

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

RECEIVED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
77 MAR 31 PM 7:02
MAR 31 2003
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, et al.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
GALE A. NORTON, Secretary of the Interior),)
et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
)
_____)

Case No. 1:96CV01285
(Judge Lamberth)

**REPLY TO PLAINTIFFS' OPPOSITION TO
INTERIOR DEFENDANTS' AND BERT T. EDWARDS' MOTION
FOR ENLARGEMENT OF TIME TO RESPOND TO
PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
INTERIOR DEFENDANTS AND BERT T. EDWARDS, EXECUTIVE
DIRECTOR – OFFICE OF HISTORICAL TRUST ACCOUNTING,
SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CONTEMPT**

Plaintiffs make no showing why the Court should not grant Interior Defendants' motion for enlargement. Interior Defendants have demonstrated good cause for the request, and the request was for a reasonable enlargement of 15 days.¹ Accordingly, Interior Defendants' motion should be granted.

1. As noted in the motion for enlargement, government counsel, who represent Edwards in his official capacity, needed to meet with Mr. Edwards in order to be in a position to address plaintiffs' charges, particularly those involving the false allegation that Mr. Edwards "is not a

¹As noted in the "Further Notice of Errata" filed by the government on March 11, 2003, the enlargement period from the original due date to March 27, 2003, as set forth in the joint motion for enlargement was erroneously computed to be 14 days due to a misreading of plaintiffs' fax timestamp (which was expressed in Greenwich Mean Time). By the Further Notice of Errata, the government corrected the enlargement period requested to 15 days. Ex. 1.

Certified Public Accountant. . . ." See *Plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Interior Defendants and Bert T. Edwards, Executive Director – Office of Historical Trust Accounting, Should Not Be Held in Civil and Criminal Contempt for Lying Under Oath Regarding the Nature and Scope of the Historical Accounting* ("Plaintiffs' Motion") at 16-17. Further, private counsel requested to be present during government counsel's interview of Mr. Edwards, but were unavailable for several days due to previously-scheduled business travel and the desire to meet with Mr. Edwards themselves before government counsel interviewed him. Plaintiffs have not demonstrated that this basis for the requested enlargement was unreasonable in the circumstances, given the serious sanctions they seek to impose upon Interior Defendants and Mr. Edwards. In the interests of due process, the Court should grant Interior Defendants' motion for enlargement.

2. Plaintiffs have not demonstrated that they would suffer any prejudice from the Court's granting the motion for enlargement sought by Interior Defendants. It is unclear whether plaintiffs challenge the request by Mr. Edwards' personal counsel for enlargement to March 27, 2003. Counsel for Mr. Edwards explained in the March 7, 2003 joint motion for enlargement that they had only recently been retained to represent Mr. Edwards. Plaintiffs offer no reason why Mr. Edwards' private counsel should not be allowed a reasonable opportunity to review documents and meet with their client in order to prepare an appropriate response to Plaintiffs' Motion. Plaintiffs offer no basis for denying Mr. Edwards the right to consult with his counsel in the face of their charges of criminal and civil contempt. Interior Defendants sought no greater enlargement than that sought by Mr. Edwards in his personal capacity. Moreover, there is nothing precluding plaintiffs from raising the substance of the allegations in their motion in the course of Trial 1.5 through

competing documentary evidence, testimony and/or cross-examination, if the Court finds such inquiry relevant.

3. Consistent with their request for enlargement, Interior Defendants and Mr. Edwards filed their oppositions to Plaintiffs' Motion on March 27, 2003.² Plaintiffs' reply is now due on April 7, 2003, more than three weeks before Trial 1.5 is scheduled to begin. Accordingly, the requested 15-day enlargement has no impact on the Court's trial schedule.

For the reasons stated above, and in Interior Defendants' and Mr. Edwards' Motion for Enlargement, Interior Defendants request that the Court grant an enlargement of 15 days, to and including March 27, 2003, and accept Interior Defendants' opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion filed on that date.


Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT D. McCALLUM, JR.
Assistant Attorney General

STUART E. SCHIFFER
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

J. CHRISTOPHER KOHN
MICHAEL F. HERTZ
Directors

²Interior Defendants' opposition was filed on March 27, 2003 at approximately 11:33 pm. The District Court's time stamp machine incorrectly reflected a filing date and time of March 28, 2003 at 12:33 pm. However, the opposition was re-stamped with the Court of Appeals' machine, which reflected the correct date and time. Ex. 2.



SANDRA P. SPOONER

Deputy Director

D.C. Bar No. 261495

Dodge Wells

Senior Trial Counsel

D.C. Bar No. 425194

Tracy L. Hilmer

D.C. Bar No. 421219

Trial Attorney

Commercial Litigation Branch

Civil Division

P.O. Box 261

Ben Franklin Station

Washington, D.C. 20044

Tel: (202) 307-0474

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

CLERK'S OFFICE
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

MAR 11 3 49 PM '03

ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, et al.,)
)
Plaintiffs,)
)
v.)
)
GALE A. NORTON, Secretary of the Interior,)
et al.,)
)
Defendants.)
_____)

Case No. 1:96CV01285
(Judge Lamberth)

RECEIVED

FURTHER NOTICE OF ERRATA

On March 7, 2003, the Secretary of the Interior and Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs ("Interior Defendants") and Bert T. Edwards filed *Interior Defendants' and Bert T. Edwards' Motion for Enlargement of Time to Respond to Plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Interior Defendants and Bert T. Edwards, Executive Director – Office of Historical Trust Accounting, Should Not Be Held in Civil and Criminal Contempt* and a Memorandum of Points and Authorities in support of such motion, and a Motion for Expedited Consideration of that Motion. In those filings Interior Defendants and Mr. Edwards stated that their responses are currently due on March 13, 2003 and requested a fourteen-day enlargement of that date to March 27, 2003. Further review of the plaintiffs' certificate of service, which shows the date and time Plaintiffs' Motion was faxed to government counsel (expressed in Greenwich Mean Time) as "2003-02-27 00:29:54 (GMT)" (Attachment A hereto), indicates that plaintiffs in fact served their motion on February 26, 2003, just before 7:30 pm local time, and that Interior Defendants' and Mr. Edwards' responses are due on March 12, 2003, not March 13, 2003. The Notice of Errata filed on March 10, 2003 also contains the same error.


Accordingly, Interior Defendants and Mr. Edwards further correct their filings to reflect that they seek a 15-day enlargement to March 27, 2003, rather than a 14-day enlargement as previously stated.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT D. McCALLUM, JR.
Assistant Attorney General

STUART E. SCHIFFER
Deputy Assistant Attorney General

J. CHRISTOPHER KOHN
MICHAEL F. HERTZ
Directors


SANDRA P. SPOONER
Deputy Director


D.C. Bar No. 261495
Dodge Wells
Senior Trial Counsel
D.C. Bar No. 425194
Tracy L. Hilmer
D.C. Bar No. 421219
Trial Attorney
Commercial Litigation Branch
Civil Division
P.O. Box 261
Ben Franklin Station
Washington, D.C. 20044
(202) 307-0474

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY INTERIOR DEFENDANTS AND BERT T. EDWARDS, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR-OFFICE HISTORICAL TRUST ACCOUNTING, SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CONTEMPT FOR LYING UNDER OATH REGARDING THE NATURE AND SCOPE OF THE HISTORICAL ACCOUNTING was served upon the following by facsimile, pursuant to agreement, on this day, February 26, 2003.

Mark Nagle
United States Attorney's Office
555 Fourth Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20001
202.514.8780

Christopher J. Kohn
U.S. Department of Justice
Civil Division
Room 10036
1100 L. Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20005
202.514.9163



GEOFFREY REMPEL

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury that, on March 11, 2003, I served the foregoing Further Notice of Errata by facsimile, in accordance with their written request of October 31, 2001 upon:

Keith Harper, Esq.
Native American Rights Fund
1712 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036-2976
202-822-0068

Dennis M Gingold, Esq.
Mark Brown, Esq.
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Ninth Floor
Washington, DC 20004
202-318-2372

and by U.S. Mail upon:

Elliott Levitas, Esq.
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800
Atlanta, GA 30309-4530

and by U.S. Mail and by facsimile upon:

Bruce A. Baird, Esq.
Michael X. Imbroscio, Esq.
Nicole J. Moss
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
P.O. Box 7566
Washington, D.C. 20044-7566
202-662-6291

Alan L. Balaran, Esq.
Special Master
1717 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
13th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
202-986-8477


and by facsimile upon:

Joseph S. Kieffer, III, Esq.
Special Master-Monitor
420 7th Street, NW
Apt 705
Washington, DC 20004
202-478-1958


Kevin P. Kingston

*** MULTI TX/RX REPORT ***

TX/RX NO	0895	
PGS.	6	
TX/RX INCOMPLETE	-----	
TRANSACTION OK	[57]99868477	BALARAN
	[58]93182372	GINGOLD
	[59]98220068	HARPER
	[60]94781958	KIEFFER
	(1) 96626291	
ERROR INFORMATION	-----	



IMPORTANT: This facsimile is intended only for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed. It may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or otherwise protected from disclosure under applicable law. If the reader of this transmission is not the intended recipient or the employee or agent responsible for delivering the transmission to the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, copying or use of this transmission or its contents is strictly prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify us by telephoning and return the original transmission to us at the address given below.

FROM: Department of Justice
Civil Division

Fax No. (202) 353-3565
Voice No. (202) 616-9668

SENT BY: Kevin Kingston
Labat-Anderson

TO: Allan Balaran Keith Harper Dennis M. Gingold Joseph S. Kieffer, III
FAX No. (202) 986-8477 (202) 822-0068 (202) 318-2372 (202) 478-1958

Bruce A. Baird
Michael X. Imbroscio
Nicole J. Moss
Covington & Burling
662-6291

NUMBER OF PAGES SENT (INCLUDING COVER PAGE): 6

Further Notice of Errata

RECEIVED
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
MAR 27 11:11-34

FILING DEPOSITORY

ELOUISE PEPION COBELL, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

GALE A. NORTON, et al.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 96-1285 (RCL)

RECEIVED
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
2003 MAR 28 AM 12:33
NANCY M.
MAYER-WHITTINGTON
CLERK

**INTERIOR DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS'
MOTION FOR ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY INTERIOR
DEFENDANTS AND BERT T. EDWARDS, EXECUTIVE
DIRECTOR – OFFICE [of] HISTORICAL TRUST ACCOUNTING,
SHOULD NOT BE HELD IN CIVIL AND CRIMINAL CONTEMPT**

This brief is submitted on behalf of the Secretary of the Interior and the Assistant Secretary – Indian Affairs ("Interior Defendants") and Bert T. Edwards in his official capacity in opposition to *Plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Interior Defendants and Bert T. Edwards, Executive Director – Office [of] Historical Trust Accounting Should Not Be Held in Civil and Criminal Contempt for Lying Under Oath Regarding the Nature and Scope of the Historical Accounting* (served Feb. 26, 2003) ("Plaintiffs' Motion").¹ With this motion, plaintiffs have now

¹Government counsel and private counsel for Mr. Edwards filed a motion for enlargement of time to and including March 27, 2003 in which to file their oppositions to Plaintiffs' Motion. *Interior Defendants' and Bert T. Edwards' Motion for Enlargement of Time to Respond to Plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Interior Defendants and Bert T. Edwards, Executive Director – Office of Historical Trust Accounting, Should Not be Held in Civil and Criminal Contempt* (filed Mar. 7, 2003); see also *Notice of Errata* (filed Mar. 10, 2003); *Further Notice of Errata* (filed Mar. 11, 2003). Also on March 7, 2003, Government counsel and Mr. Edwards' private counsel filed a motion for expedited review. On March 20, 2003, plaintiffs opposed the motion for enlargement. Government counsel and Mr. Edwards' reply is due on March 31, 2003. The Court has

(continued...)

EXHIBIT 2
 Reply to Pliffs' Opp to Defs' &
 Bert Edwards' Ling of Time

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I declare under penalty of perjury that, on March 31, 2003 I served the foregoing *Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Interior Defendants' and Bert T. Edwards' Motion for Enlargement of Time to Respond to Plaintiffs' Motion for Order to Show Cause Why Interior Defendants and Bert T. Edwards, Executive Director – Office of Historical Trust Accounting, Should Not Be Held in Civil and Criminal Contempt* by facsimile, in accordance with their written request of October 31, 2001 upon:

Keith Harper, Esq.
Native American Rights Fund
1712 N Street, NW
Washington, DC 20036-2976
(202) 822-0068

Dennis M Gingold, Esq.
Mark Brown, Esq.
1275 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Ninth Floor
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 318-2372

and by facsimile upon:

Michael X. Imbroscio, Esq.
Bruce Baird, Esq.
Nicole Moss, Esq.
Covington & Burling
1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20004
(202) 662-6291

and by U.S. Mail upon:

Elliott Levitas, Esq.
1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800
Atlanta, GA 30309-4530

and by U.S. Mail and by facsimile upon:

Alan L. Balaran, Esq.
Special Master
1717 Pennsylvania Ave., NW
13th Floor
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 986-8477

and by hand upon:

Joseph S. Kieffer, III, Esq.
Special Master-Monitor
420 7th Street, NW
Apt 705
Washington, DC 20004



Sean P. Schmergel