Mine waste	12.9	3.7	6.6	0.29	34.4	32.1	3,670	20	234	34.5	7.4	102	53.9	2.3	72.5	218
04PKHL13-B	Ferricrete	<3	0.27	0.5	0.1	3.6	17.8	874	46	22	1.9	<1	29.4	7.1	0.2	138	32
¹ PRGs for residenti	ial and industrial soils are	for noncan	cer-based	l chronic	exposure	with the e	xception	of the PRG	for chroi	nium, whi	ch is for ca	incer-based	d chroni	c exposure			
Values from "PRG In-	tercalc Tables: Soils" at h_i	ttp://www.e	spa.gov/r	egion09/	vaste/sfur	d/prg/file:	s/04soils.	pdf (U.S.)	Environm	ental Prot	ection Age	ncy, 2004l	b) for all	lelements	except		
lead, which is from "F	Region 9 PRG Table" at h.	ttp://www	spa.gov/r	e gion09/	vaste/sfur	d/prg/file.	s/04prgta	ble.pdf (U	J.S. Envir	onmental	Protection	Agency, 2	004c).		•		

Table 6. Concentrations of select elements in mine-waste and soil samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.

Results and Discussion 25



Figure 12. Concentrations of iron and copper in mine-waste and soil samples collected from the Pike Hill mines study area. [Data for the composition of mine waste, including waste rock and unoxidized tailings from the Elizabeth and Ely mines, from Hammarstrom and others (2001, 2003) and Piatak and others (2004). Preliminary remediation goals for residential and industrial soils are from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2004b)].

material, if present, may be iron rich. The concentrations of iron in the composite mine-waste samples ranged from 18 to 27 weight percent, except for a concentration of 3.6 weight percent from sample 04PKHL10 from the quartz-rich flotation-mill tailings. These concentrations were within the range found for mine-waste samples from the Elizabeth and Ely mines (fig. 12) (Hammarstrom and others, 2001, 2003; Piatak and others, 2004).

The highest concentrations of aluminum were 4.6 weight percent in sample 04PKHL2 from an upper waste-dump at the Eureka mine, and 4.7 weight percent in sample 04Smith6 from background soil. The hardpan and ferricrete contained the lowest concentrations of aluminum, which were 0.16 weight percent to 0.77 weight percent, respectively (table 6). The highest concentrations of manganese were in sample 04Smith1 from the uppermost mine dump at the Smith mine; sample 04Smith6 from background soil; and sample 04PKHL10 from the processed tailings. Because the background soil contained relatively high amounts of aluminum and manganese when it was compared to the mine-waste samples, these elements are not necessarily indicative of the mining-impacted soils. The concentrations of aluminum and manganese in all of the samples were less than the PRG for residential soils (table 6), and almost all of those concentrations were within the reported range of these elements in eastern United States soils (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984).

Overall, copper was the most abundant trace metal in the mine-waste samples. The highest value of 9,200 mg/kg was found in sample 04PKHL9 from the partly burnt tailings. This burnt material also contained the highest concentrations of the

following elements: antimony, 5.7 mg/kg; bismuth, 9.35 mg/kg; molybdenum, 44.5 mg/kg; silver, 27.8 mg/kg; and tin, 36 mg/kg. The only samples that contained copper in concentrations within the reported range for eastern United States soils of less than 1 to 700 mg/kg (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984) were the background samples 04Smith6 and 04Smith7 and sample 04Smith5 from the soil downslope from the Smith mine. Seven of the eight composite mine-waste samples collected from the Eureka and Union mines area contained concentrations of copper that were greater than the PRG for residential soils; the composite samples from the Smith mine did not exceed the PRG for residential soils (table 6; fig. 12). Generally, the samples that contained the highest concentrations of copper were those that had detectable chalcopyrite. The concentrations of copper in mine-waste samples from the Pike Hill mines site generally fall within the range of concentrations in mine waste from the Elizabeth and Ely mines (fig. 12).

Zinc was generally the next most abundant trace metal in these samples, although concentrations of zinc did not exceed the residential PRG of 23,000 mg/kg (table 6; fig. 13). The concentrations of zinc in most mine-waste composite samples were significantly greater than the reported mean concentration of 40 mg/kg for soils in the eastern United States (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984). The highest concentration of zinc, 16,000 mg/kg, was found in sample 04PKHL10 from the processed flotation-mill tailings; that sample also contained approximately 1 weight percent sphalerite. This concentration of zinc was significantly higher than those in mine-waste samples collected from the Elizabeth and Ely mines as reported by


Figure 13. Concentrations of cadmium and zinc in mine-waste and soil samples collected from the Pike Hill mines study area. [Data for the composition of mine waste, including waste rock and unoxidized tailings from the Elizabeth and Ely mines, from Hammarstrom and others (2001, 2003) and Piatak and others (2004). Preliminary remediation goals for residential soils from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2004b)].

Piatak and others (2004) and Hammarstrom and others (2001, 2003) (fig. 13). Sample 04PKHL10 also contained the highest concentrations of arsenic, 57 mg/kg; calcium, 3.4 weight percent; cadmium, 91.8 mg/kg; and cobalt, 122 mg/kg. This concentration of cadmium exceeded the residential PRG of 37 mg/kg. The next highest concentrations of cadmium were 2.5 and 2 mg/kg in samples 04PKHL7 and 04PKHL9, respectively. Sample 04PKHL11-A from the dark gray tailings contained the lowest concentrations of cadmium and zinc, which were below the concentrations of these elements in the background soils (fig. 13). This material also contained concentrations of iron, molybdenum, and selenium that were less than or equivalent to background levels. In general, the concentrations of cadmium and zinc in composite mine-waste samples were within the range for these elements reported for the other mine sites in the Vermont copper belt (fig. 13). Note the positive correlation between zinc and cadmium (fig. 13); these elements are found together because cadmium substitutes for zinc in the structure of sphalerite, which is the dominant primary zinc-bearing mineral found at the site.

The concentrations of cobalt in both sample 04PKHL10 from the processed tailings and sample 04PKHL9 from the partly burnt waste material exceeded the reported upper range for cobalt in eastern United States soils (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984). In addition, the concentrations of cobalt in all of the samples, except for sample 04Smith4 from the hardpan from the Smith mine, sample 04PKHL11-A from the dark gray tailings, and sample 04PKHL13-B from ferricrete, were greater than the mean value for cobalt in soil of the eastern

United States (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984). One-half of the mine-waste samples contained chromium in concentrations greater than the mean for eastern United States soils; sample 04Smith1, from the uppermost mine dump at the Smith mine, contained the highest concentration of chromium, 85.1 mg/kg. Eight of the eleven composite mine-waste samples from the site contained molybdenum in concentrations greater than the range reported for eastern United States soils (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984). The highest concentrations of nickel were found in the background-soil samples 04Smith6 and 04Smith7 and in sample 04Smith 5, from soil downslope of the Smith mine; concentrations of nickel ranged from 23 to 27 mg/kg. The composite mine-waste samples contained concentrations of lead that ranged from 69.9 to 238 mg/kg, which were greater than the mean but within the reported range for lead in eastern United States soils (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984). The other samples that were analyzed contained significantly less lead. Approximately one-half of the composite minewaste samples and one sample of ferricrete contained concentrations of vanadium that were greater than the 78 mg/kg PRG for residential soils, but concentrations of vanadium in all of the samples were less than the reported upper range limit of 300 mg/kg for soils in the eastern United States (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984).

The concentrations of selenium ranged from 0.3 and 0.4 mg/kg in the background-soil samples to 124.2 mg/kg in sample 04PKHL9 from the partially burnt flotation-mill tailings (table 6; fig. 14). The concentrations of selenium correlate with the concentrations of sulfur because of the substitution of



Figure 14. Concentrations of selenium and sulfur in mine-waste and soil samples collected from the Pike Hill mines study area. (Concentrations of selenium for the downslope Smith soil in sample 04Smith4, the dark gray tailings in sample 04PKHL11-A, and ferricretes in samples 04PKHL12 and 04PKHL13-B are not shown because the samples were not analyzed for sulfur.)

selenium for sulfur in sulfide and sulfate minerals (fig. 14). All of the samples except those from the background soils, sample 04Smith5 of the downslope soil at the Smith mine, and sample 04Smith4 from the hardpan contained elevated concentrations of selenium compared to the reported range of less than 0.1 to 3.9 mg/kg for soils in the eastern United States (Shacklette and Boerngen, 1984). Samples 04PKHL12 and 04PKHL13-B from the ferricretes, sample 04PKHL10 from the quartz-rich flotation-mill tailings, and sample 04PKHL11-A from the dark gray tailings contained concentrations of selenium that ranged from 7.1 to 11.2 weight percent, which was generally less than concentrations of selenium in the remaining composite mine-waste samples. Composite samples from the Smith mine averaged 22 mg/kg selenium whereas samples from the Eureka and Union mines ranged from 47 to 124.2 mg/kg selenium (fig. 14). The anomalous concentration of selenium in sample 04PKHL9 from the partially burnt flotation-mill tailings was consistent with anomalously high concentrations of selenium in samples from partially roasted waste rock at the Elizabeth Mine (Hammarstrom and others, 1999). This sample also contained the highest amount of sulfur.

Acid-Base Accounting

Paste pH and acid-base accounting results (table 7; fig. 15) indicate that the soils in only a few samples are not net acid generating. The paste pH and NNP of the background soils in samples 04Smith6 and 04Smith7 were above 4 and positive, respectively; these samples fall within the non-acid generating field (fig. 15). The only other sample in this category was sample 04PKHL10 from the processed flotation-mill tailings; it had a neutral paste pH and NNP of 47.5 kilograms $CaCO_3$ per ton (kg $CaCO_3/t$). That sample contained the highest concentrations of several metals, including cadmium and zinc, which likely were from sphalerite, but it also contained significant carbonate, which was estimated to be 2.4 weight percent calcite. For that sample only, the acid-neutralizing capacity from calcite was greater than the acid-generating potential from sulfides and sulfates.

Other mine-waste samples had paste-pH values of less than 4 and negative NNP, which suggests that they would produce acid upon weathering. The composite mine-waste samples from the Smith mine had a paste pH of approximately 3 and NNP values ranging from -21.7 to -33.6 kg CaCO₂/t (fig. 15; table 7). Sample 04Smith5 from the downslope soil at this mine had a paste pH of 2.8 and slightly negative NNP of -2.6 kg CaCO₂/t. The lowest paste pH of 2.3 and most negative NNP of -112.8 kg CaCO₂/t in figure 15 were from soils from the partially burnt flotation-mill tailings. The remaining composite samples from the Eureka and Union mines were potentially acid generating and had paste-pH values of less than 4 and NNP that ranged from -33.3 to -57.1 kg CaCO₂/t. The paste pH of ferricrete samples 04PKHL12 and 04PKHL13-B, which were not analyzed by ABA, was less than 4; this suggests that the ferricretes may be acid generating (table 7).

The range in paste pH and NNP values may result from the complex mixture of minerals in the mine-waste samples.

ea.
y aı
ind
s st
ine
E
Ē
ike
БF
nt
froi
es
hpl
Sa
soil
pu
te a
/aSi
е- З
'ni
or
lts 1
esu
ng r
ntin
ino;
acc
ISe
l-ba
acid
3 pr
На
e pl
asti
ط
7.
ble
Та

[wt. %, weight percent; kg CaCO₃/t, kilograms CaCO₃ per ton; n.a., not analyzed; </ less than; italic numbers in parentheses are replicates]

Sample	Paste pH (USGS')	Paste pH (Vizon¹)	Total sulfur (wt %)	Sulfate sulfur (wt.%)	Sulfide sulfur (wt.%)	Maximum Potential Acidity (AP) (kg CaCO ₃ /f)	Neutralization Potential (NP) (kg CaC0 ₃ /t)	Net- Neutralization Potential (NNP) ² (kg CaC0 ₃ /t)	Fizz
04Smith1	3.1	3.1	2.20	1.65	0.55	17.2	-4.5	-21.7	None
04Smith2	2.8	3.1	3.17	2.31	0.86	26.9	-6.7 (-7.0)	-33.6	None
04Smith3	2.7	2.7	2.41	1.87	0.54	16.9	0.6-	-25.9	None
04Smith 5	2.8	3.1	0.48	0.42	0.06	1.9	-0.7	-2.6	None
04Smith6	5.7	6.1	0.02	0.02	<0.01	<0.3	7.2	7.2	None
04Smith7	5.4	5.7	0.03 (0.03)	0.02 (0.02)	0.01	0.3	-0.2	-0.5	None
04PKHL1	2.7	2.6	3.55	2.4 (2.10)	1.15	35.9	-7.5	-43.4	None
04PKHL2	2.7	2.9	2.74	1.93	0.81	25.3	-8.0	-33.3	None
04PKHL3	2.8	2.9	2.63	1.82	0.81	25.3	-8.7	-34.0	None
04PKHL7	3.1	4.1	3.48	1.77	1.71	53.4	-3.7	-57.1	None
04PKHL9	2.3	2.3	4.99	1.70	3.29	102.8	-10.0	-112.8	None
04PKHL10	7.0	7.5	1.44	0.09	1.35	42.2	90 (88.8)	47.5	Moderate
04PKHL11	2.5	2.4	3.70	2.42	1.28	40.0	-5.0	-45.0	None
04PKHL12	2.5	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
04PKHL13	2.7	2.7	3.07 (3.10)	2.01	1.06	33.1	-5.5	-38.6	None
04PKHL13-B	2.4	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
¹ Paste pH determine	ad by the U.S. Geolo.	gical Survey and Vi	zon SciTec, Inc.						

²The net-neutralization potential is equal to the value of the neutralization potential minus the value of the maximum potential acidity.



Figure 15. Acid-base accounting results for mine-waste and soil samples from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with samples from the historic mine-waste piles at the Elizabeth mine and mine waste from the Ely mine. [Data for the Elizabeth and Ely mines are from Hammarstrom and others (2003) and Piatak and others (2004). Mine-waste samples from the Elizabeth Mine do not include samples containing the underlying carbonate-rich till unit, which would increase net neutralizing potential and paste pH.]

Sulfur-bearing minerals were the dominant acid generators, and carbonate and silicate minerals were the dominant acid neutralizers in these samples. In most of the samples from the Pike Hill mines site, sulfur had been oxidized to sulfate, and, thus, sulfate sulfur was significantly greater than sulfide sulfur (table 7); samples 04PKHL7, 04PKHL9, and 04PKHL10 were the exceptions.

The values for paste pH and NNP for most mine-waste samples from the Pike Hill mines fall within the range for paste pH and NNP for mine waste from the Elizabeth and Ely mines (fig. 15). Subeconomic mineralized and waste rocks at the Elizabeth Mine overlie a carbonate-rich till, which increases the paste pH and NNP for samples that contained this material. Samples of this till or waste rock mixed with till were not included in figure 15. The lowest NNP of approximately -420 kg CaCO₃/t for samples from these mines was for unoxidized pyrrhotite-rich black tailings from the Ely Copper Mine (Piatak and others, 2004). Acid generation in samples from the Pike Hill area did not reach this extreme.

Leachate Chemistry

The results of the tests for the chemistry of leachates from the samples were compared with water-quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006) and drinking-water and groundwater standards (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2003; Vermont Department of Environmental Conservation, 2005). The concentrations of elements or compounds that exceeded water-quality guidelines are shown in bold type in table 8. The concentrations of all of the elements that were analyzed are shown in appendix 2, and reference waters that were analyzed with the leachates are shown in appendix 3. Water-quality guidelines for cadmium, copper, nickel, lead, and zinc are hardness dependent and were calculated based on a hardness of 100 mg/L as $CaCO_3$. This was a realistic approximation because the hardness of waters receiving drainage from the mines ranged from 85 to 110 mg/L as $CaCO_3$.

The major anion in all of the samples was SO_4 , whereas Al, Ca, Cu, Fe, K, Mg, and Si dominated the cations; some samples contained significant quantities of Mn and Zn. The elements and compounds that exceeded various water-quality guidelines were Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, Se, SO,, and Zn (table 8). Copper was generally the most abundant trace metal in the leachates. The only samples that had concentrations of leached copper that were less than the acute toxicity standard of 13 µg/L were from the background soils and sample 04PKHL10 from the quartz-rich flotation-mill tailings; the concentrations of all of the other leachates exceeded this criterion (fig. 16). The concentration of copper in composite sample 04PKHL10 was the third highest based on bulk chemistry (table 6), but leachate testing suggests that copper is not readily leached. In contrast, the highest concentration of copper in the leachates, 17,000 µg/L, was from sample 04PKHL9 from the partially burnt flotation tailings, and that sample also contained the highest concentration of copper of 9.200 mg/kg that was reported for the solid samples. The amount of copper in a sample did not consistently correlate with the amount

eа
aľ
ð
stu
es
ij.
Ξ
ke
Ē
the
Ε
fro
es
ldu
san
SC
anc
ē
asi
\$
ine
E
Б
sts
e te
ate
сh
lea
of
lts
nse
Ĩ
ica
¥
ana
ct
jle(
Š

Table 8.

per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius: me/L. milligrams per liter: mV. millivolts: us/L. micrograms per liter: n.d.. not determined: <> less than: values in bold exceed water-ouality fuS/cm. microsiemens

		9,0		Oxidation-													
Characteristic/ element	Hd	specific conductance	Dissolved oxygen	reduction potential	Fe ²⁺ /Fe _{total}	A	PS	ပိ	Cu	Ге	Mn	ïz	Pb	Se	SO₄	>	Zn
Units		µS/cm	mg/L	۷ س		hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	mg/L	hg/L	hg/L
Methods ¹					Hach	AES	MS	MS	MS	AES	MS	MS	MS	MS	IC	MS	MS
								9	uidelines								
Aquatic toxicity ²	I	I	1			750	2.0		13	1,000	ı	470	65	4.6	ı	I	120
Drinking water ³	I					50-200	5	'	1,300	300	840	100	15	50	250	ı	5,000
								Mine w	vaste lea	chate							
04Smith1	3.6	225	8	509	0.8	2,010	3.44	41.9	754	467	906	10.2	0.1	\sim	110	<0.5	710
04Smith2	3.4	244	8	497	0.7	126	2.03	64.2	869	207	221	15.2	<0.05	$\frac{1}{2}$	117	<0.5	205
04Smith3	3.2	304	Г	444	0.3	79.9	0.39	4.64	534	98.7	66.4	1.5	0.4	$\frac{1}{2}$	167	<0.5	<i>9.17</i>
04Smith5	3.3	295	8	411	n.d.	577	1.19	20.2	479	75	868	9.3	0.5	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{-}$	142	<0.5	380
04Smith6	5.9	17.6	8	283	n.d.	<50	<0.02	0.07	<0.5	<20	42.5	<0.4	<0.05	$\frac{1}{2}$	4	<0.5	0.6
04Smith7	5.9	13.3	8	277	n.d.	<50	<0.02	0.09	<0.5	<20	28.6	<0.4	<0.05	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{-}$	3.5	<0.5	0.7
04PKHL1	3.1	577	8	390	0.7	630	1.23	7.89	1,280	252	66.1	0.8	1	2.6	350	<0.5	146
04PKHL2	3.2	314	8	373	0.8	92.4	0.66	11.1	695	128	33	1.4	<0.05	1.5	175	<0.5	82.6
04PKHL3	3.2	315	8	581	0.8	62.8	0.66	3.53	844	76.8	24.8	0.7	0.2	1	160	<0.5	86.5
04PKHL7	3.7	260	8	571	0.7	125	22.1	81	2,270	63	1,020	23.9	2.2	0	142	<0.5	3,340
04PKHL9	2.9	967	8	564	0.1	8,090	10.2	1,020	17,000	3,130	251	44	0.72	9.1	069	<0.5	955
04PKHL10	7.1	206	9	374	n.d.	<50	23	1.06	6.2	<20	38.5	<0.4	<0.05	$\stackrel{\wedge}{1}$	91	<0.5	700
04PKHL11	3.1	934	8	470	0.5	1,520	3.5	53.7	2,240	308	95.7	3.6	1.2	5.8	718	<0.5	318
04PKHL12	2.9	583	8	537	0.4	339	0.6	30.8	53.3	3,090	42.2	5.8	1.8	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{}$	261	<0.5	79.9
04PKHL13	3.3	525	8	567	0.6	497	2.41	6.45	666	83.2	52.6	0.5	0.87	2.2	329	<0.5	110
04PKHL13-B	2.8	737	8	537	0.3	461	2.53	46.9	262	5,020	56.5	7.5	0.76	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{}_{\scriptstyle 1}$	312	<0.5	263
¹ Hach, colorimetric n	nethod usi	ng Hach spectropho	otometer; MS, ir	ıductively coup	iled plasma-mass	s spectron	netry; AE	S, induct	ively coup	led plasm	a-atomic	emission	spectron	letry; IC,	ion chror	aatograph	ý.
² Toxicity standards a	re for fresh	hwater Criteria Max	timum Concenti	rations (acute),	except iron and	selenium	for Criter	ion Conti	inuous Co	ncentratio	n (chronie	c exposur	e). Value	s from			

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2006). Calculated for a hardness of 100 mg/L for hardness-dependent criteria. Standard for aluminum based on total metals, not dissolved and for pH 6.5 to 9.0 only.

³National Primary Drinking Water Standards are given for cadmium, copper, lead, and selenium, whereas National Secondary Drinking Water Standards are given for aluminum, iron, sulfate, and zinc (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2003). Vermont Primary Groundwater Quality Standards are given for manganese and nickel (Vermont Department of Environmental Conversation, 2005).



Figure 16. Concentrations of dissolved metal in leachate as a function of pH for samples from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with leachate from the historic mine-waste piles at the Elizabeth mine and mine waste from the Ely mine. [Drinking-water standards are from U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (2003). Aquatic toxicity values are based on a hardness of 100 mg/L as calcium carbonate (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006). Data for the Elizabeth and Ely mines are from Hammarstrom and others (2003) and Piatak and others (2004).]

of copper leached from the sample; this was likely due to mineralogical variations among samples. Leachates from sample 04PKHL9 as well as 2,270 μ g/L copper from sample 04PKHL11 exceeded the drinking-water standard of 1,300 μ g/L copper; leachates from the samples of ferricrete contained less copper than that of composite mine-waste samples (fig. 16).

The next most abundant trace element in the leachates was zinc. The concentrations of zinc in leachates from the background soils were significantly less than those from mine waste (fig. 16). The concentrations of zinc in leachate from four composite mine-waste samples and one sample from ferricrete did not exceed the acute aquatic toxicity standard (fig. 16). These samples did not necessarily have the lowest concentrations of zinc based on the bulk chemistry. For example, sample 04Smith3 contained 344 mg/kg zinc (table 6); however, the concentration of zinc in leachate of 77.9 µg/L was well below the acute aquatic toxicity standard. In contrast, sample 04Smith2 contained comparable zinc of 315 mg/kg but the leachate contained 205 µg/L zinc and exceeded the standard. In addition, sample 04PKHL10 from the quartz-rich flotation-mill tailings contained anomalous zinc of 16,000 mg/kg, and the leachate contained only 700 μ g/L zinc. The lack of consistent correlations between bulk and leachate chemistry suggests that other factors, such as mineralogy, affected the leachability of metals in the samples from the Pike Hill mines site. For example, zinc in sphalerite was less likely to leach than zinc in highly soluble efflorescent sulfate salts.

Other constituents of concern in the leachates included aluminum, cadmium, iron, manganese, and sulfate. The concentrations of cadmium in leachates from seven composite mine-waste samples and one ferricrete sample exceeded the acute toxicity standard for aquatic life (fig. 16). The three highest concentrations also exceeded the drinking-water standard. The concentration of iron in the leachates reached 5,020 µg/L, and the highest values were from samples that were collected from the ferricretes and the partially burnt tailings. For those samples, the concentrations of iron in the leachate exceeded the chronic toxicity standard for aquatic life. The concentrations of manganese in the leachates from a few mine-waste samples exceeded the Vermont Groundwater Quality Standard of 840 µg/L. For selenium, the two waste piles that contained the highest concentrations based on bulk chemistry (table 6) also had concentrations in leachate that exceeded the acute toxicity standard. Four waste pile samples and two ferricrete samples from the Eureka and Union mines area had concentrations of leached sulfate that exceeded the secondary drinking-water standard of 250 mg/L.

The pH of leachate from all of the mine-waste samples except for the quartz-rich processed flotation-mill tailings, which contained calcite, was less than 4.2, which was the pH of the ESP solution. This decrease in leachate pH indicates that precipitation interacting with most of the mine waste at the site will produce acidic runoff.

The same leachate-testing procedures that were used for this study were performed on samples of mine waste from the

Elizabeth Mine and the Ely Copper Mine that were collected during similar studies (Hammarstrom and others, 2003; Piatak and others, 2004). Concentrations of cadmium, copper, and zinc in leachate from most of the samples from the Pike Hill study area were within the compositional range of leachate from the Elizabeth and Ely mines (fig. 16). The pH of the leachate from sample 04PKHL10 from the quartz-rich flotation-mill tailings was higher than that of leachates from the other mines sites; the concentration of copper was low; the concentrations of zinc and cadmium were within the ranges of the other leachates (fig. 16). In general, runoff from the Pike Hill mines site is expected to be similar in composition to that from the historic waste piles at the Elizabeth and Ely mines based on the results of leaching experiments. The pH of the runoff at the Pike Hill mines site may be higher because of the higher percentage of carbonate in the host rock compared to that of the Elizabeth and Ely mines.

Mine Drainage and Regional Surface Waters

Surface-mine drainage, mine pools, seeps, downstream waters, and background samples indicate that water quality varies throughout the study area. Reference waters, blanks, and replicates were used for quality assurance and quality control purposes (appendix 3). For the following section, plots show samples categorized according to water type, general location (table 3), and collection date (appendix 4). Kiah and others (2007) reported that the August 2005 samples were collected during low flow conditions relative to annual variations. A summary of the dissolved concentrations of selected elements in the water samples is given in table 9. Dissolved and total concentrations for the full set of elements analyzed and water-quality characteristics are given in appendix 4.

Background Waters

The geochemistry of the background samples collected from river and stream sites CKBK-3, PKHL-11, PKHL-15, and PKHL-17 near the Pike Hill mines is probably a result of the geochemistry of the rocks that underlie the watershed. Pike Hill Brook and the unnamed tributary to Cookville Brook drain the mines site and flow into the Waits River (fig. 2). Both brooks originate in the calcareous Waits River Formation, and the Waits River predominately flows over this unit. Because of this, the pH, alkalinity, and hardness of the background water samples were high. The pH ranged from 6.8 to 8.6, alkalinity ranged from 85 to 138 mg/L as CaCO₃, and hardness ranged from 96 to 161 mg/L as CaCO, (appendix 4). Characteristics such as alkalinity and hardness affect the response of water bodies to the deleterious effects of mine drainage and the toxicity of metals to aquatic organisms. In general, hardness and alkalinity mitigate metal toxicity to aquatic life. In addition, the concentrations of dissolved Al, Fe, and SO₄ of less than 50 μ g/L and total base metals (Cu + Zn + Cd + Ni + Co + Pb) of less than 5 µg/L were low in background waters (figs. 17, 18, and 19).

Table 9. Dissolved concentrations of select elements in water samples from the Pike Hill mines study area. [ms/L. milligrams per liter: us/L. micrograms per liter: <= less than: D. field replicate sample: FA. filtered acidified split: MS. inductively coupled pla.

[mg/L, milligrams per liter; coupled plasma-atomic emis	μg/L, micrograms per litt ssion spectrometry; conce	er; <, less th entrations ir	an; D, field re bold exceed	eplicate sam water-qualit	ole; FA, filte y guidelines	rred acidified	l split; MS, ir	iductively co	upled plasm	ia-mass spect	rometry; AI	3S, inductive	ly
Characteristic/Eleme	nt	Ηd	AI	Cd	ပိ	Cu	Fe	Mn	iz	Рb	Se	SO₄	Zn
Units			hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	mg/L	hg/L
Methods			AES	MS	MS	MS	AES	MS	MS	MS	MS	C	MS
						Guidelines							
Aquatic toxicity ¹			750	2.0	I	13	1,000		470	65	4.6	·	120
Drinking water ²			50-200	5	I	1,300	300	840	100	15	50	250	5,000
					Water san	nples—Oct	ober 2004						
Sample number	Site number												
CKBK-1-2 FA	CKBK-1	8.0	12	0.11	0.21	5.2	<20	12.5	0.8	<0.05	2.2	14.7	16
CKBK-2-2 FA	CKBK-2	4.4	18,200	16.2	186	5,030	≤ 0	1,870	61.8	0.1	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{-}$	260	3,030
CKBK-3-2 FA	CKBK-3	7.6	<10	<0.02	0.03	<0.5	≤ 0	4.3	<0.4	<0.05	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{-}$	11.7	1.3
CKBK-4-2 FA	CKBK-4	6.7	75	<0.02	0.64	б	13,200	871	0.5	<0.05	2.4	1.7	3.5
CKBK-5-2 FA	CKBK-5	3.4	5,700	4.36	97.3	992	6,390	1,320	25.9	0.67	1.2	233	1,550
PKHL-1-2 FA	PKHL-1	2.9	39,700	10.5	218	1,980	99,300	6,980	38.3	3.4	5	1,200	6,240
PKHL-2-2 FA	PKHL-2	3.3	11,400	11.7	226	2,910	23,200	1,400	31.1	2.2	< 1	394	2,890
PKHL-4-2 FA	PKHL-4	5.9	1,170	6.95	95.2	4,950	20	629	22.6	0.1	~ 1	231	684
PKHL-5-2 FA	PKHL-5	7.0	18	4.15	55.9	1,800	≤ 20	383	15.9	<0.05	1.2	190	418
PKHL-6-2 FA	PKHL-6	7.6	11	0.8	1.22	44.1	≤ 20	42.4	2	<0.05	2.9	100	81.6
PKHL-7-2 FA	PKHL-7	3.4	8,060	3.08	135	107	53,800	1,080	16.8	0.4	< 1	396	2,080
PKHL-8-2 FA	PKHL-8	3.2	10,600	5.73	181	205	38,500	1,170	23.2	0.82	< 1	407	2,530
PKHL-9-2 FA	PKHL-9	3.5	11,100	22.2	190	6,470	1,480	1,360	35	1.5	1.3	333	3,080
PKHL-10-2 FA	PKHL-10	3.8	8,420	9.61	170	2,400	9,590	958	23	1.9	< 1	298	2,100
PKHL-10-2 D FA	PKHL-10	3.8	8,310	9.48	172	2,250	9,270	953	22.9	1.9	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	295	2,060
PKHL-11-2 FA	PKHL-11	8.4	43	<0.02	0.07	1	43	21.6	0.4	0.06	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	8.7	<0.5
PKHL-12-2 FA	PKHL-12	7.7	<10	0.76	10.6	13.7	31	118	2.5	<0.05	1.4	50.7	128
PKHL-13-2 FA	PKHL-13	8.0	<10	0.14	1.08	9.7	233	67.6	0.6	<0.05	2.8	16.8	19.4
PKHL-14-2 FA	PKHL-14	7.7	<10	0.11	1.04	Γ	311	120	0.5	<0.05	2.1	15	13.4
PKHL-15-2 FA	PKHL-15	8.6	<10	<0.02	0.03	<0.5	28	4.8	<0.4	<0.05	7	7.5	<0.5

Units	Ħ	Hq	AI	Сd	ပိ	ច	Fe	Mn	ïZ	Pb	Se	SO₄	Zn
			hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	mg/L	hg/L
Methods			AES	MS	MS	MS	AES	MS	MS	MS	MS	ບ	MS
					Water sar	mples—Au	gust 2005						
Sample number	Site number												
01139940Aug FA	01139940	7.9	11	0.1	0.12	3.6	<20	20.6	1.4	<0.05	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{-}$	11.6	13.2
CKBK-2-3 FA	CKBK-2	6.6	<10	3.61	39	608	<20	411	17.8	<0.05	~ 1	88	772
CKBK-3-3 FA	CKBK-3	7.2	<10	<0.02	0.06	<0.5	26	20	0.8	<0.05	~ 1	7.5	1
CKBK-4-3 FA	CKBK-4	6.5	37	<0.02	4.93	1.2	8,970	669	1.7	0.08	< 1	3.8	6.1
CKBK-5-3 FA	CKBK-5	3.6	2,330	2.25	49	597	750	849	15.4	0.3	1.1	180	945
PKHL-1-3 FA	PKHL-1	2.9	36,100	12	240	2,310	68,200	6,350	43.3	4.2	2.7	1,142	7,360
PKHL-2-3 FA	PKHL-2	3.1	9,200	9.3	233	1,980	23,300	1,230	30.5	2.8	1.9	418	2,940
PKHL-4-3 FA	PKHL-4	5.5	670	6.51	88.2	4,870	34	616	24.3	0.2	< 1	200	712
PKHL-5-3 FA	PKHL-5	6.8	<10	2.93	39.3	1,120	<20	273	12.7	<0.05	1.2	128	314
PKHL-6-3 FA	PKHL-6	6.9	<10	0.95	0.48	64.2	$\triangleleft 20$	28.9	б	<0.05	1.2	90	96.1
PKHL-7-3 FA	PKHL-7	6.3	6,250	3.3	191	2.9	32,400	779	23.2	0.3	< 1	598	2,030
PKHL-9-3 FA	PKHL-9	4.2	6,920	22.9	188	6,790	717	1,320	34.3	1.7	1.6	373	3,570
01139830Aug FA	PKHL-10	3.2	6,620	8.11	186	1,940	10,600	888	24.4	2.7	7	342	2,230
01139830Aug D FA	PKHL-10	3.2	6,340	8.01	187	1,990	10,200	903	25	2.7	1.4	351	2,290
011398302Aug FA	PKHL-11	6.8	<10	<0.02	0.03	1.5	≤ 20	3.1	0.9	<0.05	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	8.3	1.8
01139832Aug FA	01139832	6.8	<10	1.61	21.6	26	$\triangleleft 20$	233	5.2	<0.05	< 1	95	247
01139833Aug FA	PKHL-12	6.9	<10	0.83	5.26	15.5	59	94	2.8	<0.05	< 1 >	50	9.99
01139838Aug FA	PKHL-13	7.3	<10	0.13	0.89	8.2	130	98.2	1.2	<0.05	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	8	8.8
01139839Aug FA	PKHL-14	7.4	<10	0.14	0.94	7.2	163	240	1.2	<0.05	$< \frac{1}{2}$	5.7	10.1
01139826Aug FA	PKHL-15	8.5	<10	<0.02	0.03	0.5	$\triangleleft 20$	4	0.8	<0.05	$< \frac{1}{2}$	6.7	ю
01139840Aug FA	01139840	8.3	<10	0.04	0.05	5.8	52	0.7	1.1	<0.05	$< \frac{1}{2}$	6.7	4.6
01139841Aug FA	01139841	8.5	<10	<0.02	0.03	0.97	$\triangleleft 20$	7.1	0.9	<0.05	$< \frac{1}{2}$	7	1
PKHL-16-3 FA	PKHL-16	6.8	<10	2.6	44.8	43.9	$\triangleleft 20$	410	8.3	<0.05	$< \frac{1}{2}$	125	451
PKHL-17-3 FA	PKHL-17	8.2	<10	<0.02	0.03	<0.5	<20	16	1.3	<0.05	< 1	11	1.9

²National Primary Drinking Water Standards are given for cadmium, copper, lead, and selenium, whereas National Secondary Drinking Water Standards are given for aluminum, iron, sulfate, and zinc (U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2003). Vermont Primary Groundwater Quality Standards are given for manganese and nickel (Vermont Department of Environmental Conversation, 2005).



Figure 17. Dissolved concentrations of iron and aluminum and pH for waters from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with surface waters from the Elizabeth and Ely mines. [Surface-watercomposition data for the Elizabeth and Ely mines are from Seal and others (2001).]



October 2004 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Leureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Leureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mine seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine seep Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Mith mine seep Smith mine seep Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mine seep Eureka and Union mine pool X Surface water downstream from mines H Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine seap Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
Pike Hill Brook watershed
 Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool X Surface water downstream from mines Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool X Surface water downstream from mines
 ▲ Eureka and Union mines seep ■ Eureka and Union mine pool ★ Surface water downstream from mines + Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed • Smith mine stagnant pool ▲ Smith mine seep ■ Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed ◆ Eureka and Union mines surface drainage ▲ Eureka and Union mine pool ★ Surface water downstream from mines
 Eureka and Union mine pool X Surface water downstream from mines Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool X Surface water downstream from mines
 X Surface water downstream from mines Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mine pool Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Background water August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mine pool Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
August 2005 samples Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Lureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
Cookville Brook watershed Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Lureka and Union mine seep Lureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Smith mine stagnant pool Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Smith mine seep Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Smith mine pool Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Pike Hill Brook watershed Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Eureka and Union mines surface drainage Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Eureka and Union mines seep Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
 Eureka and Union mine pool Surface water downstream from mines
* Surface water downstream from mines
 Background water
 Waits River downstream from Pike Hill Brook
C Elizabeth and Ely mines surface waters
—— Drinking-water standard (primary
for copper and cadmium and
secondary for zinc)
Acute aquatic toxicity standard
Detection limit

Figure 18. Dissolved concentrations of copper, zinc, and cadmium and pH for waters from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with surface waters from the Elizabeth and Ely mines. [Surface-water-composition data for the Elizabeth and Ely mines are from Seal and others (2001).]



Figure 19. Dissolved concentrations of sulfate and pH for waters from the Pike Hill mines study area compared with surface waters from the Elizabeth and Ely mines. [Surface water composition data for the Elizabeth and Ely mines are from Seal and others (2001).]

Seeps and Mine Pools

Water in the seeps and mine pools found at the site varied from low pH to high pH; from oxic to anoxic, based on dissolved oxygen; from oxidizing to reducing, based on iron speciation; and generally contained concentrations of metals that exceeded water-quality guidelines (figs. 17, 18, 19, and 20). The Pike Hill seeps PKHL-7 and PKHL-8 were anoxic, reducing environments that had low pH during October 2004 and near neutral pH during August 2005 (fig. 20). The concentrations of Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, SO₄, and Zn in water from seeps and mine pools exceeded aquatic toxicity standards or drinkingwater standards, or both (figs. 17, 18, and 19). The exception was the concentration of copper in sample PKHL-7-3 that was below these standards. The pH and the concentration of sulfate were higher, whereas the concentrations of aluminum, copper, and iron were lower in the water collected from this seep during the drier August 2005 sampling compared with the October 2004 sampling. The pH was also higher in the Smith mine seep water from site CKBK-2 that was sampled during August 2005 compared to sample from October 2004. The concentrations of Al, Cd, Cu, Mn, SO₄, and Zn decreased dramatically in the August 2005 sample compared to October 2004 sample from this site; concentrations of iron were below the detection limit of 20 µg/L in samples from both collecting events. The increase in pH and the decrease in metals may indicate the mixing of the seep water with the near neutral, low metal water of the unnamed tributary that flows into

Cookville Brook. The concentrations of cadmium, copper, and zinc still exceeded the water-quality guidelines even in this relatively dilute sample.

The Smith and Eureka mine pools were generally oxidized waters with low pH, whereas the perched and unperched Union mine pools had near neutral pH and varied from reducing to oxidizing (fig. 20). The acidic Eureka mine pool, which is sampling site PKHL-1 in figure 5C, contained the highest concentrations of Al, Fe, Mn, SO₄, and Zn and had high concentrations of Cd and Cu, whereas the acidic Smith mine pool, which was sampling site CKBK-5, contained intermediate concentrations of Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, SO₄, and Zn when compared to other samples from the site. The concentrations of all of these elements in samples from both sites, except sulfate in samples from site CKBK-5, exceeded some water-quality guidelines (figs. 17, 18, and 19). The concentrations decreased and pH increased slightly in the samples that were collected during August 2005 compared with the samples that were collected during October 2004. In contrast to the acidic Smith and Eureka mine pools, the Union mine pools had near neutral pH; the perched Union mine pool, which was site PKHL-4, had a slightly lower pH of 5.5-5.9 than the unperched pool, which was site PKHL-5 and had pH of 6.8-7.0. The higher pH mine pools of the Union mine had lower concentrations of aluminum and iron compared with the lower pH mine pools of the Smith and Eureka mines. Despite this, the concentrations of metals such as cadmium, copper, and zinc exceeded some water-quality guidelines.



Figure 20. Ratio of ferrous to total dissolved iron and pH in water samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.

Surface-Mine Drainage

Samples of stagnant, ponded surface water were collected from sampling site CKBK-4 at the Smith mine (fig. 4A). These samples were the only ones from the surface-mine-drainagesample set that were proximal to mine workings. This small pond was reducing, as indicated by Fe²⁺/Fe_{total} equal to 0.8 (fig. 20), near neutral, and contained low-to-nondetectable concentrations of Al, Cd, Cu, SO₄, and Zn that were less than water-quality guidelines. The pH and the low metals content suggest that this water was minimally influenced by the mine workings or waste at the Smith mine. These waters contained concentrations of iron and manganese that exceeded waterquality guidelines. Water from site PKHL-6, a small stream that drains the Union mine haulageway before it infiltrates waste pile 04PKHL11, was similar in composition to that of the ponded water from the Smith mine in that it was oxidized, had a neutral pH, and contained concentrations of most metals that were less than water-quality guidelines (figs. 17, 18, and 19). This water contained concentrations of copper as much as five times greater than the acute aquatic toxicity standard. Unlike the water from Smith pond, the concentrations of aluminum and iron in water from this stream were at or below the detection limits.

Three water sampling sites directly downstream of the Union and Eureka mines and near the headwaters of Pike Hill Brook were formed by the increased channelization of flow from the Pike Hill mine site; from upstream to downstream, those sites are PKHL-9, PKHL-2, and PKHL-10. Site PKHL-9 included water that discharges from the Eureka mine workings and from upper waste piles. Site PKHL-2 included discharge from site PKHL-9 and discharge from the Union mine workings that had filtered through some of the lower waste piles. Site PKHL-10 was the stream into which all of the water from this area of the site drained before it was diluted downstream

by a small unimpacted tributary. Water from these three sites (fig. 20) had subequal proportions of dissolved ferric and ferrous iron, or Fe²⁺/Fe_{total}, of 0.40 to 0.66 and pH that ranged from 3.1 to 4.2. This surface-mine drainage contained dissolved concentrations of aluminum that ranged from 6,620 to 11,400 µg/L and concentrations of iron that ranged from 717 to 23,300 μ g/L (fig. 17). These mine-drainage samples contained concentrations of sulfate as much as 418 mg/L, copper as much as 6,790 µg/L, zinc as much as 3,570 µg/L, manganese as much as 1,400 µg/L, and cadmium as much as 22.9 µg/L. The concentrations of Al, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mn, SO₄, and Zn exceeded the water-quality guidelines. For samples from site PKHL-10, the values found during this study for all of the water-quality characteristics were within the ranges found during the 14-month study of Kiah and others (2007), which overlapped the duration of this study.

Downstream Waters and Mine-Drainage Effects

The downstream surface waters were generally oxygenated and had neutral pH (fig. 20; table 9). Sampling sites that directly drained the mines were seeps, mine pools, and surface-mine drainage, whereas sites located farther downstream were mine drainage that was diluted by unimpacted downstream waters (fig. 2; table 3). A more detailed description of the regional water quality and streamflow from October 2004 to December 2005 was reported in Kiah and others (2007).

The pH of the waters downstream of the mines ranged from 6.8 to 8.3 and reached 8.5 in the Waits River downstream of Pike Hill Brook. The pH generally increases with increasing distance downstream of the site (fig. 21). The concentrations of aluminum and sulfate decreased downstream in Pike Hill Brook, as indicated by the results of analysis of the October 2004 and August 2005 samples (fig. 21). This was likely because of the dilution of impacted waters by unimpacted



Figure 21. Downstream variations in the concentrations of aluminum, iron, sulfate, and pH in Pike Hill Brook and the Waits River, October 2004 and August 2005. ICP-MS data are used for aluminum because concentrations of aluminum determined by ICP-AES for these samples were generally less than the detection limit.

waters and by the precipitation of aluminum-hydroxysulfate phases as pH increased. Concentrations of iron showed a different trend than those for aluminum and sulfate. Initially in Pike Hill Brook, concentrations of iron decreased as pH increased. Farther downstream, however, the concentrations of iron increased after the water had flowed through three sets of wetlands that are approximately 3.7, 4.5, and 5.2 km downstream from the mine (fig. 20). This may be an indication of the dissolution of ferric hydroxides particulates in anaerobic parts of the wetlands [see Kiah and others (2007) for a detailed discussion]. As with the concentrations of iron, the concentrations of manganese increased after water had flowed through a wetland approximately 5 km downstream of the mine. The concentrations of aluminum, iron, and sulfate decreased to less than the water-quality guidelines in water samples that were collected from Pike Hill Brook downstream from the confluence with the first unimpacted tributary and approximately 0.5 km downstream from the mine site (fig. 21).

The concentrations of cadmium, copper, and zinc decreased downstream from the mine site (fig. 22). Concentrations that exceeded water-quality guidelines were found as much as 2.2 km downstream for copper and zinc and as much as 1.0 km downstream for cadmium. No substantial increases in the concentrations of cadmium, copper, and zinc were found downstream from the wetlands.

In this study, the waters at the mouth of Pike Hill Brook did not contain concentrations of metals that exceeded waterquality guidelines, presumably because of processes such as dilution, precipitation, and sorption. However, Kiah and others (2007) reported that concentrations of cadmium and copper in samples collected between October 2004 and December 2005 exceeded water-quality guidelines. Water-quality guidelines for concentrations of metals were not exceeded in samples from the Waits River downstream of the confluence of Pike Hill Brook that were collected during this study or during the study by Kiah and others (2007).

The concentrations of lead in the water samples ranged from less than the detection limit of 0.05 to 4.2 μ g/L. These concentrations did not exceed the aquatic toxicity standard of 65 μ g/L lead or the drinking-water standard of 15 μ g/L lead. As with concentrations of lead, the concentrations of selenium, which ranged from less than 1 to 2.9 μ g/L, did not exceed the aquatic toxicity standard of 4.6 μ g/L or the drinking-water standard of 50 μ g/L.

Comparison with Elizabeth and Ely Surface Waters

The concentrations of iron and aluminum and the pH of water in most of the samples collected from the Pike Hill mine site are similar to those found in water samples from the Elizabeth and Ely mine sites (figs. 17, 18, and 19). Background waters for the Elizabeth and Ely mines are not shown in those figures, but the background waters at those sites also contained low amounts of metals (Seal and others, 2001). In addition, the pH of background waters for the Elizabeth and Ely mines



Figure 22. Downstream variations in the concentrations of copper, zinc, cadmium, and pH in Pike Hill Brook and the Waits River, October 2004 and August 2005.

was equal to or less than that found in the Pike Hill background samples, as a result of the more calcareous host rock. The concentrations of cadmium, copper, sulfate, and zinc in most of the samples from the Pike Hill mines area are within the ranges found in surface waters from the Elizabeth and Ely mines (figs. 18 and 19). The concentrations of these metals in surface mine-drainage samples and mine-pool samples from the Pike Hill mine fall within the range of higher concentration values for samples from the Elizabeth and Ely mines.

Stream Sediments

Mineralogy

The mineralogy of the stream sediments largely reflects the silicate mineralogy of the host rocks. Estimates of the amounts of minerals in stream sediments were based on quantitative X-ray diffraction analysis and are given in table 10 and illustrated in figure 23. Most of the stream-sediment samples consisted of quartz, plagioclase feldspars, micas (that is, muscovite) or altered micas (for example, vermiculite), and hornblende (fig. 23). Other silicates present in lesser amounts included kaolinite and chlorite. The only other minerals identified by XRD in stream-sediment samples were goethite, jarosite, chalcopyrite, calcite, and dolomite, and those minerals are discussed below.

Two of the samples of sediments upstream from mine-impacted waters are those that were collected at site 011398302-SD, from the clean tributary to Pike Hill Brook, and at site 01139826-SD, from the upstream Waits River

sample. In both of those samples, only silicate phases were greater than the reliable detection limit of a few weight percent. Stream-sediment samples that were collected from Pike Hill Brook downstream of the mine site contained significant goethite of approximately 20 weight percent, a few weight percent jarosite, and traces of chalcopyrite (fig. 23). These were either primary or secondary minerals associated with the mine site. Brown secondary precipitates coated the streambed in this area. Downstream trends suggest that goethite content decreased and that jarosite and chalcopyrite were undectable, which reflects a decrease in minerals associated with the mine site downstream. The stream-sediment sample collected from the unnamed tributary to Cookville Brook at site 01139940-SD, which was downstream from the Smith mine, contained trace to nondetectable amounts of primary minerals, that is chalcopyrite, and secondary minerals, that is goethite and jarosite, that are associated with the sulfide deposit. The only other minerals of note in the stream-sediment samples were calcite and dolomite; these carbonates are acid neutralizers found in the host rock of the mineral deposit. The sample from site 01139840-SD at the mouth of Pike Hill Brook and from site 01139841-SD at the Waits River at East Corinth Road contained up to 6 weight percent carbonates (fig. 23).

Minerals associated with the mine may weather and produce acid and runoff containing trace elements that may have a detrimental effect on the aquatic ecosystem; these minerals decreased rapidly downstream. In addition, acid-neutralizing carbonates that could be of benefit to the aquatic ecosystem were found in stream sediments upstream from the confluence of Pike Hill Brook with the Waits River and in the Waits River downstream of the site. Table 10. Estimates of the amounts of minerals in stream-sediment samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.

 $[n.d., not determined; ideal formulas for minerals are given in table 4, except dolomite (CaMg(CO_{3})), hornblende ((Ca,Na)_{2,3}(Mg,Fe,Al)_5Si_6(Si,Al)_2O_{22}(OH)_2), and vermiculite (Mg_8(Mg,Fe,Al)_3(Al,Si)_4O_{10}(OH)_2-4H_2O]$

					Sedime	ent-sample nu	nber					
Mineral	011398302- SD	01139830- SD	01139830- SD-BC	01139832- SD	01139833- SD	01139833- SD-D ¹	01139838- SD	01139839- SD	01139840- SD	01139841- SD	01139940- SD	01139826- SD
					Minera	ls (weight per	cent)					
Albite	7.2	4.4	4	7.3	6.7	8.4	12.1	11.9	13	8.3	6.9	13.4
Anorthite	10.4	7.7	7.2	13	22.6	11.9	14	17.1	11.9	10	10	7.9
Calcite	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4.4	0	0	0
Chalcopyrite	0	1.6	1.2	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.2	0	0.2	0.2	0
Chlorite	0.7	0	0	0	1.3	1.5	0	0	0.9	0	0.4	0.2
Dolomite	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	0	0.6	0	n.d.	n.d.	6.2	n.d.	n.d.
Goethite	0.1	19.7	18.9	2.8	1.1	1.3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hornblende	11.5	1.1	3.8	4.4	4.8	9	1.7	3.8	3.2	2.9	4.1	2.8
Jarosite	0	2.6	2.3	0.3	0.2	0.2	0	0	0	0	0.1	0.3
Kaolinite	1.9	1.8	2.2	2.4	1.8	1.4	1.5	0.1	0.9	1.6	1.8	1.1
Muscovite	5.9	7.9	8.5	4.3	5.7	7.1	7.8	5.7	4	5	5.7	9
Quartz	58.1	49.7	47.2	56.2	52.4	59.6	60.5	57.7	60.4	65.1	68.9	65.9
Vermiculite	4.2	3.5	4.7	8.7	3.1	1.8	2.3	3.3	1.4	0.8	1.9	2.4
					E	of refinement						
Chi-square ²	5.27	4.15	4.3	5.71	6.77	5.03	5.72	5.93	6.36	6.89	4.51	4.91
¹ 01139833-SI ² Chi-sonuare is	D-D is a replicate a committed stati	sample of 0113 stical residual to	19833-SD.	of refinement (hi-sonare – 1 f	or nerfect corre	snondence hetwe	en least-somares	model and ohse	rved data Value	es helow 6 are of	bered



Figure 23. Relative weight percentages of minerals in stream sediments from the Pike Hill mines study area.

Bulk Geochemistry

The concentrations of elements in stream sediments from Pike Hill Brook, from a tributary to Cookville Brook, and from the Waits River are given in table 11 and appendix 5. The concentrations of Ag, Bi, Cd, Cu, Fe, Mo, Pb, S, Sb, Se, Sn, and Zn, which are likely associated with the mineral deposits, were highest in stream-sediment samples collected from sites 01139830-SD and 01139830-SD-BC directly downstream of the Eureka and Union mines. In contrast, the concentrations of these elements in the stream-sediment sample collected at site 01139940-SD downstream of the Smith mine were significantly lower. The concentrations of most of these elements in this sample were similar to those in stream sediment collected in unimpacted waters at sites 01139826-SD and 011398302-SD. The stream sediment downstream from the Smith mine did contain elevated concentrations of cadmium, copper, and zinc compared to background levels.

Probable effect concentrations (PEC) are concentrations of contaminants above which harmful effects on sedimentdwelling organisms are expected to occur frequently. The PECs for As, Cd, Cr, Cu, Ni, Pb, and Zn are given in table 11 and are based on MacDonald and others (2000). These consensus-based criteria were calculated by determining the geometric mean of several published PEC-type sediment-quality standards (MacDonald and others, 2000). To evaluate the biological significance of contaminant mixtures, mean PEC quotients were calculated for the stream-sediment samples (table 11). Mean PEC quotients were determined by dividing the concentrations of an element by its consensus-based PEC, summing the values, and normalizing to the number of PECs for each sediment sample (MacDonald and others, 2000). Concentrations that were below the detection limit were not included in the calculations. For this study, sediment samples were predicted to be toxic when the mean PEC quotients were greater than 0.5. The concentrations of cadmium, copper, and zinc in many samples were greater than the individual PECs (table 11; fig. 24). Mean PEC quotients greater than 0.5 are shown in bold type in table 11 and included stream sediments from sites directly downstream from the Union and Eureka mines, where samples 01139830-SD and 01139830-SD-BC were collected, and directly downstream from the Smith mine, where sample 01139940-SD was collected. In Pike Hill Brook, mean PEC quotients exceeded 0.5 as far downstream as approximately 5.2 km from the mine, where sample 01139838-SD was collected.

Table 11. Concentration of select elements in stream-sediment samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.

[Selenium determined by hydride-generation atomic absorption spectrometry; others elements by a combination of inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; mg/L, milligrams per liter; µg/L, micrograms per liter; PEC, probable effect concentration; <, less than; concentrations in bold exceed criteria]

Element	АІ	As	Cd	S	చ	C	Fe	Mn	Mo	ïz	Pb	s	Sb	Se	Sn	Zn	PEC autient ⁴
Units	percent	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	percent	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	percent	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	
								Guideline	S								
PEC or hazard rating ¹		33	4.98		111	149				48.6	128			4		459	0.5
							Stream	n-sediment	t samples								
Sample number																	
01139826-SD	3.8	$\overline{\lor}$	0.1	4.4	40	7.7	2.04	920	0.21	13.5	16.5	0.01	0.08	<0.2	2.5	44	0.2
011398302-SD	5.76	2	0.5	19	75	262	3.36	2,530	0.86	32.3	25.6	0.06	0.13	0.5	4.5	134	0.5
01139830-SD	3.03	8	5.8	43.2	31	8,070	21.5	686	22.7	7.9	59	2.12	1.28	49.8	8.2	1,070	8.4
01139830-SD- R ²	2.67	23	5	51.1	28.8	6,200	20	613	11.6	9.8	61	ı	1.3	52.1	I	981	6.6
01139830-SD- BC	3.51	6	5.4	46.6	36	6,550	20.8	574	13.8	9.7	61.9	1.61	1.34	52	10.4	1,010	6.9
01139832-SD	5.08	L	3.2	74.4	48	3,530	7.64	1,100	4.71	20.5	31.1	0.41	0.39	8.7	4.2	675	3.9
01139833-SD	4.96	22	4.8	147	51	3,540	6.67	1,830	2.43	24.1	25.5	0.41	0.35	6.8	2.9	834	4.1
01139833-SD Dup ³	4.93	б	5.6	138	50	3,490	6.73	1,750	3.18	23.9	25.5	0.46	0.28	9	3.3	206	4.0
01139838-SD	5.36	\sim	1.6	49.5	49	298	2.72	1,540	0.5	19.5	21.3	0.04	0.07	0.3	2.6	283	0.7
01139839-SD	4.62	\sim	0.9	23.3	34	119	1.84	1,300	0.22	13.8	18.9	0.02	0.05	<0.2	1.6	191	0.4
01139840-SD	4.29	$\overline{\nabla}$	1.4	22.1	27	98.1	1.4	1,380	0.19	12.2	21.8	0.02	0.06	<0.2	2.9	224	0.3
01139841-SD	4.06	1	0.1	4.6	32	8	1.35	667	0.16	11.2	18.2	0.01	0.07	0.2	2.4	43	0.1
01139940-SD	4.74	\sim	1.5	35.5	53	539	2.43	1,650	0.45	31.4	13.1	0.04	0.06	<0.2	2.1	685	1.1
¹ Guidelines for intended to indice terms of food-cha	all element: te concentra in bioaccum	s except se ttions abov ulation an	lenium are /e which h; d reproduc	Probable E urmful effec tive impairr	ffect Conce ts on sedime nent in fish	ntrations ca ent-dwelling and aquatic	culated from g organisms birds (Leml	n the geome are expecte y, 1995).	etric mean of d to occur.	f several se selenium gu	diment-qua ideline is a	lity guidelin hazard ratir	es (MacDc 1g above wl	nald and o nich sedim	thers, 2000 ent may be)). PECs are thazardous	Е
² Replicate split	of sample se	ent for che	mistry ana	lysis.													



Figure 24. Concentrations of cadmium, copper, selenium, and zinc in stream sediments collected from the Pike Hill mines study area. [Probable effect concentrations are from MacDonald and others (2000). Selenium hazard rating from Lemly (1995).]

Based on comparison to PECs and mean PEC quotients for these selected elements, stream sediments downstream from the Pike Hill mines site in both Pike Hill Brook and the tributary to Cookville Brook contained concentrations of elements that may be harmful to sediment-dwelling organisms. However, the speciation of these elements and partitioning among various organic and inorganic solid phases affect their bioavailability, rather than their total concentration. Therefore, in this comparison of total concentration to PECs, the threat to aquatic organisms from the sediment may be overstated.

The concentrations of selenium in the stream sediments were compared to a hazard rating developed by Lemly (1995). Selenium in sediments can be absorbed or ingested by aquatic organisms and bioaccumulate throughout the food chain (Lemly, 1997). A protocol for conducting a hazard assessment of selenium to assess food-chain bioaccumulation and reproductive impairment in fish and aquatic birds was developed by Lemly (1995) and is based on five ecosystem components: water, sediments, benthic macroinvertebrates, fish eggs, and aquatic bird eggs. Each of the components is assigned a hazard rating, and the hazard assessment is a sum of the hazard ratings of all five components. In this study, only the hazard rating for the sediment component was examined. Concentrations of selenium less than the no-hazard rating of less than 1 mg/kg were seen in the samples collected from areas unimpacted by mine drainage, downstream from the wetlands in Pike Hill Brook, and downstream from the Smith mine; however, concentrations of selenium were in the high hazard level of greater than 4 mg/kg in samples that were collected as much as approximately 2 km downstream from the Eureka and Union mines (table 11; fig. 24). As mentioned previously, the actual toxicity of an element such as selenium is related to its bioavailability rather than to its total concentration, and thus the actual toxicity may be overestimated here. The results of sequential partial dissolutions used to characterize the distribution of selenium in a stream-sediment sample from Pike Hill Brook suggest selenium was not dominantly present in the bioavailable fraction (Piatak and others, 2006b).

The downstream variations in the concentrations of cadmium, copper, selenium, and zinc in stream sediments are shown in figure 24. The concentrations of these elements in sediment collected from areas unimpacted by the mines were low. The concentrations of these and other trace elements associated with the mineral deposits near the mines generally decreased with increasing distance from the mines; below the wetlands, concentrations of these elements in sediments did not exceed these standards (fig. 24).

The concentrations of most elements in the Pike Hill mine sediments were similar to those in stream sediment from the Elizabeth Mine that were reported by Hammarstrom and others (1999). For example, the concentrations of aluminum and iron in Elizabeth Mine sediments ranged from 1.3 to 6.2 percent and from 2.2 to 34 percent, respectively (Hammarstrom and others, 1999). In Pike Hill mines sediments, aluminum ranged from 3.8 to 5.76 percent and iron from 1.35 to 21.5 percent (table 11). The maximum concentrations of 8,070 mg/kg of copper and 1,070 mg/kg of zinc in stream sediment from the Pike Hill mines were greater than the maximum values of 3,930 mg/kg of copper and 836 mg/kg of zinc reported in stream sediment from the Elizabeth Mine (Hammarstrom and others, 1999). Concentrations of cadmium were comparable with a maximum value of 5.8 mg/kg for Pike Hill mines sediments compared to a maximum value of 7 mg/kg for the Elizabeth Mine sediments. As with Pike Hill stream sediments, the concentrations of trace elements in stream sediments from the Elizabeth mine study area decreased with increasing distance from the mine.

Conclusions

Mine waste, mine drainage, and stream sediments at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund site were sampled and analyzed to provide a relative ranking of their potential environmental impact and for comparison with the results of similar studies of the nearby Elizabeth and Ely mines Superfund sites. The results indicated that background soils, waters, and stream sediments contained low concentrations of most metals. The background soils were categorized as non-acid generating based on acid-base accounting, and background waters had near neutral pH values and were alkaline and hard. These features are reflections of the calcareous host rocks in the Pike Hill study area.

Most mine-waste samples from the Eureka and Union mines area contained concentrations of copper and iron that exceeded USEPA PRGs and concentrations of selenium and zinc that were significantly higher than the reported mean concentrations of those elements in soils in the eastern United States. The low paste-pH values and negative net-neutralization potentials indicated that most material around the Eureka and Union mines was potentially acid generating. Leachate tests on mine waste indicated that Al, Cd, Co, Cu, Fe, Mn, Se, SO₄, and Zn were leached in concentrations that were higher than water-quality guidelines. Mine waters around and directly downstream from the Eureka and Union mines contained high concentrations of some elements including as much as 39,700 µg/L Al, 22.9 µg/L Cd, 6,790 µg/L Cu, 99,300 µg/L Fe, 6,980 μ g/L Mn, and 7,360 μ g/L Zn, which validates the conclusions of the leachate test. Concentrations of these elements in many water samples exceeded water-quality guidelines. Generally in surface waters, the pH increased and the concentrations of these elements decreased downstream from the Eureka and Union mines in Pike Hill Brook. Stream sediments also contained concentrations of cadmium, copper, selenium, and zinc that exceeded toxicity standards for aquatic life; the concentrations of those elements also decreased with increasing distance from the mines.

46 Geochemical Characterization of the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont

The environmental impact of the Smith mine area is potentially less than that of the Eureka and Union mines. Mine waste at the Smith mine contained concentrations of iron that exceeded the USEPA PRG. It was also acid generating, although generally slightly less acid generating than material from the Eureka and Union area. Although the concentrations of metals in leachate from Smith mine waste did not reach maximum concentrations, Smith mine waste contained comparable concentrations of metals relative to leachate from Eureka and Union mines waste; concentrations of cadmium, copper, and zinc in Smith mine leachates did exceed the acute aquatic toxicity standards. The concentrations of metals in mine waters at the Smith mine generally did not reach the maximum values found at the Eureka and Union mines, although concentrations of some metals exceeded acute toxicity standard, drinking-water standards, or both. Stream sediment downstream from the Smith mine contained intermediate concentrations of trace elements when compared with sediments from Pike Hill Brook downstream from the Eureka and Union mines.

These preliminary results suggest that the environmental impact from the Pike Hill mines site is comparable to that from the Elizabeth and Ely mines based on mine-waste chemistry and potential acid-generation and on mine-drainage and stream-sediment chemistry. At the Pike Hill mines site, USEPA PRGs for several elements were exceeded in minewaste samples. In addition, the aquatic ecosystem in waters draining the Pike Hill Superfund site is potentially threatened, based on leachate tests and water and stream-sediment metal content.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Edward Hathaway, USEPA, and Scott Acone, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, for facilitating this project. We would also like to acknowledge Ruth Wolf, USGS, for analyzing the leachates by ICP-MS and would like to thank Timothy Muzik of the USGS for his assistance in sample collection. The study was funded by support from the USEPA through a grant to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers and by the Mineral Resources Program of the USGS. The manuscript benefited from reviews by Elizabeth Ciganovich, James Coles, and Avery Drake of the USGS and by Edward Hathaway of the USEPA.

References Cited

- Briggs, P.H., 2002a, The determination of forty elements in geological and botanical samples by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry, chap. G of Taggart, J.E., Jr., ed., Analytical methods for chemical analysis of geologic and other materials: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-0223, p. G1-G18. (Also available online at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-0223/. (Accessed December 12, 2006.)
- Briggs, P.H., 2002b, The determination of twenty-seven elements in aqueous samples by inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry, chap. F of Taggart, J.E., Jr., ed., Analytical methods for chemical analysis of geologic and other materials: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-0223, p. F1-F11. (Also available online at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-0223/.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)
- Briggs, P.H. and Meier., A.L., 2002, The determination of forty-two elements in geological materials by inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry, chap. I of Taggart, J.E., Jr., eds., Analytical methods for chemical analysis of geologic and other materials: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-0223, p. 11-114. (Also available online at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-0223/.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)
- Ficklin, W.H., and Mosier, E.L., 1999, Field methods for sampling and analysis of environmental samples for unstable and selected stable constituents, *in* Plumlee, G.S., and Logsdon, M.J., eds., The environmental geochemistry of mineral deposits, Part A. Processes, techniques, and health issues: Society of Economic Geologists, Inc., Reviews in Economic Geology, v. 6A, p. 249-264.
- Hageman, P.L., and Briggs, P.H., 2000, A simple field leach test for rapid screening and qualitative characterization of mine waste dump material on abandoned mine lands: ICARD 2000, Proceedings from the Fifth International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage II, p. 1463-1475.
- Hageman, P.L., Brown, Z.A., and Welsch, E., 2002, Arsenic and selenium by flow injection or continuous flow-hydride generation-atomic absorption spectrophotometry, chap. L of Taggart, J.E., Jr. ed., Analytical methods for chemical analysis of geologic and other materials: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-0223, p. L1-L7. (Also available online at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-0223/.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Hammarstrom, J.M., Meier, A.L., Jackson, J.C., Barden, R., Wormington, P.J., Wormington, J.D., and Seal, R.R., II., 1999, Characterization of mine waste at the Elizabeth copper mine, Orange County, Vermont: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 99-564, 74 p. (Also available online at http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/usgspubs/ofr/ofr99564.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Hammarstrom, J.M., Piatak, N.M., Seal, R.R., II, Briggs, P.H., Meier, A.L., and Muzik, T.L., 2003, Geochemical characteristics of TP3 mine wastes at the Elizabeth copper Superfund site, Orange, Co., Vermont: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 03-431, 83 p, available only online at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2003/of03-431/. (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Hammarstrom, J.M., Seal, R.R., II, Ouimette, A.P., and Foster, S.A., 2001, Sources of metals and acidity at the Elizabeth and Ely mines: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook Series, v. 35, p. 213-248.

Hedges, J.I., and Stern, J.H., 1984, Carbon and nitrogen determinations of carbonate-containing solids: Limnology and Oceanography, v. 29, p. 657-663.

Kiah, R.G., Deacon, J.R., Piatak, N.M., Seal, R.R., II, Coles, J.F., and Hammarstrom, J.M., 2007, Characterization of hydrology and quality of surface waters at the Pike Hill Superfund site, Corinth, Vermont, October 2004 to December 2005: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2007-5003.

Kierstead, M.A., 2001, History and historical resources of the Vermont copper belt: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook Series, v. 35, p. 165-191.

Lamothe, P.J., Meier, A.L., and Wilson, S., 2002, The determination of forty-four elements in aqueous samples in inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry, chap. H of Taggart, J.E., Jr., eds., Analytical methods for chemical analysis of geologic and other materials: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-0223. (Also available online at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-0223/.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Langmuir, D, 1997, Aqueous Environmental Geochemistry: Upper Saddle River, N.J., Prentice-Hall Inc., 600 p.

Lemly, A.D., 1995, A protocol for aquatic hazard assessment of selenium: Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety, v. 32, p. 280-288.

Lemly, A.D., 1997, Environmental implication of excessive selenium: A review: Biomedical and Environmental Sciences, v. 10, p. 415-435. MacDonald, D.D., Ingersoll, C.G., and Berger, T.A., 2000, Development and evaluation of consensus-based sedimentquality guidelines for freshwater ecosystems: Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology, v. 39, p. 20-31.

McKinstry, H.E., and Mikkola, A.K., 1954, The Elizabeth copper mine, Vermont: Economic Geology and the Bulletin of the Society of Economic Geologists, v. 49, no.1, p.1-30.

Neuendorf, K.K.E., Mehl, J.P., Jr., and Jackson, J.A., eds., 2005, Glossary of geology (5th ed.): Alexandria, Virginia, American Geological Institute, 779 p.

Peacock, T.R., Taylor, C.D., and Theodorakos, P.M., 2002, Stream-sediment sample preparation, chap. A *of* Taggart, J.E., Jr., ed., Analytical methods for chemical analysis of geologic and other materials: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-0223, p. A2-1—A2-4. (Also available online at *http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-0223/.*) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Piatak, N.M., Hammarstrom, J.M., Seal, R.R., II, Briggs, P.H., Meier, A.L., Muzik, T.L., and Jackson. J.C., 2004, Geochemical characterization of mine waste at the Ely copper mine Superfund site, Orange County, Vermont: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2004-1248, 56 p, available only online at *http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2004/1248/*. (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Piatak, N.M., Hammarstrom, J.M., and Seal, R.R., II, 2006a, Geochemical characterization of mine waste from the Pike Hill Superfund Site in Vermont, USA: ICARD 2006, Proceedings from the Seventh International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage, p. 1583-1602.

Piatak, N.M., Seal, R.R., II, Sanzolone, R.F., Lamothe, P.J., and Brown, Z.A., 2006b, Preliminary results of sequential extraction experiments for selenium on mine waste and stream sediments from Vermont, Maine, and New Zealand: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 2006-1184, 25 p., available only online *http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2006/1184/*. (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Pitard, F.F., 1993, Pierre Gy's sampling theory and sampling practice—heterogeneity, sampling correctness, and statistical process control (2d ed.): Boca Raton, Fla., CRC Press, 488 p.

Power, M.S., and Milton, N.M., 1990, Detection of geobotanical anomalies associated with mineralization in the Glens Falls 1° x 2° quadrangle, chap. I of Slack, J.F., ed., Summary results of the Glens Falls CUSMAP project, New York, Vermont, and New Hampshire: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1887, p. I1-I8. (Also available online at http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/usgspubs/b/b1887.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

48 Geochemical Characterization of the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont

Price, W.A., Morin, K., and Hutt, N., 1997, Guidelines for the prediction of acid rock drainage and metal leaching for mines in British Columbia: Part II. Recommended procedures for static and kinetic testing *in* Proceedings from the Fourth International Conference on Acid Rock Drainage, Vancouver, B.C.: ICARD, p. 15-30.

Seal, R.R., II, Kornfeld, J.M., Meier, A.L., and Hammarstrom, J.M., 2001, Geochemical setting of mine drainage in the Vermont copper belt: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook Series, v. 35, p. 255-276.

Shacklette, H.T., and Boerngen, J.G., 1984, Element concentrations in soils and other surficial materials of the conterminous United States: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1270, 105 p. (Also available online at *http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/usgspubs/pp/pp1270.*) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Slack, J.F., Atelsek, P.J., and Whitlow, J.W., 1990, Geochemistry of stream sediments and heavy-mineral concentrates from the Orange County copper district, east-central Vermont, chap. Q of Slack, J.F., ed., Summary results of the Glens Falls CUSMAP project, New York, Vermont, and New Hampshire: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1887, p. Q1-Q21. (Also available online at http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/usgspubs/b/b1887.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Slack, J.F., Offield, T.W., Shanks, W.C., III, and Woodruff, L.G., 1993, Besshi-type massive sulfide deposits of the Vermont copper belt, *in* Slack, J.F., and Offield, T.W., eds., Massive sulfide deposits of the Vermont copper belt: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook Series, v. 17, Part II, p. 32-73.

Slack, J.F., Offield, T.W., Woodruff, L.G., and Shanks, W.C., III, 2001, Geology and geochemistry of Besshi-type massive sulfide deposits of the Vermont copper belt: Society of Economic Geologists Guidebook Series, v. 35, p. 193-211.

Slack, J.F., Whitlow, J.W., and Annis, M.P., 1984, Gold in stream sediments from the Orange County copper district, east-central Vermont: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 84-889, 21 p. (Also available online at http://pubs.er.usgs.gov/usgspubs/ofr/ofr84889.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Smith, D.B., 2002, The U.S.G.S. National Geochemical Database: A tool for environmental and resource management: U.S. Geological Survey Mineral News, v. 1, no. 2. (Also available online at http://minerals.usgs.gov/news/v1n2/2geochem.html.) (Accessed January 25, 2007.) Smith, K.S., 1999, Metal sorption on mineral surfaces—an overview with examples relating to mineral deposits, *in* Plumlee, G.S., and Logsdon, M.J., eds., The environmental geochemistry of mineral deposits, Part A. Processes, techniques, and health issues: Society of Economic Geologists, Reviews in Economic Geology, v. 6A. p. 161-182.

Smith, K.S., Campbell, D.L., Desborough, G.A., Hageman, P.L., Leinz, R.W., Stanton, M.R., Sutley, S.J., Swayze, G.A., and Yager, D.B., 2002, Toolkit for the rapid screening and characterization of waste piles at abandoned mine lands, chap. C of Seal, R.R., II, and Foley, N.K., eds., Progress on geoenvironmental models for selected mineral deposit types: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-195, p. 55-64. (Also available online at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/of02-195/.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Sobek, A.A., Schuller, W.A., Freeman, J.R., and Smith, R.M., 1978, Field and laboratory methods applicable to overburden and minesoils: U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 600/2-78-054, 203 p.

Taylor, J.C., and Clapp, R.A., 1992, New features and advanced applications of Siroquant: A personal computer XRD full profile quantitative analysis software package: Advances in X-ray Analysis, v. 35, p. 49-55.

Theodorakos, P.M., d'Angelo, W.M., and Ficklin, W. H., 2002, Fluoride, chloride, nitrate, and sulfate in aqueous solution utilizing AutoSuppression chemically suppressed ion chromatography, chap. V of Taggart, J.E., Jr., ed., Analytical methods for chemical analysis of geologic and other materials: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 02-0223, p. V1-V7. (Also available online at http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2002/ofr-02-0223/.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

Totten, B., 1999, Analysis of iron oxide coating that have formed as a result of acid mine drainage from the Pike Hill copper mine in northeastern Vermont, *in* The Vermont Geological Society's spring meeting, presentation of student papers: The Green Mountain Geologist, v. 26, no. 2, p. 13-14.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 1994, Test methods for evaluating solid waste, physical/chemical methods (SW-846) (3d ed., update 2B): Environmental Protection Agency National Center for Environmental Publications, Cincinnati, Ohio.

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2003, List of Contaminants and their MCLs: available only online at *http://www.epa.gov/safewater/contaminants/*. (Accessed March 22, 2007).

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2004a, Pike Hill Copper Mine, 2004: available only online at *http://www.epa.gov/region1/superfund/sites/pikehill.* (Accessed December 12, 2006.)
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2004b, PRG Intercalc Tables, Soil: available only online at *http://www.epa. gov/region09/waste/sfund/prg/files/04soils.pdf*. (Accessed December 12, 2006.)
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2004c, USEPA Region 9, PRG Intercalc Table—Soils: available only online at http://www.epa.gov/region09/waste/sfund/prg/files/ 04prgtable.pdf . (Accessed December 12, 2006.)
- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, 2006, National Recommended Water Quality Criteria: available only online at *http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/criteria/nrwqc-2006.pdf*. (Accessed December 12, 2006.)
- Vermont Department of Environmental Conversation, 2005, Groundwater Protection Rule and Strategy, Chapter 12: available only online at *http://www.vermontdrinkingwater. org/GWPRS/GWPRS2005.pdf*. (Accessed December 12, 2006.)

- White, W.S., and Eric., J.H., 1944, Preliminary report—geology of Orange County copper district, Vermont: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 44-0019, 40 p. (Also available online at *http://pubs.usgs.gov/usgspubs/ofr/ofr4419*.) (Accessed December 12, 2006.)
- White, W.W., III, Lapakko, K.A., and Cox, R.L., 1999, Statictest methods most commonly used to predict acid-mine drainage: Practical guidelines for use and interpretation, *in* Plumlee, G.S., and Logsdon, M.J., eds., The environmental geochemistry of mineral deposits, Part A. Processes, techniques, and health issues: Society of Economic Geologists, Inc., Reviews in Economic Geology, v. 6A, p. 325-338.
- Wiercinski, S., 1999, Geochemical and mineralogical analysis of the Pike Hill mine tailings, Corinth, Vermont, *in* The Vermont Geological Society's spring meeting, presentation of student papers: The Green Mountain Geologist, v. 26, n. 2, p. 14-15.

This page is intentionally blank.

Appendixes 1—5

Appendix 1. Bulk geochemistry of mine waste from the Pike Hill mines study area

Appendix 2. Analytical results of leachate tests on solid samples from the Pike Hill mines study area

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with water and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill mines study

Appendix 4. Field measurements and concentrations of elements in water samples from the Pike Hill mines study area

Appendix 5. Concentrations of elements in stream sediment from the Pike Hill mines study area

area.
study
mines
Η
Pike
I the
e from
wast
mine
/ of
emistry
geoch
Bulk
-
pendix
Ap

[mg/kg, milligrams per kilogram; wt. %, weight percent; ICP-MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; ICP-AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic

emission spectrometry; HG	-AAS, continuc	ous-flow hydri	de-gener:	ation atom	uic absorpt	ion spectr	ophotome	try; <, les	s than; Du	ıp, field r	eplicate s	ample]		
Element/Lab information	Job number	Lab number	Ag	Ag	A	A	As	As	Au	Ba	Ba	Be	Be	Bi
Units			mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Methods			ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS
Sample number														
04Smith1	MRP-05662	C-245972	5.89	7	44,200	4.6	1	<10	80	308	580	1.4	1	2.21
04Smith2	MRP-05662	C-245973	5.49	7	30,200	3.5	0.6	<10	80	236	560	1.2	1	3.36
04Smith3	MRP-05662	C-245974	9.9	8	25,700	3.2	1	<10	80	195	590	1.2	1	2.73
04Smith4	MRP-05662	C-245975	\heartsuit	\Diamond	1,180	0.16	0.6	<10	8	83.4	850	0.04	$\overline{}$	0.09
04Smith5	MRP-05662	C-245976	\heartsuit	\Diamond	27,600	ю	0.6	<10	80	325	520	1	1	0.23
04Smith6	MRP-05662	C-245977	\heartsuit	\Diamond	47,600	4.7	0.5	<10	80	222	240	1.6	2	0.15
04Smith7	MRP-05662	C-245978	\heartsuit	\Diamond	43,600	3.9	0.8	<10	80	198	220	1.6	2	0.13
04PKHL1	MRP-05662	C-245979	10.3	12	25,800	ю	6.3	<10	8	179	500	1	1	3.39
04PKHL2	MRP-05662	C-245980	6.26	L	40,200	4.7	3.1	<10	8	256	540	1.5	7	2.28
04PKHL3	MRP-05662	C-245981	11.9	14	34,600	4.1	3.3	<10	8	269	550	1.1	1	6.03
04PKHL7	MRP-05662	C-245982	11.4	14	26,400	3.3	8.5	<10	80	193	490	1	1	4.38
04PKHL9	MRP-05662	C-245983	27.8	32	15,400	2	9.7	<10	80	186	620	0.65	$\overline{\lor}$	9.35
04PKHL10	MRP-05662	C-245984	ю	4	31,100	3.7	57	85	8	167	210	1.1	1	1.92
04PKHL11	MRP-05662	C-245985	7.63	10	23,000	2.8	3.2	<10	80	170	480	0.99	1	5.28
05PKHL11 Dup	MRP-06686	C-271421	8.97	\Diamond	26,200	2.7	б	<30	ı	171	180	1.1	$\overline{\lor}$	6.12
04PKHL11-A	MRP-05662	C-245986	\heartsuit	\Diamond	33,700	3.4	0.3	<10	8	325	320	1.4	1	0.26
04PKHL12	MRP-05662	C-245987	\heartsuit	ю	5,570	0.77	0.2	<10	8	44.1	690	0.26	$\overline{\sim}$	1.48
04PKHL13	MRP-05662	C-245988	12.9	16	30,300	3.7	6.6	<10	8	206	530	1.1	1	5.15
04PKHL13-B	MRP-05662	C-245989	\heartsuit	7	1,860	0.27	0.5	<10	8	26.3	780	0.07	$\overline{\lor}$	0.86

Appenuix I.	Dulk	geociit	emistry		lie wa	ste iru				IIES SI	uuy ar	Ed.	JUILIN	neu	
[mg/kg, milligrams emission spectrome	s per kilogr strv: HG-A	am; wt. %.	, weight pe: nuous-flow	rcent; ICH hvdride-9	² -MS, indu	uctively contracted and a second contracted and a seco	oupled pla	sma-mass	spectrom otometry:	etry; ICP <. less th	-AES, ind an: Dup, f	luctively c ïeld renlic	coupled pla	asma-atomi el	0
Element	Bi	Ca	Ca	Cq	Cd	Ce	Ce	S	Co	చ	చ	Cs	Cu	Cu	Eu
Units	mg/kg	mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Methods Samnle numher	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-AES
04Smith1	<10	7.650	0.78	0.63	6	22.7	18	39.4	59	85.1	59	4.3	1,800	1.800	\Diamond
04Smith 2	<10	7,120	0.78	0.29	\Diamond	13.2	×	28.4	46	40.8	10	1.2	1,530	1,700	\Diamond
04Smith3	<10	5,010	0.57	0.22	2	12.5	9	31.7	61	28.2	\sim	0.94	1,380	1,500	\Diamond
04Smith4	<10	262	0.015	0.1	б	2	4>	1.3	36	23.1	\sim	0.16	1,120	1,300	\Diamond
04Smith 5	<10	5,890	0.62	0.49	\Diamond	16.1	13	14	20	38.7	21	3.7	559	610	\Diamond
04Smith6	<10	7,000	0.68	0.13	\Diamond	51.4	52	10.7	10	52.8	54	2.4	19	18	\Diamond
04Smith7	<10	4,590	0.4	0.11	\Diamond	53.3	50	9.8	10	45.4	40	2.3	18	15	\Diamond
04PKHL1	<10	6,620	0.73	0.59	\Diamond	9.6	5	35	59	24.9	$\overline{\nabla}$	1.1	4,410	4,700	\Diamond
04PKHL2	<10	7,680	0.87	0.27	б	12.1	×	29.1	47	37	11	0	3,000	3,200	\Diamond
04PKHL3	<10	4,450	0.5	0.35	0	12.6	6	47.9	76	41.2	16	1.7	3,240	3,400	\Diamond
04PKHL7	<10	7,120	0.85	2.5	4	13.9	10	50.7	88	31.8	4	1.5	8,060	9,000	\Diamond
04PKHL9	<10	4,350	0.54	7	S	11.4	4	103	170	20	$\overline{\lor}$	1.2	9,200	11,000	\Diamond
04PKHL10	<10	29,800	3.4	91.8	110	31.7	29	122	180	42.3	38	1.8	7,200	7,900	\Diamond
04PKHL11	<10	8,320	0.95	0.64	7	8.7	4	36.2	99	24.3	$\overline{\nabla}$	0.97	6,400	7,200	\Diamond
05PKHL-11 Dup	<30	8560	0.96	1.1	\Diamond	8.8	$\overset{>}{4}$	39.3	45	22.6	19.8	0.98	8,410	10,000	ı
04PKHL11-A	<10	9,070	0.87	0.06	\Diamond	17	16	1.1	\sim	54.8	64	5.2	2,370	2,400	\Diamond
04PKHL12	<10	1,300	0.16	0.41	б	2.5	$\overset{>}{4}$	8	37	11.9	$\overline{\nabla}$	0.24	1,610	2,100	\Diamond
04PKHL13	<10	6,350	0.76	0.29	ю	8.6	4	34.4	63	32.1	5	2.1	3,670	4,300	\Diamond
04PKHL13-B	<10	418	0.043	0.1	0	0.98	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	3.6	36	17.8	$\overline{}$	0.21	874	1.000	\Diamond

C (•

Appendix 1

53

Appendix 1.	Bulk	geoch	nemist	ry of m	nine w	aste f	rom th	e Pike	Hill n	nines s	study a	rea_	-Contir	ned	
[mg/kg, milligrams	ther kilogr	am; wt. %	6, weight	percent; I(CP-MS, ir	nductively	/ coupled	plasma-m	ass spectro	ometry; IC	P-AES, ir	nductively	coupled p	olasma-ato	mic
emission spectrom	etry; HG-A	AS, cont	inuous-flc	<u>w hydrid</u>	e-generati	on atomic	: absorptic	on spectrol	photometr	y; <, less 1	han; Dup,	field repl	icate samj	ole]	
Element	Fe	Fe	Ga	Ga	운	¥	¥	La	La	:=		Mg	Mg	۳	Mn
Units	mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES
Sample number															
04Smith1	200,000	19	16	23	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	18,100	1.8	11.5	10	33	32	18,000	1.8	1,370	2,100
04Smith 2	210,000	21	14	20	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	15,600	1.7	9.2	8	14.3	12	6,690	0.74	865	1,800
04Smith3	230,000	25	12	18	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	12,300	1.4	8.2	9	9.7	10	4,130	0.5	609	740
04Smith4	410,000	47	2.4	18	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	2,850	0.36	0.9	\Diamond	< 0.2	\Diamond	250	0.036	39	13
04Smith 5	130,000	14	10	14	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	5,800	0.66	5.5	5	16.7	16	6,620	0.71	807	860
04Smith6	26,000	2.6	12	8	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	6,540	0.68	20.6	19	30.3	28	14,700	1.5	1,120	1,100
04Smith7	26,000	2.4	9.9	8	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	6,790	0.66	16.3	15	27.8	24	7,830	0.71	606	570
04PKHL1	200,000	20	12	20	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	13,800	1.6	6.1	S	9.3	10	3,190	0.37	166	170
04PKHL2	170,000	18	16	18	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	18,700	2.1	7	9	15	14	4,980	0.57	218	210
04PKHL3	180,000	19	15	19	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	21,500	2.4	7	9	17.7	17	6,090	0.72	238	250
04PKHL7	170,000	19	11	18	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	14,900	1.8	7.8	L	14.4	14	5,710	0.71	302	340
04PKHL9	260,000	27	6.6	17	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	9,490	1.2	5.8	4	5.7	7	2,350	0.34	223	240
04PKHL10	33,000	3.6	10	12	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	12,200	1.4	15.1	15	21.8	21	12,300	1.4	1,360	1,600
04PKHL11	170,000	19	9.6	14	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	12,400	1.5	4.9	4	6	8	3,730	0.46	159	180
05PKHL-11 Dup	180,000	22	9.7	28	ı	13,400	1.4	4.9	S	4.9	6	3,640	0.41	160	190
04PKHL11-A	5,700	0.65	11	10	4>	9,740	0.98	8	8	21.3	18	6,830	0.72	196	210
04PKHL12	310,000	40	3.2	20	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	2,800	0.39	1.3	\Diamond	1.8	2	722	0.11	32	14
04PKHL13	170,000	20	14	19	4>	17,000	2.1	5.1	4	16	14	4,640	0.56	234	260
04PKHL13-B	360,000	46	5.4	21	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	2,510	0.34	0.52	\Diamond	0.3	\Diamond	281	0.039	22	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$

Geochemical Characterization of the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont 54

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		2000									oraay c			505	
mg/kg, milligrams	per kilog	gram; wt.	%, weight	percent; I	CP-MS, ii	nductively	/ coupled]	olasma-m	ass spectr	ometry; I	CP-AES, i	nductivel	y coupled I	olasma-at	omic
emission spectrom	stry; HG-	AAS, con	tinuous-fl	ow hydride	e-generati	ion atomic	: absorptio	n spectro	photomet	ry; <, less	than; Dup	, field rep	licate sam	ple]	
Element	Mo	Mo	Na	Na	٩N	٩N	PN	N	Ż	4	д.	Pb	Pb	Rb	Sb
Units	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS
Sample number															
04Smith1	4.6	18	6,620	0.74	2.3	8	18	15	10	980	0.11	6.69	86	67.2	0.1
04Smith 2	4	16	7,640	1	< 2 <	9	17	10	7	910	0.11	115	130	67.2	0.07
04Smith3	16.2	22	6,880	0.98	< 2 <	18	20	9.9	7	840	0.1	83.2	110	51.2	0.22
04Smith4	0.2	\Diamond	58	0.027	< 2 2	30	32	1.4	\Diamond	970	0.13	22.2	70	3.2	0.2
04Smith 5	1.4	\Diamond	3,970	0.5	3.4	6	14	25.4	23	1,300	0.14	9.8	26	25	0.07
04Smith6	0.36	\Diamond	5,160	0.59	7	$\overset{>}{4}$	20	27.3	25	190	0.02	14.1	12	28.2	0.07
04Smith7	0.46	\Diamond	4,040	0.41	2.8	$\overset{>}{4}$	15	23	20	260	0.024	14.2	13	30.6	0.05
04PKHL1	37.4	34	5,980	0.78	4.7	14	15	7.2	б	370	0.054	89.2	120	62.2	0.22
04PKHL2	32.2	35	9,500	1.3	6.6	14	14	Г	б	400	0.053	58.9	79	89.4	0.1
04PKHL3	18.5	18	4,540	0.61	7.2	14	14	7.9	с	430	0.059	85.7	110	93.9	0.2
04PKHL7	21.6	22	4,140	0.59	5.2	12	16	9.6	9	360	0.062	238	250	67.5	1.1
04PKHL9	44.5	42	1,850	0.3	5.6	17	19	13.6	10	180	0.046	182	200	46.1	5.7
04PKHL10	4.1	8	3,080	0.42	2.2	$\overset{>}{4}$	14	8.9	9	420	0.066	103	110	56.1	0.57
04PKHL11	19.4	23	5,110	0.7	5.5	15	13	7.1	ю	280	0.054	78.3	120	55.9	0.1
J5PKHL-11 Dup	22.5	28	6,110	0.72	5.8	12	16	4.9	6	310	0.009	85.5	40	55.1	0.2
)4PKHL11-A	0.62	\Diamond	5,680	0.61	6.9	4	×	6.8	ю	460	0.054	14	17	51.8	0.1
04PKHL12	4.9	4	1,160	0.18	< 2	30	25	1.1	\Diamond	120	0.023	15.4	64	13.2	0.08
04PKHL13	34.5	24	6,340	0.89	6.6	16	14	7.4	4	320	0.052	102	130	86	0.2
)4PKHL13-B	1.9	7	419	0.081	< 2	28	30	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\scriptstyle \sim}$	\Diamond	250	0.041	29.4	86	8.3	0.07

Appendix 1. Bulk geochemistry of mine waste from the Pike Hill mines study area.—Continued

.

Appendix 1.	Bulk	geoch	emistry	of min	e was	ste froi	n the I	oike H	ill min	es stu	idy are	a.—C	ontinu	ed
[mg/kg, milligrams	s per kilog	ram; wt. % AAS confi	i, weight per	rcent; ICP-	MS, indu	ctively con atomic abo	upled plas	ma-mass s	spectrome	try; ICP-/	AES, indu	ctively co	upled plas	ma-atom
Element	Sc	Sc Sc	Se	Sn Sn	Sr	Sr	Ta	Th	Th	Ti Ti	Ti Pulp, III	T	N	∍
Units	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg	wt. %	mg/kg	mg/kg	mg/kg
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	HG-AAS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES
Sample number														
04Smith1	13	16	20.1	\diamond	96.9	94	<20	4.8	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	2,500	0.18	0.61	1.1	<100
04Smith 2	7.4	11	22.7	28	81.2	75	<20	2.7	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	2,000	0.16	0.88	0.69	<100
04Smith3	5.4	10	24.8	\Diamond	64.4	61	<20	2.6	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	1,200	0.094	1.1	0.7	<100
04Smith4	1.3	6	2.1	\Diamond	12.1	\Diamond	<20	4.4	9	180	<0.005	0.2	0.36	<100
04Smith 5	8.3	11	0.8	\Diamond	251	260	<20	12.1	16	1,600	0.16	0.2	0.99	<100
04Smith6	8.8	6	0.3	18	404	400	<20	7.5	4	1,300	0.12	0.3	1.3	<100
04Smith7	6.8	L	0.4	\Diamond	274	250	<20	6.7	7	1,400	0.13	0.3	1.1	<100
04PKHL1	4.6	8	48.9	\Diamond	71.4	67	<20	2.1	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	1,600	0.051	1.3	0.54	<100
04PKHL2	7.5	11	47	10	88.5	82	<20	3.6	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	2,200	0.14	1.6	0.65	<100
04PKHL3	8.4	12	47.6	19	70.5	65	<20	б	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	2,400	0.16	1.6	0.64	<100
04PKHL7	5.6	10	52	\Diamond	61.5	63	<20	2.8	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	1,800	<0.005	1.2	0.7	<100
04PKHL9	3.3	6	124.2	36	68.6	65	<20	1.8	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	1,400	<0.005	0.83	0.66	<100
04PKHL10	Г	8	7.4	\Diamond	70.7	72	$\stackrel{<}{\sim} 0$	4.5	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	1,700	<0.005	0.78	1.6	<100
04PKHL11	4.7	8	60.7	5	74.6	74	<20	1.9	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	1,600	<0.005	1	0.5	<100
05PKHL-11 Dup	4.8	б	65.6	ı	73.8	90	ı	2.2	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	1,800	0.16	1.1	0.57	<30
04PKHL11-A	14	14	9.2	7	173	160	<20	16.4	22	3,200	0.27	0.3	2.5	<100
04PKHL12	1.3	8	11.2	11	18.9	10	<20	1.2	$\stackrel{\wedge}{4}$	350	<0.005	0.2	0.16	<100
04PKHL13	9	10	53.9	7	6.69	67	<20	2.2	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	2,000	0.11	2.3	0.44	<100
04PKHL13-B	0.8	8	7.1	11	8.9	\Diamond	<20	0.57	$\overset{\wedge}{4}$	330	<0.005	0.2	0.06	<100

C (-D...1, -

þ	
ŝtu	
S	
ne	
J.	
Ē	
Ξ	
(e	
Ŀ	
e	
th	
E	
fro	
es	
þ	
E	
S	
lid	
SO	
U	
S	
est	
e ti	
atı	
сh	
ea	
Ţ	
000	
lt	
SSI	
Ĩ	
cal	
Ţ	
aly	
An	
2.	
<u>×</u>	
nd	
Jel	a.
d	Ire
	g

[sp. cond., specific conductance; temp., temperature; DO, dissolved oxygen; ORP, oxidation-reduction potential; µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mV, millivolts; n.d., not determined; <, less than; Hach, colorimetric method using Hach spectrophotometer; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES,

inductively coupled plasn and MS and filtered unaci	na-atomic emis dified splits use	sion spectrome d for IC]	try; ICP	-MS or MS	i, induc	tively c	oupled pl	asma-ma	ass spectr	ometry; filter	ed acidifie	d splits use	d for AES	
Characteristic/element	Job number	Lab number	Hd	sp. cond.	temp.	00	ORP	Fe ²⁺	Fe _{total}	Fe ²⁺ /Fe _{total}	Ag	Ag	A	A
Units				µS/cm	ပိ	mg/L	МV	mg/L	mg/L		µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Methods	AES & MS	AES & MS						Hach	Hach		ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES
Sample number														
04Smith1	MRP-05718	C-247284	3.55	225	23.4	8	508.7	0.45	0.55	0.8	\Diamond	<10	2,080	2,010
04Smith2	MRP-05718	C-247285	3.36	244	23.1	8	496.6	0.17	0.24	0.7	\heartsuit	<10	127	126
04Smith3	MRP-05718	C-247288	3.20	304	23.3	7	444.4	0.04	0.12	0.3	\heartsuit	<10	90	79.9
04Smith5	MRP-05718	C-247287	3.28	295	23.1	8	410.8	n.d.	0.09	n.d.	\heartsuit	<10	582	577
04Smith6	MRP-05718	C-247278	5.89	17.6	22.7	8	283.0	n.d.	0.00	n.d.	\heartsuit	<10	7.3	<50
04Smith7	MRP-05718	C-247277	5.87	13.3	23.1	8	277.0	n.d.	0.01	n.d.	\heartsuit	<10	13.8	<50
04PKHL1	MRP-05718	C-247294	3.11	577	22.4	8	390.2	0.22	0.31	0.7	\heartsuit	<10	587	630
04PKHL2	MRP-05718	C-247289	3.17	314	22.6	8	373.2	0.13	0.16	0.8	\heartsuit	<10	97.6	92.4
04PKHL3	MRP-05718	C-247290	3.24	315	21.9	8	580.9	0.08	0.10	0.8	\heartsuit	<10	70.8	62.8
04PKHL7	MRP-05718	C-247286	3.71	260	21.9	8	571.3	0.06	0.09	0.7	\heartsuit	<10	128	125
04PKHL9	MRP-05718	C-247302	2.90	967	21.8	×	564.0	0.42	3.54	0.1	\heartsuit	<10	7,460	8,090
04PKHL10	MRP-05718	C-247283	7.08	206	21.7	9	374.5	n.d.	0.00	n.d.	\heartsuit	<10	2.9	<50
04PKHL11	MRP-05718	C-247301	3.12	934	22	×	469.6	0.23	0.42	0.5	\heartsuit	<10	1,510	1,520
04PKHL12	MRP-05718	C-247295	2.94	583	21.8	×	537.4	1.39	3.25	0.4	\heartsuit	<10	343	339
04PKHL13	MRP-05718	C-247293	3.28	525	22	×	567.4	0.07	0.12	0.6	\Diamond	<10	503	497
04PKHL13-B	MRP-05718	C-247297	2.84	737	22	8	536.9	1.72	5.50	0.3	\heartsuit	<10	442	461
010405Blk C1 ¹	MRP-05718	C-247279	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	\heartsuit	<10	\Diamond	<50
010405Blk C2 ¹	MRP-05718	C-247280	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	\heartsuit	<10	\Diamond	<50
010405Blk C1F ²	MRP-05718	C-247281	4.33	46.7	22	8	509.3	n.d.	0.00	n.d.	\Diamond	<10	\Diamond	≪50

.

7	
tu	
S S	
ne	
Ē	
Ξ	
T	
ike	
the	
Ξ	
fro	
ŝ	
ple	
m	
ŝ	
lid	
SO	
on	
ts	
tes	
te 1	
hat	
acl	
ë	
of	
lts	
su	
Ĩ	
a	
tic	
aly	ed
An	nu
2	nti
Ľ.	S
pu	Í
pe	Эа.
Ap	are

[sp. cond., specific conductance; temp., temperature; DO, dissolved oxygen; ORP, oxidation-reduction potential; µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; filtered acidified splits used for AES liter; mV, millivolts; n.d., not determined; <, less than; Hach, colorimetric method using Hach spectrophotometer; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, and MS and filtered unacidified splits used for IC]

Element	As	As	æ	Ba	Ba	Be	Be	B	Ca	Ca	5	S	Ce	ප	පී
Units	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES
Sample number															
04Smith1	\sim	<100	<20	2.78	2.51	0.2	\Diamond	< 0.2	3.43	3.54	3.44	<10	2.22	41.9	46.5
04Smith2	\sim	<100	<20	0.55	\sim	<0.05	\Diamond	< 0.2	2.67	2.72	2.03	<10	0.21	64.2	63.9
04Smith3	$\overline{}$	<100	<20	0.76	\sim	<0.05	Ş	< 0.2	0.83	0.787	0.39	<10	0.22	4.64	<10
04Smith5	$\overline{}$	<100	<20	33.5	32.6	<0.05	Ş	< 0.2	2.56	2.55	1.19	<10	1.34	20.2	22
04Smith6	$\overline{}$	<100	<20	2.66	2.55	<0.05	Ş	< 0.2	1.57	1.6	<0.02	<10	0.08	0.07	<10
04Smith7	$\overline{}$	<100	<20	3.88	4.09	<0.05	Ş	< 0.2	1.33	1.4	<0.02	<10	0.05	0.09	<10
04PKHL1	$\overline{}$	<100	<20	0.85	\sim	<0.05	Ş	< 0.2	48.3	48.7	1.23	<10	4.08	7.89	<10
04PKHL2	$\overline{}$	<100	<20	<0.2	\sim	<0.05	Ş	< 0.2	3.76	3.84	0.66	<10	0.19	11.1	14.9
04PKHL3	$\overline{}$	<100	<20	<0.2	\sim	<0.05	Ş	< 0.2	0.55	0.543	0.66	<10	0.1	3.53	<10
04PKHL7	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	<20	0.68	$\overline{\nabla}$	<0.05	\Diamond	< 0.2	24.4	24	22.1	21.6	1.1	81	82
04PKHL9	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	<20	4.44	4.1	0.2	\diamond	< 0.2	54.9	61.6	10.2	<10	30.7	1,020	1,020
04PKHL10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	<20	3.82	3.81	<0.05	\Diamond	< 0.2	35.2	36.2	23	21.9	< 0.01	1.06	<10
04PKHL11	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	<20	0.3	$\overline{\nabla}$	0.05	\Diamond	< 0.2	120	127	3.5	<10	9.94	53.7	46.8
04PKHL12	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	<20	0.88	$\overline{\nabla}$	<0.05	\Diamond	< 0.2	2.58	2.74	0.6	<10	2.08	30.8	33.1
04PKHL13	$\overline{}$	<100	<20	0.73	$\overline{\nabla}$	<0.05	\Diamond	< 0.2	50.8	52.5	2.41	<10	2.65	6.45	11.1
04PKHL13-B	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\sim}$	<100	<20	<0.2	\sim	<0.05	Ŷ	< 0.2	3.63	3.79	2.53	<10	2.1	46.9	49
010405Blk C1 ¹	\sim	<100	<20	<0.2	\sim	<0.05	\diamond	< 0.2	<0.2	$<\!0.1$	<0.02	<10	< 0.01	<0.02	<10
010405Blk C2 ¹	\sim	<100	<20	<0.2	\sim	<0.05	\Diamond	< 0.2	<0.2	$<\!0.1$	<0.02	<10	< 0.01	<0.02	<10
$010405Blk C1F^2$	\sim	<100	<20	<0.2	\sim	<0.05	ŝ	< 0.2	<0.2	<0.1	<0.02	<10	< 0.01	<0.02	<10

þ	
stu	
es	
ij.	
Ξ	
ke	
Ē	
the	
Ξ	
fro	
es	
npl	
sar	
sol	
on	
its	
tes	
Ite	
cha	
ea	
of I	
ts (
sul	
ŗ	
cal	
ytic	_
Jal	nec
A	tini
X Z	ON
libu	5
per	а
Apl	are

[sp. cond., specific conductance; temp., temperature; DO, dissolved oxygen; ORP, oxidation-reduction potential; µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mV, millivolts; n.d., not determined; <, less than; Hach, colorimetric method using Hach spectrophotometer; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES,

and MS and filtered	l unacidifi	ied splits u	ised for IC											
Element	ç	ۍ	cs	Cu	Cu	Ŋ	Ъ	Eu	ዌ	Fe	Ga	Gd	Ge	위
Units	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	µg/L	hg/L	hg/L	hg/L	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS
Sample number														
04Smith1	\sim	<10	1.38	754	734	0.13	0.077	0.03	499	467	< 0.05	0.15	< 0.05	0.02
04Smith2	\sim	<10	0.37	698	684	0.03	0.008	0.006	196	207	< 0.05	0.03	< 0.05	< 0.005
04Smith3	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.34	534	492	0.02	0.01	< 0.005	83	98.7	< 0.05	0.02	< 0.05	0.005
04Smith5	\sim	<10	1.14	479	447	0.078	0.04	0.02	50	75	< 0.05	0.073	< 0.05	0.02
04Smith6	\sim	<10	0.08	<0.5	<10	0.02	0.01	0.006	<50	<20	< 0.05	0.02	< 0.05	0.007
04Smith7	\sim	<10	0.05	<0.5	<10	0.01	0.008	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	0.02	< 0.05	< 0.005
04PKHL1	\sim	<10	0.54	1,280	1,280	0.22	0.12	0.056	265	252	< 0.05	0.25	< 0.05	0.04
04PKHL2	\leq	<10	0.61	695	678	0.02	0.01	0.006	113	128	< 0.05	0.02	< 0.05	< 0.005
04PKHL3	\sim	<10	0.51	844	776	0.01	0.005	< 0.005	58	76.8	< 0.05	0.01	< 0.05	< 0.005
04PKHL7	\sim	<10	0.42	2,270	2,110	0.099	0.059	0.02	<50	63	< 0.05	0.086	< 0.05	0.02
04PKHL9	6.7	<10	0.49	17,000	17,500	0.72	0.38	0.29	3,150	3,130	0.23	0.99	< 0.05	0.15
04PKHL10	\sim	<10	0.03	6.2	<10	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005
04PKHL11	1.3	<10	1	2,240	2,270	0.5	0.25	0.15	352	308	0.05	0.62	< 0.05	0.099
04PKHL12	\sim	<10	0.34	53.3	56.9	0.094	0.05	0.03	3,210	3,090	< 0.05	0.14	< 0.05	0.02
04PKHL13	\sim	<10	0.94	666	LL6	0.18	0.1	0.05	59	83.2	< 0.05	0.2	< 0.05	0.04
04PKHL13-B	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	1.18	262	306	0.13	0.052	0.03	5,310	5,020	0.1	0.11	< 0.05	0.02
010405Blk C1 ¹	\sim	<10	< 0.02	<0.5	<10	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005
010405Blk C2 ¹	\sim	<10	< 0.02	<0.5	<10	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005
$010405 Blk C1 F^2$	\sim	<10	< 0.02	<0.5	<10	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005

>	
pn	
St	
ŝ	
Ľ	
Ξ	
=	
Ξ	
Ð	
Ķ	
he	
ļ	
o	
Ţ	
S	
þ	
Ξ	
Sa	
5	
Ξ	
SC	
n	
S	
St	
te	
te	
Ja	
5	
ea	
Ţ	
0	
Its	
SU	
ě	
Ξ	
ü	
ž	_
a	ea
N	nu
	Ē
2	UO
q:	ပု
ŝn	ļ
þe	Эа.
γp	JL
_	

[sp. cond., specific conductance; temp., temperature; DO, dissolved oxygen; ORP, oxidation-reduction potential; µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; filtered acidified splits used for AES liter; mV, millivolts; n.d., not determined; <, less than; Hach, colorimetric method using Hach spectrophotometer; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, and MS and filtered unacidified splits used for IC]

Element	×	¥	La	:=	:=	3	Mg	Mg	٩	Mn	Mo	Mo	Na	Na	٩N
Units	mg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	mg/L	µg/L
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS
Sample number															
04Smith1	0.41	0.337	1.35	1.2	<10	< 0.1	0.73	0.752	006	862	< 2 >	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04Smith2	0.2	0.113	0.1	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	0.46	0.477	221	220	< 2 >	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04Smith3	0.3	0.214	0.12	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	0.44	0.429	66.4	62.2	< 2 >	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04Smith5	0.2	0.156	0.65	4.5	<10	< 0.1	1.82	1.84	868	869	< 2 >	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04Smith6	0.79	0.647	0.1	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	0.16	0.158	42.5	41.2	< 2 >	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04Smith7	0.52	0.447	0.05	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	0.13	0.136	28.6	28.5	< 2 >	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04PKHL1	0.3	0.222	1.71	1.5	<10	< 0.1	0.57	0.589	66.1	63.1	< 2 >	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04PKHL2	0.46	0.405	0.08	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	0.27	0.282	33	32.6	< 2 >	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04PKHL3	0.48	0.412	0.04	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	0.25	0.25	24.8	22.8	< 2 >	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04PKHL7	0.39	0.309	0.64	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	1.01	1.06	1,020	992	< 2 2	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04PKHL9	<0.03	<0.1	6.52	3.6	<10	< 0.1	3.25	3.64	251	271	< 2 2	<20	<0.5	$<\!0.1$	< 0.2
04PKHL10	0.53	0.439	< 0.01	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	0.17	0.176	38.5	37.9	< 2 2	<20	<0.5	$<\!0.1$	< 0.2
04PKHL11	0.2	0.152	3.27	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	1.1	1.18	95.7	84.2	< 2	<20	<0.5	$<\!0.1$	< 0.2
04PKHL12	0.4	0.291	0.91	1	<10	< 0.1	0.37	0.388	42.2	42.2	< 2	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04PKHL13	0.38	0.317	0.99	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	0.49	0.502	52.6	52.9	< 2 2	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
04PKHL13-B	0.2	0.108	0.88	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	0.48	0.514	56.5	57.8	< 2	<20	<0.5	$<\!0.1$	< 0.2
010405Blk C1 ¹	<0.03	<0.1	< 0.01	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	<0.01	<0.1	<0.2	<10	< 2	<20	<0.5	$<\!0.1$	< 0.2
010405Blk C2 ¹	<0.03	<0.1	< 0.01	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	<0.01	<0.1	<0.2	<10	< 2	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2
010405Blk C1F ²	< 0.03	<0.1	< 0.01	< 0.9	<10	< 0.1	<0.01	<0.1	<0.2	<10	< 2	<20	<0.5	<0.1	< 0.2

Appendix 2. Analytical results of leachate tests on solid samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.—Continued

[sp. cond., specific conductance; temp., temperature; DO, dissolved oxygen; ORP, oxidation-reduction potential; µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry: ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; filtered acidified splits used for AES liter; mV, millivolts; n.d., not determined; <, less than; Hach, colorimetric method using Hach spectrophotometer; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES,

and MS and filtered	l unacidifie	ed splits u	used for IC							2 2					
Element	PN	ïZ	ïZ	۹	۹	Pb	Pb	Pr	ßb	Sb	Sb	Sc	Se	Si0 ₂	Si0 ₂
Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L	hg/L	mg/L	mg/L
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	CP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES
Sample number															
04Smith1	0.87	10.2	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	0.1	<100	0.23	9.7	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	~ 1	1	1.04
04Smith2	0.12	15.2	13.6	< 0.01	<0.1	<0.05	<100	0.03	4.32	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	$\sim \frac{1}{2}$	1.6	1.63
04Smith3	0.09	1.5	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	0.4	<100	0.03	5.33	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	$\sim \frac{1}{2}$	1.7	1.67
04Smith5	0.66	9.3	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	0.5	<100	0.15	3.66	<0.3	<100	0.6	< 1	3.8	3.8
04Smith6	0.13	<0.4	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	<0.05	<100	0.03	4.65	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	< 1	1.1	1.18
04Smith7	0.06	<0.4	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	<0.05	<100	0.02	3.91	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	$\sim \frac{1}{2}$	1.5	1.61
04PKHL1	1.63	0.8	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	1	<100	0.43	5.04	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	2.6	1.6	1.52
04PKHL2	0.09	1.4	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	<0.05	<100	0.02	5.7	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	1.5	1.6	1.58
04PKHL3	0.04	0.7	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	0.2	<100	0.01	5.27	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	1	2.3	2.19
04PKHL7	0.44	23.9	19.4	< 0.01	<0.1	2.2	<100	0.12	6.29	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	7	1.9	2.05
04PKHL9	7.5	44	43.3	< 0.01	<0.1	0.72	<100	1.8	1.5	<0.3	<100	1.2	9.1	2.4	2.63
04PKHL10	< 0.01	<0.4	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	<0.05	<100	< 0.01	3.74	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{-}$	1	1.05
04PKHL11	4.58	3.6	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	1.2	<100	1.14	4.09	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	5.8	2.2	1.96
04PKHL12	0.94	5.8	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	1.8	<100	0.24	4.16	<0.3	<100	0.6	$\sim \frac{1}{2}$	3.2	3.3
04PKHL13	1.1	0.5	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	0.87	<100	0.28	6.19	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	2.2	1.4	1.37
04PKHL13-B	0.95	7.5	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	0.76	<100	0.23	6.56	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	1.5	1.62
010405Blk C1 ¹	< 0.01	<0.4	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	<0.05	<100	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	< 1	< 0.2	$<\!0.1$
010405Blk C2 ¹	< 0.01	<0.4	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	<0.05	<100	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	< 1	< 0.2	<0.1
010405Blk C1F ²	< 0.01	<0.4	<10	< 0.01	<0.1	<0.05	<100	< 0.01	< 0.01	<0.3	<100	< 0.6	~ 1	< 0.2	<0.1
<u>></u>															
-------------	---------														
pn															
st															
es															
Ŀ.															
Ξ															
Ŧ															
E E															
Ĭ															
the															
Ē															
ē															
sf															
ë															
np															
ar															
Ī															
S															
ON															
ts															
es															
et															
at															
Сh															
ea															
Ē															
0															
Ë															
isu															
Le															
Sal															
ţi															
١	ba														
N í	Int														
	Itir														
X	Ő														
q															
en	ا بہ														
рр	10 0														
₫	a														

[sp. cond., specific conductance; temp., temperature; DO, dissolved oxygen; ORP, oxidation-reduction potential; µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; filtered acidified splits used for AES liter; mV, millivolts; n.d., not determined; <, less than; Hach, colorimetric method using Hach spectrophotometer; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, and MS and filtered unacidified splits used for IC]

Element	Sm	SO4	Sr	Sr	Ta	Tb	μ	μ	Ϊ	F	Tm	n	٨	٨	Ν
Units	µg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L	hg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L	hg/L	µg/L
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS
Sample number															
04Smith1	0.17	41	4.54	4.56	< 0.02	0.02	< 0.2	1.8	<50	0.1	0.008	0.13	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04Smith2	0.02	32	2.91	2.98	< 0.02	0.007	< 0.2	1.6	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04Smith3	0.02	38	2.34	2.19	< 0.02	< 0.005	< 0.2	1.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04Smith5	0.12	48	19.4	19.7	< 0.02	0.02	< 0.2	1.7	<50	0.1	0.007	0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04Smith6	0.01	4	9.9	10	< 0.02	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04Smith7	0.02	5	10	10.8	< 0.02	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL1	0.28	163	10.2	10.3	< 0.02	0.04	< 0.2	5.3	<50	<0.1	0.02	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL2	0.02	44	1.62	1.62	< 0.02	< 0.005	< 0.2	2.1	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL3	0.02	33	1.47	1.33	< 0.02	< 0.005	< 0.2	1.6	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL7	0.08	81	18.8	19.5	< 0.02	0.02	< 0.2	2.2	<50	<0.1	0.01	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL9	1.39	294	26.7	29.1	< 0.02	0.14	0.49	10.4	<50	<0.1	0.059	0.56	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL10	< 0.01	83	14.8	15.7	< 0.02	< 0.005	< 0.2	2.4	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL11	0.84	370	27.7	29	< 0.02	0.086	< 0.2	10.5	<50	<0.1	0.03	0.11	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL12	0.18	83	7.13	7.71	< 0.02	0.02	< 0.2	2.5	<50	<0.1	0.006	0.14	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL13	0.21	156	9.76	10	< 0.02	0.03	< 0.2	4.8	<50	0.2	0.01	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
04PKHL13-B	0.17	76	6.7	6.94	< 0.02	0.02	< 0.2	2.9	<50	$<\!0.1$	0.01	0.14	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
010405Blk C1 ¹	< 0.01	4	< 0.5	\sim	< 0.02	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
010405Blk C2 ¹	< 0.01	9	< 0.5	\sim	< 0.02	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5
010405Blk C1F ²	< 0.01	L	< 0.5	\sim	< 0.02	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5

γþ	
stu	
les	
min	
Ξ	
еН	
Pik	
he	
mt	
fro	
es	
dm	
Sa	
bild	
n S(
S 0	
est	
te 1	
cha	
ea	
of I	
lts	
esu	
alr	
tici	
aly	led
An	linu
X 2.	on
ndi	
bei	ea
Ap	ari

[sp. cond., specific conductance; temp., temperature; DO, dissolved oxygen; ORP, oxidation-reduction potential; µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; filtered acidified splits used for AES liter; mV, millivolts; n.d., not determined; <, less than; Hach, colorimetric method using Hach spectrophotometer; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES,

and MS and filtered unacidifie	ed splits u	sed for IC									
Characteristic/Element	۲	٩X	Zn	Zn	Zr	Job number	Lab number	C	ш	NO3	SO4
Units Methods	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	<u>ں</u>	<u>ں</u>	mg/L IC-Aq	mg/L IC-Aq	mg/L IC-Aq	mg/L IC-Aq
Sample number											-
04Smith1	0.86	0.05	710	691	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247315	3.8	<.08	1.5	110
04Smith2	0.11	0.008	205	199	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247316	3.8	<.08	1.5	117
04Smith3	0.09	0.006	<i>77.9</i>	76.1	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247319	6.2	<.08	1.6	167
04Smith5	0.34	0.04	380	366	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247318	3.8	0.14	1.5	142
04Smith6	0.11	0.02	0.6	<10	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247311	1.2	1	1.2	4
04Smith7	0.06	0.005	0.7	<10	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247310	1.3	<.08	1.2	3.5
04PKHL1	1.02	0.12	146	146	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247325	6.2	<.08	1.6	350
04PKHL2	0.08	0.02	82.6	80.3	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247320	6.2	<.08	1.6	175
04PKHL3	0.05	0.006	86.5	82.7	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247321	6.3	<.08	1.7	160
04PKHL7	0.69	0.07	3340	3,210	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247317	3.8	0.12	1.5	142
04PKHL9	2.96	0.35	955	1,070	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247333	12.6	<.08	2.3	069
04PKHL10	< 0.01	< 0.005	700	679	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247314	3.6	<.08	1.5	91
04PKHL11	2.21	0.19	318	301	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247332	12.3	<.08	2.2	718
04PKHL12	0.44	0.04	79.9	76	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247326	6.2	<.08	1.7	261
04PKHL13	0.85	0.09	110	116	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247324	6.3	<.08	1.7	329
04PKHL13-B	0.51	0.06	263	259	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247328	6.5	<.08	1.9	312
010405Blk C1 ¹	< 0.01	< 0.005	<0.5	<10	< 0.2	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
010405Blk C2 ¹	< 0.01	< 0.005	<0.5	<10	< 0.2	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
$010405Blk C1F^2$	< 0.01	< 0.005	0.9	<10	< 0.2	MRP-05719	C-247312	1.2	<.08	1.1	3.1
¹ Eastern synthetic precipitation (ESP) from two e	carboys (cart	oy 1 is C1 a	nd carboy 2 is C	(2) used for	leach tests.					

 2 Filtered ESP from carboy 1 used for leach tests.

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with water and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill mines study.

The accuracy of the results was assessed by submitting USGS reference waters with known chemical compositions along with water samples for chemistry determinations; the precision of the results was evaluated by analyzing duplicate samples, and blank-water samples were processed in the field to monitor contamination. The analytical results of the USGS reference waters and the expected concentrations and standard deviations are given in the following table. One-half of the values for reference waters with known calcium concentrations were over two standard deviations higher than expected based on ICP-AES results. The concentrations of magnesium and sodium from ICP-AES in most of the reference waters are at least one standard deviation higher than that reported for the round robin. The concentrations of most elements in duplicate water samples are very similar. For a few elements, a discrepancy exists for duplicates, more commonly in the unfiltered water samples. This is likely due to variations in the composition of particulates within the sample. Most of the field-blank samples had concentrations of elements below or near their respective detection limits. The concentrations of sodium in

field blanks collected and submitted for chemistry in October 2004 are slightly high at approximately 0.3 mg/L with a detection limit of 0.01 by ICP-MS, but they were reported as less than the detection limit of 0.1 mg/L by ICP-AES. The ICP-AES method is preferred rather than ICP-MS for major elements including sodium. The concentrations of zinc reported by ICP-MS in all blank samples are slightly high and range from 1 to 5.3 μ g/L, although minimal compared to the concentration of zinc in many water samples from the site.

Two chemistry jobs were rerun due to anomalous results. Rare earth elements determined by ICP-MS in October 2004 for jobs MRP-05620 and MRP-05621 were high in the water samples and reference waters and, therefore, analyses were rerun in July 2005 and the new results were used for this report. In addition, sulfate values from IC for job MRP-05633 were high for samples with sulfate concentrations greater than 200 mg/L. These water samples were reanalyzed by IC and the new values are used in this report. Old erroneous values are given in parentheses and red text.

oles submitted with	the Pike Hill	
and replicate sam	/quality control for	
/ater, field-blank,	quality assurance,	
ard reference-w	te chemistry as o	
dix 3. Stand	and leachat	Londinuod
Apper	water	سامينام

study.—Continued

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results

III HALLES HOILI HUP://Dds.usg	s.gov/sis/; rA, illered	i aciuilieu; NA, Iaw	aciuliteu; ru, Illie	areu unaciumeu;	erroneous valu	es mon biev	VIOUS JOUS ALC	Inai	
Element/Lab information	Date submitted	Job number	Lab number	Ag	A	As	Ba	Be	Bi
Units				hg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Methods		MS and AES	MS and AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS
				USGS reference	waters				
M-100	Oct-04 ²	MRP-05621	C-244821	\Diamond	10.5	3.5	14.7	<0.05	< 0.2
M-100	Jan-05	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
001-W		round robin							
M-130	Oct-04	MRP-05621	C-244796	\Diamond	6.6	< 1	21.4	<0.05	< 0.2
M-130	Jan-05	MRP-05718	C-247291	\Diamond	16.8	\sim	31.2	<0.05	< 0.2
M-130	Aug-05	MRP-06206	C-260199	\Diamond	7.5	$\overline{}$	11.1	<0.05	< 0.2
M-130	Spr 94	round robin		ı					
M-150	Aug-05	MRP-06206	C-260162	$\hat{\omega}$	5.5	\leq	21.2	<0.05	< 0.2
M-150	Spr 99	round robin		·					
M-158	Aug-05	MRP-06206	C-260204	$\hat{\omega}$	\Diamond	\leq	6.47	<0.05	< 0.2
M-158	Spr 01	round robin		ı					
T-135	Oct-04	MRP-05621	C-244823	9.19	15.4	12	68.8	60.2	< 0.2
T-135	Aug-05	MRP-06207	C-260240	6.89	15.4	10	63.8	65.4	< 0.2
T-137	Spr 95	round robin		9.81 ± 1.05	10.5 ± 6.8	10.0 ± 1.1	67.8 ± 4.3	59.0 ± 2.6	
T-137	Oct-04	MRP-05621	C-244822	\Diamond	29.3	3	65.2	4.8	< 0.2
T-137	Jan-05	MRP-05718	C-247308	\Diamond	27.7	\sim	64.9	5	< 0.2
T-137	Aug-05	MRP-06207	C-260239	\Diamond	33	\sim	62.4	9	< 0.2
T-137	Fall 95	round robin			30.5 ± 6.9	·	65.0 ± 4.8	5.2 ± 0.5	
				Field blanks					
FB102004 FA	Oct-04	MRP-05620	C-244728	\Diamond	\Diamond	<1	0.31	<0.05	< 0.2
FB102004 RA	Oct-04	MRP-05620	C-244729	\Diamond	\Diamond	\sim	<0.2	<0.05	< 0.2
FB080305 FA	Aug-05	MRP-06206	C-260160	\Diamond	\Diamond	\sim	<0.2	<0.05	< 0.2
FB080305 RA	Aug-05	MRP-06206	C-260161	\Diamond	$\overset{\wedge}{\mathcal{C}}$	$\overline{}$	<0.2	<0.05	< 0.2

66 Geochemical Characterization of the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont

ed wit	_	
ubmitt	ike Hil	
nples s	r the P	
ate san	ntrol fo	
replica	ity cor	
k, and	:e/qual	
d-blanl	suranc	
er, fielo	lity as	
e-wati	as qua	
ferenc	mistry	
lard re	te chei	-
. Stand	eachat	atin nor
ndix 3.	r and l	
Appe	wate	tudv

-Collumnau Stuay.-

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red]

				6					[
Element	Ca	Cd	Ce	c	C	Cs	Cu	Dy	Ъ	
Units	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L	µg/L	
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	
			OSO	S reference wate	ers					
M-100	145	<0.02	<0.01 (0.16) ¹	0.12	5.6	< 0.02	2.7	<0.005 (0.095)	< 0.005	
M-100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
round robin	$I80\pm8$		·	·		ı				
M-130	20.6	0.08	<0.01 (0.03)	0.03	3.6	0.99	<0.5	< 0.005	<0.005 (0.15)	
M-130	19.8	0.16	< 0.01	<0.02	2.9	0.96	0.82	< 0.005	< 0.005	
M-130	19.8	0.11	< 0.01	0.03	3.8	0.8	<0.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	
round robin	$21.2 \pm I$			·		ı			•	
M-150	7.1	0.03	0.01	0.03	1.4	< 0.02	<0.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	
round robin	6.82 ± 0.41					ı				
M-158	36.8	<0.02	< 0.01	<0.02	5	< 0.02	<0.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	
round robin	38.1 ± 1.59		·			ı			•	
T-135	10.4	51.7	0.03 (2.62)	41.8	83.1	< 0.02	67.5	0.007 (0.17)	0.081	
T-135	10.2	52.5	0.03	34.5	68.4	< 0.02	59.1	< 0.005	0.007	
round robin	10.4 ± 0.6	50.5 ± 3.2	·	40.0 ± 2.6	79.0 ± 5.5	ı	62.0 ± 4.2			
T-137	35.5	6.71	0.04 (2.43)	0.17	20.1	0.02	1.8	0.009 (0.53)	0.006 (0.29)	
T-137	35	7.01	0.04	0.1	18.2	0.02	1.9	0.005	0.006	
T-137	34.8	7.02	0.04	0.16	18.2	0.02	1.8	0.006	0.007	
round robin	38.1 ± 1.5	$6.80 {\pm} 0.52$			$19.4{\pm}2.0$	ı	1.9 ± 1.2			
				Field blanks						
FB102004 FA	<0.2	<0.02	< 0.01	<0.02	\sim	< 0.02	0.77	<0.005	<0.005	
FB102004 RA	<0.2	<0.02	<0.01	<0.02	\sim	< 0.02	0.68	<0.005	< 0.005	
FB080305 FA	<0.2	<0.02	< 0.01	<0.02	\sim	< 0.02	<0.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	
FB080305 RA	<0.2	<0.02	< 0.01	<0.02	\sim	< 0.02	0.5	< 0.005	< 0.005	

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with
water and reachate chemistry as quanty assurance/quanty control for the rike nur study.—Continued

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results

in italics from http://b	ds.usgs.gov/srs/;	FA, filtered	acidified; RA	, raw acidified; H	FU, filtered ur	nacidified; erronec	us values fron	a previous jobs a	ure red]	
Element	Eu	Fe	Ga	Gd	Ge	Ч	X	La	:=	Ξ
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS
			NSG	S reference wat	ers					
M-100	< 0.005	<50	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	<0.005 (0.094)	4.01	< 0.01	99.4	< 0.1
M-100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
round robin							$4.5 {\pm} 0.7$	·	ı	
M-130	<0.005 (0.11)	<50	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	<0.005 (0.077)	2.95	<0.01 (0.15)	17.7	< 0.1
M-130	< 0.005	<50	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.72	< 0.01	18.2	< 0.1
M-130	< 0.005	<50	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.72	< 0.01	15.3	< 0.1
round robin							3 ± 0.23			
M-150	< 0.005	<50	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	1.12	0.01	0.9	< 0.1
round robin		·	·				1.12 ± 0.09	·	ı	
M-158	< 0.005	<50	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	1.64	< 0.01	0.7	< 0.1
round robin							1.71 ± 0.119	·	ı	
T-135	< 0.005	207	< 0.05	0.006 (0.45)	< 0.05	<0.005 (0.089)	1.01	0.03 (1.6)	76.8	< 0.1
T-135	0.01	122	< 0.05	0.01	< 0.05	< 0.005	0.9	0.02	65	< 0.1
round robin		228±11					$0.96 {\pm} 0.09$		73.7±5.2	
T-137	0.006 (0.23)	<50	< 0.05	0.01 (0.84)	< 0.05	<0.005 (0.12)	1.13	0.04 (2.55)	9.2	< 0.1
T-137	< 0.005	<50	< 0.05	0.00	< 0.05	< 0.005	1.12	0.04	7.6	< 0.1
T-137	0.01	<50	< 0.05	0.01	< 0.05	< 0.005	1.08	0.03	6.9	< 0.1
round robin		71 ± 9					1.19 ± 0.13	·	8.7±1.5	
				Field blanks						
FB102004 FA	<0.005	<50	< 0.05	<0.005	< 0.05	<0.005	0.03	< 0.01	< 0.1	0.1
FB102004 RA	<0.005	<50	< 0.05	<0.005	< 0.05	<0.005	0.03	< 0.01	< 0.1	0.1
FB080305 FA	< 0.005	<50	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	<0.03	< 0.01	< 0.1	< 0.1
FB080305 RA	< 0.005	<50	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	<0.03	< 0.01	0.2	< 0.1

Appendix 3. S	tandard	referenc	e-water	, field-blan	ık, and r	eplicate s	amples	submitted	l with	
water and lea	ichate ch	nemistry	as quali	ty assuran	ce/qual	ity control	for the	Pike Hill		
study.—Conti	nued									
[µg/L, micrograms pei	r liter; mg/L, 1	nilligrams pe	r liter; n.d., no	ot determined; <,	less than; -,	not applicable; I	C, ion chror	natography; ICP	-AES or AE	5, inductively
coupled plasma-atomi	c emission sp	ectrometry; I .s/. FA filter.	CP-MS or MS ad acidifiad: R	5, inductively cou	ipled plasma • EU filtered	-mass spectrome	try; D, field	replicate sample	e; round robi s iobs are red	n results 1
Element	Mg	Mn	Mo	Na	Nb	PN	Ni	C	Pb	Pr
Units	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS
			nse	S reference wat	ers					
M-100	89.3	<0.2	8	269	0.2	< 0.01	2.2	< 0.01	<0.05	< 0.01
M-100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
round robin	98 ± 5			281 ± 12				0.01 ± 0.01		
M-130	5.64	0.4	22.5	34.9	0.23	<0.01 (0.54)	0.9	< 0.01	<0.05	<0.01 (0.05)
M-130	5.44	0.3	19.9	33.9	< 0.2	< 0.01	0.7	< 0.01	<0.05	< 0.01

Element	Mg	Mn	Mo	Na	٩N	Νd	Ņ	₽	Pb	Pr	
Units Methods	mg/L	μg/L ICP-MS	hg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	hg/L ICP-MS	hg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	hg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	
			0SU	S reference wat	ers						
M-100	89.3	<0.2	8	269	0.2	< 0.01	2.2	< 0.01	<0.05	< 0.01	
M-100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
round robin	98 ± 5	ı	ı	281±12				$0.01 {\pm} 0.01$	ı	·	
M-130	5.64	0.4	22.5	34.9	0.23	<0.01 (0.54)	0.9	< 0.01	<0.05	<0.01 (0.05)	
M-130	5.44	0.3	19.9	33.9	< 0.2	< 0.01	0.7	< 0.01	<0.05	< 0.01	
M-130	4.78	<0.2	21.3	29.5	0.3	< 0.01	1.2	< 0.01	<0.05	< 0.01	
round robin	5.9 ± 0.27	ı	ı	35.8 ± 1.6			ı	0.085 ± 0.140	ı		
M-150	1.22	2.5	< 2	16	< 0.2	0.01	0.4	< 0.01	<0.05	< 0.01	_
round robin	1.43 ± 0.09	ı	ı	17.5 ± 1.0			·	·	ı	·	
M-158	11.1	<0.2	< 2	67	0.41	< 0.01	0.7	0.2	<0.05	< 0.01	_
round robin	11.8 ± 0.48	ı		71.7±2.22				0.190 ± 0.013	ı		
T-135	2.06	449	56.8	32.1	< 0.2	0.03 (1.65)	67.7	0.02	104	<0.01 (0.51)	_
T-135	1.99	386	45.3	31	< 0.2	0.02	60	0.02	9.66	< 0.01	
round robin	2.00 ± 0.09	423±20	63.0 ± 5.1	30.8 ± 1.2			65.6 ± 5.0	·	$103{\pm}7$	·	
T-137	9.7	91.4	6.5	21.2	< 0.2	0.04 (0.89)	15.8	0.05	5.9	<0.01 (0.43)	
T-137	9.81	88	8.6	20.9	< 0.2	0.02	13.9	0.04	6.2	< 0.01	
T-137	10.1	81.9	4.8	21.8	< 0.2	0.03	15.6	0.06	5.7	< 0.01	
round robin	10.1 ± 0.5	98 ± 5	$8.9{\pm}1.8$	$22.0\pm I.I$	ı	·	15.0 ± 2.5		6.3 ± 1.0		
				Field blanks							
FB102004 FA	<0.01	<0.2	< 2	0.29	< 0.2	<0.01	<0.4	< 0.01	0.1	<0.01	
FB102004 RA	<0.01	<0.2	< 2	0.28	< 0.2	<0.01	<0.4	< 0.01	0.2	< 0.01	
FB080305 FA	<0.01	<0.2	< 2	0.01	< 0.2	< 0.01	<0.4	< 0.01	<0.05	< 0.01	

< 0.01

0.05

< 0.01

<0.4

< 0.01

< 0.2

 $<\!0.01$

 $\overset{\scriptstyle <}{}$

<0.2

<0.01

FB080305 RA

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with water and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill

study.—Continued

L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICF-AES or AES, inductively oled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results alics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red]		
---	--	--

Ta Th	ð	5	m2	Ci0		40	ų	amont
revious jobs are red]	s values from p	idified; erroneous	, filtered unac	acidified; FU	lified; RA, raw	FA, filtered acid	gras.gov/srs/;	italics from http://t
sample; round robin results	field replicate	spectrometry; D,	plasma-mass	tively coupled	S or MS, induc	rometry; ICP-M	ic emission specti	upled plasma-atom
y; ICP-AES or AES, inducti	chromatograph	pplicable; IC, ion	than; -, not af	mined; <, less	; n.d., not deter	ligrams per liter	r liter; mg/L, mill	g/L, micrograms pe

								J	r		I
Element	Rb	Sb	Sc	Se	SiO ₂	Sm	SO4	Sr	Ta	Tb	
Units	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L	mg/L	µg/L	mg/L	hg/L	hg/L	µg/L	
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	
			USGS	reference w	aters						
M-100	1.1	0.3	1.1 (97.8)	12.9	10.6	< 0.01	1060	3,350	0.08	<0.005 (0.01)	
M-100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
round robin				1	$10.2 \pm I$			3,450±170		-	1
M-130	8.17	<0.3	1.2 (22.7)	<1	9.8	< 0.01	52	186	0.09	<0.005 (0.008)	
M-130	8.34	<0.3	1.6	~ 1	10.8	< 0.01	53	169	0.08	< 0.005	
M-130	7.9	0.79	1.2	~ 1	9.2	< 0.01	48	169	0.05	< 0.005	
round robin					$9.2 {\pm} 0.55$		58 ± 2.6	180 ± 10			
M-150	0.34	<0.3	1.7	<1	11.9	< 0.01	4	48.9	< 0.02	< 0.005	
round robin	·	ı		·	12.6 ± 0.8		5.50 ± 0.54	51.0 ± 2.5			
M-158	0.31	0.46	1.9	< 1	16.2	< 0.01	103	58.7	0.1	< 0.005	
round robin	·	ı			15.0 ± 0.67		105 ± 3.7	<i>63.6±1.85</i>		•	
T-135	0.63	77.4	1.7	9.8	4.3	< 0.01	9	47.8	0.04	<0.005 (0.02)	
T-135	0.69	83.8	0.6	11.5	4.4	< 0.01	9	41.9	< 0.02	< 0.005	
round robin	·	76.3 ± 8.7		10.0 ± 1.4	4.28 ± 0.31		ı	46.0 ± 2.3		•	
T-137	0.8	15.5	0.7 (16.9)	\sim 1	6.6	< 0.01	45	230	0.05	<0.005 (0.079)	I I
T-137	0.82	16.6	1.1	~ 1	6.8	< 0.01	48	228	< 0.02	< 0.005	
T-137	0.76	17.5	1	~ 1	7.6	< 0.01	41	214	< 0.02	< 0.005	
round robin	·	15.5±2.7			6.96 ± 0.56			230 ± 14			
				Field blanks							
FB102004 FA	0.02	<0.3	<0.6	2.5	< 0.2	< 0.01	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.02	<0.005	
FB102004 RA	0.04	<0.3	<0.6	7	< 0.2	<0.01	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.02	< 0.005	
FB080305 FA	< 0.01	<0.3	< 0.6	~ 1	< 0.2	< 0.01	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.02	< 0.005	
FB080305 RA	< 0.01	<0.3	< 0.6	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{}$	< 0.2	< 0.01	< 2	< 0.5	< 0.02	< 0.005	

submitted with	Pike Hill	
replicate samples	ity control for the	
, field-blank, and	ty assurance/qual	
reference-water,	nemistry as quali	
ıdix 3. Standard	and leachate ch	Continued
Арреі	watei	4.141

study.—Continued

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red]

Element	Ę	ij	F	Ē	D	>	3	>	٩X	Zn	Zr
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS
				NSGS	reference w	aters					
M-100	< 0.2	11.3	<0.1	< 0.005	30.1	1.9	0.61	0.02 (11.4)	< 0.005	2.2	< 0.2
M-100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
round robin						11.6±7.6					
M-130	< 0.2	0.6	<0.1	<0.005 (0.05)	1.16	0.6	0.66	<0.01 (0.36)	<0.005 (0.28)	15.2	< 0.2
M-130	< 0.2	1.5	<0.1	< 0.005	4.31	0.8	< 0.5	< 0.01	< 0.005	25.4	< 0.2
M-130	< 0.2	0.7	<0.1	< 0.005	0.89	1.1	1.85	< 0.01	< 0.005	16.3	< 0.2
round robin									ı		ı
M-150	< 0.2	< 0.5	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	20.3	< 0.5	< 0.01	< 0.005	2.3	< 0.2
round robin			ı			31.0 ± 1.9	·		·	ı	ı
M-158	< 0.2	1.5	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	9.7	1.15	< 0.01	< 0.005	<0.5	< 0.2
round robin	·		ı	·	·	11.3 ± 0.82	·		·	·	ı
T-135	< 0.2	< 0.5	1.2	<0.005 (0.06)	0.31	57.2	< 0.5	0.03 (2.21)	0.01 (0.32)	52.4	< 0.2
T-135	< 0.2	< 0.5	<0.1	< 0.005	0.29	47.5	< 0.5	0.03	0.008	50.3	< 0.2
round robin	·		ı	·	·	$52.8 {\pm} 3.6$	·		·	48.2±4.7	ı
T-137	< 0.2	0.9	157	<0.005 (0.076)	96.6	14	< 0.5	0.06 (3.43)	0.01 (0.94)	50.2	< 0.2
T-137	< 0.2	1.3	169	< 0.005	9.93	12.8	< 0.5	0.06	0.01	47.2	< 0.2
T-137	< 0.2	0.9	167	< 0.005	9.66	13.1	< 0.5	0.05	0.009	50.2	< 0.2
round robin		·	<i>162±23</i>		$10.0 {\pm} 0.5$	14.0 ± 1.6				49.5±4.2	ı
					Field blanks						
FB102004 FA	< 0.2	< 0.5	<0.1	<0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	< 0.5	<0.01	< 0.005	5.3	< 0.2
FB102004 RA	< 0.2	< 0.5	<0.1	<0.005	< 0.1	0.5	< 0.5	<0.01	< 0.005	4.1	< 0.2
FB080305 FA	< 0.2	< 0.5	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.01	< 0.005	1.4	< 0.2
FB080305 RA	< 0.2	< 0.5	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	< 0.5	< 0.01	< 0.005	1	< 0.2

les submitted wit	the Pike Hill	
nd replicate samp	uality control for	
er, field-blank, a	ality assurance/q	
d reference-wat	chemistry as quá	
endix 3. Standar	r and leachate	C ontinued
Appe	wate	. h + .

nduct sults	
-AES or AES, ir s; round robin re ; jobs are red]	9
Hill shy; ICP e samplo previous	5
chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample /srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous	AI Ac R Ra Ra Ca Ca
chate ued iter; mg emission tusgs.go	Δa
water and leac study.—Contin [µg/L, micrograms per 1 coupled plasma-atomic in italics from http://bgs	Flement

	с С	~	~ ~	`		`		1	-	
Element	Ag	AI	As	8	Ba	Be	Ca	G	Co	ç
Units Methods	μg/L ICP-AFS	μg/L ICP-AFS	μg/L ICP-AFS	μg/L ICP-AFS	μg/L ICP-AFS	µg/L ICP-AFS	mg/L ICP-AFS	µg/L ICP-AFS	μg/L ICP-AFS	μg/L ICP-AFS
000				USG:	S reference wa	aters				
M-100	\sim	127	<100	417	16	<10	177	Ś	10	<10
M-100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
round robin	·	ı	ı	425±36	ı					ı
M-130	\sim	32	<100	ŝ	36	<10	26.8	€	<10	<10
M-130	<10	<50	<100	<20	36.2	Ş	24.4	<10	<10	<10
M-130	\sim	25	<100	Ş	36	<10	25.2	Ş	<10	<10
round robin	·	ı	·	8.87 ± 8.23	·		$21.2 \pm I$			·
M-150	\sim	<10	<100	Ş	22	<10	7.9	Ś	<10	<10
round robin							6.82 ± 0.41			
M-158	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	<100	22	24	<10	44.9	Ş	<10	<10
round robin	·	ı	·	23.4 ± 3.45	·		38.1 ± 1.59			·
T-135	5.7	10	<100	9.5	62	60	10.2	57	36	82
T-135	9.2	<10	<100	8.4	67	58	10.6	53	41	81
round robin	<i>9.81±1.05</i>	10.5 ± 6.8	10.0 ± 1.1		67.8 ± 4.3	59.0 ± 2.6	10.4 ± 0.6	50.5 ± 3.2	40.0 ± 2.6	79.0 ± 5.5
T-137	\leq	32	<100	14	64	<10	39.7	8	<10	22
T-137	<10	<50	<100	<20	59.8	δ	35.8	<10	<10	15.2
T-137	\sim	27	<100	13	66	<10	39.2	6.7	<10	22
round robin	·	30.5 ± 6.9		·	65.0 ± 4.8	5.2 ± 0.5	38.1 ± 1.5	6.80 ± 0.52		$19.4{\pm}2.0$
					Field blanks					
FB102004 FA	<1	<10	<100	€	<1	<10	<0.1	€	<10	<10
FB102004 RA	\sim	<10	<100	\Diamond	\sim	<10	<0.1	\diamond	<10	<10
FB080305 FA	\sim	<10	<100	\Diamond	\sim	<10	<0.1	δ	<10	<10
FB080305 RA	\sim	<10	<100	Ş	\sim	<10	<0.1	δ	<10	<10

study.—Continue										
[µg/L, micrograms per liter; coupled plasma-atomic emis	mg/L, milligran sion spectromet	ns per liter; n.c ry; ICP-MS or	l., not determine MS, inductively	d; <, less than; <i>y</i> coupled plasi	-, not applicab na-mass spectr	le; IC, ion chr ometry; D, fie	omatography; Id replicate sa	ICP-AES or A mple; round ro	xES, inductively bin results	
in italics from http://bqs.usg	s.gov/srs/; FA, f Cu	iltered acidifie	d; RA, raw acidi K	ified; FU, filte	red unacidified; Mn	erroneous va	lues from prev	vious jobs are 1 Na	ed]	
Inite	1/11	1/11	ma /l		f	/	1/11	ma/l	1	
Methods	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	
			USG:	S reference wa	iters					
M-100	<10	40	4.7	81	107	<10	<20	309	16	
M-100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
round robin	ı		$4.5 {\pm} 0.7$		98 ± 5	ı		281 ± 12		
M-130	<10	<20	3.8	6.3	7.2	<10	<20	44.7	<10	
M-130	<10	<20	3.44	21.2	6.74	<10	<20	42.6	<10	
M-130	<10	<20	3.6	20	6.6	<10	<20	42.3	<10	
round robin	ı		3 ± 0.23		5.9 ± 0.27	·		35.8 ± 1.6		
M-150	<10	<20	1.3	1.5	1.5	<10	<20	19.6	<10	
round robin			1.12 ± 0.09		$I.43\pm0.09$			17.5 ± 1.0		
M-158	<10	<20	2	1.8	13.8	<10	<20	89.3	<10	
round robin	ı		1.71 ± 0.119		$11.8 {\pm} 0.48$	ı		71.7±2.22		
T-135	60	210	0.86	70	2.1	422	40	30.9	66	
T-135	65	225	0.96	73	2.1	440	36	32	72	
round robin	$62.0{\pm}4.2$	228±11	0.96 ± 0.09	73.7±5.2	$2.00{\pm}0.09$	423 ± 20	63.0 ± 5.1	$30.8\pm I.2$	65.6 ± 5.0	
T-137	<10	49	1.2	3.5	10.8	93	<20	22.8	20	
T-137	<10	59.8	1.04	<10	9.12	86.8	<20	20.1	<10	
T-137	<10	58	1.2	9.2	10.7	105	<20	22.9	18	
round robin	1.9 ± 1.2	71±9	1.19 ± 0.13	8.7 ± 1.5	10.1 ± 0.5	98 ± 5	8.9 ± 1.8	$22.0\pm I.I$	15.0±2.5	
				Field blanks						
FB102004 FA	<10	<20	<0.1	<1	<0.1	<10	<20	<0.1	<10	
FB102004 RA	<10	<20	<0.1	\sim	<0.1	<10	<20	<0.1	<10	
FB080305 FA	<10	<20	<0.1	\sim	<0.1	<10	<20	<0.1	<10	
FB080305 RA	<10	<20	<0.1	\sim	<0.1	<10	<20	<0.1	<10	

water and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with

Appendix 3 73

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with
water and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill
study.—Continued

[µg/L, merograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results

in italics from http://t	ods.usgs.gov/srs/; F/	A, filtered acidifi	ied; RA, raw ac	idified; FU, filter	red unacidified; en	oneous values fro	om previous jobs a	re red]	
Element	₽.	Pb	Sb	SiO ₂	Sr	ij	>	Zn	
Units	mg/L	µg/L	рд/L	mg/L	hg/L	р9/L	µg/L	µg/L	
Methous	ILC-AES	ILL-AES		SC reference weet	ILT-AES	ILL-AES	ILL-AES	ILL-AES	
			0.01	חס ופופופוורפ אמר	GI 3				
M-100	<0.1	<50	<50	11.8	3500	<50	12	<10	
M-100	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
round robin	$0.01 {\pm} 0.01$			$10.2 \pm I$	3450±170		11.6 ± 7.6		
M-130	<0.1	<50	<50	12.1	217	<50	<10	60	
M-130	<0.1	<100	<100	11.4	222	<50	<10	56	
M-130	<0.1	<50	<50	10.6	210	<50	<10	52	
round robin	0.085 ± 0.104			9.2 ± 0.55	$180{\pm}2.6$				
M-150	<0.1	<50	<50	13.2	55	<50	25	<10	
round robin		·		12.6 ± 0.8	51.0 ± 2.5		31.0 ± 1.9		
M-158	0.2	<50	<50	17.3	71	<50	<10	<10	
round robin	0.190 ± 0.013			15.0 ± 0.67	$63.6\pm I.85$		11.3 ± 0.82	•	
T-135	<0.1	92	88	4.4	45	<50	57	54	
T-135	<0.1	81	75	4.6	48	<50	57	51	
round robin		103 ± 7	76.3 ± 8.7	4.28 ± 0.31	46.0 ± 2.3	·	52.8 ± 3.6	48.2±4.7	
T-137	<0.1	<50	<50	7.6	235	<50	17	52	
T-137	<0.1	<100	<100	6.58	219	<50	12.1	44	
T-137	<0.1	<50	<50	7.5	237	<50	14	61	
round robin		6.3 ± 1.0	15.5 ± 2.7	6.96 ± 0.56	230 ± 14		14.0 ± 1.6	49.5 ± 4.2	
				Field blanks					
FB102004 FA	<0.1	<50	<50	<0.1	$\overline{\nabla}$	<50	<10	<10	
FB102004 RA	<0.1	<50	<50	<0.1	$\overline{\nabla}$	<50	<10	<10	
FB080305 FA	<0.1	<50	<50	<0.1	\sim	<50	<10	<10	
FB080305 RA	<0.1	<50	<50	<0.1	$\overline{\nabla}$	<50	<10	<10	

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with vater and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill
study.—Continued

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red] **SO**4 NO3 ц. ច Element

Units			mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
Methods	IC	IC	IC	IC	IC	IC	
	NSGS	reference w	aters				
M-100	MRP-056333	C-245083	78.8	2	4.5	1,190 (1,543)	
M-100	MRP-05720	C-247346	06	0.84	3.3	1,602	
round robin	-		79 ± 2	0.89 ± 0.07		1180±55	
M-130	MRP-05633	C-245071	21.7	1.2	9.1	58	
M-130	MRP-05719	C-247322	24.3	1.2	9.5	59	
M-130	MRP-06205	C-260139	21	1.1	7.2	57.6	
round robin			21.4 ± 1.9	1.23 ± 0.09	·	58 ± 2.6	
M-150	MRP-06205	C-260120	17	1	7.1	5.6	
round robin			17.0±1.5	1.00 ± 0.07	ı	$5.50{\pm}0.54$	
M-158	MRP-06205	C-260142	89.3	0.37	0.8	104	
round robin	-	ı	90.7 ± 2.74	0.350 ± 0.045		105 ± 3.7	
T-135	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
T-135	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
round robin	•				,		
T-137	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
Γ-137	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
Γ-137	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
round robin					,		
		Field blanks					
FB102004 FU	MRP-05633	C-245045	1.3	<.08	<.08	<1.6	
-		- 260110	- 00	- 00	- 00	, 1	
UT CUCUOUT	CU20U-YXIVI	C-200117	<.U0	<.U0	<.Uo	<1.0	
		•	•		,	1	

Appen	andix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with	
water	r and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill	
h		

study.—Continued

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red]

Element/Lab information	Date submitted	Job number	Lab number	Ag	A	As	Ba	Be	Bi
Units				µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Methods		MS and AES	MS and AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS
			Replicate :	samples					
PKHL-10-2 FA	Oct-04	MRP-05621	C-244805	$\hat{\omega}$	7500	1	17.4	0.4	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 D FA	Oct-04	MRP-05621	C-244803	ς	7440	<1	17	0.5	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 RA	Oct-04	MRP-05621	C-244806	\Diamond	7170	1	16.7	0.5	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 D RA	Oct-04	MRP-05621	C-244804	\leq	7170	1	16.7	0.4	< 0.2
01139830Aug FA	Aug-05	MRP-06207	C-260215	\Diamond	6030	<1	23.4	0.5	< 0.2
01139830Aug D FA	Aug-05	MRP-06207	C-260217	≤ 3	5950	<1	23.5	0.5	< 0.2
01139830Aug RA	Aug-05	MRP-06207	C-260216	\Diamond	6200	<1	24.8	0.5	< 0.2
01139830Aug D RA	Aug-05	MRP-06207	C-260218	\Diamond	5800	$\overline{\nabla}$	23.6	0.5	< 0.2

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with
vater and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill
study.—Continued

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductiv coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results ù in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red] Flement Ca Cd Ce Co Co Cr Cs Cu Dv

	2	20	Ce	3	3	3	3	۲ م	
Units	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L	hg/L	hg/L
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS
				Replicate samples					
PKHL-10-2 FA	76.6	9.61	32.7	170	1.6	1.04	2400	2.08	1.18
PKHL-10-2 D FA	76.9	9.48	39	172	1.6	1.04	2250	2.03	1.12
PKHL-10-2 RA	73.5	9.26	34.5	166	1.5	1.04	2310	2.14	1.16
PKHL-10-2 D RA	73.3	9.17	34.9	163	1.5	1.03	2300	2.21	1.2
01139830Aug FA	70.7	8.11	28.2	186	1.2	1.42	1940	1.73	0.95
01139830AugD FA	72.1	8.01	29.1	187	1.2	1.42	1990	1.7	0.93
01139830Aug RA	71.8	7.95	28.8	186	1.6	1.46	1990	1.72	0.94
01139830AugD RA	72.7	8	29.6	189	1.2	1.44	2010	1.77	0.98

|--|

study.—Conti	nued						
[µg/L, micrograms pe	r liter; mg/L, mill	grams per liter; n.	d., not determined; <,	less than; -, not apl	olicable; IC, ion chromatog	aphy; ICP-AES or AES, inductiv	/ely
coupled plasma-atomi	c emission spectr	ometry; ICP-MS o	r MS, inductively cou	ipled plasma-mass	spectrometry; D, field replic	ate sample; round robin results	
in italics from http://b	qs.usgs.gov/srs/;]	A, filtered acidifie	ed; RA, raw acidified	; FU, filtered unaci	lified; erroneous values fro	m previous jobs are red]	
Element	Eu	Fe Ga	P g d	Ge	Ho K	La Li	Lu

ho what more comment	(10 TO 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00	DO TOTTO (T T)	ent (portionion	(morrey mer)	,		and analy in the	for total local	["""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""	
Element	Eu	Fe	Ga	Gd	Ge	Чо	Х	La	:I	Lu
Units	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS
			R	eplicate samples						
PKHL-10-2 FA	0.51	8860	0.21	2.56	0.07	0.39	4.11	15	10.6	9.7
PKHL-10-2 D FA	0.52	8830	0.2	2.78	0.09	0.36	4.09	17.2	10.3	9.5
PKHL-10-2 RA	0.54	9240	0.21	2.66	0.07	0.4	3.94	15.7	10.2	9.2
PKHL-10-2 D RA	0.55	9180	0.22	2.78	0.06	0.43	3.98	15.9	9.7	9.5
01139830Aug FA	0.44	10400	0.32	2.32	0.07	0.31	5.02	11.9	13.2	0.1
01139830AugD FA	0.46	10800	0.35	2.4	0.08	0.31	5.18	12.3	12.6	0.1
01139830Aug RA	0.45	15800	0.43	2.38	0.08	0.31	5.17	12.2	13	0.1
01139830AugD RA	0.46	11400	0.35	2.37	0.09	0.32	5.21	12.4	12.3	0.1

ppendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with
/ater and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill
ndv

study.—Continued

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results in italics from http://pds.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red]

	0 0								F		
Element	Mg	Mn	Mo	Na	٩N	PN	ïZ	٩	Pb	Pr	
Units	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	hg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	
			R	eplicate samples							
PKHL-10-2 FA	8.42	958	< 2	1.75	< 0.2	14.7	23	< 0.01	1.9	3.76	
PKHL-10-2 D FA	8.43	953	< 2	1.75	< 0.2	16.8	22.9	< 0.01	1.9	4.1	
PKHL-10-2 RA	8.11	930	< 2	1.69	< 0.2	15.4	22.2	< 0.01	1.9	3.94	
PKHL-10-2 D RA	8.1	911	< 2	1.68	< 0.2	15.6	22.6	< 0.01	1.9	4.01	
01139830Aug FA	LL	888	< 2	1.67	< 0.2	12.6	24.4	< 0.01	2.7	3.12	
01139830AugD FA	7.57	903	< 2	1.66	< 0.2	13.2	25	< 0.01	2.7	3.18	
01139830Aug RA	7.68	890	< 2	1.68	< 0.2	12.9	24.8	< 0.01	3.5	3.13	
01139830AugD RA	7.59	902	< 2	1.67	< 0.2	13.3	25.2	< 0.01	2.8	3.29	

ed with		
bmitte	ke Hill	
les su	the Pil	
samp	ol for	
olicate	contr	
ind rep	quality	
ank, a	ance/c	
eld-bl	assura	
ater, fi	uality	
Ce-Wa	y as qı	
eferen	emistr	
dard re	ite che	7
. Stanc	eacha	atinua
ndix 3.	and l	ני
Appei	water	etudv

-Continued stuay.-

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inducti coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results ۹ in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red] Ta S S04 Sm SiO, Se Sc Sb Bb Element

Units	na/L	na/L	ua/L	ua/L	ma/L	uq/L	ma/L	uq/L	ua/L	ug/L	
Methods	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	
			Rep	olicate sample	SS						
PKHL-10-2 FA	22.5	<0.3	3.1	< 1	32.3	2.61	282	219	< 0.02	0.36	
PKHL-10-2 D FA	22.3	<0.3	3.3	< 1	32.2	2.93	281	218	< 0.02	0.34	
PKHL-10-2 RA	21.9	<0.3	2.9	< 1	30.9	2.87	270	213	< 0.02	0.37	
PKHL-10-2 D RA	21.7	<0.3	3.5	$< \frac{1}{1}$	31.2	2.9	266	210	< 0.02	0.4	
01139830Aug FA	30.3	<0.3	5.1	2	38	2.35	268	210	< 0.02	0.28	
01139830AugD FA	30.8	<0.3	4.8	1.4	38.4	2.42	270	212	< 0.02	0.3	
01139830Aug RA	31.1	<0.3	5.2	2.4	39.3	2.42	264	210	< 0.02	0.29	
01139830AugD RA	31	<0.3	4.9	1.6	37.5	2.45	267	214	< 0.02	0.3	

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with
vater and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill
study.—Continued

Zr [µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results Zn in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red] ٩Y > ≥ > D Tm ⊨ F Ę Element

Units	ра/L	на/L	ра/С	hg/L	нд/L	ра/L	рд/L	нор. Исв. Ме	р9/L	ра/L	на/L
Methods	511-7J	5M-7J	SIMI-701	10r-101	165-101	SIN-JUI	10r-101	10r-101	ILF-IND	5M-7J	
				Re	plicate sampl	es					
PKHL-10-2 FA	15.4	3.8	0.1	0.16	0.67	<0.5	< 0.5	10.2	1.08	2,100	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 D FA	14.3	3.6	0.1	0.14	0.69	<0.5	< 0.5	10.7	1.02	2,060	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 RA	14.4	3.6	0.1	0.16	0.67	<0.5	< 0.5	10.8	1.07	2,020	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 D RA	15.5	4.1	0.1	0.17	0.69	<0.5	< 0.5	11.1	1.16	2,020	< 0.2
01139830Aug FA	0.3	4.6	0.1	0.12	0.64	<0.5	< 0.5	8.1	0.84	2,230	< 0.2
01139830AugD FA	0.2	4.5	0.1	0.12	0.65	<0.5	< 0.5	8.1	0.88	2,290	< 0.2
01139830Aug RA	0.32	21.3	0.1	0.12	0.66	0.7	< 0.5	8.12	0.84	2,220	< 0.2
01139830AugD RA	0.2	9	0.1	0.13	0.64	<0.5	< 0.5	8.15	0.84	2,300	< 0.2

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with
water and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Mike Mill
study.—Continued

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively С С coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red] පී B Ca Be Ba ш As A Ag Element

Units	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Methods	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES
				R	eplicate sample	Sé				
PKHL-10-2 FA	≤ 1	8,420	<100	€	15	<10	77.8	11	185	<10
PKHL-10-2 D FA	\sim	8,310	<100	Ş	15	<10	80.8	13	196	<10
PKHL-10-2 RA	<1	8,360	<100	€	15	<10	81	13	174	<10
PKHL-10-2 D RA	<1	8,440	<100	<5	15	<10	80.3	11	161	<10
01139830Aug FA	\leq	6,620	<100	€	24	<10	77.1	L	184	<10
01139830AugD FA	\sim	6,340	<100	€	24	<10	73.8	6.8	176	<10
01139830Aug RA	<1	6,580	<100	<5	25	<10	73.7	7.2	181	<10
01139830AugD RA	$\overline{\nabla}$	6,420	<100	€	24	<10	73.8	6.6	180	<10

ith		
≥ T		
ttec	≡	
mi	θН	
sub) İK	
es	le l	
npl	or th	
sar	I fo	
ate	Itro	
lic	COL	
ep	<u>it</u>	
ı pu	ual	
(, al	e/q	
ank	nc	
-bl	ura	
eld	ass	
r, fi	ΪŢ	
ate	ual	
Ň-	s q	
ICe	V a	
irer	istr	
efe	em	
rdr	сh	
nda	ate	þa
Ìtar	ich	nı
3. S	leê	inti
lix	bud	<u> </u>
enc	er á	
/pp	vat	tud

Stuuy.

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results ïZ in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red] Na Мo Mn Mg Ξ Х Fe Cu Element

Units Methods	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-AES
			B	eplicate samples					
PKHL-10-2 FA	2,570	9,590	4.4	$\overline{\nabla}$	9.2	1,020	<20	3.8	28
PKHL-10-2 D FA	2,530	9,270	4.3	$\overline{\nabla}$	9.6	1,000	<20	4.8	37
PKHL-10-2 RA	2,540	10,100	4.4	\sim	9.6	1,010	<20	4	28
PKHL-10-2 D RA	2,580	10,300	4.5	$\overline{\nabla}$	9.6	1,020	<20	4.1	32
01139830Aug FA	1,890	10,600	5.8	13	9.6	921	<20	2.1	27
01139830AugD FA	1,880	10,200	5.9	14	9.2	912	<20	2	23
01139830Aug RA	1,930	15,800	9	14	9.1	922	<20	2	23
01139830AugD RA	1,880	11,000	5.7	13	9.1	914	<20	2	22

Appendix 3. Standard reference-water, field-blank, and replicate samples submitted with water and leachate chemistry as quality assurance/quality control for the Pike Hill

study.—Continued

[ug/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductivel coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results Zn in italics from http://bqs.usgs.gov/srs/; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; erroneous values from previous jobs are red] > iE S SiO, Sb P ۵. Element

Units	ma/L	na/L	ua/L	ma/L	ng/L	ng/L	ua/L	uq/L
Methods	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES	ICP-AES
				leplicate samples				
PKHL-10-2 FA	<0.1	<50	<50	36.8	193	<50	<10	2,390
PKHL-10-2 D FA	<0.1	<50	<50	37	199	<50	<10	2,450
PKHL-10-2 RA	<0.1	<50	<50	36.8	200	<50	<10	2,360
PKHL-10-2 D RA	<0.1	<50	<50	37.4	200	<50	<10	2,430
01139830Aug FA	<0.1	<50	<50	40	219	<50	<10	1,980
01139830AugD FA	<0.1	<50	<50	39.6	210	<50	<10	1,950
01139830Aug RA	<0.1	<50	<50	40.7	208	<50	<10	1,950
01139830AugD RA	<0.1	<50	<50	39.7	208	<50	<10	2,080

with		
tted	≣	
ıbmi	ke H	
ns se	e Pi	
mple	or th	
ie sa	irol f	
licat	cont	
rep	ality	
, and	sup/a	
lank	ance	
ld-bl	ssur	
r, fie	ity a	
vate	qual	
Ce-V	/ as	
eren	uistry	
l ref	hem	
Idarc	ate c	-
Stan	ach	
ix 3.	nd le	C
endi	eral	-
Арр	wat	

study.—Continued

[µg/L, micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; -, not applicable; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; round robin results in italics from http://hos.usos.gov/srs/: FA, filtered acidified: RA, raw acidified: FU, filtered unacidified: erroneous values from mevious iohs are red]

en cho // dun mon comen m	See. SUVIDIDI, I M.	חוורת מרוחוורת	I' INT, IAW AUN	ullivu, I V, J		inimina, unuir	LUUT VIII VIIVII PILVIVIUS JUUS ALVIVIUS
Element			CI	ш	NO ₃	SO_4	
Units			mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	mg/L	
Methods	2	S	C	<u>ප</u>	C	<u>ں</u>	
		Replicate sar	nples				
PKHL-10-2 FU	MRP-05633	C-245075	4.2	1.6	<.08	298 (512)	
PKHL-10-2 D FU	MRP-05633	C-245074	4.2	1.6	<.08	295 (507)	
I	I	Ţ	I	I	I	I	
I	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	ı	
01139830Aug FU	MRP-06205	C-260148	2.7	0.3	0.4	342	
01139830AugD FU	MRP-06205	C-260149	2.7	0.3	<.08	351	
I	I	I	I	I	I	I	
ı		ı	ı	ı	ı		

¹REE reran for MRP-05621 by ICP-MS and sulfate greater than 200 mg/L reran for MRP-05633 by IC because original results anomalous (shown in red and parentheses).

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, [µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon

approximate values;	erroneous value	s from previous	jobs are red]							
Characteristic	Site location	Collection date	Collection time	Specific conductance	Hd	Temperature	Dissolved oxygen	Alkalinity	Oxidation- reduction potential	Dissolved organic carbon
Units Methods				µS/cm		degrees Celsius	mg/L	mg/L CaCO ₃	лш	mg C/L
CKBK-1-2 FA	CKBK-1	10/19/2004	15:31	169.8	7.97	7.6	8	110.4	487.9	n.d.
CKBK-1-2 RA	CKBK-1	10/19/2004	15:31	169.8	7.97	7.6	8	110.4	487.9	n.d.
CKBK-2-2 FA	CKBK-2	10/19/2004	16:18	394	4.40	9.5	9	n.d.	504.3	n.d.
CKBK-2-2 RA	CKBK-2	10/19/2004	16:18	394	4.40	9.5	9	n.d.	504.3	n.d.
CKBK-3-2 FA	CKBK-3	10/19/2004	16:33	143	7.60	9.2	6	84.5	521.2	n.d.
CKBK-3-2 RA	CKBK-3	10/19/2004	16:33	143	7.60	9.2	6	84.5	521.2	n.d.
CKBK-4-2 FA	CKBK-4	10/19/2004	16:48	111.9	6.71	6.6	0	56.0	336.9	n.d.
CKBK-4-2 RA	CKBK-4	10/19/2004	16:48	111.9	6.71	6.6	0	56.0	336.9	n.d.
CKBK-5-2 FA	CKBK-5	10/19/2004	17:06	449	3.42	7.6	5	n.d.	585.4	n.d.
CKBK-5-2 RA	CKBK-5	10/19/2004	17:06	449	3.42	7.6	5	n.d.	585.4	n.d.
PKHL-1-2 FA	PKHL-1	10/20/2004	9:26	1336	2.89	4.6	10	n.d.	642.6	n.d.
PKHL-1-2 RA	PKHL-1	10/20/2004	9:26	1336	2.89	4.6	10	n.d.	642.6	n.d.
PKHL-2-2 FA	PKHL-2	10/20/2004	12:43	635	3.29	8.8	10	n.d.	684.6	n.d.
PKHL-2-2 RA	PKHL-2	10/20/2004	12:43	635	3.29	8.8	10	n.d.	684.6	n.d.
PKHL-4-2 FA	PKHL-4	10/20/2004	9:45	294	5.85	7.5	8	0.0	642.7	n.d.
PKHL-4-2 RA	PKHL-4	10/20/2004	9:45	294	5.85	7.5	8	0.0	642.7	n.d.
PKHL-5-2 FA	PKHL-5	10/20/2004	10:26	268	6.97	7.2	8	n.d.	538.2	n.d.
PKHL-5-2 RA	PKHL-5	10/20/2004	10:26	268	6.97	7.2	8	n.d.	538.2	n.d.
PKHL-6-2 FA	PKHL-6	10/20/2004	10:52	219	7.59	7.5	8	64.2	606.7	n.d.
PKHL-6-2 RA	PKHL-6	10/20/2004	10:52	219	7.59	7.5	8	64.2	606.7	n.d.
PKHL-7-2 FA	PKHL-7	10/20/2004	12:14	615	3.44	11.5	0	n.d.	566.7	n.d.
PKHL-7-2 RA	PKHL-7	10/20/2004	12:14	615	3.44	11.5	0	n.d.	566.7	n.d.
PKHL-8-2 FA	PKHL-8	10/20/2004	12:06	685	3.20	10.7	0	n.d.	603.6	n.d.
PKHL-8-2 RA	PKHL-8	10/20/2004	12:06	685	3.20	10.7	0	n.d.	603.6	n.d.

approximate values;	erroneous value	s from previous	s jobs are red]									
Element/Lab information	lron speciation	Job Number	Lab No.	Ag	Ag	A	A	As	As	æ	Ba	Ba
Units Methods	Fe ²⁺ /Fe _{total} Hach	(MS & AES)	(MS & AES)	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES
CKBK-1-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244744	\Im	$\overline{\sim}$	18.5	12	$\overline{\sim}$	<100	\$	18.9	19
CKBK-1-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244745	\Diamond	\sim	22.1	16	\sim	<100	\diamond	19.1	20
CKBK-2-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05621	C-244797	\heartsuit	$\overline{\lor}$	15,900	18,200	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	Ş	19	18
CKBK-2-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05621	C-244798	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	18,800	20,200	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	21.1	18
CKBK-3-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244740	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	2.9	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	34.3	35
CKBK-3-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244741	\Diamond	$\overline{\lor}$	6	18	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	34.5	34
CKBK-4-2 FA	0.81	MRP-05620	C-244730	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	91.4	75	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	19	18.9	19
CKBK-4-2 RA	0.81	MRP-05620	C-244731	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	113	85	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	18	28.1	27
CKBK-5-2 FA	0.13	MRP-05621	C-244799	\Diamond	$\overline{\lor}$	5,370	5,700	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	7.59	6.7
CKBK-5-2 RA	0.13	MRP-05621	C-244800	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	5,630	6,560	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	8.07	7.6
PKHL-1-2 FA	0.13	MRP-05621	C-244819	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	34,600	39,700	7	<100	\diamond	5.52	5.2
PKHL-1-2 RA	0.13	MRP-05621	C-244820	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	34,500	40,000	б	<100	\diamond	5.97	5.3
PKHL-2-2 FA	0.47	MRP-05621	C-244811	\Diamond	\sim	11,000	11,400	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	Ş	15.3	14
PKHL-2-2 RA	0.47	MRP-05621	C-244812	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	10,400	11,500	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	15	14
PKHL-4-2 FA	0.14	MRP-05621	C-244780	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	1,110	1,170	7	<100	\diamond	23.2	19
PKHL-4-2 RA	0.14	MRP-05621	C-244781	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	2,920	3,280	7	<100	\diamond	22.7	20
PKHL-5-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244764	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	4	18	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	27.1	28
PKHL-5-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244765	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	106	62	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	28.9	28
PKHL-6-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244754	\Diamond	\sim	8	11	\sim	<100	Ş	31.2	32
PKHL-6-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244755	\Diamond	\sim	20.1	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	32	32
PKHL-7-2 FA	0.96	MRP-05621	C-244809	\Diamond	\sim	7,030	8,060	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	Ş	15.2	14
PKHL-7-2 RA	0.96	MRP-05621	C-244810	\Diamond	\sim	7,220	8,540	\sim	<100	Ş	15.6	14
PKHL-8-2 FA	0.95	MRP-05621	C-244813	\Diamond	\sim	9,240	10,600	\sim	<100	Ş	11.9	11
PKHL-8-2 RA	0.95	MRP-05621	C-244814	\Diamond	\sim	9,220	10,500	$\overline{}$	<100	\Diamond	12.7	12

approximate values; (erroneous	values frc	om previo	us jobs ar	e red]										
Element	Be	Be	Bi	Ca	Ca	3	Cd	Ce	3	C	Ċ	ŗ	ප	Ū	Cu
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES
CKBK-1-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	43.5	49.9	0.11	\$	0.02	0.21	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.26	5.2	<10
CKBK-1-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	43.6	49.6	0.11	Ş	0.05	0.2	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.25	5.6	<10
CKBK-2-2 FA	1	<10	< 0.2	47.9	51.6	16.2	20	110	186	197	3.8	<10	2.32	5,030	5,890
CKBK-2-2 RA	1.1	<10	< 0.2	46.3	48	15.4	19	120	181	178	11.5	10	2.36	4,900	5,370
CKBK-3-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	36.5	40.6	<0.02	\diamond	<0.01	0.03	<10	$\overline{\vee}$	<10	0.31	<0.5	<10
CKBK-3-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	36.1	38.6	<0.02	\diamond	0.03	0.03	<10	$\overline{\vee}$	<10	0.31	0.85	<10
CKBK-4-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	15.3	18.9	<0.02	\diamond	1.38	0.64	<10	$\overline{\vee}$	<10	0.11	2.9	<10
CKBK-4-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	15.4	17.6	0.06	δ	1.67	0.65	<10	$\overline{\vee}$	<10	0.11	9.3	<10
CKBK-5-2 FA	0.2	<10	< 0.2	55.5	54.6	4.36	5.9	32	97.3	98	2.9	<10	0.94	992	1,010
CKBK-5-2 RA	0.3	<10	< 0.2	56.1	61.9	4.5	9	34.4	102	115	3.2	<10	0.97	1,030	1,130
PKHL-1-2 FA	2.5	<10	< 0.2	231	259	10.5	11	340	218	232	2.4	<10	1.09	1,980	2,150
PKHL-1-2 RA	2.8	<10	< 0.2	225	253	10.6	12	353	217	230	2.2	<10	1.12	1,970	2,180
PKHL-2-2 FA	0.7	<10	< 0.2	88	90.4	11.7	14	48	226	210	2.6	<10	1.08	2,910	3,070
PKHL-2-2 RA	0.7	<10	< 0.2	80.4	88.7	11.6	14	46.6	218	214	2.7	<10	1.09	2,900	3,160
PKHL-4-2 FA	0.4	<10	< 0.2	57.3	57.3	6.95	8.1	41.1	95.2	98	\sim	<10	0.8	4,950	5,170
PKHL-4-2 RA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	56	59.2	6.84	8.2	41.3	92.9	87	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.77	4,980	5,420
PKHL-5-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	59.1	67.1	4.15	6.9	16.6	55.9	47	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.55	1,800	1,960
PKHL-5-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	61	67.3	4.33	6.2	17	57.7	43	\sim	<10	0.61	1,970	2,040
PKHL-6-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	52.2	62.3	0.8	Ş	0.04	1.22	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.31	44.1	46
PKHL-6-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	52.6	60.5	0.84	Ş	0.14	1.28	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.3	55.7	57
PKHL-7-2 FA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	83.6	92.8	3.08	Ş	29.2	135	159	2.3	<10	1	107	107
PKHL-7-2 RA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	81.9	93	3.1	Ş	31.6	131	154	б	<10	0.99	200	227
PKHL-8-2 FA	0.6	<10	< 0.2	82	90.9	5.73	7.4	39.2	181	200	2.9	<10	1.16	205	202
PKHL-8-2 RA	0.6	<10	< 0.2	81.5	90	5.59	7.1	37.7	178	200	2.9	<10	1.24	208	204

approximate values;	erroneous	values fro	om previou	s jobs are red]										
Element	Dy	Ъ	Ē	Бе	Е	Ga	Gd	Ge	와	¥	¥	La	÷	:5
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES
CKBK-1-2 FA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	<0.005	< 0.05	<0.005	1.96	2.2	0.03	< 0.1	\sim
CKBK-1-2 RA	0.007	<0.005	0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	0.007	< 0.05	<0.005	1.95	2.2	0.04	< 0.1	\sim
CKBK-2-2 FA	7.94	4.28	2.03	<50	<20	0.41	10.7	0.2	1.37	3.28	3.8	54.6	19.6	9.7
CKBK-2-2 RA	9.18	4.84	2.47	667	708	0.7	12.4	0.2	1.53	3.26	3.5	56.2	20.3	11
CKBK-3-2 FA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.3	2.6	<0.01	0.8	$\overline{\nabla}$
CKBK-3-2 RA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	<0.005	2.29	2.4	0.02	< 0.1	$\overline{\nabla}$
CKBK-4-2 FA	0.075	0.03	0.02	13,300	13,200	< 0.05	0.1	0.05	0.01	6.63	7.8	0.6	1.2	$\overline{\nabla}$
CKBK-4-2 RA	0.074	0.03	0.02	14,500	14,000	< 0.05	0.12	0.06	0.01	6.57	7.5	0.74	0.9	1.4
CKBK-5-2 FA	1.84	0.82	0.55	6,300	6,390	0.2	2.79	< 0.05	0.29	3.34	3.4	13.6	11.3	5.8
CKBK-5-2 RA	1.93	0.85	0.57	8,140	9,040	0.2	2.95	0.05	0.31	3.32	3.7	14.6	12	\sim
PKHL-1-2 FA	16	7.98	4.25	90,300	99,300	1.3	21.8	0.22	2.94	3.74	4.6	147	39.2	21
PKHL-1-2 RA	16.1	8.02	4.39	90,200	98,200	1.4	22.2	0.3	2.92	3.76	4.7	149	38.4	26
PKHL-2-2 FA	3.02	1.67	0.77	22,200	23,200	0.31	3.82	< 0.05	0.56	4.4	4.7	22	16	9
PKHL-2-2 RA	2.96	1.59	0.73	21,900	23,900	0.31	3.71	< 0.05	0.56	4.1	4.8	21.3	14.4	4
PKHL-4-2 FA	2.99	1.77	0.61	<50	20	0.22	3.75	0.06	0.54	3.26	3.6	29.6	1	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-4-2 RA	3.23	1.88	0.66	<50	34	0.2	4.11	0.07	0.57	3.24	3.7	29.5	0.6	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-5-2 FA	0.73	0.4	0.17	<50	<20	0.1	1.16	< 0.05	0.14	3.3	3.7	15.2	0.3	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-5-2 RA	0.85	0.48	0.19	<50	<20	0.1	1.3	< 0.05	0.16	3.48	3.8	15.4	0.5	\sim
PKHL-6-2 FA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	<0.005	< 0.05	<0.005	3.12	3.6	0.05	0.3	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-6-2 RA	0.007	0.005	0.005	<50	56	< 0.05	0.01	< 0.05	<0.005	3.14	3.6	0.12	< 0.1	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-7-2 FA	1.74	0.94	0.47	45,400	53,800	0.24	2.29	0.07	0.32	4.25	4.8	13	12.4	3.4
PKHL-7-2 RA	1.92	1.02	0.48	47,000	57,300	0.73	2.49	0.1	0.35	4.31	5	14.1	12.8	6.8
PKHL-8-2 FA	2.43	1.26	0.64	33,800	38,500	0.27	3.15	< 0.05	0.45	4.13	4.8	17.8	15.3	$\overline{}$
PKHL-8-2 RA	2.26	1.22	0.62	35,500	40,600	0.27	3.05	0.05	0.41	4.19	4.9	17.2	15.7	$\overline{\nabla}$

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, [µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon

inductively coupled approximate values;	plasma-n erroneous	ass spect	trometry; L rom previo), field replica us jobs are rec	ate sample; F. d]	A, filtered	acidified;	RA, raw i	acidified; F	U, filtered	unacidifie	l; italics te	xt are	
Element	Ξ	Mg	Mg	uW	Mn	Mo	Mo	Na	Na	Nb	PN	Ï	Ň	۵.
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-MS
CKBK-1-2 FA	< 0.1	1.93	2.2	12.5	12	<2	<20	0.74	0.89	< 0.2	0.02	0.8	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-1-2 RA	0.1	1.97	2.3	13	13	<2	<20	0.73	0.42	< 0.2	0.04	0.8	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-2-2 FA	34.8	10.9	12.3	1,870	2,010	< 2	<20	2.8	4	< 0.2	60.5	61.8	69	< 0.01
CKBK-2-2 RA	40.9	10.8	11.5	1,900	1,920	<2	<20	2.68	3.5	< 0.2	70.2	59.5	65	0.05
CKBK-3-2 FA	< 0.1	1.45	1.7	4.3	<10	<2	<20	0.73	0.55	< 0.2	<0.01	<0.4	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-3-2 RA	< 0.1	1.44	1.6	6.6	<10	<2	<20	0.73	0.48	< 0.2	0.01	0.4	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-4-2 FA	0.2	2.02	2.4	871	921	<2	<20	0.66	0.41	< 0.2	0.58	0.5	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-4-2 RA	0.2	1.98	2.3	880	883	<2	<20	0.63	0.26	< 0.2	0.65	0.5	<10	0.02
CKBK-5-2 FA	4.2	7.17	7.6	1,320	1,300	< 2	<20	1.18	2.5	< 0.2	14.7	25.9	30	< 0.01
CKBK-5-2 RA	4.3	7.37	8.3	1,370	1,480	<2	<20	1.2	3.1	< 0.2	15.2	27	39	< 0.01
PKHL-1-2 FA	55.8	27.2	31.9	6,980	7,170	<2	<20	3.94	L	< 0.2	131	38.3	50	< 0.01
PKHL-1-2 RA	55.7	27.6	31.7	7,010	7,200	< 2	<20	3.96	6.5	< 0.2	136	38.6	41	< 0.01
PKHL-2-2 FA	13.6	11.4	12.3	1,400	1,380	<2	<20	2.1	4.5	< 0.2	21.7	31.1	35	< 0.01
PKHL-2-2 RA	13.3	10.7	12.1	1,340	1,380	<2	<20	1.96	4.2	< 0.2	21.4	30.4	37	< 0.01
PKHL-4-2 FA	13.5	8.81	9.3	629	634	<2	<20	1.73	2.7	< 0.2	22	22.6	26	< 0.01
PKHL-4-2 RA	16.2	8.72	9.6	608	650	<2	<20	1.73	3.1	< 0.2	22.6	22.4	26	< 0.01
PKHL-5-2 FA	1.7	6.53	8.2	383	384	<2	<20	1.49	2.9	< 0.2	7.89	15.9	22	< 0.01
PKHL-5-2 RA	2.7	6.83	8	394	373	<2	<20	1.56	ю	< 0.2	8.27	16.7	19	< 0.01
PKHL-6-2 FA	< 0.1	2.83	3.5	42.4	45	<2	<20	0.99	1.6	< 0.2	0.03	2	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-6-2 RA	< 0.1	2.83	3.4	44.9	46	<2	<20	1.01	1.7	< 0.2	0.07	2.2	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-7-2 FA	7.4	9.32	11	1,080	1,150	<2	<20	1.82	3.5	< 0.2	13.2	16.8	24	< 0.01
PKHL-7-2 RA	7	9.33	11.2	1,060	1,190	<2	<20	1.85	3.6	< 0.2	14.2	16.6	24	0.02
PKHL-8-2 FA	6	9.85	11.7	1,170	1,220	< 2	<20	1.89	4.8	< 0.2	18.9	23.2	33	< 0.01
PKHL-8-2 RA	9.2	9.73	11.6	1,170	1,220	< 2	<20	1.9	4.6	< 0.2	17.8	22.7	35	< 0.01

approximate values; •	stroneous	values fro	im previou	us jobs are	e red]											
Element	٩	Pb	Pb	Ł	Rb	Sh	Sh	Sc	Se	Si0 ₂	SiO ₂	Sm	S04	Sr	Sr	Ta
Units Methods	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS
CKBK-1-2 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	<0.01	9.54	<0.3	<50	0.7	2.2	6.3	7	<0.01	12	159	174	< 0.02
CKBK-1-2 RA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	0.01	9.51	<0.3	<50	0.9	~ 1	6.3	7.1	<0.01	12	160	178	< 0.02
CKBK-2-2 FA	<0.1	0.1	<50	14.6	21.8	<0.3	<50	6.6	$\sim \frac{1}{2}$	65	73.5	10.7	245	262	256	0.1
CKBK-2-2 RA	<0.1	0.91	<50	16.3	21.8	<0.3	<50	6.2	1.2	65.5	69.5	13.2	230	255	236	0.06
CKBK-3-2 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	< 0.01	11	<0.3	<50	0.6	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{}$	9	6.6	<0.01	10	107	115	< 0.02
CKBK-3-2 RA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	<0.01	10.8	<0.3	<50	0.6	1.2	5.9	6.4	<0.01	10	107	112	< 0.02
CKBK-4-2 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	0.13	24.7	<0.3	<50	0	2.4	15	17.8	0.1	< 2 <	32.2	36	< 0.02
CKBK-4-2 RA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	0.16	24.5	<0.3	<50	0	2.6	15	17.1	0.12	< 2	32.6	35	< 0.02
CKBK-5-2 FA	<0.1	0.67	<50	3.39	16.8	<0.3	<50	2.5	1.2	17.1	18.6	2.84	220	94	82	0.03
CKBK-5-2 RA	<0.1	0.8	<50	3.6	16.9	<0.3	<50	2.5	1.1	17.5	20.8	б	224	93.8	86	0.02
PKHL-1-2 FA	<0.1	3.4	<50	33.3	36.9	<0.3	<50	5.2	7	58.7	73.4	23.5	1090	297	286	0.03
PKHL-1-2 RA	<0.1	3.5	<50	34.4	36.5	<0.3	<50	4.7	1.9	59.8	73.3	24	1080	294	283	0.02
PKHL-2-2 FA	<0.1	2.2	<50	5.56	25	<0.3	<50	4	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{}$	41.5	46.6	4.09	398	210	201	0.02
PKHL-2-2 RA	<0.1	2.4	<50	5.43	24.2	<0.3	<50	4	1.1	39.4	46.8	3.84	359	204	197	< 0.02
PKHL-4-2 FA	<0.1	0.1	<50	5.91	18.7	<0.3	<50	2.8	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{}$	20.5	22.6	3.31	183	162	144	< 0.02
PKHL-4-2 RA	<0.1	0.2	<50	6.04	18.7	<0.3	<50	б	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{}$	20.7	23.8	3.45	179	160	148	0.02
PKHL-5-2 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	2.19	17.2	<0.3	<50	1.7	1.2	14.2	16.1	0.94	146	140	157	< 0.02
PKHL-5-2 RA	<0.1	0.06	<50	2.3	18	<0.3	<50	1.7	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{}$	14.6	15.7	1.05	147	143	153	< 0.02
PKHL-6-2 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	<0.01	16	<0.3	<50	0.9	2.9	7.2	8.8	<0.01	75	7.66	113	< 0.02
PKHL-6-2 RA	<0.1	<0.05	≤ 50	0.02	16.3	<0.3	<50	1	1.8	7.4	8.5	<0.01	78	102	111	< 0.02
PKHL-7-2 FA	<0.1	0.4	≤ 50	3.3	23	<0.3	<50	3.4	~ 1	32.1	37.8	2.42	362	179	169	< 0.02
PKHL-7-2 RA	<0.1	1.2	<50	3.56	22.9	<0.3	<50	4	1.3	32.5	39.8	2.5	360	174	169	< 0.02
PKHL-8-2 FA	<0.1	0.82	<50	4.65	25	<0.3	<50	3.9	~ 1	37.8	46.1	3.27	367	188	182	0.03
PKHL-8-2 RA	<0.1	1.5	<50	4.4	24.5	<0.3	≤ 50	3.6	1.3	37.7	45.9	3.23	360	184	180	0.03

approximate values; er	roneous v	alues fro	m previou	s jobs are	red]										
Element	đ	Ę	F	Ħ	F	Ē	∍	>	>	≥	>	٩X	Zn	Zn	Zr
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS
CKBK-1-2 FA	<0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	<0.005	0.18	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.03	<0.005	16	11	< 0.2
CKBK-1-2 RA	<0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	0.18	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.04	<0.005	15.9	11	< 0.2
CKBK-2-2 FA	1.34	0.44	3.2	<50	0.58	0.54	3.14	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	36.7	3.92	3,030	3,610	< 0.2
CKBK-2-2 RA	1.53	1.96	29.2	<50	0.58	0.64	5.37	0.9	<10	< 0.5	39.6	4.71	2,870	3,140	< 0.2
CKBK-3-2 FA	<0.005	< 0.2	0.8	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	<0.01	<0.005	1.3	<10	< 0.2
CKBK-3-2 RA	<0.005	< 0.2	0.6	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	<0.005	0.5	<10	< 0.2
CKBK-4-2 FA	0.01	2.82	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	< 0.1	0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.29	0.02	3.5	<10	< 0.2
CKBK-4-2 RA	0.01	2.47	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	< 0.1	0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.32	0.02	14	14	< 0.2
CKBK-5-2 FA	0.34	12.3	2.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	0.09	0.61	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	8.51	0.57	1,550	1,680	< 0.2
CKBK-5-2 RA	0.36	15.4	4.4	<50	$<\!0.1$	0.087	0.62	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	8.84	0.6	1,620	1,920	< 0.2
PKHL-1-2 FA	2.95	130	11	<50	$<\!0.1$	1.08	3.66	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	80.4	6.94	6,240	7,770	< 0.2
PKHL-1-2 RA	2.95	132	12.7	<50	$<\!0.1$	1.08	3.64	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	78.2	6.94	6,400	7,700	< 0.2
PKHL-2-2 FA	0.52	22.9	5	<50	0.1	0.23	0.92	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	15.1	1.54	2,890	3,180	< 0.2
PKHL-2-2 RA	0.52	23.9	9.6	<50	0.1	0.22	0.94	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	15.5	1.55	2,790	3,150	< 0.2
PKHL-4-2 FA	0.48	< 0.2	2.2	<50	0.2	0.21	0.65	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	18.1	1.5	684	714	< 0.2
PKHL-4-2 RA	0.51	< 0.2	2.7	<50	0.2	0.23	0.83	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	18.8	1.69	678	691	< 0.2
PKHL-5-2 FA	0.13	< 0.2	1.4	<50	0.1	0.04	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	6.15	0.25	418	496	< 0.2
PKHL-5-2 RA	0.15	< 0.2	2	<50	0.1	0.052	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	6.64	0.31	432	480	< 0.2
PKHL-6-2 FA	<0.005	< 0.2	0.6	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.03	<0.005	81.6	92	< 0.2
PKHL-6-2 RA	<0.005	< 0.2	0.8	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.07	0.006	85.3	91	< 0.2
PKHL-7-2 FA	0.31	17.1	4.8	<50	$<\!0.1$	0.13	0.42	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	8.92	0.87	2,080	2,440	< 0.2
PKHL-7-2 RA	0.34	38.8	50.1	<50	$<\!0.1$	0.14	0.41	1.5	<10	< 0.5	9.77	0.95	2,070	2,550	< 0.2
PKHL-8-2 FA	0.43	14.6	4.6	<50	<0.1	0.18	0.56	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	11.9	1.16	2,530	2,960	< 0.2
PKHL-8-2 RA	0.42	16.8	9.6	⊲50	<0.1	0.16	0.58	1	<10	< 0.5	11.2	1.14	2,510	2,910	< 0.2

ield measurements and concentrations of elements in water samples from the	es study area.—Continued	s per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of ca
Appendix 4. Field mea	Pike Hill mines study a	[µS/cm, microsiemens per centimete

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, arbon inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; italics text are [µS

approximate values; ϵ	prroneous value	s from prev	ious jobs are red]				
Element/Lab information	Job Number	Lab No.	Field No.	5	ш	NO3	SO4
Units Methods	(IC)	(IC)		mg/L IC	mg/L IC	mg/L IC	mg/L IC
CKBK-1-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245053	CKBK-1-2 FU	1.8	<.08	0.2	14.7
CKBK-1-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
CKBK-2-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245072	CKBK-2-2 FU	4.4	1.8	4.2	260 (440) ¹
CKBK-2-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
CKBK-3-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245051	CKBK-3-2 FU	1.6	<.08	<.08	11.7
CKBK-3-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
CKBK-4-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245046	CKBK-4-2 FU	1.9	0.085	0.2	1.7
CKBK-4-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
CKBK-5-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245073	CKBK-5-2 FU	4.3	1.8	1.3	233 (425)
CKBK-5-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-1-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245082	PKHL-1-2 FU	13.6	5.3	<.08	1200 (2074)
PKHL-1-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-2-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245078	PKHL-2-2 FU	6.7	2.7	<.08	394 (717)
PKHL-2-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-4-2 FA	MRP-05779	C-248529	PKHL-4-2 FU	2.6	0.5	2.2	231
PKHL-4-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-5-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245063	PKHL-5-2 FU	2.7	0.2	1.8	190
PKHL-5-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-6-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245058	PKHL-6-2 FU	1.5	<.08	0.2	100
PKHL-6-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-7-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245077	PKHL-7-2 FU	٢	2.8	<.08	396 (723)
PKHL-7-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-8-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245079	PKHL-8-2 FU	6.7	ю	<.08	407 (740)
PKHL-8-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, [µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon

approximate values; e	rroneous values	ecuoliteuy, ک, ا s from previous	jobs are red]	saшрле, г.А, ини		icu, NA, iaw aciuili	eu; r.o., Illiele	ם מוומכומווזכט; זו	נמווכא ובאו מוב	
Characteristic	Site location	Collection date	Collection time	Specific conductance	Hd	Temperature	Dissolved oxygen	Alkalinity	Oxidation- reduction potential	Dissolved organic carbon
Units Methods				µS/cm		degrees Celsius	mg/L	mg/L CaCO ₃	۸m	mg C/L
PKHL-9-2 FA	PKHL-9	10/20/2004	12:20	466	3.50	6.8	8	n.d.	597.5	n.d.
PKHL-9-2 RA	PKHL-9	10/20/2004	12:20	466	3.50	6.8	8	n.d.	597.5	n.d.
PKHL-10-2 FA	PKHL-10	10/20/2004	14:45	465	3.84	7.8	8	n.d.	678.7	n.d.
PKHL-10-2 RA	PKHL-10	10/20/2004	14:45	465	3.84	7.8	8	n.d.	678.7	n.d.
PKHL-10-2 D FA	PKHL-10	10/20/2004	14:47	465	3.84	7.8	8	n.d.	678.7	n.d.
PKHL-10-2 D RA	PKHL-10	10/20/2004	14:47	465	3.84	7.8	8	n.d.	678.7	n.d.
PKHL-11-2 FA	PKHL-11	10/20/2004	14:38	139.4	8.39	8.6	10	93.5	231.7	n.d.
PKHL-11-2 RA	PKHL-11	10/20/2004	14:38	139.4	8.39	8.6	10	93.5	231.7	n.d.
PKHL-12-2 FA	PKHL-12	10/20/2004	15:45	152.9	7.68	7.2	10	61.1	505.7	n.d.
PKHL-12-2 RA	PKHL-12	10/20/2004	15:45	152.9	7.68	7.2	10	61.1	505.7	n.d.
PKHL-13-2 FA	PKHL-13	10/20/2004	16:00	133.7	7.97	6.9	10	71.1	476.4	n.d.
PKHL-13-2 RA	PKHL-13	10/20/2004	16:00	133.7	7.97	6.9	10	71.1	476.4	n.d.
PKHL-14-2 FA	PKHL-14	10/20/2004	16:16	136.5	7.71	6.7	10	66.2	465.6	n.d.
PKHL-14-2 RA	PKHL-14	10/20/2004	16:16	136.5	7.71	6.7	10	66.2	465.6	n.d.
PKHL-15-2 FA	PKHL-15	10/20/2004	16:30	132.2	8.61	7	8	83.5	445.3	n.d.
PKHL-15-2 RA	PKHL-15	10/20/2004	16:30	132.2	8.61	L	8	83.5	445.3	n.d.
01139940Aug FA	CKBK-1	8/2/2005	9:42	214	7.94	14.9	7	124	527.3	n.d.
01139940Aug RA	CKBK-1	8/2/2005	9:42	214	7.94	14.9	7	124	527.3	n.d.
CKBK-2-3 FA	CKBK-2	8/2/2005	10:57	215	6.598	14.7	8	33.6	370.2	n.d.
CKBK-2-3 RA	CKBK-2	8/2/2005	10:57	215	6.598	14.7	8	33.6	370.2	n.d.
CKBK-3-3 FA	CKBK-3	8/2/2005	11:04	166.2	7.191	16.8	8	96	368.2	n.d.
CKBK-3-3 RA	CKBK-3	8/2/2005	11:04	166.2	7.191	16.8	8	96	368.2	n.d.
CKBK-4-3 FA	CKBK-4	8/2/2005	10:38	263	6.483	17.7	7	50	196	n.d.
CKBK-4-3 RA	CKBK-4	8/2/2005	10:38	263	6.483	17.7	7	50	196	n.d.
CKBK-5-3 FA	CKBK-5	8/2/2005	10:27	340	3.63	11.4	8	n.d.	595.9	n.d.

approximate values; ϵ	rroneous values	s from previous	jobs are red]	- T				c c -				
Element/Lab information	lron speciation	Job Number	Lab No.	Ag	Ag	AI	Ы	As	As	В	Ba	Ba
Units Methods	Fe ²⁺ /Fe _{total} Hach	(MS & AES)	(MS & AES)	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES
PKHL-9-2 FA	0.42	MRP-05621	C-244807	\mathfrak{A}	\sim	9,580	11,100	-	<100	Ş	16	14
PKHL-9-2 RA	0.42	MRP-05621	C-244808	\Diamond	\sim	9,660	11,000	\sim	<100	\Diamond	16.6	15
PKHL-10-2 FA	0.45	MRP-05621	C-244805	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	7,500	8,420	-	<100	\Diamond	17.4	15
PKHL-10-2 RA	0.45	MRP-05621	C-244806	\Diamond	\sim	7,170	8,360	1	<100	\Diamond	16.7	15
PKHL-10-2 D FA	0.44	MRP-05621	C-244803	\Diamond	$\overline{}$	7,440	8,310	\sim	<100	\Diamond	17	15
PKHL-10-2 D RA	0.44	MRP-05621	C-244804	\Diamond	\sim	7,170	8,440	1	<100	ŝ	16.7	15
PKHL-11-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244738	\Diamond	\sim	41.2	43	\sim	<100	ŝ	20.8	22
PKHL-11-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244739	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	4	16	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	Ş	20.7	20
PKHL-12-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244742	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	8.9	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\Diamond	16.7	16
PKHL-12-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244743	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	60	47	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	δ	15.5	17
PKHL-13-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244734	\Diamond	$\overline{\lor}$	5.6	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	Ş	14.9	15
PKHL-13-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244735	\Diamond	\sim	10.8	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	Ş	14.7	16
PKHL-14-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244736	\Diamond	$\overline{\lor}$	4.7	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	Ş	15.8	15
PKHL-14-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244737	\Diamond	$\overline{\lor}$	8.4	16	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	Ş	15.8	16
PKHL-15-2 FA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244732	\Diamond	\sim	5.6	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	Ş	9.97	9.8
PKHL-15-2 RA	n.d.	MRP-05620	C-244733	\Diamond	\sim	14.2	23	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\Diamond	9.9	10
01139940Aug FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260171	\Diamond	\sim	30.1	11	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	\Diamond	21.2	21
01139940Aug RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260172	\Diamond	\sim	32.4	14	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	\Diamond	21.5	21
CKBK-2-3 FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260173	\Diamond	\sim	17.8	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	23.2	24
CKBK-2-3 RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260174	\Diamond	\sim	3,660	3,140	\sim	<100	Ş	23	23
CKBK-3-3 FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260163	\Diamond	\sim	5.2	<10	$\overline{\sim}$	<100	Ş	33.8	34
CKBK-3-3 RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260164	\Diamond	\sim	42.2	28	\sim	<100	Ş	34.7	35
CKBK-4-3 FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260191	\Diamond	\sim	45.9	37	\sim	<100	12	28	28
CKBK-4-3 RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260192	\Diamond	\sim	75.3	64	\sim	<100	11	29.4	28
CKBK-5-3 FA	0.08	MRP-06206	C-260202	\Diamond	\sim	2,390	2,330	\sim	<100	Ş	5.88	9

approximate values; (erroneous	values fro	om previo	us jobs ai	re red]	, , ,									
i	ſ	1	i						ſ		(
Element	Be	Be	Bi	Ca	Ca	3	5	Ce	ප	3	5	ප	cs	3	3
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES
PKHL-9-2 FA	0.8	<10	< 0.2	90.6	93.9	22.2	31	54.2	190	216	$\overline{}$	<10	1.11	6,470	7,480
PKHL-9-2 RA	0.8	<10	< 0.2	90.8	98.6	22.3	28	55.8	189	194	\sim	<10	1.12	6,480	7,350
PKHL-10-2 FA	0.4	<10	< 0.2	76.6	77.8	9.61	11	32.7	170	185	1.6	<10	1.04	2,400	2,570
PKHL-10-2 RA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	73.5	81	9.26	13	34.5	166	174	1.5	<10	1.04	2,310	2,540
PKHL-10-2 D FA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	76.9	80.8	9.48	13	39	172	196	1.6	<10	1.04	2,250	2,530
PKHL-10-2 D RA	0.4	<10	< 0.2	73.3	80.3	9.17	11	34.9	163	161	1.5	<10	1.03	2,300	2,580
PKHL-11-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	37.9	42.5	<0.02	Ş	0.12	0.07	<10	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim}$	<10	0.15	1	<10
PKHL-11-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	37	41.5	<0.02	Ş	<0.01	0.03	<10	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim}$	<10	0.16	0.55	<10
PKHL-12-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	37.8	42.8	0.76	Ş	0.04	10.6	<10	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\sim}$	<10	0.2	13.7	11
PKHL-12-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	34.4	42	0.72	Ş	0.35	9.96	<10	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\sim}$	<10	0.17	34.6	38
PKHL-13-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	29.9	37.3	0.14	Ş	0.03	1.08	<10	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\sim}$	<10	0.07	9.7	<10
PKHL-13-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	29.7	37.2	0.14	Ş	0.05	1.17	<10	\sim	<10	0.07	11.8	10
PKHL-14-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	30.6	36.6	0.11	Ş	0.03	1.04	<10	\sim	<10	0.08	L	<10
PKHL-14-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	32.7	37	0.11	Ş	0.04	1.15	<10	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim}$	<10	0.07	9.4	<10
PKHL-15-2 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	32.2	39.2	<0.02	Ş	<0.01	0.03	<10	\sim	<10	0.26	<0.5	<10
PKHL-15-2 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	31.6	39.7	<0.02	\diamond	0.03	0.04	<10	\sim	<10	0.26	1.4	<10
01139940Aug FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	53.8	51.1	0.1	Ş	< 0.01	0.12	<10	1	<10	0.3	3.6	<10
01139940Aug RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	52.8	51.7	0.1	Ş	0.01	0.13	<10	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\sim}$	<10	0.3	4.1	<10
CKBK-2-3 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	40.7	39.5	3.61	Ş	5.79	39	40	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	<10	0.69	608	588
CKBK-2-3 RA	0.2	<10	< 0.2	40.8	39.5	3.79	Ş	18	39.5	40	\sim	<10	0.69	1,150	1,080
CKBK-3-3 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	37.2	36.2	<0.02	Ş	< 0.01	0.06	<10	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{\sim}$	<10	0.32	<0.5	<10
CKBK-3-3 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	38.1	37.8	<0.02	Ş	0.1	0.04	<10	1.1	<10	0.35	0.92	<10
CKBK-4-3 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	16	17.4	<0.02	Ş	0.45	4.93	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.06	1.2	<10
CKBK-4-3 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	16.1	16.6	<0.02	Ş	0.63	0.29	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.06	3.2	<10
CKBK-5-3 FA	0.1	$<\!10$	< 0.2	50.7	53.2	2.25	Ŷ	11.9	49	52	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.56	597	588

approximate values;	erroneous	values fr	om previou	s jobs are red]										
Element	Dy	Ъ	E	Fe	Fe	Ga	P9	Ge	Ю	¥	¥	Га	5	5
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES
PKHL-9-2 FA	3.79	2.13	0.93	1,230	1,480	0.24	4.82	0.1	0.75	4.68	5.3	27.9	8.8	7
PKHL-9-2 RA	3.99	2.21	0.92	1,210	1,300	0.26	4.97	0.1	0.74	4.7	5.3	28.7	8.6	$\overline{\nabla}$
PKHL-10-2 FA	2.08	1.18	0.51	8,860	9,590	0.21	2.56	0.07	0.39	4.11	4.4	15	10.6	$\overline{\nabla}$
PKHL-10-2 RA	2.14	1.16	0.54	9,240	10,100	0.21	2.66	0.07	0.4	3.94	4.4	15.7	10.2	\sim
PKHL-10-2 D FA	2.03	1.12	0.52	8,830	9,270	0.2	2.78	0.09	0.36	4.09	4.3	17.2	10.3	$\overline{\nabla}$
PKHL-10-2 D RA	2.21	1.2	0.55	9,180	10,300	0.22	2.78	0.06	0.43	3.98	4.5	15.9	9.7	\sim
PKHL-11-2 FA	0.008	0.005	<0.005	<50	43	< 0.05	0.009	< 0.05	<0.005	1.79	7	0.06	< 0.1	\sim
PKHL-11-2 RA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	<0.005	1.77	1.9	<0.01	0.2	\sim
PKHL-12-2 FA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<50	31	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	<0.005	2.64	2.9	0.03	1.2	$\overline{\nabla}$
PKHL-12-2 RA	0.02	0.01	0.009	173	209	< 0.05	0.02	< 0.05	<0.005	2.46	2.9	0.19	1.5	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-13-2 FA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	189	233	< 0.05	0.007	0.05	<0.005	2.35	2.8	0.02	0.3	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-13-2 RA	0.006	<0.005	<0.005	248	295	< 0.05	<0.005	0.07	<0.005	2.31	2.9	0.03	0.3	\sim
PKHL-14-2 FA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	282	311	< 0.05	<0.005	0.06	<0.005	2.35	2.7	0.02	0.2	\sim
PKHL-14-2 RA	0.006	<0.005	<0.005	461	476	< 0.05	0.006	< 0.05	<0.005	2.5	2.7	0.03	1.2	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-15-2 FA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<50	28	< 0.05	<0.005	0.08	<0.005	1.3	1.6	<0.01	1.7	1
PKHL-15-2 RA	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<50	35	< 0.05	<0.005	< 0.05	<0.005	1.29	1.6	0.02	1.9	$\overline{\nabla}$
01139940Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.03	2.1	0.02	0.7	\sim
01139940Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.05	2.1	0.02	1.8	1
CKBK-2-3 FA	0.21	0.13	0.05	<50	<20	0.07	0.35	< 0.05	0.04	2.08	2.2	4.78	4.8	5
CKBK-2-3 RA	1.84	1.06	0.39	<50	21	0.24	2.41	0.06	0.35	1.8	1.8	9.53	4.8	4.9
CKBK-3-3 FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.006	<50	26	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.33	2.3	< 0.01	0.4	$\overline{\lor}$
CKBK-3-3 RA	0.01	0.006	0.006	<50	30	< 0.05	0.007	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.34	2.4	0.05	0.4	$\overline{\lor}$
CKBK-4-3 FA	0.02	0.01	0.01	9,380	8,970	< 0.05	0.04	< 0.05	0.005	5.72	6.1	0.18	1.2	1.7
CKBK-4-3 RA	0.03	0.02	0.01	12,900	11,800	< 0.05	0.058	< 0.05	0.006	5.91	6.1	0.26	0.9	1.7
CKBK-5-3 FA	0.82	0.37	0.23	732	750	0.2	1.23	< 0.05	0.14	2.85	3.1	5.29	5.9	6.4

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, [µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon

inductively coupled approximate values;	plasma-n erroneou:	nass spec s values f	trometry; D rom previou	, field replica us jobs are rec	te sample; F	A, filtered	acidified;	RA, raw a	acidified; F	U, filtered	unacidifie	d; italics te	ext are	5
Element	Ξ	Mg	Mg	Mn	Mn	Ŵ	Mo	Na	Na	qN	PN	Ï	Ï	ď
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-MS
PKHL-9-2 FA	18	10.9	12.2	1,360	1,480	< 2	<20	2.3	5.5	< 0.2	26.9	35	52	< 0.01
PKHL-9-2 RA	17.6	10.9	12.5	1,350	1,470	< 2	<20	2.32	4.7	< 0.2	27.8	34.8	43	< 0.01
PKHL-10-2 FA	9.7	8.42	9.2	958	1,020	< 2	<20	1.75	3.8	< 0.2	14.7	23	28	< 0.01
PKHL-10-2 RA	9.2	8.11	9.6	930	1,010	< 2	<20	1.69	4	< 0.2	15.4	22.2	28	< 0.01
PKHL-10-2 D FA	9.5	8.43	9.6	953	1,000	< 2	<20	1.75	4.8	< 0.2	16.8	22.9	37	< 0.01
PKHL-10-2 D RA	9.5	8.1	9.6	911	1,020	< 2	<20	1.68	4.1	< 0.2	15.6	22.6	32	< 0.01
PKHL-11-2 FA	0.2	1.33	1.5	21.6	22	< 2	<20	1.16	1	< 0.2	0.05	0.4	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-11-2 RA	< 0.1	1.32	1.5	11.4	11	< 2	<20	1.15	0.92	< 0.2	<0.01	<0.4	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-12-2 FA	< 0.1	2.55	2.9	118	120	< 2	<20	1.64	1.6	< 0.2	0.02	2.5	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-12-2 RA	0.1	2.32	2.9	112	119	< 2	<20	1.5	1.5	< 0.2	0.16	2.3	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-13-2 FA	0.1	1.73	2.1	67.6	72	< 2	<20	4.23	4.8	< 0.2	0.02	0.6	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-13-2 RA	< 0.1	1.69	2.1	69	74	< 2	<20	4.21	4.8	< 0.2	0.03	0.6	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-14-2 FA	< 0.1	1.76	2.1	120	121	< 2	<20	4.82	5.2	< 0.2	0.02	0.5	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-14-2 RA	< 0.1	1.86	2.1	128	128	< 2	<20	5.01	5.3	< 0.2	0.02	0.6	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-15-2 FA	0.1	1.28	1.5	4.8	<10	< 2	<20	4.24	4.5	< 0.2	<0.01	<0.4	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-15-2 RA	< 0.1	1.27	1.5	6.7	<10	< 2	<20	4.23	4.6	< 0.2	0.02	0.4	<10	< 0.01
01139940Aug FA	< 0.1	2.03	2	20.6	22	< 2	<20	0.86	0.75	< 0.2	0.02	1.4	<10	< 0.01
01139940Aug RA	< 0.1	2.08	2	21.2	23	< 2	<20	0.86	0.8	< 0.2	0.02	1.2	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-2-3 FA	< 0.1	4.09	4.1	411	417	< 2	<20	1.1	1	< 0.2	2.52	17.8	16	< 0.01
CKBK-2-3 RA	0.1	4.04	4	420	415	< 2	<20	1.07	1	< 0.2	11.8	18.2	14	< 0.01
CKBK-3-3 FA	< 0.1	1.28	1.4	20	22	< 2	<20	0.8	0.69	< 0.2	< 0.01	0.8	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-3-3 RA	< 0.1	1.35	1.4	22.2	26	< 2	<20	0.85	0.74	< 0.2	0.04	0.8	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-4-3 FA	< 0.1	1.69	1.8	669	720	< 2	<20	0.61	0.63	< 0.2	0.19	1.7	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-4-3 RA	< 0.1	1.66	1.8	707	682	< 2	<20	0.58	0.52	< 0.2	0.28	0.7	<10	< 0.01
CKBK-5-3 FA	< 0.1	4.69	5.1	849	906	< 2	<20	0.81	0.83	< 0.2	5.74	15.4	15	< 0.01
[µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon Appendix 4. Field measurements and concentrations of elements in water samples from the Pike Hill mines study area.—Continued

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; italics text are

approximate values;	erroneous	values fro	im previou	us jobs are	red]											
Element	₽.	Pb	Pb	Pr	ß	Sh	Sh	Sc	Se	Si0 ₂	Si0 ₂	Sm	\$0₄	Sr	Sr	Ta
Units	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L	hg/L	µg/L	mg/L	mg/L	µg/L	mg/L	µg/L	µg/L	µg/L
Methods	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-AES	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	ICP-MS	CP-AES	ICP-MS
PKHL-9-2 FA	<0.1	1.5	<50	6.94	26.7	<0.3	<50	3.8	1.3	45.4	53.6	4.81	317	259	226	< 0.02
PKHL-9-2 RA	$<\!0.1$	1.5	<50	7.16	26.7	<0.3	<50	3.8	1.3	45.5	53.2	4.95	317	260	236	< 0.02
PKHL-10-2 FA	<0.1	1.9	<50	3.76	22.5	<0.3	<50	3.1	~ 1	32.3	36.8	2.61	282	219	193	< 0.02
PKHL-10-2 RA	<0.1	1.9	<50	3.94	21.9	<0.3	<50	2.9	~ 1	30.9	36.8	2.87	270	213	200	< 0.02
PKHL-10-2 D FA	<0.1	1.9	<50	4.1	22.3	<0.3	<50	3.3	\sim 1	32.2	37	2.93	281	218	199	< 0.02
PKHL-10-2 D RA	<0.1	1.9	<50	4.01	21.7	<0.3	<50	3.5	~ 1	31.2	37.4	2.9	266	210	200	< 0.02
PKHL-11-2 FA	<0.1	0.06	<50	0.01	8.34	<0.3	<50	0.7	~ 1	6.3	7	0.01	9	120	137	0.02
PKHL-11-2 RA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	<0.01	8.41	<0.3	<50	0.7	~ 1	6.2	6.7	<0.01	9	120	135	< 0.02
PKHL-12-2 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	<0.01	9.66	<0.3	<50	0.9	1.4	8.3	9.4	<0.01	4	127	144	< 0.02
PKHL-12-2 RA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	0.04	9.12	<0.3	<50	0.9	1.4	<i>T.T</i>	9.2	0.03	40	120	142	< 0.02
PKHL-13-2 FA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	<0.01	5.46	<0.3	<50	0.8	2.8	٢	8.3	<0.01	15	124	146	< 0.02
PKHL-13-2 RA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	<0.01	5.28	<0.3	<50	0.8	2.7	6.8	8.3	<0.01	15	123	145	< 0.02
PKHL-14-2 FA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	<0.01	5.72	<0.3	<50	0.8	2.1	6.6	7.7	<0.01	14	132	145	< 0.02
PKHL-14-2 RA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	<0.01	5.64	<0.3	<50	0.8	~ 1	7.2	7.8	<0.01	12	129	147	0.02
PKHL-15-2 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	< 0.01	5.56	<0.3	<50	0.8	7	6.3	7.4	< 0.01	L	126	144	< 0.02
PKHL-15-2 RA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	<0.01	5.47	<0.3	<50	0.8	2.4	6.3	7.4	<0.01	7	125	148	< 0.02
01139940Aug FA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	< 0.01	9.78	<0.3	<50	1	< 1	7.3	6.5	< 0.01	12	172	183	< 0.02
01139940Aug RA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	< 0.01	9.96	<0.3	<50	0.9	< 1	7.6	6.7	< 0.01	12	173	191	< 0.02
CKBK-2-3 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	0.74	10	<0.3	<50	2.2	< 1	18.8	17	0.25	79	150	164	< 0.02
CKBK-2-3 RA	<0.1	0.1	<50	ю	10.2	<0.3	<50	2.5	< 1	20.9	18.1	1.97	81	152	164	< 0.02
CKBK-3-3 FA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	< 0.01	11	<0.3	<50	0.9	< 1	6.2	5.7	< 0.01	8	104	108	< 0.02
CKBK-3-3 RA	$<\!0.1$	0.07	<50	0.01	11.1	<0.3	<50	0.9	< 1	5.8	5.9	0.01	L	104	114	< 0.02
CKBK-4-3 FA	<0.1	0.08	<50	0.04	22	0.31	<50	2.6	~ 1	17.4	16.5	0.04	< 2	37.2	37	< 0.02
CKBK-4-3 RA	<0.1	0.09	<50	0.06	22.4	<0.3	<50	2.6	~ 1	18.1	16	0.04	< 2	37.9	36	< 0.02
CKBK-5-3 FA	<0.1	0.3	<50	1.36	14.4	<0.3	<50	1.7	1.1	12.6	12.6	1.16	159	78.8	80	0.05

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, [µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon w acidifiad. EU filtarad macidifiad: italics taxt mule. FA filtered acidified RA ra ". D field renlicate o inductively coupled place

approximate values; e	rroneous v	alues fro	omeny; D.	, netu repr is jobs are	red]	ipie; rA,	unered a	ciuilieu; i	KA, IAW A	ciuirieu;	ru, Iller	su unaciun	ieu; italics	lext are	
Element	đ	Ę	i	ij	F	Ę	∍	>	>	3	>	Υp	Zn	Zn	Zr
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS
PKHL-9-2 FA	0.68	3.65	3.7	<50	0.3	0.3	1.2	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	19.6	2.01	3,080	3,740	< 0.2
PKHL-9-2 RA	0.7	3.44	4.3	<50	0.3	0.3	1.21	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	20.4	1.97	3,080	3,650	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 FA	0.36	15.4	3.8	<50	0.1	0.16	0.67	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	10.2	1.08	2,100	2,390	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 RA	0.37	14.4	3.6	<50	0.1	0.16	0.67	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	10.8	1.07	2,020	2,360	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 D FA	0.34	14.3	3.6	<50	0.1	0.14	0.69	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	10.7	1.02	2,060	2,450	< 0.2
PKHL-10-2 D RA	0.4	15.5	4.1	<50	0.1	0.17	0.69	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	11.1	1.16	2,020	2,430	< 0.2
PKHL-11-2 FA	<0.005	0.22	1.6	<50	<0.1	<0.005	0.14	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.04	<0.005	<0.5	<10	< 0.2
PKHL-11-2 RA	<0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	<0.005	0.14	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	<0.01	<0.005	0.6	<10	< 0.2
PKHL-12-2 FA	<0.005	< 0.2	0.5	<50	<0.1	<0.005	0.16	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	<0.005	128	145	< 0.2
PKHL-12-2 RA	<0.005	< 0.2	0.7	<50	<0.1	<0.005	0.18	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.12	0.01	129	156	< 0.2
PKHL-13-2 FA	<0.005	0.21	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	0.2	0.6	<10	< 0.5	0.04	<0.005	19.4	18	< 0.2
PKHL-13-2 RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	0.22	0.6	<10	< 0.5	0.03	< 0.005	19.5	17	< 0.2
PKHL-14-2 FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	<0.005	0.22	0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	<0.005	13.4	<10	< 0.2
PKHL-14-2 RA	<0.005	< 0.2	0.6	<50	<0.1	<0.005	0.2	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.03	<0.005	14.3	<10	< 0.2
PKHL-15-2 FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	<0.005	0.83	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.01	<0.005	<0.5	<10	< 0.2
PKHL-15-2 RA	<0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	<0.005	0.86	0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	0.7	<10	< 0.2
01139940Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	0.22	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	13.2	<10	< 0.2
01139940Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	0.21	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	13.3	10	< 0.2
CKBK-2-3 FA	0.04	< 0.2	1	<50	0.1	0.02	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	1.72	0.08	772	687	< 0.2
CKBK-2-3 RA	0.31	< 0.2	2.3	<50	0.1	0.14	0.75	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	7.85	0.94	821	717	< 0.2
CKBK-3-3 FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	< 0.1	0.8	<10	< 0.5	< 0.01	< 0.005	1	<10	< 0.2
CKBK-3-3 RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	1.4	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	< 0.1	0.6	<10	< 0.5	0.04	0.005	0.7	<10	< 0.2
CKBK-4-3 FA	0.006	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.11	0.008	6.1	<10	< 0.2
CKBK-4-3 RA	0.007	< 0.2	1	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.15	0.01	2.6	<10	< 0.2
CKBK-5-3 FA	0.17	< 0.2	2.6	<50	<0.1	0.04	0.31	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	3.52	0.26	945	854	< 0.2

dix 4. Field measurements and concentrations of elements in water samples from the	ill mines study area.—Continued	crosiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of c
Appendix 4. Field	Pike Hill mines s	[µS/cm, microsiemens per c

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, arbon inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; italics text are [µS

approximate values; •	erroneous value	es from prev	vious jobs are red]					
Element/Lab information	Job Number	Lab No.	Field No.	G	u.	NO ₃	SO4	
Units Methods	(IC)	(IC)		mg/L	mg/L	ng/L	mg/L IC	
PKHL-9-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245076	PKHL-9-2 FU	4.2	1.5	0.8	333 (588)	
PKHL-9-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PKHL-10-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245075	PKHL-10-2 FU	4.2	1.6	<.08	298 (512)	
PKHL-10-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PKHL-10-2 D FA	MRP-05633	C-245074	PKHL-10-2 D FU	4.2	1.6	<.08	295 (507)	
PKHL-10-2 D RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PKHL-11-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245050	PKHL-11-2 FU	1.8	<.08	0.2	8.7	
PKHL-11-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PKHL-12-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245052	PKHL-12-2 FU	2.5	<.08	0.3	50.7	
PKHL-12-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PKHL-13-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245048	PKHL-13-2 FU	7.6	<.08	0.7	16.8	
PKHL-13-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PKHL-14-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245049	PKHL-14-2 FU	8.8	<.08	0.5	15	
PKHL-14-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PKHL-15-2 FA	MRP-05633	C-245047	PKHL-15-2 FU	5.8	<.08	0.4	7.5	
PKHL-15-2 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
01139940Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260125	01139940Aug FU	1.5	0.08	0.3	11.6	
01139940Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
CKBK-2-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260126	CKBK-2-3 FU	1.6	0.13	1.1	88	
CKBK-2-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
CKBK-3-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260121	CKBK-3-3 FU	1.4	<.08	<.08	7.5	
CKBK-3-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
CKBK-4-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260135	CKBK-4-3 FU	2.6	0.3	<.08	3.8	
CKBK-4-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
CKBK-5-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260141	CKBK-5-3 FU	2.7	0.2	0.8	180	

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, [µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon

approximate values; en	roneous values	from previous	jobs are red]	. sampre, 1.73, III		icu, NA, Iaw aunin	a, 1.0, IIIICIC		נמוזרא נכאו מוכ	
Characteristic	Site location	Collection date	Collection time	Specific conductance	Hq	Temperature	Dissolved oxygen	Alkalinity	Oxidation- reduction potential	Dissolved organic carbon
Units Methods				µS/cm		degrees Celsius	mg/L	mg/L CaCO ₃	۸m	mg C/L
CKBK-5-3 RA	CKBK-5	8/2/2005	10:27	340	3.63	11.4	8	n.d.	595.9	n.d.
PKHL-1-3 FA	PKHL-1	8/2/2005	12:51	1357	2.936	9.5	10	n.d.	714.8	n.d.
PKHL-1-3 RA	PKHL-1	8/2/2005	12:51	1357	2.936	9.5	10	n.d.	714.8	n.d.
PKHL-2-3 FA	PKHL-2	8/2/2005	14:20	1062	3.109	27.7	8	n.d.	639.1	n.d.
PKHL-2-3 RA	PKHL-2	8/2/2005	14:20	1062	3.109	27.7	8	n.d.	639.1	n.d.
PKHL-4-3 FA	PKHL-4	8/2/2005	10:34	300	5.458	11.4	8	0	452.1	n.d.
PKHL-4-3 RA	PKHL-4	8/2/2005	10:34	300	5.458	11.4	8	0	452.1	n.d.
PKHL-5-3 FA	PKHL-5	8/2/2005	13:38	217	6.797	7.9	8	29	434.5	n.d.
PKHL-5-3 RA	PKHL-5	8/2/2005	13:38	217	6.797	7.9	8	29	434.5	n.d.
PKHL-6-3 FA	PKHL-6	8/2/2005	13:54	286	6.864	16.2	7	57.2	433.4	n.d.
PKHL-6-3 RA	PKHL-6	8/2/2005	13:54	286	6.864	16.2	7	57.2	433.4	n.d.
PKHL-7-3 FA	PKHL-7	8/2/2005	14:05	571	6.292	11.4	0	0	455.3	n.d.
PKHL-7-3 RA	PKHL-7	8/2/2005	14:05	571	6.292	11.4	0	0	455.3	n.d.
PKHL-9-3 FA	PKHL-9	8/2/2005	14:11	650	4.157	22	7	n.d.	457.4	n.d.
PKHL-9-3 RA	PKHL-9	8/2/2005	14:11	650	4.157	22	7	n.d.	457.4	n.d.
01139830Aug FA	PKHL-10	8/2/2005	15:04	739	3.212	20.2	8	n.d.	620.3	n.d.
01139830Aug RA	PKHL-10	8/2/2005	15:04	739	3.212	20.2	8	n.d.	620.3	n.d.
01139830Aug D FA	PKHL-10	8/2/2005	15:05	739	3.212	20.2	8	n.d.	620.3	0.76
01139830Aug D RA	PKHL-10	8/2/2005	15:05	739	3.212	20.2	8	n.d.	620.3	0.76
011398302Aug FA	PKHL-11	8/2/2005	14:56	182.6	6.847	15.8	5	108	381.8	n.d.
011398302Aug RA	PKHL-11	8/2/2005	14:56	182.6	6.847	15.8	5	108	381.8	n.d.
01139832Aug FA	01139832	8/3/2005	8:41	247	6.765	16.4	8	45.3	597.2	0.71
01139832Aug RA	01139832	8/3/2005	8:41	247	6.765	16.4	8	45.3	597.2	0.71
01139833Aug FA	PKHL-12	8/3/2005	8:49	211	6.862	16.4	8	72	590.3	n.d.
01139833Aug RA	PKHL-12	8/3/2005	8:49	211	6.862	16.4	8	72	590.3	n.d.

approximate values; ei	rroneous value	s from previous	s jobs are red									
Element/Lab information	lron speciation	Job Number	Lab No.	Ag	Ag	Ы	Ы	As	As	B	Ba	Ba
Units Methods	Fe ²⁺ /Fe _{total} Hach	(MS & AES)	(MS & AES)	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES
CKBK-5-3 RA	0.08	MRP-06206	C-260203	\mathfrak{A}	\sim	2,420	2,430	$\overline{}$	<100	\$	6.64	6.7
PKHL-1-3 FA	0.05	MRP-06207	C-260227	\Diamond	$\overline{\lor}$	35,100	36,100	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	δ	4.35	4.2
PKHL-1-3 RA	0.05	MRP-06207	C-260228	\Diamond	$\overline{\lor}$	34,800	35,500	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	δ	4.34	3.8
PKHL-2-3 FA	0.66	MRP-06207	C-260225	\Diamond	$\overline{\lor}$	8,930	9,200	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	δ	18	18
PKHL-2-3 RA	0.66	MRP-06207	C-260226	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	9,070	9,150	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	18.6	18
PKHL-4-3 FA	0.60	MRP-06206	C-260197	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	659	670	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	22	23
PKHL-4-3 RA	0.60	MRP-06206	C-260198	\Diamond	\sim	1,810	1,830	\sim	<100	\diamond	22	23
PKHL-5-3 FA	0.75	MRP-06206	C-260175	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	6.6	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	23.2	24
PKHL-5-3 RA	0.75	MRP-06206	C-260176	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	138	132	$\overline{}$	<100	\diamond	23.8	23
PKHL-6-3 FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260195	\Diamond	\sim	13.8	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	33.6	36
PKHL-6-3 RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260196	\Diamond	$\overline{\lor}$	23.3	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	34.3	36
PKHL-7-3 FA	0.99	MRP-06206	C-260205	\Diamond	$\overline{\checkmark}$	6,360	6,250	\sim	<100	Ş	14.2	15
PKHL-7-3 RA	0.99	MRP-06206	C-260206	\Diamond	$\overline{\checkmark}$	6,110	6,360	\sim	<100	Ş	14.3	15
PKHL-9-3 FA	0.4	MRP-06206	C-260207	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	6,250	6,920	$\overline{}$	<100	\diamond	17.1	18
PKHL-9-3 RA	0.4	MRP-06206	C-260208	\Diamond	1.2	6,670	6,960	$\overline{}$	<100	\diamond	17	18
01139830Aug FA	0.59	MRP-06207	C-260215	\Diamond	\sim	6,030	6,620	\sim	<100	Ş	23.4	24
01139830Aug RA	0.59	MRP-06207	C-260216	\Diamond	\sim	6,200	6,580	\sim	<100	Ş	24.8	25
01139830AugD FA	0.59	MRP-06207	C-260217	\Diamond	\sim	5,950	6,340	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	Ş	23.5	24
01139830AugD RA	0.59	MRP-06207	C-260218	\Diamond	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim}$	5,800	6,420	$\overline{\lor}$	<100	Ş	23.6	24
011398302Aug FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260165	\Diamond	\sim	2.5	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	25.5	26
011398302Aug RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260166	\Diamond	\sim	972	864	\sim	<100	Ş	31.9	33
01139832Aug FA	0.5	MRP-06206	C-260187	\Diamond	\sim	14.8	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	18.7	20
01139832Aug RA	0.5	MRP-06206	C-260188	\Diamond	\sim	55.8	54	\sim	<100	Ş	18.4	20
01139833Aug FA	0	MRP-06206	C-260169	\Diamond	\sim	15.2	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	19.2	19
01139833Aug RA	0	MRP-06206	C-260170	\Diamond	$\overline{\nabla}$	31	14	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	Ş	19.5	18

approximate values; e	rroneous	values fro	om previo	us jobs aı	re red]	1									
Element	Be	Be	B	Ca	Ca	S	Cd	Ge	ප	ප	ċ	Ċ	ප	3	G
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES
CKBK-5-3 RA	0.1	<10	< 0.2	49.1	54	2.27	ŝ	12	49.1	51	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.58	590	575
PKHL-1-3 FA	2.4	<10	< 0.2	247	244	12	10	236	240	204	2.5	<10	0.83	2,310	2,300
PKHL-1-3 RA	2.5	<10	< 0.2	248	250	11.8	9.5	234	239	197	2.6	<10	0.84	2,200	2,100
PKHL-2-3 FA	0.8	<10	< 0.2	77.5	80.6	9.3	7.4	39.2	233	228	1.8	<10	1.43	1,980	1,980
PKHL-2-3 RA	0.7	<10	< 0.2	78.6	83.1	9.34	8.6	40.2	236	226	1.7	<10	1.44	2,020	1,960
PKHL-4-3 FA	0.3	<10	< 0.2	50.8	54.6	6.51	5.2	27.6	88.2	94	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.66	4,870	4,740
PKHL-4-3 RA	0.4	<10	< 0.2	51.4	55.7	6.84	5.4	27.6	90.4	66	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.66	4,970	4,820
PKHL-5-3 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	52.9	51.5	2.93	\Diamond	5.68	39.3	40	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.41	1,120	1,070
PKHL-5-3 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	52.5	47.8	3.07	\Diamond	6.45	39.7	38	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.42	1,200	1,100
PKHL-6-3 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	54.1	59	0.95	\diamond	0.04	0.48	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.3	64.2	65
PKHL-6-3 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	55.4	57.6	1.02	Ş	0.1	0.57	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.32	69.8	68
PKHL-7-3 FA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	72.4	74.9	3.3	Ş	21.9	191	164	2.4	<10	1.02	2.9	<10
PKHL-7-3 RA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	72.6	74.3	3.31	\diamond	21.2	190	157	2.8	<10	1.04	20	18
PKHL-9-3 FA	0.7	<10	< 0.2	94.2	91.4	22.9	21	43.8	188	188	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	1.06	6,790	6,490
PKHL-9-3 RA	0.7	<10	< 0.2	95.7	90.6	23	21	44.3	188	190	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	1.06	6,790	6,490
01139830Aug FA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	70.7	77.1	8.11	7	28.2	186	184	1.2	<10	1.42	1,940	1,890
01139830Aug RA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	71.8	73.7	7.95	7.2	28.8	186	181	1.6	<10	1.46	1,990	1,930
01139830AugD FA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	72.1	73.8	8.01	6.8	29.1	187	176	1.2	<10	1.42	1,990	1,880
01139830AugD RA	0.5	<10	< 0.2	72.7	73.8	8	6.6	29.6	189	180	1.2	<10	1.44	2,010	1,880
011398302Aug FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	40.9	42.6	<0.02	ŝ	< 0.01	0.03	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.16	1.5	<10
011398302Aug RA	0.06	<10	< 0.2	42.8	42	0.13	ŝ	2.16	1.04	<10	2.7	<10	0.34	17.7	17
01139832Aug FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	44.9	48.5	1.61	Ş	0.04	21.6	24	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim}$	<10	0.46	26	26
01139832Aug RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	45.4	50.7	1.65	Ş	0.46	21.5	25	\sim	<10	0.45	64.3	65
01139833Aug FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	45.1	43.5	0.83	Ş	0.02	5.26	<10	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \sim}{\sim}$	<10	0.27	15.5	14
01139833Aug RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	45.1	40.2	0.86	Ŷ	0.1	5.45	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.28	27.7	24

approximate values;	erroneous	values fro	om previou	s jobs are red]	· ·									
Element	D	Ъ	E	Fe	Fe	Ga	P9	Ge	Но	¥	¥	La	:5	:5
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES
CKBK-5-3 RA	0.83	0.37	0.24	2630	2600	0.21	1.23	< 0.05	0.14	2.94	3.3	5.37	6.3	6.5
PKHL-1-3 FA	12	6.08	3.14	71,000	68,200	7	16.9	0.39	2.07	3.5	3.8	96	32.6	33
PKHL-1-3 RA	11.9	6.07	3.13	72,200	70,200	7	16.8	0.38	2.06	3.4	3.7	95.7	33.6	32
PKHL-2-3 FA	2.43	1.35	0.62	23,100	23,300	0.42	3.3	0.1	0.44	4.49	5.1	15.9	16.5	17
PKHL-2-3 RA	2.51	1.36	0.65	23,700	23,800	0.44	3.39	0.1	0.44	4.52	5.1	16.7	16.4	17
PKHL-4-3 FA	2.09	1.25	0.46	<50	34	0.3	2.79	0.08	0.42	3.09	3.6	20.9	0.5	1.4
PKHL-4-3 RA	2.2	1.31	0.45	<50	70	0.31	2.84	0.08	0.44	3.18	3.5	20.7	< 0.1	1
PKHL-5-3 FA	0.28	0.16	0.065	<50	<20	0.07	0.44	< 0.05	0.056	3.03	3.1	S	1.3	1.2
PKHL-5-3 RA	0.37	0.2	0.081	<50	65	0.1	0.54	< 0.05	0.075	3.15	3.1	5.39	1.2	1.1
PKHL-6-3 FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	3.45	3.9	0.05	0.5	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-6-3 RA	0.005	0.005	0.008	<50	30	< 0.05	0.007	< 0.05	< 0.005	3.24	3.8	0.08	< 0.1	$\overline{\lor}$
PKHL-7-3 FA	1.26	0.7	0.36	34,400	32,400	0.33	1.85	0.08	0.24	4.04	4.4	9.58	14.9	15
PKHL-7-3 RA	1.22	0.69	0.37	39,500	37,400	0.35	1.87	0.09	0.24	4.07	4.7	9.23	16.3	16
PKHL-9-3 FA	3.08	1.75	0.79	625	717	0.5	4.24	0.1	0.6	4.7	5.3	21.7	7.2	7.8
PKHL-9-3 RA	3.2	1.79	0.84	666	733	0.48	4.39	0.1	0.63	4.68	5.4	22	7	8.3
01139830Aug FA	1.73	0.95	0.44	10,400	10,600	0.32	2.32	0.07	0.31	5.02	5.8	11.9	13.2	13
01139830Aug RA	1.72	0.94	0.45	15,800	15,800	0.43	2.38	0.08	0.31	5.17	9	12.2	13	14
01139830AugD FA	1.7	0.93	0.46	10,800	10,200	0.35	2.4	0.08	0.31	5.18	5.9	12.3	12.6	14
01139830AugD RA	1.77	0.98	0.46	11,400	11,000	0.35	2.37	0.09	0.32	5.21	5.7	12.4	12.3	13
011398302Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.34	2.5	< 0.01	< 0.1	$\overline{\lor}$
011398302Aug RA	0.15	0.079	0.04	848	797	0.26	0.19	< 0.05	0.03	2.9	ю	1.06	2.2	2.4
01139832Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	3.35	4.1	0.04	2.7	3.1
01139832Aug RA	0.02	0.01	0.009	147	208	< 0.05	0.04	< 0.05	0.005	3.39	4	0.24	2.2	с
01139833Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	59	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.93	ю	0.02	1.9	2.2
01139833Aug RA	0.009	< 0.005	0.005	144	155	< 0.05	0.01	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.94	2.8	0.06	2.5	2.1

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, [µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon

inductively coupled approximate values; (plasma-n erroneou:	nass spect	trometry; L rom previo	, field replica us jobs are re	tte sample; F d]	A, filtered	acidified;	RA, raw a	icidified; F	U, filtered	unacidifie	d; italics te	xt are	
Element	Ξ	Mg	Mg	Mn	Mn	Mo	Mo	Na	Na	ЧN	PN	ïZ	ïZ	₽.
Units Methods	μg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-MS
CKBK-5-3 RA	< 0.1	4.64	5.2	840	902	< 2	<20	0.86	0.98	< 0.2	5.81	16	18	< 0.01
PKHL-1-3 FA	0.8	22.1	25.9	6,350	6,150	< 2	<20	3.18	3.6	< 0.2	91.9	43.3	40	< 0.01
PKHL-1-3 RA	0.8	22.1	25.9	6,350	6,200	< 2	<20	3.18	3.6	< 0.2	93.1	42.6	41	< 0.01
PKHL-2-3 FA	0.2	10.3	11.3	1,230	1,270	< 2	<20	1.88	7	< 0.2	18.1	30.5	33	< 0.01
PKHL-2-3 RA	0.2	10.4	11.5	1,250	1,280	< 2	<20	1.86	7	< 0.2	18.4	31	28	< 0.01
PKHL-4-3 FA	0.2	7.57	8.6	616	667	< 2	<20	1.38	1.6	< 0.2	15.6	24.3	24	< 0.01
PKHL-4-3 RA	0.2	7.54	8.6	626	677	< 2	<20	1.39	1.7	< 0.2	15.6	24.7	25	< 0.01
PKHL-5-3 FA	< 0.1	4.58	4.9	273	289	< 2	<20	1.14	1.2	< 0.2	2.76	12.7	11	< 0.01
PKHL-5-3 RA	< 0.1	4.88	4.7	287	273	< 2	<20	1.3	1.3	< 0.2	3.34	13.2	10	< 0.01
PKHL-6-3 FA	< 0.1	2.62	3.2	28.9	35	< 2	<20	0.95	1.1	< 0.2	0.02	ю	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-6-3 RA	< 0.1	2.73	3.1	33.2	37	< 2	<20	0.99	1.2	< 0.2	0.04	3.2	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-7-3 FA	< 0.1	8.03	9.1	LL6	1010	< 2	<20	1.55	1.9	0.43	10	23.2	24	< 0.01
PKHL-7-3 RA	< 0.1	7.66	9.2	972	1020	< 2	<20	1.51	1.9	0.22	9.6	23.4	22	0.02
PKHL-9-3 FA	0.3	8.87	11.6	1320	1410	< 2	<20	1.74	2.3	< 0.2	22.6	34.3	34	< 0.01
PKHL-9-3 RA	0.2	9.48	11.9	1340	1410	< 2	<20	1.76	2.4	< 0.2	23.2	34.6	34	< 0.01
01139830Aug FA	0.1	7.7	9.6	888	921	< 2	<20	1.67	2.1	< 0.2	12.6	24.4	27	< 0.01
01139830Aug RA	0.1	7.68	9.1	890	922	< 2	<20	1.68	7	< 0.2	12.9	24.8	23	< 0.01
01139830AugD FA	0.1	7.57	9.2	903	912	< 2	<20	1.66	7	< 0.2	13.2	25	23	< 0.01
01139830AugD RA	0.1	7.59	9.1	902	914	< 2	<20	1.67	7	< 0.2	13.3	25.2	22	< 0.01
011398302Aug FA	< 0.1	1.39	1.4	3.1	<10	< 2	<20	2.71	2.8	< 0.2	< 0.01	0.9	<10	< 0.01
011398302Aug RA	< 0.1	1.79	1.9	221	225	< 2	<20	2.73	2.8	< 0.2	1.01	2.4	<10	0.01
01139832Aug FA	< 0.1	3.39	3.8	233	245	< 2	<20	1.68	7	< 0.2	0.02	5.2	<10	< 0.01
01139832Aug RA	< 0.1	3.1	3.9	230	252	< 2	<20	1.63	2.1	< 0.2	0.18	5.1	<10	< 0.01
01139833Aug FA	< 0.1	2.85	2.9	94	94	< 2	<20	2.05	2.1	< 0.2	0.01	2.8	<10	< 0.01
01139833Aug RA	< 0.1	2.78	2.7	95.6	91	< 2	<20	2.01	1.9	< 0.2	0.05	с	<10	< 0.01

_	ms	
the	iera	0
Ē	llim	
fro	C/L.	
ŝ) am	0
ple	ter:	-
am	er li	
S	ms t	4
ate	iera	b
Ň	llim	
.	ε/L.	Ď
ıts	r: m	
ner	r lite	
len	is pe	4
fe	ram	
S O	icro	
ŰÖ	Lm	
ati	/an :	0
ntr	/olts	
Ce	villin	
uo ,		
qc	ue s: m	
an	tin elsiu	
Its	s C C	
าคา		0
en	a 25 d	
sur	ar at	
ea	I√ ã mete	
<u> </u>	tuc tuc	
eld	S S S	
ίΞ	ine.	
, 4.	m siem	
(ipu	uicro;	
Jen	е Ш	
	V 0	

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, of carbon inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; italics text are [µS/

approximate values; (erroneous	values frc	om previou	is jobs arc	e red]											
Element	۵.	Ч	Pb	Ł	ß	Sb	Sh	Sc	Se	SiO ₂	SiO ₂	Sm	S04	Sr	Ś	Ta
Units Methods	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS
CKBK-5-3 RA	<0.1	0.53	<50	1.39	14.7	<0.3	<50	1.8	1.2	12.6	13.1	1.18	154	78.2	6L	< 0.02
PKHL-1-3 FA	<0.1	4.2	<50	22.5	31.1	<0.3	<50	7.8	2.7	59.4	57.8	17.1	952	285	274	< 0.02
PKHL-1-3 RA	<0.1	4.2	<50	22.8	31.1	<0.3	<50	<i>T.T</i>	2.9	59.3	58.2	17.2	935	283	264	< 0.02
PKHL-2-3 FA	<0.1	2.8	<50	4.35	28.2	<0.3	<50	6.5	1.9	48.3	48.1	3.37	339	201	201	< 0.02
PKHL-2-3 RA	<0.1	2.9	<50	4.48	28.9	<0.3	<50	6.3	1.7	49.1	48.8	3.43	343	204	202	< 0.02
PKHL-4-3 FA	<0.1	0.2	<50	4.22	18.1	<0.3	<50	2.9	~ 1	21.8	23.4	2.32	157	138	140	< 0.02
PKHL-4-3 RA	<0.1	0.3	<50	4.24	18.5	<0.3	<50	2.9	$\sim \frac{1}{2}$	22.5	23.7	2.41	161	140	148	< 0.02
PKHL-5-3 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	0.8	14	<0.3	<50	1.7	1.2	13.4	12.8	0.34	112	100	112	< 0.02
PKHL-5-3 RA	<0.1	0.09	<50	0.92	14.3	<0.3	<50	1.8	1	13.4	12	0.43	118	102	107	< 0.02
PKHL-6-3 FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	< 0.01	17	<0.3	<50	1.2	1.2	8.5	9.2	< 0.01	80	106	114	< 0.02
PKHL-6-3 RA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	0.01	17.4	<0.3	<50	1.2	1.2	8.8	6	< 0.01	81	108	113	< 0.02
PKHL-7-3 FA	<0.1	0.3	<50	2.4	24.1	<0.3	<50	5.5	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{-}$	40.6	41.1	1.88	303	174	173	0.21
PKHL-7-3 RA	<0.1	0.5	<50	2.38	24.8	<0.3	<50	5.4	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle \wedge}{-}$	41.1	41.5	1.83	297	175	176	0.1
PKHL-9-3 FA	<0.1	1.7	<50	5.62	29	<0.3	<50	5.6	1.6	45.2	47.7	4.2	292	234	243	0.03
PKHL-9-3 RA	<0.1	1.8	<50	5.69	28.6	<0.3	<50	5.5	1.8	46.5	47.8	4.17	296	234	250	0.03
01139830Aug FA	<0.1	2.7	<50	3.12	30.3	<0.3	<50	5.1	0	38	40	2.35	268	210	219	< 0.02
01139830Aug RA	<0.1	3.5	<50	3.13	31.1	<0.3	<50	5.2	2.4	39.3	40.7	2.42	264	210	208	< 0.02
01139830AugD FA	<0.1	2.7	<50	3.18	30.8	<0.3	<50	4.8	1.4	38.4	39.6	2.42	270	212	210	< 0.02
01139830AugD RA	<0.1	2.8	<50	3.29	31	<0.3	<50	4.9	1.6	37.5	39.7	2.45	267	214	208	< 0.02
011398302Aug FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	< 0.01	11.7	<0.3	<50	0.9	$\stackrel{\scriptstyle <}{}$	7.3	7.1	< 0.01	8	125	141	< 0.02
011398302Aug RA	$<\!0.1$	1.5	<50	0.25	13	<0.3	<50	1.3	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	9.7	8.9	0.18	7	128	140	< 0.02
01139832Aug FA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	< 0.01	17.1	<0.3	<50	1.5	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	11.5	11.7	< 0.01	81	150	159	< 0.02
01139832Aug RA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	0.05	16.9	<0.3	<50	1.5	$\stackrel{<}{\sim}$	11.2	12.1	0.04	81	148	163	< 0.02
01139833Aug FA	<0.1	<0.05	<50	< 0.01	11.4	<0.3	<50	1.3	< 1	10.9	9.7	< 0.01	49	142	153	< 0.02
01139833Aug RA	$<\!0.1$	<0.05	<50	0.01	11.4	<0.3	<50	1.3	~ 1	10.4	6	0.01	51	138	141	< 0.02

approximate values; er	roneous v	alues froi	ש, ש, ש, m m previous	s jobs are	red]	г. с. г.с. , л.	חוכוכת מי	uumon, i	MA, 14W 4	uumen, j			101, 1141105	באו מוכ	
Element	4	Ę	i	Ħ	F	Ē	∍	>	>	3	>	۲b	Zn	Zn	Zr
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS
CKBK-5-3 RA	0.17	< 0.2	8.5	<50	<0.1	0.04	0.3	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	3.55	0.26	953	880	< 0.2
PKHL-1-3 FA	2.08	1.86	14.5	<50	<0.1	0.74	3.66	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	56	5.08	7,360	6,510	< 0.2
PKHL-1-3 RA	2.05	1.84	15.9	<50	<0.1	0.75	3.63	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	55.5	4.98	7,250	6,730	< 0.2
PKHL-2-3 FA	0.41	0.24	5.8	<50	0.1	0.17	0.92	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	11.3	1.23	2,940	2,590	< 0.2
PKHL-2-3 RA	0.43	0.24	6.2	<50	0.1	0.18	0.95	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	11.6	1.24	2,960	2,650	< 0.2
PKHL-4-3 FA	0.39	< 0.2	2.6	<50	0.2	0.15	0.59	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	12.2	1.05	712	665	< 0.2
PKHL-4-3 RA	0.4	< 0.2	3.3	<50	0.2	0.16	0.66	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	12.6	1.15	724	736	< 0.2
PKHL-5-3 FA	0.052	< 0.2	1.6	<50	<0.1	0.02	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	2.21	0.1	314	289	< 0.2
PKHL-5-3 RA	0.067	< 0.2	4.7	<50	<0.1	0.02	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	2.56	0.17	321	269	< 0.2
PKHL-6-3 FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	1.2	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.03	< 0.005	96.1	93	< 0.2
PKHL-6-3 RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	1.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.04	< 0.005	97.8	89	< 0.2
PKHL-7-3 FA	0.25	0.22	5.6	<50	$<\!0.1$	0.087	0.29	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	6.21	0.61	2,030	1,690	< 0.2
PKHL-7-3 RA	0.25	0.42	10.4	<50	<0.1	0.085	0.31	0.8	<10	< 0.5	6.33	0.61	2,030	1,710	< 0.2
PKHL-9-3 FA	0.59	< 0.2	4.6	<50	0.3	0.23	1.35	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	14.6	1.59	3,570	3,160	< 0.2
PKHL-9-3 RA	0.62	< 0.2	5	<50	0.3	0.23	1.41	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	14.7	1.61	3,560	3,260	< 0.2
01139830Aug FA	0.28	0.3	4.6	<50	0.1	0.12	0.64	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	8.1	0.84	2,230	1,980	< 0.2
01139830Aug RA	0.29	0.32	21.3	<50	0.1	0.12	0.66	0.7	<10	< 0.5	8.12	0.84	2,220	1,950	< 0.2
01139830AugD FA	0.3	0.2	4.5	<50	0.1	0.12	0.65	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	8.1	0.88	2,290	1,950	< 0.2
01139830AugD RA	0.3	0.2	9	<50	0.1	0.13	0.64	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	8.15	0.84	2,300	2,080	< 0.2
011398302Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	< 0.01	< 0.005	1.8	<10	< 0.2
011398302Aug RA	0.02	< 0.2	39.3	<50	$<\!0.1$	0.01	0.17	2.2	<10	< 0.5	0.72	0.07	16.7	13	< 0.2
01139832Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	1.2	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.03	< 0.005	247	223	< 0.2
01139832Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	1.3	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.14	0.01	257	240	< 0.2
01139833Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	0.6	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	0.23	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	9.99	86	< 0.2
01139833Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	0.7	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	0.2	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.04	< 0.005	108	89	< 0.2

ield measurements and concentrations of elements in water samples from the	es study area.—Continued	is per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of car
Appendix 4. Field meas	Pike Hill mines study a	[µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, rbon inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; italics text are [µS

approximate values; ϵ	prroneous value	es from prev	ious jobs are red]				
Element/Lab information	Job Number	Lab No.	Field No.	IJ	ш	NO3	SO4
Units Methods	(IC)	(IC)		mg/L IC	mg/L IC	ng/L	ng/L IC
CKBK-5-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-1-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260154	PKHL-1-3 FU	12.2	1.4	<.08	1142
PKHL-1-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-2-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260153	PKHL-2-3 FU	9	0.6	<.08	418
PKHL-2-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-4-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260138	PKHL-4-3 FU	2.5	0.3	1.3	200
PKHL-4-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-5-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260127	PKHL-5-3 FU	1.4	0.14	0.9	128
PKHL-5-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-6-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260137	PKHL-6-3 FU	2.7	0.16	0.4	90
PKHL-6-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-7-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260143	PKHL-7-3 FU	2.6	0.3	<.08	598
PKHL-7-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
PKHL-9-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260144	PKHL-9-3 FU	2.7	0.3	0.6	373
PKHL-9-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
01139830Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260148	01139830Aug FU	2.7	0.3	0.4	342
01139830Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
01139830AugD FA	MRP-06205	C-260149	01139830AugD FU	2.7	0.3	<.08	351
01139830AugD RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
011398302Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260122	011398302Aug FU	1.7	<.08	0.2	8.3
011398302Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
01139832Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260133	01139832Aug FU	1.6	0.1	0.4	95
01139832Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.
01139833Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260134	01139833Aug FU	0	0.1	0.5	50
01139833Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.

approximate values; err	oneous values	from previous	jobs are red]	sampro, 173, m			и, I U, ШИИ	a unactument, n	alles leat ale	
Characteristic	Site location	Collection date	Collection time	Specific conductance	Hd	Temperature	Dissolved oxygen	Alkalinity	Oxidation- reduction notential	Dissolved organic carbon
Units Methods				µS/cm		degrees Celsius	mg/L	mg/L CaCO ₃	۸m	mg C/L
01139838Aug FA	PKHL-13	8/3/2005	60:6	236	7.25	20.3	8	105.6	569.2	3.00
01139838Aug RA	PKHL-13	8/3/2005	9:09	236	7.25	20.3	8	105.6	569.2	3.00
01139839Aug FA	PKHL-14	8/3/2005	10:30	240	7.436	22.7	8	103.2	521.1	3.18
01139839Aug RA	PKHL-14	8/3/2005	10:30	240	7.436	22.7	8	103.2	521.1	3.18
01139826Aug FA	PKHL-15	8/3/2005	11:15	203	8.451	21.2	8	92	384	1.58
01139826Aug RA	PKHL-15	8/3/2005	11:15	203	8.451	21.2	8	92	384	1.58
01139840Aug FA	01139840	8/3/2005	11:38	238	8.297	20.1	8	108.8	391	n.d.
01139840Aug RA	01139840	8/3/2005	11:38	238	8.297	20.1	8	108.8	391	n.d.
01139841Aug FA	01139841	8/3/2005	11:56	221	8.506	23	8	96.8	391.6	1.60
01139841Aug RA	01139841	8/3/2005	11:56	221	8.506	23	8	96.8	391.6	1.60
PKHL-16-3 FA	PKHL-16	8/3/2005	8:19	285	6.769	16.5	8	33	592.1	n.d.
PKHL-16-3 RA	PKHL-16	8/3/2005	8:19	285	6.769	16.5	8	33	592.1	n.d.
PKHL-17-3 FA	PKHL-17	8/3/2005	9:51	337	8.179	16	8	138	549.9	2.80
PKHL-17-3 RA	PKHL-17	8/3/2005	9:51	337	8.179	16	8	138	549.9	2.80

1 water samples from the	
concentrations of elements i	ed
Appendix 4. Field measurements and	Pike Hill mines study area.—Continu

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; italics text are [µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon

approximate values;	erroneous value	s from previous	s jobs are red									
Element/Lab information	Iron speciation	Job Number	Lab No.	Ag	Ag	A	AI	As	As	B	Ba	Ba
Units Methods	Fe ²⁺ /Fe _{total} Hach	(MS & AES)	(MS & AES)	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES
01139838Aug FA	0	MRP-06206	C-260181	\Diamond	\sim	12.6	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	19.2	20
01139838Aug RA	0	MRP-06206	C-260182	\Diamond	\sim	18.3	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	19.6	20
01139839Aug FA	0.05	MRP-06206	C-260185	\Diamond	\sim	4	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	19.9	21
01139839Aug RA	0.05	MRP-06206	C-260186	\Diamond	\sim	7.8	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	19.9	21
01139826Aug FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260167	\Diamond	\sim	16.3	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	10.4	10
01139826Aug RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260168	\Diamond	\sim	25.4	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	10.4	10
01139840Aug FA	0	MRP-06206	C-260183	\Diamond	\sim	5.9	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	16.1	17
01139840Aug RA	0	MRP-06206	C-260184	\Diamond	\sim	15.5	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	16.1	17
01139841Aug FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260177	\Diamond	\sim	16.6	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	12	12
01139841Aug RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260178	\Diamond	\sim	21.6	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	11.8	11
PKHL-16-3 FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260193	\Diamond	1	14.9	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	22.2	23
PKHL-16-3 RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260194	\Diamond	\sim	805	840	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	\diamond	22.3	24
PKHL-17-3 FA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260200	\heartsuit	$\overline{\lor}$	6.7	<10	\sim	<100	Ş	32.1	33
PKHL-17-3 RA	n.d.	MRP-06206	C-260201	\heartsuit	$\overline{\nabla}$	15	<10	$\overline{\nabla}$	<100	Ş	32.2	33

approximate values;	erroneous	values fro	om previc	us jobs aı	re red]										
Element	Be	Be	Bi	Ca	Ca	Cd	Cd	e	°C	S	Ċ	Ċ	S	C	S
Units Methods	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES
01139838Aug FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	39.8	43	0.13	Ş	0.01	0.89	<10	\sim	<10	0.1	8.2	<10
01139838Aug RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	40.5	40.2	0.17	Ş	0.05	1.06	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.1	12.5	12
01139839Aug FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	39	42.7	0.14	Ş	0.02	0.94	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.11	7.2	<10
01139839Aug RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	39.4	42	0.18	Ş	0.04	1.2	<10	$\overline{}$	<10	0.11	6	<10
01139826Aug FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	39.5	38.7	<0.02	Ş	< 0.01	0.03	<10	1	<10	0.35	0.5	<10
01139826Aug RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	38.6	38	<0.02	\Diamond	0.03	0.04	<10	1	<10	0.35	1.1	<10
01139840Aug FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	39.4	42.1	0.04	\Diamond	< 0.01	0.05	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.11	5.8	<10
01139840Aug RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	39.1	41.2	0.07	Ŷ	0.03	0.27	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.11	7.1	<10
01139841Aug FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	37.9	38.4	<0.02	Ş	< 0.01	0.03	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.32	0.97	<10
01139841 Aug RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	38.7	37.3	<0.02	Ş	0.02	0.06	<10	1	<10	0.31	0.71	<10
PKHL-16-3 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	52.5	55.4	2.6	Ş	0.11	44.8	50	$\overline{\nabla}$	<10	0.58	43.9	44
PKHL-16-3 RA	0.08	<10	< 0.2	51.5	55.8	2.76	Ş	3.99	45.2	49	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	0.58	391	400
PKHL-17-3 FA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	57.9	59.8	<0.02	Ş	< 0.01	0.03	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	< 0.02	<0.5	<10
PKHL-17-3 RA	<0.05	<10	< 0.2	58.1	59.5	<0.02	\Diamond	0.02	0.03	<10	$\overline{\lor}$	<10	< 0.02	<0.5	<10

upprovintine variable,		A m acon	mort ord mo											
Element	Ŋ	Ъ	E	5	Бе	Ga	99	Ge	Ч	¥	¥	Га	:5	::
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES
01139838Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	130	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.37	2.8	0.01	1.1	2
01139838Aug RA	0.005	< 0.005	0.005	266	300	< 0.05	0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.5	2.6	0.03	1.3	1.8
01139839Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.005	109	163	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.3	2.9	0.01	1	1.9
01139839Aug RA	< 0.005	0.005	0.006	336	393	< 0.05	0.006	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.36	2.7	0.03	1.1	1.7
01139826Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	1.47	1.5	< 0.01	4.7	4
01139826Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	27	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	1.46	1.4	0.02	4.3	3.8
01139840Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	52	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.44	2.7	< 0.01	1.6	2.1
01139840Aug RA	0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	65	112	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	2.5	2.8	0.02	1.4	0
01139841Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	1.6	1.7	< 0.01	3.9	3.6
01139841Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	1.61	1.6	0.02	4.3	3.4
PKHL-16-3 FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	< 0.005	<50	$\triangleleft 0$	< 0.05	0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	3.9	4.3	0.12	3.7	3.9
PKHL-16-3 RA	0.25	0.14	0.064	1,780	1,780	0.05	0.34	< 0.05	0.04	4	4.4	1.79	3.2	4
PKHL-17-3 FA	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.007	<50	<20	< 0.05	< 0.005	< 0.05	< 0.005	3.46	3.9	< 0.01	2.6	2.9
PKHL-17-3 RA	< 0.005	< 0.005	0.006	<50	254	< 0.05	0.007	< 0.05	< 0.005	3.5	3.8	0.02	2.6	2.7

approximate values;	erroneous	, values fi	rom previo	us jobs are rec	l]									
Element	Ξ	Mg	Mg	Ч	Mn	Mo	Mo	Na	Na	Ŋ	PN	Ni	N	۵.
Units Methods	µg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-MS	mg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	mg/L ICP-MS
01139838Aug FA	< 0.1	1.73	2.1	98.2	106	<2	<20	6.44	8.2	< 0.2	0.02	1.2	<10	< 0.01
01139838Aug RA	< 0.1	1.8	2	103	106	<2	$\triangleleft 20$	6.55	7.6	< 0.2	0.02	1.2	<10	< 0.01
01139839Aug FA	< 0.1	1.83	2.2	240	260	<2	$\triangleleft 0$	6.33	7.7	< 0.2	0.01	1.2	<10	< 0.01
01139839Aug RA	< 0.1	1.77	2.1	260	279	<2	$\triangleleft 0$	6.14	7.5	< 0.2	0.02	1.2	<10	< 0.01
01139826Aug FA	< 0.1	1.31	1.4	4	<10	<2	$\triangleleft 0$	6.19	6.4	< 0.2	< 0.01	0.8	<10	< 0.01
01139826Aug RA	< 0.1	1.29	1.4	8.8	10	<2	<20	6.2	6.3	< 0.2	0.02	1.1	<10	< 0.01
01139840Aug FA	< 0.1	1.9	2.3	0.7	<10	<2	$\triangleleft 20$	8.22	10.3	< 0.2	< 0.01	1.1	<10	< 0.01
01139840Aug RA	< 0.1	1.94	2.3	17.5	20	<2	<20	8.46	9.6	< 0.2	0.02	1	<10	< 0.01
01139841Aug FA	< 0.1	1.58	1.6	7.1	<10	<2	<20	7.41	7.3	< 0.2	< 0.01	0.9	<10	< 0.01
01139841Aug RA	< 0.1	1.6	1.5	11	12	<2	<20	7.24	7.4	< 0.2	0.02	0.9	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-16-3 FA	< 0.1	4.03	4.6	410	440	<2	<20	1.63	7	< 0.2	0.04	8.3	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-16-3 RA	< 0.1	3.91	4.6	415	444	<2	<20	1.64	7	< 0.2	1.72	8.5	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-17-3 FA	< 0.1	2.44	2.8	16	18	<2	<20	18.8	20.4	0.25	0.01	1.3	<10	< 0.01
PKHL-17-3 RA	< 0.1	2.44	2.8	33.1	42	<2	<20	18.8	21.1	< 0.2	0.02	1.4	<10	< 0.01

lg/L mg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L μg/L 0.01 4 173 184 <0.00	cm SO. cr Cr Ta
10.0 / 0.0	SiO ₂ Sm mg/L µg/L
C •1	si0 ₂ mg/L
1/	- μg/L
-	L µg/L
	sb µg/L
2	Sb µg/L
22.2	ши µg/L
10.0/	µg/L
	Pb µg/L
	Pb µg/L
	P mg/L
	Element Units

approximate values; er	roneous v	alues tro	m previou	s jobs are	[pai										
Element	đT	Ę	ï	ï	F	Tm	Þ	^	>	M	۲	٩X	Zn	Zn	Zr
Units Methods	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	μg/L ICP-MS	µg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-MS	μg/L ICP-AES	µg/L ICP-MS
01139838Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	0.38	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	8.8	<10	< 0.2
01139838Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	0.37	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.03	< 0.005	12.5	<10	< 0.2
01139839Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	0.3	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	10.1	<10	< 0.2
01139839Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	0.32	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	13.8	21	< 0.2
01139826Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	1.38	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.01	< 0.005	б	<10	< 0.2
01139826Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	1.32	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	6.1	<10	< 0.2
01139840Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	0.44	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	< 0.01	< 0.005	4.6	<10	< 0.2
01139840Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	<0.1	< 0.005	0.45	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	7.2	<10	< 0.2
01139841Aug FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	1.05	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.01	< 0.005	1	<10	< 0.2
01139841Aug RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	< 0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	1.08	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	2.6	<10	< 0.2
PKHL-16-3 FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	1.6	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	< 0.1	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.06	< 0.005	451	424	< 0.2
PKHL-16-3 RA	0.04	< 0.2	1.9	<50	$<\!0.1$	0.02	0.13	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	1.16	0.11	522	504	< 0.2
PKHL-17-3 FA	< 0.005	< 0.2	0.5	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	0.7	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	1.9	<10	< 0.2
PKHL-17-3 RA	< 0.005	< 0.2	0.6	<50	$<\!0.1$	< 0.005	0.66	<0.5	<10	< 0.5	0.02	< 0.005	2.7	<10	< 0.2

n the		
s fror		Ę
ample		
iter s		
Νâ		5
s in		ł
ment		:
f ele		
s o'		
OU		E.
rati		
ent		-
nc		=
00	led	
bne	inu	
ts à	ont	ζ
nen	ပ 	
ren	a	i c
ISU	are	
nea	γþ	
ld n	stu	
Fie	les	
4	min	
dix	Ξ	
)en	θН	•
App	Pik	č
		- 6

per liter; n.d., not determined; <, less than; IC, ion chromatography; ICP-AES or AES, inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry; ICP-MS or MS, inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry; D, field replicate sample; FA, filtered acidified; RA, raw acidified; FU, filtered unacidified; italics text are [µS/cm, microsiemens per centimeter at 25 degrees Celsius; mV, millivolts; µg/L micrograms per liter; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg C/L, milligrams of carbon

Element/Lab information	Job Number	Lab No.	Field No.	5	ш	NO3	S04	
Units Methods	(IC	(IC)		mg/L IC	mg/L IC	mg/L	mg/L IC	
01139838Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260130	01139838Aug FU	11.4	0.09	0.9	8	
01139838Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
01139839Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260132	01139839Aug FU	10.3	0.09	0.4	5.7	
01139839Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
01139826Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260123	01139826Aug FU	8	0.08	0.7	6.7	
01139826Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
01139840Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260131	01139840Aug FU	12.5	0.09	0.6	6.7	
01139840Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
01139841Aug FA	MRP-06205	C-260128	01139841Aug FU	9.5	0.08	0.62	L	
01139841Aug RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PKHL-16-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260136	PKHL-16-3 FU	2.6	0.2	0.5	125	
PKHL-16-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	
PKHL-17-3 FA	MRP-06205	C-260140	PKHL-17-3 FU	32.4	<.08	4.8	11	
PKHL-17-3 RA	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	n.d.	

ndy	
s st	
ine	
m	
Ξ	
ike	
Р	
n th	
fror	
ent	
lim	
sed	
am	
itre	
ins	
nts	
me	
ele	
s of	
OUS	
rati	
ent	
onc	
2	
lix [
end	_:
\pp	rea
4	g

[mg/kg, milligrams per kilogram; %, percent; n.d., not determined; <, less than; ICP, combination of inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry except chemistry replicate sample analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-mass

spectrometry; HG, cor	ttinuous-flow hydride-generation atomic absorpt	tion spectrophc	otometry; R, c	chemist	ry replic	ate sam	ple; Dup	, field re	eplicate	sample]			
Information/Element	Location description	Job number	Lab number	AI	Ag	As	Ba	Be	Bi	Ca	Cd	Ce	
Units Methods		ICP & HG	ICP & HG	% ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	% ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	
01139826-SD	Waits River upstream of Pike Hill Brook at	MRP-06687	C-271433	3.8	$\overline{\vee}$	$\overline{\vee}$	184	2.5	0.14	2.15	0.1	31.8	
011398302-SD	Pike Hill tributary at Richardson Road.	MRP-06687	C-271425	5.76	$\overline{\lor}$	7	270	2.8	0.28	2.42	0.5	34.8	
01139830-SD	Pike Hill Brook above Richardson Road.	MRP-06687	C-271426	3.03	5	8	123	1.3	2.79	1.02	5.8	11.1	
01139830-SD-R	Orange precipitate on rocks. Pike Hill Brook above Richardson Road.	MRP-06686	C-271420	2.67	5.58	23	147	1.1	2.84	0.853	5	11.3	
	Orange precipitate on rocks. Replicate for												
01139830-SD-BC	chemistry. Downstream of Pike Hill Brook and clean	MRP-06687	C-271427	3.51	9	6	158	1.4	2.87	1.08	5.4	14.4	
	tributary confluence where white and orange												
01139832-SD	floc precipitates. Pike Hill Brook at Carpenter Place	MRP-06687	C-271428	5.08	1	Г	195	2.9	0.82	2.02	3.2	38	
01139833-SD	Pike Hill Brook at Pike Hill Road, West	MRP-06687	C-271429	4.96	1	22	184	2.9	0.64	2.28	4.8	37.4	
01139833-SD Dup	Crossing, culvert under bridge. Pike Hill Brook at Pike Hill Road, West	MRP-06687	C-271430	4.93	1	ŝ	176	2.8	0.71	2.27	5.6	35.2	
	Crossing, culvert under bridge. Replicate.												
01139838-SD	Pike Hill Brook at Pike Hill Road between	MRP-06687	C-271431	5.36	$\overline{\nabla}$	$\overline{\vee}$	217	3.4	0.21	2.39	1.6	33.4	
01139839-SD	wetlands. Pike Hill Brook at Miller Road downstream	MRP-06687	C-271432	4.62	$\overline{\vee}$	$\overline{\vee}$	186	ю	0.14	2.19	0.9	21.3	
	of wetlands.												
01139840-SD	Pike Hill Brook at mouth.	MRP-06687	C-271434	4.29	$\overline{\nabla}$	$\overline{\vee}$	210	3.2	0.18	2.1	1.4	19.6	
01139841-SD	Waits River at Village Road.	MRP-06687	C-271435	4.06		1	224	2.6	0.23	2.08	0.1	17.6	
01139940-SD	Cookville Brook tributary downstream of	MRP-06687	C-271424	4.74	$\overline{\vee}$	$\overline{\nabla}$	171	2.2	0.13	2.5	1.5	28.5	

Þ	
Ĩ	
S S	
ne	
Ē	
≣	
Ξ	
ke	
Ē	
he	
n tl	
UO,	
th	
en	
<u> </u>	
ed	
U S	
an	
tre	
n S	
s II.	
int	
me	
e le	
e e	
SC	
ON	
ati	
ntr	
Ce	þ
O	an
ت ·	tin
N N	ON
dib	ပ
en	 -
рр	reć
	a

spectrometry and inductively coupled plasma-mass spectrometry except chemistry replicate sample analyzed by inductively coupled plasma-mass [mg/kg, milligrams per kilogram; %, percent; n.d., not determined; <, less than; ICP, combination of inductively coupled plasma-atomic emission

spectrometry; HG, cc	intinuou	s-flow h	ydride-g	eneratio	n atomi	c absor	otion spe	ctrophot	ometry;	R, chen	nistry re	plicate a	sample;]	Dup, fiel	d replica	te sampl	e]
Element	Co	Cr	Cs	Cu	Fe	Ga	드	К	La	C:	Mg	Mn	Mo	Na	Νb	Ņ	Ч
Units Methods	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	% ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	% ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	% ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	% ICb	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP
01139826-SD	4.4	40	3.08	<i>T.</i> 7	2.04	8.46	0.02	0.99	16.1	49	0.98	920	0.21	1.18	12.3	13.5	480
011398302-SD 01139830-SD	19 43.2	75 31	5.26 1.26	262 8,070	3.36 21.5	13.3 8.78	0.05 0.63	$1.05 \\ 0.9$	17.6 5.1	60 11	$1.75 \\ 0.62$	2,530 686	0.86 22.7	$1.29 \\ 0.7$	8.5 6.3	32.3 7.9	820 840
01139830-SD-R	51.1	28.8	1	6,200	20	8.6	n.d.	0.788	5.4	7.2	0.56	613	11.6	0.545	7.2	9.8	350
01139830-SD-BC	46.6	36	1.65	6,550	20.8	9.66	0.6	66.0	6.6	17	0.74	574	13.8	0.75	5.9	9.7	800
01139832-SD 01139833-SD	74.4 147	48 51	3.42 3.16	3,530 3,540	7.64 6.67	10.4 10.4	0.22 0.17	$1.03 \\ 1$	19 18.4	4 4 4	$1.19 \\ 1.14$	1,100 1,830	4.71 2.43	1.15 1.24	7.3 9.7	20.5 24.1	860 920
01139833-SD Dup	138	50	3.15	3,490	6.73	10.3	0.18	66.0	17.7	45	1.16	1,750	3.18	1.2	10.5	23.9	068
01139838-SD	49.5	49	3.5	298	2.72	11.2	0.04	1.2	16.7	45	0.95	1,540	0.5	1.65	12.1	19.5	069
01139839-SD	23.3	34	2.61	119	1.84	9.42	0.02	1.2	10.9	37	0.79	1,300	0.22	1.59	9.1	13.8	480
01139840-SD 01139841-SD 01139940-SD	22.1 4.6 35.5	27 32 53	2.87 3.06 2.55	98.1 8 539	$1.4 \\ 1.35 \\ 2.43$	9.29 8.48 9.15	<0.02 <0.02 0.03	1.22 1.11 0.71	9.9 9.1 16.7	36 47 39	$0.71 \\ 0.9 \\ 1.42$	$1,380 \\ 667 \\ 1.650$	$0.19 \\ 0.16 \\ 0.45$	1.55 1.32 0.98	7.3 8.9 8.1	12.2 11.2 31.4	390 440 870

Appendix 5. Concentrations of elements in stream sediment from the Pike Hill mines study area.—Continued

c emission lasma-mass	; Dup, field replicate s
c emiss lasma-r	: Dup, field replic
c e lasi	: Dup, field re
ъ н.	: Dup, fie
aton led j	: Dul
ma-a coup	•••
plas 'ely (aldu
pled uctiv	san
couj indi	icate
vely d by	repl
lucti [.] lyze	stry
f ind e ana	nemi
on o mple	R. cł
inati e sa	try:
ombi	ome
P, co y rep	phot
n; IC listry	ctro
thar chem	1 spe
less ept c	ptior
d; <, ' exc	bsor
nine	nic a
etern	aton
ot de spec	tion
d., n 1ass	nerai
ıt; n. na-n	e-gei
rcer plast	drid
6, pe led j	v hv
m; 9 coup	-flov
ogra ely (non
r kil uctiv	ntin
indi	СО СО
gran and	H
nilli etry	letry
kg, r rom	tron
[mg/. spect	spec

spectrometry; HG, co	ontinuou:	s-flow h	ydride-	generatio	on atom	ic absorp	tion spe	ctrophot	ometry;	R, chem	istry rep	y muuc olicate s	ample; L	upreu pr Dup, fielc	l replica	te sample	[9
Element	Pb	Rb	s	Sh	Sc	Se	Sn	Sr	Te	μ	Ħ	F	D	>	Μ	۲	Zn
Units Methods	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	% ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg HG	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	% ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP	mg/kg ICP
01139826-SD	16.5	44.7	0.01	0.08	5.6	<0.2	2.5	259	<0.1	5.5	0.6	0.3	1.6	47	0.5	15.4	44
011398302-SD 01139830-SD	25.6 59	51.6 32.7	0.06 2.12	0.13 1.28	11.5 4.8	0.5 49.8	4.5 8.2	286 97.1	$<\!0.1$ 0.7	4.9 3.2	$0.34 \\ 0.22$	$0.5 \\ 0.5$	$1.8 \\ 0.9$	91 61	0.6 0.6	19.3 9	$134 \\ 1,070$
01139830-SD-R	61	30	n.d.	1.3	Ś	52.1	n.d.	86.4	n.d.	3.2	0.22	0.5	0.88	51.9	n.d.	9.8	981
01139830-SD-BC	61.9	35.4	1.61	1.34	5.6	52	10.4	112	0.7	3.3	0.21	0.6	1.1	67	0.5	9.6	1,010
01139832-SD 01139833-SD	31.1 25.5	47.8 47.4	$0.41 \\ 0.41$	0.39 0.35	6.9 7.2	8.7 6.8	4.2 2.9	262 288	0.1 < 0.1 < 0.1	4 3.9	$0.29 \\ 0.41$	$0.4 \\ 0.4$	2.3 2.1	60 61	0.6 0.9	19.9 22.3	675 834
01139833-SD Dup	25.5	46.4	0.46	0.28	7.3	9	3.3	283	0.1	4.1	0.46	0.4	2.1	59	0.6	22	907
01139838-SD	21.3	56.8	0.04	0.07	7.3	0.3	2.6	336	<0.1	5.2	0.62	0.4	2.4	57	0.6	20.5	283
01139839-SD	18.9	50.7	0.02	0.05	5.2	<0.2	1.6	280	<0.1	3.5	0.49	0.4	1.1	42	0.3	16	191
01139840-SD 01139841-SD 01139940-SD	21.8 18.2 13.1	56.1 48.4 31.4	$0.02 \\ 0.01 \\ 0.04$	0.06 0.07 0.06	4.4 4.5 7.4	<0.2 0.2 <0.2	2.9 2.4 2.1	258 266 346	<0.1 <0.1 <0.1	3.1 2.6 3.6	$0.29 \\ 0.27 \\ 0.4$	$0.4 \\ 0.3 \\ 0.2$	$1.5 \\ 1.1 \\ 1.3$	34 36 60	0.3 0.5 0.6	14 11.3 20.1	224 43 685

Nadine M. Piatak and others—Geochemical Characterization of Mine Waste, Mine Drainage, and Stream Sediments at the Pike Hill Copper Mine Superfund Site, Orange County, Vermont—Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5303