United States Environmental Protection Agency Office of Water Washington, DC 20460 EPA-823-R-07-008 September 2007



# Proceedings of the 2007 National Forum on Contaminants in Fish

# Section II-H PCBs and Dioxins

#### Moderator:

Eric Frohmberg, Maine Center for Disease Control

#### WHO – 2006 Re-evaluation of TEF for Dioxins and Dioxin-Like Compounds

Daniele Staskal, ChemRisk

# Use of Total-PCB Fish Measurements in Dioxin-Like PCB Related Fish Advisories and Risk Assessment

Satyendra Bhavsar, Ontario Ministry of the Environment

#### Measures of PCBs in Edible Fish Tissues: A Comparative Risk Analysis

Kevin Connor, Geomatrix

#### **Developmental Health Effects of PCBs in a Superfund Community**<sup>\*</sup>

Susan Korrick, Harvard School of Public Health

#### Consistent Advice for Striped Bass and Bluefish along the Atlantic Coast

Eric Frohmberg, Maine Center for Disease Control

#### PCB Body Burdens Associated with Adverse Outcome in Epidemiological Studies

Deborah Rice, Maine Center for Disease Control

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>\*</sup> This presentation is not included at the request of the author due to pending publication.

[This page intentionally left blank.]

# WHO – 2006 Re-evaluation of TEF for Dioxins and Dioxin-Like Compounds

Daniele Staskal, ChemRisk

#### **Biosketch**

Dr. Daniele Staskal (Ph.D.) is a Health Scientist with ChemRisk in Austin, TX. Dr. Staskal received her Ph.D. in Toxicology from the Curriculum in Toxicology at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. She began her research by investigating species differences in hepatic toxicity associated with dioxin and dioxin-like compounds with Dr. Linda Birnbaum at EPA. She then transitioned her research focus to investigating the toxicokinetics of brominated flame retardants, also with EPA. Since joining ChemRisk, she has continued her research with both brominated flame retardants and dioxins, focusing primarily on exposure and human health risk issues. She has been involved with the TEF weighting research project for approximately

2 years.

#### Abstract

Potential health risks associated with exposure to mixtures of dioxin-like compounds (DLCs) are currently assessed using a toxic equivalency factor (TEF) approach. TEFs are single point estimates of the relative potency (REP) of DLCs recommended by the World Health Organization (WHO), based on underlying REP values that represent a heterogeneous dataset ranging across several orders of magnitude. Recently, the WHO re-evaluated the TEF methodology, resulting in a validation of the TEF approach, as well as revised TEFs for some congeners. The WHO also acknowledged the importance of better characterizing variability and uncertainty inherent in the TEFs and indicated that the use of distributions of REPs would provide a means of characterizing such variability and uncertainty. In addition to developing distributions, WHO requested that a weighting scheme, to quantitatively weight studies based on quality and relevance to human health risk assessment, be developed before distributions were used in TEF evaluation.

Therefore, the aim of current investigation was to develop a transparent and reproducible weighting scheme, to apply it to the REP<sub>2004</sub> database, and to evaluate the impact on the distributions. The proposed consensus-based weighting scheme incorporates several different measures of study quality and relevance, including REP derivation method and quality, as well as endpoint. Multiple iterations and numerical scales were assessed to determine the impact on the REP distributions. This weighting scheme provides an approach for placing greater emphasis on those REP values that are believed to be more well-suited for health risk assessment purposes. Results of weighting will be presented; generally, weighting did not have a major impact on the overall distribution for most congeners. Although the weighting scheme did not have a significant impact on the overall distributions, the use of such a scheme yields a more transparent, reproducible, and consistent method for deriving TEFs from the underlying REP data.

This abstract does not represent U.S. Environmental Protection Agency policy.



#### **TEF Background**

Currently the standard for evaluating health risks posed by complex mixtures of PCDDs, PCDFs, and dioxin-like PCBs

Based on evidence indicating that DLCs share a common mechanism of action and elicits a similar spectrum of toxic effects

Necessary because DLCs occur as mixtures in the environment and there is a lack of complete toxicology data for each congener



### **TEF Applications**

- TEFs play a crucial role in U.S. environmental decision making
- Primary use in the U.S. is in environmental regulation
  - air toxics, site cleanup, issuance of permits, fish advisories, TMDLs, populations exposures, etc.
- In many cases, the use of TEFs is specified in regulations





#### WHO Re-evaluation of the TEFs

- October 2004, WHO announced a project designed to re-evaluate the human and mammalian toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for dioxins and dioxin-like compounds
- Review updated information
- Call for proposals



### NAS Review of TEFs

- "Overall, even given the inherent uncertainties and limitation, the TEF method, when applied correctly, is a reasonable, scientifically justifiable, and widely accepted method to estimate the relative potency of DLCs on human and animal health" (NAS 2006).
- Considerable uncertainty in selection of TEFs
- Considerable variability in REP data underlying the TEFs
- Better characterize uncertainty in TEF values
- Consider use of probabilistic distribution of TEFsEstablish task force to build consensus
- probability density functions

#### **REP** Database Review

#### Review

- Data entry, repetitive entries, unpublished studies, etc.
- Refine
  - Retained studies which could be used in a quantitative analysis
- Develop unweighted distributions

International Control (1990), de la Control de di Utilizzazio della Internazionali di Admeni facono polificationi foggiari Sc. (1917)	
REV	1EW
Development of a Refined Dat Potency Estimates for	tabase of Mammalian Relative Dioxin-like Compounds
Lastic C. Harry,17 Januar H. Such Math. Harring Math. Brown Failing, 2 and	ad I. DeVin,) Napi I. Walter, <sup>1</sup> William H. Farland,] Lada S. Birshamit
"Candida Alfordana da Alfordana Kana Weit Shanama da di Rabina Inc. Anis 400 Manara (E. 2004). IEEE Incommon Pro- ting Control (1998). "Anisota Internet of Control Information Mark Research Design April 2014. IEEE Internet Information MIC 2014, Research Control (2014). IEEE Internet Pro- Mitta Alfordia Information Internet Information Pro- Bio 2014, Research Control (2014). IEEE Internet Pro- Section Internet Information Internet Information Internet MIC 2014, Research Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Internet Int	Langura Ku, Jan (1988) Kin Mad Ku, Kai Ki Will, Shhudhai AHF Anno Agent (1988) HEERE CDS Rel'Article A Annoce Strange Real, in Sciences on Hearing Program (2018) and 1981 (2018). In Strans. (2018) Character Anno Stranger Conference and Statutes (2) Sciences Anno-Stranger Annoce Conference Annotation (2) Sciences Annoce Conf. 2019).
Restrict Intel 13 (1971)	worped maps (1, (98))
The tests equivalency lacksr (2027) approach has been widely morphical as the inner bandles marked a validity of present for restancing protocols builts data associated with cognomes to actions of down they compared (2014). We commer associa-	Ker Varde denis pår bleksen i Benne pårete pår blek und Bennesen pår blevnad byboyle, min opriskny ker (TD') skalte prime (BD')
The second secon	An example of the process of the pro
It is a space to obtain our a prices of WEN, MR, at 1989s, the mester of tools seare and consented probability of the test control information of the consented probability of the test of the information of the composition of the lattice of the UNE SOUTH Table in an employed on the distribution from UNE SOUTH East framework and the south of the distribution of the UNE SOUTH East framework and the south of the south of the UNE SOUTH East framework and the south of the UNE SOUTH of the UNE SOUTH East framework and the south of the UNE SOUTH of the UNE SOUTH East framework and the UNE SOUTH of the UNE SOUTH of the UNE SOUTH of the UNE SOUTH of t	FREE Madatesis, FREE Wardsen, and J. MER OKC, and J. REE, Salo, 1998; Sweal and Lucion, 1997; This solvest of PCDFs, PCDFs, and PCDF is conservative strengthene for other in Bar- compositio (BACC) and comprises 17 Intendsy solvestimed composition.
In feature, plan and plant present speech op	



#### WHO Re-evaluation of the TEFs Purpose was to consider new scientific information published in the peer-reviewed literature since the time of the last expert consultation held in Stockholm in 1997 Methods/approaches for derivation of TEFs (quantitative approaches; uncertainty ranges; weighting factors) Inclusion of mono-ortho PCBs in TEF scheme (role of low level

- contaminants) Consideration of other dioxin-like compounds



#### **Results of WHO Re-evaluation**

- Validation of the TEF approach
- Revised TEFs for several congeners (van den Berg et al 2006)
- Unweighted REP distributions
- Expert judgment
- Point estimates
- Stepwise scale based on half-order of magnitude, log scale (i.e., 0.1, 0.3, etc vs. 0.01, 0.05, etc. previously)
  - Help quantify uncertainty in future

	۲.	<b>FEF</b> Cha	anges		
PCDDs		PCD	PCI	Bs	
Congener	TEF	Congener TEF Conger		Congener	TEF
2378-TCDD		2378-TCDF	0.1	PCB77	0.0001
12378-PeCDD		12378-PeCDF	0.05 (0.03)	PCB81	0.0001 (0.0003)
123478-HxCDD	0.1	23478-PeCDF	0.5 (0.3)	PCB126	0.1
123678-HxCDD	0.1	123478-HxCDF	0.1	PCB169	0.01 (0.03)
123789-HxCDD	0.1	123678-HxCDF	0.1	PCB105	
1234678-HpCDD	0.01	123789-HxCDF	0.1	PCB114	
OCDD	0.0001 (0.0003)	234678-HxCDF	0.1	PCB118	0
		1234678-HpCDF	0.01	PCB123	0
		1234789-HpCDF	0.01	PCB156	8
		OCDF	0.0001 (0.0003)	PCB157	03
*New TEF for all ortho PCBs=0.0	l mono- 00003			PCB167	1
				DCD190	

#### **Results of WHO Re-evaluation** (Cont.)

- Consideration of alternative approaches for developing TEF (i.e. development of distributions of REP values)
- Need to develop consensus-based REP weighting factors prior to using distributions of REPs to derive TEFs



### **Objective of Weighting**



- To develop a transparent, consistent, and reproducible quantitative weighting scheme
- To use these consensus-based weighting factors to develop weighted distributions of REP values
  - Eliminates disagreements over "best" point estimate
     Reduces variability among congeners (consistent percentile [e.g., 50th, 95th] can be used in deterministic risk assessment)
  - Distributions can be used in probabilistic risk assessment
  - Describe uncertainty in risk estimates
  - Allows regulators to establish target percentile













#### Weighting Conclusions

- Weighting had little impact on the overall distribution of the REPs
  - Results consistent with previous weighting efforts
  - Lack of impact may be do to the inherent variability in the REP values
- Use of weighted REP distributions (rather than point estimates) appears to significantly impact risk calculations\*
- Use of a quantitative weighting scheme enhances the transparency in the process for establishing TEFs

#### Conclusions

- Use of REP distributions could simplify process of developing point estimate TEFs
  - Separates risk assessment from risk management process
  - Provides WHO with a quantitative method for developing TEFs
- By using this approach, regulators, the regulated community, risk managers and the general public can reproduce how TEFs are derived – this provides an additional measure of transparency

## Acknowledgements

- Laurie Haws
- Linda Birnbaum
- Mike DeVito
- Nigel Walker
- Bill Farland
- Martin Van den Berg
- Dick Peterson
- Angelika Tritscher

Mark Harris

- Daniele Staskal
- Paul Scott
- Ken Unice
- Brent Finley
- Steve Su
- Annette Santamaria
- Amy Bradley
- Kevin Connor

This work was funded through the years by Tierra Solutions, Inc. All external collaborators were supported by their own institutions. This presentation does not reflect official views or policies of NIH, NIEHS, or USEPA.

- *Q.* What is the purity of test material evaluated? If they were less than 99.99% pure, it could account for the mono-ortho compounds found. (Sekerke)
- A. The compounds were considered pure by the WHO [World Health Organization], but there is no specific method other than expert judgment. Please consult the toxicology paper by Van Der Berg.

# Use of Total-PCB Fish Measurements in Dioxin-Like PCB Related Fish Advisories and Risk Assessment

Satyendra Bhavsar, Ontario Ministry of the Environment

#### Biosketch

Dr. Satyendra Bhavsar is a Water Modeller in Environmental Monitoring and Reporting Branch of the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (OMOE). He received his B.Eng. in Chemical Engineering from M.S. University of Baroda (India) and his Ph.D. in Chemical and Environmental Engineering from the University of Toronto (U of T, Canada). Dr. Bhavsar worked as a postdoctoral researcher at the U of T and OMOE before assuming his current Water Modeller position. His duties involve undertaking scientific evaluation of the contaminants data collected by the OMOE over last 30 years and providing scientific support to Sport Fish and Biomonitoring Unit (OMOE), which issues fish consumption advisories for more than 1,700 locations in Ontario's inland lakes/rivers and the Great Lakes. Dr. Bhavsar can be reached via e-mail at s.bhavsar@utoronto.ca.

#### Abstract

Ontario Ministry of the Environment (OMOE) collects fish samples from approximately 1,700 locations in Ontario's inland lakes/rivers and the Great Lakes, analyze the samples for a variety of substances, and provide consumption advice for sport fish-based on health protection guidelines provided by Health Canada (HC). Historically, a wide variety of contaminants, including mercury (Hg), polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), dioxins/furans, mirex, photomirex, and toxaphene, have been consumption-limiting chemicals. However, due to recent revisions in HC guidelines and the implementation of our innovative method of overcoming lack of dioxin-like PCB (dl-PCB) measurements, dl-PCB/dioxins/furans, and Hg are the major cause of current advisories.

The current analytical methods for quantifying dl-PCBs are complex and four or five times more expensive compared to total-PCB analysis, which results in limited fish samples analyzed for dl-PCBs. Furthermore, it is a common perception that individual PCB compounds, especially dl-PCBs, rather than total-PCB need to be quantified to predict the environmental hazard because of differences in their toxicity potential and distribution among various environmental matrices, including aquatic food webs. Using the OMOE dataset, we show that the comparatively less expensive and rapid measurements of total-PCB in fish can be used to assess dl-PCB-related toxicological hazard, measured as 2,3,7,8-TCDD Toxic Equivalents (TEQ) (Bhavsar et al. 2007a). The dataset includes total-PCB and dl-PCB measurements in 912 skinless, boneless fillets of 22 different fish species collected from 1996–2004 from 80 locations across Ontario, and they varied over a wide range in length, weight, and PCB concentrations. The possible sources of PCB in the aquatic systems sampled also varied from atmospheric deposition to known recent and/or continuing point sources to combination of atmospheric and point sources.

A regression equation of dl-PCB-related TEQ (i.e., TEQ<sub>dl-PCB</sub>) to total-PCB in fish has been presented (TEQ<sub>dl-PCB</sub> =  $2.56 \times 10^{-5} \times C_{total-PCB}$ , r = 0.89, p < 0.001) (Bhavsar et al. 2007a). The regression was evaluated by applying it to three independent datasets of substantial sizes (n = 55, 141, 176). The TEQ<sub>dl-PCB</sub> estimated using the regression and total-PCB measurements were generally within a reasonable factor of 2 of the TEQ<sub>dl-PCB</sub> calculated from the dl-PCB measurements. We found that the dl-PCB composition is relatively constant regardless of fish species and total-PCB level (Bhavsar et al. 2007b). The abundance of dl-PCBs expressed as a percentage of total-PCB in fish is generally in the order of PCB- 118 > 105 > 156 > 167 > 123 > 157 \approx 114 > 189 > 77 > 126 > 81 \approx 169. The most toxic dl-PCB congeners PCB-126 and PCB-169 contribute on average only 0.027% and 0.004% of total-PCB, respectively. The statistically

significant correlations presented between individual dl-PCB and total-PCB concentrations can be used as a practical tool to estimate dl-PCBs for risk assessment purpose. A comparison of the dl-PCB pattern for the OMOE dataset with other studies suggests that this dl-PCB composition is applicable to fish from North America and perhaps from other geographical regions throughout the world.





#### OMOE - Sport Fish Contaminant Monitoring Program (SFCMP) • Monitors contaminants in

- Sport fish & Juvenile fish
  - Since 1970s
- Various contaminants (eg, PCBs, dioxins, Hg, pesticides)
- More than 1700 locations across Ontario and Canadian waters of the Great Lakes
- Assesses health risk
  - Partnership with Health Canada
  - Develop fish advisories
- Provide fish consumption advise to public

Protecting cur environment. 🗑 Ontario



### **Toxic Equivalents - TEQ**

- How toxic chemicals in mixture are compared to most toxic chemical of class
- · Uses weighted Toxic Equivalency Factors

$$TEQ = \sum (C_i \times TEF_i)$$

- TEFs: order of magnitude

   derived from Relative Potency (REPs)
- · Requires concentration of individual chemical

unt. 🗑 Ontario

### **Dioxin-like Compounds**

- Dioxins, Furans, dioxin-like PCBs
- · High levels in environment including fish
- Major cause of fish advisories in North America
- Dioxin-like PCBs contribute 70-90% of dioxin-like compound related TEQ
- most toxic dl-PCBs are less abundant

Protecting our environment. 😵 Ontario



# true risk of these chemicals to human and ecosystem health may not be fully recognized Research Question Can we estimate TEQ<sub>dl-PCB</sub> using total-PCB measurements for fish? (🕅 Ontario

Problem



































### **Contact Information**

#### Satyendra Bhavsar

Ontario Ministry of the Environment Water Monitoring and Reporting Section Environmental Monitoring and Reporting Branch 125 Resources Road, Toronto, ON M9P 3V6 Tel: (416) 327-5863 Fax: (416) 327-6519

E-mail: satyendra.bhavsar@ontario.ca sportfish.moe@ontario.ca

Protecting our environment. 🕅 Ontario

- *Q.* We analyzed 101 PCB and dioxin samples in Delaware and forced the data through 0 as well. The slope is  $2.56 \times 10^{-5}$  and our R was a little better. There are situations, however, where no substitutes are possible, such as reductions of oddball congeners in some people. It is extremely useful for source fingerprinting. (Greene)
- A. I agree. This measurement is an alternative when funding for full analyses is not available.
- Q. The National Lake Fish Tissue Study is not necessarily representative of the PCBs and Arochlors used in the United States. A lot of U.S. PCBs are in estuaries and not lakes. Your regression line is spread at the bottom. Have you ever analyzed the lower levels of contamination versus the higher level concentrations? (Brodberg)
- A. It may be that, at low concentrations, the error analytically increases dynamically. Also the nondetects are represented in the low concentrations, and it is not clear how to handle non-detects in this manner. At lower levels, however, the TEQ [toxic equivalency] is below 1 and is less of a concern.

# Measures of PCBs in Edible Fish Tissues: A Comparative Risk Analysis

Kevin Connor, Geomatrix

#### **Biosketch**

Dr. Kevin Connor (Ph.D.) is a Senior Scientist with Geomatrix Consultants, working in Folsom, CA. Dr. Connor received his B.S. degree in Environmental Toxicology at the University of California at Davis, and earned a Ph.D. in Toxicology at Texas A&M University. He has worked professionally in human health risk assessment and regulatory toxicology for more than 10 years. Dr. Connor's core expertise stems from his graduate work on the molecular toxicology of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) and related persistent organic compounds, and he has conducted numerous analyses on the human health risks associated with the presence of these compounds in soils, sediment, fish, and shellfish. At Geomatrix, his duties have expanded to include the risk assessment for chemicals used in manufacturing consumer products.

#### Abstract

Several analytical methods are available for quantifying polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) in fish and shellfish. These methods have been used to provide measures of PCBs that are expressed in terms of the original Aroclor mixture, individual PCB congeners or homologs, or an estimate of total PCB based on regression analysis. The choice for analysis is driven by many considerations, including cost; however, it is important to consider the requirements of the current approach to human health risk assessment for PCBs, as developed by U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This includes a toxic equivalency (TEQ) approach for the coplanar PCB congeners, where the mixture has been heavily degraded or weathered. In this analysis, we contrast the PCB health risk estimates obtained using fish tissue data from a New Jersey waterway and a PCB concentration term derived from each of following analytical approaches: 1) a National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) estimate of the total PCB based on 18 congeners, 2) an estimate of the total PCB based on the sum of 38 analyzed congeners, and 3) the sum of 9 homolog groups. In each case, the coplanar (or dioxin-like) congeners were subtracted from the total PCB mass to arrive at a non-coplanar total, in accordance with EPA guidance. These three approaches yielded similar estimates of the total non-dioxin-like PCBs for a variety of fish species, while the totals quantified as Aroclor 1248, 1254, or 1260 were typically >5-fold lower. Based on these results, the choice of analytical approach applied to the measurement of PCBs in fish and shellfish should be guided by the composition of the PCB mixture and the requirements of the risk assessment methodology.

### MEASURES OF PCBS IN EDIBLE FISH TISSUES: A COMPARATIVE RISK ANALYSIS

Kevin Connor, Ph.D. Geomatrix Consultants, Inc. Folsom, CA kconnor@geomatrix.com

















- DIOXIN-IIK	e" PO	CBs C	an	Use TEFs
-	-			
Congener	1997 TEF	2005 TEF		
3,4,4',5-TCB (81)	0.0001	0.0003		
3,3',4,4'-TCB (77)	0.0001	0.0001		TEE is expression of
3,3',4,4',5-PeCB (126)	0.1	0.1		relative potency as
3,3',4,4',5,5'-HxCB (169)	0.01	0.03		compared with 2 3 7 8-
2,3,3',4,4'-PeCB (105)	0.0001	0.00003		
2,3,4,4',5-PeCB (114)	0.0005	0.00003		
2,3',4,4',5-PeCB (118)	0.0001	0.00003	-	$TEQ = \Sigma [PCB_i \times TEF_i]$ $TEQ Dose \times TCDD CS$
2',3,4,4',5-PeCB (123)	0.0001	0.00003	•	
2,3,3',4,4',5-HxCB (156)	0.0005	0.00003		= PCB risk
2,3,3',4,4',5'-HxCB (157)	0.0005	0.00003		
2,3',4,4',5,5'-HxCB (167)	0.00001	0.00003		
2,3,3',4,4',5,5'-HpCB (189)	0.0001	0.00003		



















Fish fillet type	"NOAA" PCB total – $\Sigma$ DL	Regression of 38 Congeners – Σ DL	Sum of Homologs – $\Sigma$ DL
Adult striped bass (n=10)	980	947	946
White perch (n=6)	1,996	2,246	2,228
Bluefish (n=1)	873	NA	873
All fillets (n=17)	1,332	1,479	1,384









- Q. How are you quantifying cancer risks for non-coplanars?
- A. Presumably you would add the non-coplanars together.
- Q. What is the ballpark cost of congener analyses and homolog analyses?
- A. For congener analyses, the cost is approximately \$1,200 to \$1,300. Arochlors cost approximately \$1,300 per sample.

# Consistent Advice for Striped Bass and Bluefish along the Atlantic Coast

Eric Frohmberg, Maine Center for Disease Control

#### Biosketch

Dr. Eric Frohmberg (Ph.D.) is a Toxicologist with the Maine Environmental and Occupational Health Program. He has been involved in the development of fish consumption advisories and the Bureau's Center for Disease Control's Fish Advisory Communication Program. This work has included the development of new brochures, testing efforts with low-literacy focus groups, and surveys to evaluate the effectiveness of the risk communication program.

#### Abstract

Eric Frohmberg will present the methodology and results to date on the Workgroup for Evaluating an Atlantic Coastal Advisory for Striped Bass and Bluefish based on polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). The process is in the third year, and the methodology, results, timeline, and next steps will be presented and discussed. In particular, size dependence of PCB concentrations in bluefish and the risks and benefits of striped bass and bluefish consumption have been included in the evaluation. A body burden approach to evaluating the risks of PCBs will be included and will be discussed by Deborah Rice in the following talk.



	Environmental Health Unit
Home Well Water Fish and Game Guidelines	Home Page for Evaluating an Atlantic Coastal Advisory for Striped Bass and Bluefish based on PCBs
Chemicals Air Quality	Objective
Environmental Public Health Tracking	Prepare a document assessing the feasibility of developing a common
Occupational Disease Reporting	<ul> <li>coastal advisory for striped bass and bluefish due to PCBs. "Common" may be the whole Atlantic coast, or it may be regional (New England, Mid-Coast, Southern) depending on what the data supports Advitionally, we recompare</li> </ul>
About Us Site Map	that while the objective is to work towards a common advisory, there may be states that participate in this process that do not sign on to any advisory we finally develop.
	Timeline:
	The goal is to have a draft document for all of us to review and discuss before or after this years fish forum September 18-21, in Baltimore, MD. We plan to proceed by having conference calls of the various workgroups















	EPA Non- Action Le	-Cancer vel	EPA Canc Level	er Action	Great Lakes Protocol	
	W/out cooking loss	50% cooking loss	W/out cooking loss	50% cooking loss		
One meal/ week	43 ppb	86 ppb	11 ppb	22 ppb	60-200 ppb	
One meal/ Month	173 ppb	346 ppb	43 ppb	86 ppb	210-1000 ppb	
No Consumption					> 1,900 ppb	



#### Advisories

Procedures variable from state to state, advisories aren't that different

Summarize data on cooking loss

Think about age breakdowns to specify who you want to protect and to simplify communication



#### Status/Next Steps

- Data from NY
- Review body burden text and incorporate into a tox chapter
- Discuss size breakdown for bluefish
- Review and re-write organizational chapter
- Timeline? End of Summer/Fall

Maine CDC • Environmental and Occupational Health Program

#### Thanks

Gary Buchanan (NJ), Brian Toal (CT), Rick Greene (DE), Ron Sloan (NY), Ashok Deshpande (NOAA), Gary Ginsburg (CT), Deb Rice (ME), George Henderson (FL), Rich McBride (FL), Byron Young (NY), Victor Crecco (CT), Paul Caruso (MA), Sharee Rusnak (CT), Tony Forti (NY)

Maine CDC • Environmental and Occupational Health Program

- Q. How certain are you on the migrations in and out of state waters? Are there telemetry data? (David)
- A. There were several tag and recapture data, but I am unclear of the sensitivity for striped bass. No data are available for the Maine and New England populations, because the population collapsed. In the past, the population came from Chesapeake Bay. This may still be the migration route. We also believe some are fish coming up from the Roanoke.
- A. For Long Island Sound striped bass, PCB levels average 0.21 to 0.25 ppm. (Forti)

[This page intentionally left blank.]

# PCB Body Burdens Associated with Adverse Outcome in Epidemiological Studies

Deborah Rice, Maine Center for Disease Control

#### Biosketch

Dr. Deborah Rice (Ph.D.) is currently a Toxicologist with the Maine Center for Disease Control and Prevention. Previously, she served as a risk assessor in the area of neurotoxicology with the National Center for Environmental Assessment at EPA, where she was involved in health assessments of PCBs and methylmercury. Dr. Rice was a research scientist in the Toxicology Research Division of Health Canada for more than 20 years, where she headed a research program focused on characterizing nervous system impairment produced by developmental exposure to the major environmental pollutants PCBs, methylmercury, and lead. Dr. Rice is currently an Associate Editor for the journals *Neurotoxicology* and *Environmental Research*. Dr. Rice received her Ph.D. in Toxicology from the University of Rochester.

#### Abstract

There is a large experimental literature documenting behavioral effects associated with developmental exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs). Several longitudinal prospective studies have also documented developmental neurotoxicity associated with PCB exposure, mostly as a result of *in utero* exposure. Studies in the United States (Michigan and Oswego, NY), Germany, and the Netherlands found adverse effects as a result of PCB exposure, from early infancy to 11 years of age (Michigan) or 9.5 years of age (Oswego, NY). Deficits included neuromotor effects during infancy; lowered IQ; deficits in memory, attention, and language processing; failure of impulse control and other executive functions; and effects on sexually dimorphic behavior. In most cases, data were not presented in such a way that the dose-response relationship, including evidence of a threshold, could not be determined. However, decrement in IQ at 3.5 years of age in the Dutch study, as well as several measures on the Oswego study, were presented as histograms, allowing estimates of an effect level. Additionally, an increase in otitis media in an Inuit population was amenable to dose-response estimation. The body burden data (lipidadjusted blood concentrations) could then be compared with data from the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) based on the appropriate congeners analyzed in each study. In the Dutch and Inuit studies, blood levels were generally higher than in the United States, and a no-effect level was not identified. The effect level, which is contingent upon the way in which the subjects were grouped, was between the 90<sup>th</sup> and 99<sup>th</sup> percentiles of U.S. women of child-bearing age based on the NHANES data. In the Oswego study, body burdens were comparable to the general U.S. population, and an effect level was identified for several endpoints and at various ages that corresponded to blood levels below the  $5^{\text{th}}$ percentile of U.S. women based on the NHANES. In fact, there was evidence on some measures that effects were observed at the 1<sup>st</sup> percentile. Although these histogram-based estimates are certainly not the optimal way to determine effect levels, it seems reasonable to conclude that a substantial fraction of women of childbearing age in the United States has a body burden of PCBs that may produce adverse effects.







#### Summary of selected effects across studies





- Most studies and endpoints provided no information on the doseeffect relationship
- For some endpoints, data were presented as histograms
- Most emphasis placed on the Oswego study
  - US study
  - Low body burdens
  - Histograms presented for a number of endpoints at various ages
- Other available data were from the Dutch and Inuit studies
  - Higher body burdens
  - No-effect level not identified























Congener	Oswego	NHANES	NHANES 50th %
			(ng/g lipid)
170/190	N	N	
172	2	V	
174	$\vee$		
177	N	X	
178	0	$\otimes$	3.7
179	$\otimes$		
180	N.	V	
181/187	$\checkmark$		
183	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
185	$\checkmark$	~	
189		$\bigcirc$	3.7
194	$\checkmark$	V	
195	$\checkmark$	V	
196/203	$\checkmark$	~	
199	X		
200	$\langle v \rangle$		
201	V	1	
206	1	V	







Compariso populatior	on of level and the o	s of conge effect leve	ener 153 in I in the Inc	n the US uit study
tertile	50 <sup>th</sup> %	75 <sup>th</sup> %	90 <sup>th</sup> %	95 <sup>th</sup> %
women 16-19	20	37	64	81
DOH, January by CTDPH froi	2007 and rec m CDC SAS c	data files.	Otitis med level ≈	⊥ dia effect ≠ 77.7 ng/g

Su	mmary of effe burde	ct levels compare ns in US women	d to body
Study	Endpoint	Biomarker	% of NHANES Distribution at Apparent Effect Level
Oswego	various neurodevelopmental effects	highly chlorinated congeners (PCB 170 & above) lipid adjusted, cord vs venous blood	5 <sup>th</sup>
Dutch	several neurodevelopmental endpoints	PCBs 118, 138, 153, 180 in venous blood, wet wt	95 <sup>th</sup> to 99 <sup>th</sup>
Inuit	Otitis media	PCB 153 lipid-adjusted cord blood vs venous blood	90 <sup>th</sup> to 95 <sup>th</sup>



- Q. In the Lanke studies, what types of fish consumption surveys or studies were performed? (Forti)
- A. Lanke used visual aids and self-reported fish consumption. We could predict the levels of PCBs in the fish that they ate based on data from New York. In the end, the safest comparison to be made is fisheaters to non-fish-eaters. Once we have the analyzed PCBs, we will replace our assumptions with actual levels.
- *Q.* How are statements about dose response possible with only three histograms? It is not possible to state differences from the control. (Sekerke)
- A. I agree that the current estimates are not optimal; however, there is a very orderly monotonic relationship from the dose response information. The people who perform these studies need to further perform non-linear dose-effect modeling because risk assessment information is not typically available for epidemiological purposes until after one can confirm the quality of the data.