

HYDROLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS OF BEAR CREEK NEAR SILVER HILL AND BUFFALO RIVER NEAR ST. JOE, ARKANSAS, 1999-2000

Water-Resources Investigations Report 02-4024

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

HYDROLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS OF BEAR CREEK NEAR SILVER HILL AND BUFFALO RIVER NEAR ST. JOE, ARKANSAS, 1999-2000

By James C. Petersen¹, Brian E. Haggard², and W. Reed Green¹

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Water-Resources Investigations Report 02-4024

> Little Rock, Arkansas 2002

¹U.S. Geological Survey, Little Rock, AR 72211

²U.S. Department of Agriculture—Agricultural Research Service, 203 Engineering Hall, University of Arkansas, Fayetteville, AR 72701

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR GALE A. NORTON, Secretary

U.S. GEOLOGICAL SURVEY Charles G. Groat, Director

District Chief U.S. Geological Survey 401 Hardin Road

Little Rock, Arkansas 72211 Denver Federal Center Little Rock, Arkansas 72211

For additional information Copies of this report can be write to:

write to: purchased from:

U.S. Geological Survey, WRD Branch of Information Services Denver, Colorado 80225

CONTENTS

ILLUSTRATIONS

TABLES

CONVERSION FACTORS AND ABBREVIATIONS

HYDROLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS OF BEAR CREEK NEAR SILVER HILL AND BUFFALO RIVER NEAR ST. JOE, ARKANSAS, 1999-2000

By James C. Petersen, Brian E. Haggard, and W. Reed Green

ABSTRACT

The Buffalo River and its tributary Bear Creek are in the White River Basin in the Ozark Plateaus in north-central Arkansas. Analysis of streamflow measurements and water-quality samples at a site on Bear Creek and a site on the Buffalo River in Searcy County, Arkansas, quantify differences between the two sites during calendar years 1999 and 2000. Streamflow and water quality also vary seasonally at each site. Mean annual streamflow was substantially larger at the Buffalo River site (836 and 719 cubic feet per second in 1999 and 2000) than at the Bear Creek site (56 and 63 cubic feet per second). However, during times of low flow, discharge of Bear Creek comprises a larger proportion of the flow of the Buffalo River. Concentrations of nutrients, fecal-indicator bacteria, dissolved organic carbon, and suspended sediment generally were greater in samples from Bear Creek than in samples from the Buffalo River. Statistically significant differences were detected in concentrations of nitrite plus nitrate, total nitrogen, dissolved phosphorus, orthophosphorus, total phosphorus, fecal coliform bacteria, and suspended sediment. Loads varied between sites, hydrologic conditions, seasons, and years. Loads were substantially higher for the Buffalo River than for Bear Creek (as would be expected because of the Buffalo's higher streamflow). Loads contributed by surface runoff usually comprised more than 85 percent of the annual load. Constituent yields (loads divided by drainage area) were much more similar between sites than were loads. Flow-weighted concentrations and dissolved constituent yields generally were greater for Bear Creek than yields for the Buffalo River and flowweighted concentrations yields were higher than typical flow-weighted concentrations and yields in undeveloped basins, but lower than flow-weighted concentrations and yields at a site in a more developed basin.

INTRODUCTION

The Buffalo River and its tributary, Bear Creek, are in the White River Basin in the Ozark Plateaus physiographic province (Fenneman, 1946) in northcentral Arkansas (fig. 1). Most of the Buffalo River and a part of Bear Creek near its confluence with the Buffalo River lie within the boundaries of the Buffalo National River. A better understanding of the hydrology of this area is of interest to many, including the National Park Service, which administers the Buffalo National River. To contribute to this understanding, the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) conducted a study to describe and compare streamflow and water-quality characteristics for a site on Bear Creek and a nearby site on the Buffalo River. This study is part of the National Park Service (NPS)/USGS Water-Quality Monitoring and Assessment Partnership. Studies conducted as part of the Water-Quality Monitoring and Assessment Partnership are designed to contribute information that would enhance the understanding of NPS water-quality management issues.

Because Bear Creek is one of the larger tributaries of the Buffalo River it can have a substantial effect on water quality and streamflow of the Buffalo River, and therefore on water-quality management issues. Immediately downstream from the confluence of Bear Creek and the Buffalo River, 9.8 percent of the Buffalo River's drainage area is contributed by the Bear Creek Basin. Relative to many other tributaries of the Buffalo River a large part (28 percent) of the Bear Creek Basin is cleared land (Panfil and Jacobson, 2001). Previous investigations (Mott, 1997; Steele and Mott, 1998) have indicated that Bear Creek (and other nearby tributaries with relatively large percentages of clear land within their basins) may contribute to elevated concentrations of nitrate in the middle section of the Buffalo River (a water-quality management issue). The recent decision (August 2001) of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers to issue a federal permit, in response to an

2 Hydrologic Characteristics of Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999-2000

application from the Searcy County Regional Water District, that would allow the District to build a 0.14 mi^2 water supply reservoir in the upper reaches of Bear Creek is related to water-quality and other management issues (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2001). The proposed dam site is approximately 26 miles up Bear Creek from its confluence with the Buffalo River. The area upstream from the proposed dam constitutes about 12 percent of the total Bear Creek watershed and about 1 percent of that part of the Buffalo River watershed that is upstream from the mouth of Bear Creek (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2001).

Purpose and Scope

The purpose of this report is to describe and compare streamflow and water-quality characteristics for a site on Bear Creek (drainage area of 83.1 mi²) and a site on the Buffalo River (drainage area of 829 mi^2) upstream from the confluence of Bear Creek and the Buffalo River (fig. 1, table 1). Comparisons of streamflow and water-quality characteristics of the two sites are of interest because of the influence of Bear Creek upon the hydrology of the Buffalo River. Both the Bear Creek and Buffalo River sites are in Searcy County, Arkansas. The study area primarily is limited to these two sites; however, related information for the area upstream from each site and downstream to the influence of Bear Creek and the Buffalo River also is presented.

Stage was measured continuously and water samples were collected periodically at both sites from

January 1999 through September 2001; selected data for calendar years 1999 and 2000 are described in this report. Data for January 1999 through September 2001 are listed in the appendix. Streamflow data for the Buffalo River site are available since October 1939; these data also are summarized and used to describe streamflow conditions for the Buffalo River. Water samples were analyzed for several properties and constituents, including specific conductance, dissolved oxygen, pH, alkalinity, fecal-indicator bacteria, nutrients, organic carbon, and suspended sediment. Annual and seasonal loads and yields were estimated for nutrients, organic carbon, and suspended sediment. Yields and flowweighted concentrations of selected nutrients were estimated and compared with yields and flow-weighted concentrations for undisturbed and developed basins for the purpose of evaluating the water-quality characteristics of Bear Creek and the Buffalo River relative to other sites.

Description of Study Area

Bear Creek and the Buffalo River are in the southern Ozark Plateaus physiographic province. An overview of the environmental and hydrologic setting of the Ozark Plateaus can be found in Adamski and others (1995). Data and descriptions of the geology, physiography, land use, and stream habitat of Bear Creek and other streams in the Buffalo River Basin can be found in Panfil and Jacobson (2001).

Karst topography caused by caves, sinkholes, springs, and underground drainage resulting from dissolution of limestone has a major effect on the hydrology of the area. Within the study area much of the downstream sections of both streams lies within the Boone Formation, a geologic formation composed mainly of limestone. Enlarged fractures within the limestone allow rapid infiltration into the ground water; losing stream reaches and seasonally dry sections occur in both streams.

Bear Creek is a major tributary of the Buffalo River in north-central Arkansas (fig. 1). Bear Creek originates southeast of Witts Springs, Arkansas, in the Boston Mountains physiographic section. It flows northward into the Springfield Plateau physiographic section and empties into the Buffalo River north of Marshall, Arkansas. The drainage area of Bear Creek at its mouth is 91.6 mi^2 (Sullavan, 1974 revised). Bear Creek's drainage area comprises nearly 10 percent of the Buffalo River's drainage area at the location just below the confluence of Bear Creek and the Buffalo River. The $7Q_{10}$ (the minimum daily-mean streamflow for 7 consecutive days expected to occur an average of once every 10 years) for Bear Creek near Marshall at Highway 65 (fig. 1) (drainage area 77.9 mi^2) is estimated to be 2.0 ft³/s (Ludwig, 1992).

Land use in the Bear Creek Basin primarily is a mixture of forest and pasture. Approximately 33 percent of the land in the basin is pasture (Scott and Hofer, 1995). The town of Marshall (population approximately 1,300) lies partially within the basin and effluent from the wastewater-treatment plant (treatment includes sedimentation, trickling filtration, activated sludge, and chlorine disinfection) (D.E. Ramsey, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, written commun., 2001) is discharged into Forest Creek, a tributary of Bear Creek (Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, 2000; Steele and Mott, 1998). Except during wet periods of the year, it is likely that Forest Creek discharges most or all of its flow to ground water before reaching Bear Creek and it has not been determined if this ground water later resurfaces in the Forest Creek Basin or in adjacent basins (D.N. Mott, National Park Service, oral commun., 2001; Bob Singleton, Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, oral commun., 2002).

Previous investigations indicated that nutrient and fecal-indicator bacteria concentrations and loads generally were elevated in Bear Creek relative to concentrations and loads in streams in basins containing

smaller percentages of pasture and less effluent from wastewater-treatment plants (Mott, 1997; Petersen and others, 1998; Steele and Mott, 1998).

The Buffalo River originates north of Fallsville, Arkansas, in the Boston Mountains. It flows eastward into the Springfield and Salem Plateaus. The drainage area of the Buffalo River below its confluence with Bear Creek is 935 mi² (Sullavan, 1974 revised). The $7Q_{10}$ for the Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, (approximately 5 river miles upstream from the confluence of the Buffalo River and Bear Creek, 829 mi^2) is estimated to be 17 ft³/s (Ludwig, 1992).

Land use in the Buffalo River Basin (upstream from Highway 65) primarily is a mixture of forest and pasture. Approximately 13 percent of the land is agricultural (mostly pasture) (Davis and Bell, 1998).

Previous investigations indicated that nutrient and bacteria concentrations at the Buffalo River near St. Joe were lower than concentrations at a group of selected sites with larger percentages of agricultural land use or with major municipal wastewater streamflows in their basins (Davis and Bell, 1998). However, dissolved organic carbon and suspended sediment concentrations were not lower than concentrations at the same group of selected sites.

METHODS

Stream stage was measured continuously at a site on Bear Creek and a site on the Buffalo River (fig. 1, table 1). Stage and instantaneous surface runoff were measured and continuous streamflow data were computed from stage-discharge rating curves using methods described in Buchanan and Somers (1968), Carter and Davidian (1968), Buchanan and Somers (1969), and Kennedy (1984). Stage has been measured continually from January 22, 1999 to present (2002) at Bear Creek and from October 1939 to present (2002) at the Buffalo River site. Because stage was not measured on January 1-21, 1999, at the Bear Creek site, the mean daily flow for 1999 was used for estimates of load for this period in this study.

Water samples were collected periodically at both sites from January 1999 through September 2001. Samples were collected monthly and during six supplemental high-flow storm events per year. High-flow samples for Bear Creek were collected at the Highway 74 bridge approximately 2.9 river miles upstream from the streamflow measurement and low-flow water-quality sampling site. Water samples were collected using

depth integrated, equal width increment sampling methods described in Edwards and Glysson (1999).

The resulting streamflow and water-quality data were analyzed or summarized using several graphical and statistical techniques. Boxplots were used to compare streamflow and concentrations of selected constituents between sites for data collected during calendar years 1999 and 2000. Concentrations reported as less than a reporting limit were converted to one-half the reporting limit for preparation of box plots, calculation of total nitrogen concentrations (the sum of nitrite plus nitrate and ammonia plus organic nitrogen), and statistical analyses. The Wilcoxon rank sum test (Helsel and Hirsch, 1992) was used to test for differences in selected water-quality constituents between sites.

Streamflow was separated using a hydrograph separation computer program, Base Flow Index (BFI), to identify base-flow and surface-runoff components (Institute of Hydrology, 1980a, 1980b; Wahl and Wahl, 1995). Base flow is the sustained fair weather flow of the stream; in most streams, base flow is composed largely of ground-water flow (Langbein and Iseri, 1960). Surface runoff was defined as total flow minus base flow. Base flow contributions were analyzed using a method proposed by the Institute of Hydrology (1980a, 1980b). The minimum flow in 5-day increments is identified, and minimum flows less than 90 percent of adjacent minimum flows are defined as turning points (Wahl and Wahl, 1988, Wahl and Tortorelli, 1997). The BFI program estimates the volume of base flow from successive turning points; straight lines drawn between turning points estimate the baseflow hydrograph.

Water-quality samples were divided into those collected under base-flow or surface-runoff conditions. Base-flow water-quality samples were collected on days when the estimated base flow was greater than or equal to 70 percent of total flow. Surface-runoff samples were defined as water-quality samples collected on days when surface runoff was greater than 30 percent of total flow. Simple linear regression was used to assess relations between concentrations and total streamflow during base-flow or surface-runoff conditions.

Constituent load (L) is a function of volumetric rate of water passing a point in the stream (Q) and the constituent concentration within the water (C). Regression methods used to estimate constituent loads use the natural logarithmic (ln) transformed relation between Q and C to estimate daily C (or L) of the constituent

(Cohn and others, 1989; Cohn and others, 1992; Cohn, 1995). The regression method can account for nonnormal data distributions, seasonal and long-term cycles, censored data, biases associated with using logarithmic transformations, and serial correlations of the residuals (Cohn, 1995). The regression method uses discrete water-quality samples often collected over several years and a daily streamflow hydrograph. This study used the simple relation between natural logarithm-transformed L and Q:

$$
\ln(L) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 \ln(Q) \tag{1}
$$

where L represents the constituent load, β_0 is the regression constant, $β₁$ is the regression coefficient, and Q represents daily streamflow.

In this model, constituent loads are based solely on the relations between L and Q; the β_1 coefficient will be significantly different from zero if a relation exists between L and Q. A minimum variance unbiased estimator was used to transform the results from logarithmic space to real space (Cohn and others, 1989; Cohn and others, 1992). The LOADEST2 computer program (Crawford, 1991; 1996) was used to estimate constituent loads in these streams under base-flow and surface-runoff conditions. LOADEST2 is functionally equivalent to the ESTIMATOR computer program (Cohn and others, 1989), except LOADEST2 gives estimates of constituent loads using the rating curve with parametric and non-parametric transformations. Loads were estimated for calendar year 1999 and calendar year 2000.

In this report, base-flow loads refer to the load transported on days when base flow is greater than or equal to 70 percent of total flow. Surface-runoff loads refer to the load transported on days when surface runoff is greater than 30 percent of total flow.

Yields were calculated for each load. Yield was calculated by dividing the load by the drainage area of the sampling site. For selected constituents a second yield estimate was calculated from a second load estimate computed from a subset of the water-quality samples—those samples collected at monthly intervals. The loads and yields based on the monthly (or fixedinterval) samples were derived for a comparison of Bear Creek and the Buffalo River with a group of sites in undisturbed basins. The undisturbed-basin sites typically had been sampled at fixed-intervals.

Flow-weighted concentrations also were calculated from the loads derived from the fixed-interval samples. Flow-weighted concentrations were calculated by dividing the annual load by total annual flow, and applying appropriate conversion factors for dimensional units.

HYDROLOGIC CHARACTERISTICS

This section describes the streamflow and waterquality characteristics of Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe. Most of the description is based upon data collected from January 1999 through December 2000. However, streamflow data for the Buffalo River near St. Joe measured prior to January 1999 also are described.

Streamflow

Streamflow for Bear Creek near Silver Hill varied annually and seasonally (fig. 2). The mean streamflow for calendar years 1999 and 2000 was 56 and 65 $ft³/s$, respectively (table 2). Mean daily streamflow ranged from 2.7 to 3,650 ft³/s. The maximum mean daily streamflow for 2000 (3,650 ft³/s) was more than three times the maximum for 1999 $(1,110 \text{ ft}^3/\text{s})$. Median and mean flows generally were greatest in January through June and lowest in August through October. Monthly median values ranged from 3.5 to 131 ft³/s; monthly mean values ranged from 3.5 to 257 ft³/s. Additional summary statistics for January 1999 through September 2000 are reported in Porter and others (2001).

Figure 2. Daily base flow and total streamflow and associated water-quality sample times during calendar years 1999 and 2000 for Bear Creek near Silver Hill, Arkansas.

			Annual	January	February	March	April	May	June	July	August	September	October	November	December
Bear Creek	1999														
		Minimum	2.7	55	26	19	54	14	$\,8\,$	10	4.0	2.9	2.7	4.3	4.2
		Median	14	79	67	119	131	27	10	15	5.3	3.5	3.6	5.0	20
		Mean	56	81	86	151	172	35	42	35	5.9	3.5	3.5	5.0	76
		Maximum	1,110	123	382	413	563	101	923	307	$10\,$	4.4	4.3	6.7	1,110
Bear Creek	2000														
		Minimum	2.7	11	11	35	22	29	27	10	5.8	5.1	2.7	21	10
		Median	21	16	12	45	33	88	93	12	8.0	6.2	4.5	70	15
		Mean	65	23	78	62	46	257	170	17	8.1	6.3	8.3	88	18
		Maximum	3,650	85	1,090	172	199	3,650	1,150	50	11	9.4	38	490	52
Buffalo River	1999														
		Minimum	18	532	513	396	1,000	514	165	93	32	22	18	31	39
		Median	357	917	1,135	1,590	1,655	1,020	320	233	58	34	22	35	158
		Mean	836	1,203	1,472	1,699	2,409	1,624	467	737	57	34	23	36	617
		Maximum	12,800	4,450	5,230	4,020	8,430	12,800	3,210	9,520	90	58	30	43	6,770
Buffalo River	2000														
		Minimum	19	78	64	438	331	250	337	261	25	19	22	46	193
		Median	321	153	70	643	473	504	1,350	418	75	22	24	500	239
		Mean	719	211	306	711	491	1,724	3,542	512	108	27	28	730	273
		Maximum	28,700	647	2,050	1,250	786	17,900	28,700	1,350	318	50	48	2,920	501

Much of the total streamflow for Bear Creek during calendar years 1999 and 2000 occurred during relatively few days (fig. 3). For example, more than 10 percent of the streamflow occurred during 2 days and 50 percent of the streamflow occurred during 51 days (about 7 percent of the 2-year period).

Streamflow for Buffalo River near St. Joe also varied annually and seasonally (fig. 4). The mean streamflow for calendar years 1999 and 2000 was 836 and 719 ft³/s, respectively (table 2). The maximum mean daily streamflow for 2000 (28,700 ft³/s) was more than two times the maximum for 1999 (12,800 ft^3 /s). Median and mean flows generally were greatest in January through May and lowest in August through October. Monthly median values ranged from 22 to 1,655 ft³/s; monthly mean values ranged from 23 to 3,542 ft³/s. Mean daily streamflow ranged from 18 to $28,700 \text{ ft}^3\text{/s.}$

Much of the total streamflow for the Buffalo River during calendar years 1999 and 2000 occurred during relatively few days (fig. 5). For example, more than 10 percent of the streamflow occurred during 3 days and 50 percent of the streamflow occurred during 57 days (about 8 percent of the 2-year period).

Additional summary statistics for the Buffalo River near St. Joe for October 1939 through September 2000 are reported in Porter and others (2001). The mean streamflow for this time period was $1,052 \text{ ft}^3\text{/s}$, compared to the means of 836 and 719 ft³/s for calendar years 1999 and 2000. The maximum instantaneous streamflow for the time period is estimated to be 158,000 ft $\frac{3}{s}$ and the minimum instantaneous streamflow was 6.6 $\text{ft}^3\text{/s}$.

Streamflow for the Buffalo River near St. Joe generally is substantially greater than for Bear Creek near Silver Hill; however, during times of low flow, streamflow in Bear Creek comprises a larger proportion of the flow of the Buffalo River. During calendar years 1999 and 2000, median streamflow for the Buffalo River was 357 and 321 ft^3 /s, respectively (fig. 6). Median streamflow for Bear Creek near Silver Hill was 14 and 21 ft 3 /s in 1999 (beginning January 22) and 2000. The minimum mean daily streamflows during the 2 years were 18 ft³/s for the Buffalo River and 2.7 ft³/s for Bear Creek. The Bear Creek mean annual streamflows for 1999 and 2000 were about 7 and 9 percent of the mean annual streamflows for the Buffalo River. However, on 25 percent of the days in August through October (the 3 months of 1999 and 2000 with the lowest flows) Bear Creek flows comprised at least 18 percent (range 18.6 to 95.0 percent) of the same-day

flow of the Buffalo River near St. Joe. On 23 consecutive days in September 2000, the flows at the Bear Creek site were at least 25 percent (range 25.7 to 33.7 percent) of the flows at the Buffalo River site.

Some users of this report may be more interested in streamflow (and loads) at the confluence of Bear Creek and the Buffalo River than at the two measurement sites. The comparisons discussed in the preceding paragraph provide considerable information that can be used to estimate the expected proportion of the volumetric rate of flow from Bear Creek into the Buffalo River to the volumetric rate of flow in the Buffalo River immediately downstream from Bear Creek. However, the changes in streamflow between the Bear Creek gaging site and the mouth of Bear Creek (a distance of about 8 river miles) and between the Buffalo River gaging site and the confluence of the Buffalo River and Bear Creek (about 5 river miles) are unknown. Reaches of Bear Creek and the Buffalo River upstream from the gaging sites lose and gain substantial volumes of water to and from subsurface flow and so reliability of a drainage area ratio to estimate streamflow at the mouth of Bear Creek and at the Buffalo River upstream from Bear Creek from streamflow at the gaging sites is uncertain. However, if streamflow does increase between the gaging sites and the downstream location in proportion to increase in drainage area, streamflow at the mouth of Bear Creek would be about 10 percent greater than at the Bear Creek gaging site and Buffalo River streamflow just upstream from Bear Creek would be about 2 percent greater than at the Buffalo River gaging site. Ratios of streamflow in Bear Creek to streamflow in Buffalo River, calculated using estimated streamflows at Buffalo River upstream from the confluence with Bear Creek and at the mouth of Bear Creek, were similar to ratios calculated using streamflows measured at the gaging sites; ratios generally were within 1 percent (as an absolute difference, not a percentage difference) of ratios calculated at the gaging sites.

Water Quality

Water quality for two sites on Bear Creek and the Buffalo River is described below in terms of concentration (in base flow samples and in samples from base flow and surface runoff), load, flow-weighted concentration (from base flow samples), and yield. Substantial differences between sites were indicated. Concentrations, flow-weighted concentrations, and yields generally were greater at the Bear Creek site.

Figure 3. Flow-accumulation curve for Bear Creek near Silver Hill, Arkansas, January 1, 1999 to December 31, 2000.

Figure 4. Daily base flow and total streamflow and associated water-quality sample times during calendar years 1999 and 2000 for Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas.

Figure 5. Flow-accumulation curve for Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, January 1, 1999 to December 31, 2000.

Figure 6. Daily streamflow for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, in 1999 and 2000.

During 1999 and 2000 a difference occurred in the timing of the high-flow storm-event samples from the two sites relative to the time of the maximum streamflow for storm events. Bear Creek samples typically were collected about 2 to 10 hours after the maximum streamflow. Buffalo River samples typically were collected about 10 hours before to 10 hours after the maximum streamflow. Although variable, suspended sediment concentrations of streams most commonly peak before the streamflow peak (Guy, 1970). Phosphorus concentration may increase rapidly and peak before the streamflow peak and then slowly decrease in concentration (for example, see Richards and others, 2001; Richards and Holloway, 1987; Thomas, 1988). Therefore, because the Buffalo River storm-event samples were more likely than the Bear Creek samples to be collected before the streamflow peak, concentrations of suspended constituents collected during storm events may be biased toward higher concentrations for the Buffalo River relative to concentrations for Bear Creek.

Concentrations

Nutrient concentrations in samples from Bear Creek generally were greater than in samples from the Buffalo River (figs. 7 and 8). Statistically significant (p<0.05) differences between sites were detected in concentrations of ammonia plus organic nitrogen (during base flow only), nitrite plus nitrate, total nitrogen, dissolved phosphorus, orthophosphorus (during base flow only), and total phosphorus.

At each site nutrients generally increased as streamflow increased (see appendix). However, ammonia concentrations remained relatively constant as streamflow increased. Nitrate concentrations generally decreased as streamflow increased at the Bear Creek site and appeared to increase and then decrease as streamflow increased at the Buffalo River site.

Concentrations of fecal-indicator bacteria also generally were higher in Bear Creek samples than in samples from the Buffalo River (fig. 9). The differences were most apparent at the upper percentiles of the distributions for each site. For example, the concentrations that were between the 75th and 90th percentile for *Escherichia coli (E. coli)* and fecal coliform bacteria for Bear Creek were substantially higher than for the Buffalo River. However, statistically significant differences were detected only for fecal coliform bacteria. At each site bacteria concentrations generally

increased as streamflow and suspended sediment concentrations increased (see appendix).

Dissolved organic carbon concentrations often were higher in samples from Bear Creek than in samples from the Buffalo River; however, median concentrations were similar (fig. 10). As with bacteria, it was often the higher concentrations at each site that were more dissimilar. Concentration differences were not statistically significant. At each site dissolved organic carbon concentrations generally increased as streamflow increased (see appendix).

Suspended sediment concentrations generally were higher in samples from Bear Creek than in samples from the Buffalo River (fig. 11). However, many of the highest concentrations were in samples from the Buffalo River. Statistically significant differences were detected. At each site suspended sediment concentrations generally increased as streamflow increased (see appendix).

Loads

Annual

Estimated base flow and surface runoff loads of nitrite plus nitrate, total nitrogen, dissolved phosphorus, orthophosphorus, total phosphorus, dissolved organic carbon, and suspended sediment for 1999 and 2000 at the Buffalo River and Bear Creek sites indicate substantial differences between sites, hydrologic conditions, seasons, years, and constituents. Loads were substantially higher for the Buffalo River than for Bear Creek (as would be expected because of the Buffalo's higher streamflow). Loads were substantially higher on days when flow included a substantial amount (30 percent or more) of surface runoff ; on an annual basis, loads associated with days with a substantial amount of surface runoff always comprised 85 percent or more of the total annual load. For Bear Creek and the Buffalo River, loads generally were less in 1999 than in 2000.

Annual loads for the Buffalo River site typically were 5 to 10 times higher than loads for the Bear Creek site (table 3). However, in 1999 the dissolved phosphorus load for the Buffalo River was only 4.2 times greater than the Bear Creek load. In 2000, it was only 3.2 times greater. These 2 years of data indicate that Bear Creek contributes a proportionately larger part of the dissolved phosphorus load than loads of other constituents to the Buffalo River; although some of this load could be attributed to the wastewater effluent, concentrations usually increased as streamflow increased

Figure 7. Distribution of nitrogen concentrations for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999-2000. The p-value is the probability that the median concentrations at the two sites are equal. NS indicates the probability exceeds 0.05. Several total nitrogen values were calculated from the sum of individual nitrogen species concentrations that included estimated concentrations set equal to one-half the reporting limit. For example, a nitrite plus nitrate concentration of <0.050 mg/L was converted to 0.025 mg/L.

Figure 8. Distribution of phosphorus concentrations for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999-2000. The p-value is the probability that the median concentrations at the two sites are equal. NS indicates the probability exceeds 0.05.

Figure 9. Distribution of bacteria concentrations for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999 and 2000. The p-value is the probability that the median concentrations are equal at the two sites. NS indicates the probability exceeds 0.05.

Figure 10. Distribution of dissolved organic carbon concentrations for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999-2000. The p-value is the probability that the median concentrations at the two sites are equal. NS indicates the probability exceeds 0.05.

Figure 11. Distribution of suspended sediment concentrations for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999-2000. The p-value is the probability that the median concentrations at the two sites are equal. NS indicates the probability exceeds 0.05.

suggesting primarily nonpoint sources of dissolved phosphorus. Relative to other constituents, Bear Creek appears to contribute a smaller proportion of suspended sediment load to the Buffalo River; the suspended sediment load for the Buffalo River site was about 18 to 24 times greater than the load for the Bear Creek site.

At both sites, annual loads were largely contributed by daily loads occurring on days with a substantial amount of surface runoff (table 3). Although only 40 to 45 percent of the days each year included a substantial amount of surface runoff, most (usually more than 90 percent) of the annual load occurred on these days. For total phosphorus about 96 to 99 percent of the annual load occurred on these days.

Table 3. Annual nitrogen, phosphorus, dissolved organic carbon, and suspended sediment loads and yields for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999-2000

[lb/yr is pounds per year; lb/yr/mi² is pounds per year per square mile; SD is standard deviation; NA is not available (not calculated by the model). Yields and surface runoff load percentages were calculated before loads were rounded to two significant figures]

Table 3. Annual nitrogen, phosphorus, dissolved organic carbon, and suspended sediment loads and yields for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999-2000--Continued

[lb/yr is pounds per year; lb/yr/mi² is pounds per year per square mile; SD is standard deviation; NA is not available (not calculated by the model). Yields and surface runoff load percentages were calculated before loads were rounded to two significant figures]

Total loads generally were greater in 2000 than in 1999 for Bear Creek and the Buffalo River (table 3). Loads for 2000 generally were about 1.2 to 1.8 times the loads for 1999. Differences were greater between years for Bear Creek than for the Buffalo River; loads for 2000 were almost always less than 1.3 times the 1999 loads for the Buffalo River. Mean streamflow for Bear Creek in 2000 was about 1.2 times the 1999 mean streamflow. Mean streamflow for the Buffalo River in 2000 was about 0.9 times the 1999 streamflow.

Seasonal

Daily loads varied seasonally at both sites (table 4). At both sites and during both years, daily base-flow loads generally were greatest in the spring, although spring and winter loads were often nearly equal at Bear Creek in 1999. These results are similar to those of Steele and Mott (1998). Daily surface-runoff loads were related to times of greatest streamflow and were greatest in the spring (March through May) or summer (June through August). In 1999, daily surface-runoff loads were greatest in the spring at both sites. In 2000, daily runoff loads were greatest in the spring at Bear Creek, but greatest in the summer at the Buffalo River. The higher daily runoff loads for the Buffalo River in the summer of 2000 were largely the result of high flows in mid to late June.

Table 4. Seasonal nitrogen, phosphorus, dissolved organic carbon, and suspended sediment loads for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999-2000

[Values are loads in pounds per day, plus or minus the standard deviation. Significant figures of reported values vary, depending on streamflow and constituent concentration. NA is not available (not calculated by the model). Ammonia loads for 1999 were not calculated because of the high frequency of concentrations less than the reporting limit. Spring is March through May, summer is June through August, fall is September through November, and winter is January, February, and December]

Table 4. Seasonal nitrogen, phosphorus, dissolved organic carbon, and suspended sediment loads for Bear Creek near Silver Hill and Buffalo River near St. Joe, Arkansas, 1999-2000--Continued

[Values are loads in pounds per day, plus or minus the standard deviation. Significant figures of reported values vary, depending on streamflow and constituent concentration. NA is not available (not calculated by the model). Ammonia loads for 1999 were not calculated because of the high frequency of concentrations less than the reporting limit. Spring is March through May, summer is June through August, fall is September through November, and winter is January, February, and December]

Flow-Weighted Concentrations

Flow-weighted concentrations (table 5) for Bear Creek and the Buffalo River were compared to flowweighted concentrations in 82 undeveloped basins identified across the nation, including two basins in the Ozark Plateaus (Clark and others, 2000), and to a more developed basin (Green and Haggard, 2001). The flowweighted concentrations for Bear Creek and the Buffalo River were calculated from loads calculated using water-quality data collected at fixed intervals. These data were a subset of the water-quality data collected and used to calculate the loads in tables 3 and 4; data from the supplemental high-flow storm events were not included. The data associated with the supplemental storm events were omitted because the data for the undeveloped basins generally included small amounts of supplemental high-flow storm event data. The flowweighted concentrations for the developed basin were calculated from loads computed from data that included some storm event data.

Flow-weighted concentrations for Bear Creek generally were higher than for concentrations for the Buffalo River; flow-weighted concentrations for both sites were higher than concentrations at undisturbed sites but lower than concentrations at a site in a more developed basin. Nitrite plus nitrate concentrations were approximately 4 times (Buffalo River) and 5 times (Bear Creek) higher than the median concentration for undeveloped basins (Clark and others, 2000). Total phosphorus concentrations were approximately 3 (Buffalo River) and 5 to 6 times (Bear Creek) higher than the median concentration for undeveloped basins. Total phosphorus concentrations for Bear Creek also were substantially higher than the 75th percentiles of concentrations for the undeveloped basins. Flowweighted concentrations of nitrite plus nitrate, total nitrogen, orthophosphorus, and total phosphorus were about 3 to 8 times higher at a site on the Illinois River (Green and Haggard, 2001) than at the Bear Creek and Buffalo River sites. The Illinois River site is downstream from several wastewater-treatment plants and also is affected by pasture land and poultry waste (Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, 2000).

Table 5. Comparison of Bear Creek and Buffalo River flow-weighted concentrations with flow-weighted concentrations for undeveloped basins and the Illinois River, Arkansas

[Values are in milligrams per liter. Bear Creek and Buffalo River concentrations are calculated from loads computed from fixed-interval sampling data. Values for undeveloped basins are from Clark and others (2000). Values for North Sylamore Creek and Paddy Creek are from data compiled and summarized by Clark and others (2000). Values from Clark and others (2000) primarily are derived from fixed-interval sampling data. Values for the Illinois River are based on data in Green and Haggard (2001) and includes some data collected during high-flow storm events. NA is not available]

Yields

Constituent yields (annual load divided by drainage area) at the two sites were much more similar than loads, because the effect of drainage area size is removed. Yields of dissolved constituents (dissolved nitrite plus nitrate, dissolved phosphorus, and dissolved orthophosphorus) generally were greater at the Bear Creek site (table 3). Yields of most other constituents (particularly total phosphorus and suspended sediment) more often were greater at the Buffalo River site (table 3).

Yields (table 6) for Bear Creek and the Buffalo River were compared to yields in 82 undeveloped basins identified across the nation, including two basins in the Ozark Plateaus (Clark and others, 2000), and to a more developed basin (Green and Haggard, 2001). The yields for Bear Creek and the Buffalo River were calculated from loads calculated using waterquality data collected at fixed intervals. These data were a subset of the water-quality data collected and used to calculate the loads in tables 3 and 4; data from the supplemental high-flow storm events were not included. The data associated with the supplemental

storm events were omitted because the data for the undeveloped basins generally did not include supplemental high-flow storm event data. The yields for the developed basin were calculated from loads computed from data that included some storm event data. Nitrite plus nitrate yields were approximately 4 to 5 times (Buffalo River and Bear Creek) higher than the median yield for undeveloped basins (Clark and others, 2000). Total phosphorus yields were approximately 2 times (Buffalo River) and 2 to 4 times (Bear Creek) higher than the median yield for undeveloped basins. Yields for Bear Creek and the Buffalo River also were often substantially higher than the 75th percentiles of yields for the undeveloped basins; phosphorus yields were the most elevated relative to the 75th percentiles. Nutrient yields were about 5 to 10 times higher at a site on the Illinois River (Haggard and Green, 2001) than at the Bear Creek and Buffalo River sites. The Illinois River site is downstream from several wastewater-treatment plants and also is affected by pasture land and poultry waste (Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, 2000).

Table 6. Comparison of Bear Creek and Buffalo River yields with yields for undeveloped basins and the Illinois River, Arkansas

[Values are in pounds per year per square mile. Bear Creek and Buffalo River yields are calculated from loads computed from fixed-interval sampling data. Values for undeveloped basins are from Clark and others (2000). Values for North Sylamore Creek and Paddy Creek are from data compiled and summarized by Clark and others (2000). Values from Clark and others (2000) primarily are derived from fixed-interval sampling data. Values for the Illinois River are based on data in Green and Haggard (2001) and included some data collected during high-flow storm events. NA is not available]

SUMMARY

Analyses of streamflow measurements and water-quality samples at a site on Bear Creek and a site on the Buffalo River in Searcy County, Arkansas, quantify differences between the two sites during calendar years 1999 and 2000. Streamflow and water quality also vary seasonally at each site.

Mean annual streamflow was substantially larger at the Buffalo River site (836 and 719 ft $\frac{3}{s}$ in 1999 and 2000) than at the Bear Creek site (56 and 65 ft³/s). Drainage areas of the Buffalo River and Bear Creek sites are 2,147 and 215 km^2 , respectively. However, during times of low flow streamflow of Bear Creek comprises a larger proportion of the flow of the Buffalo River. For example, on 23 consecutive days in September 2000 the flows at the Bear Creek site were 25 percent or more of the flows at the Buffalo River site. At both sites streamflow varied seasonally. Flows generally were greatest in January through June and least in August through October.

Concentrations of nutrients, fecal-indicator bacteria, dissolved organic carbon, and suspended sediment generally were greater in samples from Bear Creek than in samples from the Buffalo River. Statistically significant (p<0.05) differences were detected in concentrations of nitrite plus nitrate, total nitrogen, dissolved phosphorus, orthophosphorus, total phosphorus, fecal coliform bacteria, and suspended sediment.

Loads varied between sites, hydrologic conditions, years, and seasons. Loads were substantially higher for the Buffalo River than for Bear Creek (as would be expected because of the Buffalo's higher streamflow). Loads contributed by surface runoff always comprised 85 percent or more of the total annual load.

Loads generally were greater in 2000 than in 1999 for Bear Creek and the Buffalo River. Loads for 2000 generally were about 1.2 to 1.8 times the loads for 1999. Differences were greater between years for Bear Creek than for the Buffalo River; loads for 2000 were always less than 1.3 times the 1999 loads for the Buffalo River.

Daily loads varied seasonally at both sites. At both sites and during both years, daily baseflow loads generally were greatest in the spring. Daily surfacerunoff loads were greatest in the spring or summer. In 1999, daily surface-runoff loads were greatest in the spring at both sites. In 2000, daily runoff loads were greatest in the spring at Bear Creek, but greatest in the summer at the Buffalo River.

Flow-weighted concentrations generally were higher for Bear Creek than the Buffalo River. Concentrations for both streams were higher than typical flowweighted concentrations for undeveloped basins, but lower than concentrations at a site in a more developed basin.

Yields for the two sites were much more similar because the effect of drainage area size is removed. Yields of dissolved constituents generally were greater at Bear Creek; yields of other constituents generally were greater at the Buffalo River. Yields of nutrients were higher than typical yields in undeveloped basins, but lower than yields at a site in a more developed basin.

REFERENCES

- Adamski, J.C., Petersen, J.C., Freiwald, D.A., and Davis. J.V., 1995, Environmental and hydrologic setting of the Ozark Plateaus study unit, Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 94-4022, 69 p.
- Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, 2000, Water quality inventory report, 2000: Arkansas Department of Environmental Quality, variously paginated.
- Buchanan, T.J., and Somers, W.P., 1968, Stage measurements at gaging stations: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 3, chap. A7, 28 p.
- 1969, Discharge measurements at gaging stations: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 3, chap. A9, 27 p.
- Carter, R.W., and Davidian, Jacob, 1968, General procedure for gaging streams: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 3, chap. A6, 13 p.
- Clark, G.M., Mueller, D.K., and Mast, M.A., 2000, Nutrient concentrations and yields in undeveloped stream basins of the United States: Journal of American Water Resources Association, no. 36, v. 4, p. 849-867.
- Cohn, T.A., 1995, Recent advances in statistical methods for the estimation of sediment and nutrient transport in rivers, U.S. National Report to the International Union Geodesy and Geophysics 1991-1994: Reviews of Geophysics, Supplement 33, p. 1117-1123.
- Cohn, T.A., Caulder, D.L., Gilroy, E.J., Zynjuk, L.D., and Summers, R.M., 1992, The validity of a simple log-linear model for estimating fluvial constituent loads: An empirical study involving nutrient loads entering Chesapeake Bay: Water Resources Research, v. 28, no. 9, p. 2353-2363.
- Cohn, T.A., DeLong, L.L., Gilroy, E.J., Hirsch, R.M. and Wells, D.K., 1989, Estimating constituent loads: Water Resources Research, v. 25, no. 5, p. 937-942.
- Crawford, C.G., 1991, Estimation of suspended-sediment rating curves and mean suspended-sediment loads: Journal of Hydrology, v. 129, p. 331-348.
- ———1996, Estimating mean constituent loads in rivers by the rating-curve and flow-duration, rating-curve methods: Bloomington, Indiana, Indiana University, Ph.D. Dissertation.

Davis, J.V., and Bell, R.W., 1998, Water-quality assessment of the Ozark Plateaus study unit, Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma—Nutrients, bacteria, organic carbon, and suspended sediment in surface water, 1993- 95: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 98-4164, 56 p.

Edwards, T.K., and Glysson, G.D., 1999, Field methods for measurement of fluvial sediment, U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water Resources Investigations, book 3, chap. C2, 89 p.

Fenneman, N.M., 1938, Physiography of eastern United States: New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., 714 p.

Green, W.R., and Haggard, B.E., 2001, Phosphorus and nitrogen loading at Illinois River south of Siloam Springs, Arkansas, 1997-1999: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 01-4217, 12 p.

Guy, H.P., 1970, Fluvial sediment concepts: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 3, chap. C1, 55 p.

Helsel, D.R., and Hirsch, R.M., 1992, Statistical methods in water resources: Amsterdam, Netherlands, Elsevier, 522 p.

Institute of Hydrology, 1980a, Low flow studies: Wallingford, Oxon, United Kingdom, Report No. 1, 21 p. 1980b, Low flow studies: Wallingford, Oxon, United Kingdom, Report No. 3, p. 12-19.

Kennedy, E.J., 1983, Computation of continuous records of streamflow: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 3, chap. A13, 53 p.

 -1984 , Discharge ratings at gaging stations: U.S. Geological Survey Techniques of Water-Resources Investigations, book 3, chap. A10, 59 p.

Langbein, W.B., and Iseri, K.T., 1960, General introductions and hydrologic definitions: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1541-A, 29 p.

Ludwig, A.H., 1992, Flow duration and low-flow characteristics of selected Arkansas streams: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 92- 4026, 57 p.

Mott, D.N., 1997, Buffalo National River, Arkansas - Ten years of water quality monitoring: Department of the Interior, National Park Service, Harrison, Arkansas, 35 p.

Panfil, M.S., and Jacobson, R.B., 2001, Relations among geology, physiography, land use, and stream habitat conditions in the Buffalo and Current River systems, Missouri and Arkansas: USGS/BRD/BSR-2001-0005, 111 p. (This report also can be accessed via the internet at: http://www.cerc.usgs.gov/pubs/center/pdf docs/ BSR2001-0005.pdf).

Petersen, J.C., Adamski, J.C., Bell, R.W., Davis, J.V., Femmer, S.R., Freiwald, D.A., and Joseph, R.L., 1998, Water quality in the Ozark Plateaus, Arkansas, Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma, 1992-95: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1158, 33 p.

Porter, J.E., Evans, D.A., and Remsing, L.R., 2001, Water resources data, Arkansas, water year 2000: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Data Report AR-00-1, 403 p.

Richards, R.P., and Holloway, J., 1987, Monte Carlo studies of sampling strategies for estimating tributary loads: Water Resources Research, v. 23, p. 1939-1948.

Richards, R.P., Baker, D.B., Kramer, J.W., Ewing, D.E., Merryfield, E.J., and Miller, N.L., 2001, Storm discharge, loads, and average concentrations in northwest Ohio rivers, 1975-1995: Journal of the American Water Resources Associations, v. 37, p. 423-438.

- Scott, H.D., and Hofer, K.R., 1995, Spatial and temporal analysis of the morphological and land use characteristics of the Buffalo River watershed: University of Arkansas Water Resources Center Publication No. 170, 61 p.
- Steele, K.F., and Mott, D.N., 1998, Storm and base flow water quality for Bear, Calf, and Tomahawk Creeks: University of Arkansas Water Resources Center Publication No. 255, unpaginated.
- Sullavan, J.N., 1974, Drainage areas of streams in Arkansas, White River Basin: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report, 123 p.

Thomas, R.B., 1988, Monitoring baseline suspended sediment in forested basins: The effects of sampling on suspended sediment rating curves: Hydrologic Science, v. 33, p. 499-514.

- U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 2001, Searcy County Regional Water District: accessed August 15, 2001 at URL http://www.swl.usace.army.mil/regulatory/ searcyco.html.
- Wahl, K.L., and Tortorelli, R.L., 1997, Changes in flow in the Beaver - North Canadian River Basin upstream from Canton Lake, western Oklahoma: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 96- 4304, 58 p.

Wahl, K.L., and Wahl, T.L., 1988, Effects of regional ground-water level declines on streamflow in the Oklahoma Panhandle: Proceedings of Water-Use Data for Water Resources Management, American Water Resources Association, August 1988, p. 239-249.

- 1995, Determining the flow of Comal Springs at New Braunfels, Texas, *in* Texas Water '95: American Society of Civil Engineers, San Antonio, Texas, August 6-17, 1995, p. 77-86.

