

United States
Department of
Agriculture

Forest Service

**Technology &
Development
Program**

2300 Recreation
August 2000
0023-2838-MTDC



In cooperation
with


United States
Department of
Transportation

**Federal
Highway
Administration**




Geosynthetics for Trails in Wet Areas

2000 Edition



Geosynthetics for Trails in Wet Areas

2000 Edition



Steve Monlux
Geotechnical Engineer, Northern Region

Brian Vachowski
Project Leader

Technology and Development Program
Missoula, Montana

0E02A40—Geosynthetics for Trails in Wet Areas

August 2000

The Forest Service, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), has developed this information for the guidance of its employees, its contractors, and its cooperating Federal and State agencies, and is not responsible for the interpretation or use of this information by anyone except its own employees. The use of trade, firm, or corporation names in this document is for the information and convenience of the reader, and does not constitute an endorsement by the Department of any product or service to the exclusion of others that may be suitable.

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, sex, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or family status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW, Washington, D.C. 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

Contents



Introduction	1
Section 1: Geosynthetics—General Information	2
Geotextiles	2
Geonets	2
Sheet Drains	3
Geogrids	3
Geocells	3
Proprietary Products	4
Section 2: Basic Geosynthetic Design and Utilization Concepts for Trail Construction in Wet Areas	5
Section 3: Specific Design Applications	6
Geotextile or Geonet	6
Geotextile With Encapsulated Free-Draining Rock	7
Geotextile With Poles or Logs	8
Geogrid With Geotextile or Geonet	8
Sheet Drains Under Tread Fill	9
Sheet Drains or Geonets Used as Drainage Cutoff Walls	10
Geocell With Geotextile and Permeable Tread Material	10
<i>TrailMaster</i> With Geotextile	11
Section 4: Geosynthetic Product Information	13
General Notes	13
Geotextiles	13
Geonet (Geonet Composites)	14
Sheet Drains	14
Geogrids	15
Geocells	15
Proprietary Materials	16
Section 5: Identification of Unsuitable Tread Fill Material	17
Method A—Field Comparison	17
Method B—Laboratory Test	17
Method C—Geotextile Field Test	17
Additional Information	18

Acknowledgments

Steve Monlux is the principal author of this report. Brian Vachowski assisted in review coordination and preparation for publication. Others throughout the Forest Service assisted by reviewing the drafts.

Introduction



Trails in soft, water-saturated soils present special challenges to trail managers.

Muddy trails are anathema to livestock and hikers, which tend to skirt the edges of mud holes. This increases the area of damage to sensitive soils. Incorrectly constructed trails in wet areas lead to soil compaction, sedimentation, multiple trails, and unhappy trail users. Traditional trail construction methods for wet areas include turnpike or puncheon. These have worked well where rock or wood materials are readily available. However, geosynthetics can increase the effectiveness of construction methods and offer additional alternatives.

Geosynthetics are synthetic materials (usually made from hydrocarbons) that are used with soil or rock in many types of construction. Their use has grown significantly in road construction over the past 20 years, and in trail construction for the past 10 years.

Guidelines on how to use geosynthetics in trail construction have not been readily available to trail managers. The information presented here applies some roads technology to trail design and construction in five categories:

- ❖ General information on geosynthetic products.
- ❖ Basic geosynthetic design and utilization concepts.
- ❖ Specific design diagrams for trail construction over wet saturated soils.
- ❖ A list of product manufacturers, price ranges, and physical properties.
- ❖ Identification of unsuitable tread fill materials.

Section 1: Geosynthetics—General Information



Geosynthetics perform three major functions: **separation**, **reinforcement**, and **drainage**. Geosynthetic materials include geotextiles (construction fabrics), geonets, sheet drains, geogrids, and geocells. All these materials become a permanent part of the trail, but must be covered with soil or rock to prevent early deterioration by ultraviolet light. *TrailMaster*, a proprietary product, is also discussed. *TrailMaster* can be used as trail tread, and is placed directly over wet areas. Since all these products are synthetic, their use in wilderness should be reviewed and approved prior to use.

Geotextiles

Geotextiles (Figure 1) are the most widely used geosynthetic. Geotextiles are often called construction fabrics. They are constructed from long lasting synthetic fibers that are bonded to form a *fabric* held together by weaving, heat bonding, or other means. They are primarily used for **separation** and **reinforcement** over wet unstable soils. They have the ability to support loads through tensile strength and can allow water, but not soil, to seep through. They can also be used in drainage applications where water flow is much greater than normally exists in wet areas. The physical requirements listed for all geotextiles in Section 4 are stringent enough so the products will also work for properly designed high-flow drainage applications. These representative products are low cost, readily available, and easy to use. There are many other products on the market.

Geonets

Geonets or geonet composites (Figure 2) have a thin polyethylene drainage core that is covered on both sides with geotextile. They are used for all three functions—**separation**, **reinforcement**, and **drainage**. Since geonets have a core plus two layers of geotextile, they provide more reinforcement than a single layer of geotextile.



Figure 1—Geotextiles. Felt-like products are easier to work with than heat bonded, slit film, or woven products that have a slick surface texture. They are easier to cut and their flexibility makes them easier to place on curved trail sections.




Figure 2—The net-like core of geonet allows sideways drainage that is normally adequate for the amount of seepage found under trails in wet areas.



Sheet Drains

Sheet drains (Figure 3) are another form of composite made with a drainage core and one or two layers of geotextile. The core is usually made of a polyethylene sheet that is formed into an egg-crate shape. The core provides an impermeable barrier unless perforated by the manufacturer. Perforated cores are always covered with geotextile on both sides to prevent soil clogging the drainage passages. Geotextile is bonded to one or both sides of the core to provide filtration and separation. When used under the trail tread material, sheet drains provide **separation, reinforcement, and drainage**. Since they have greater bending strength than geotextiles or geonets, less tread fill is often needed. They can also be used vertically in covered trenches beside the trail to drain off subsurface water.




Figure 3—Sheet drains have a large cross-section that provides significant drainage capacity. If placed under the trail tread, orient the sheet drain with the geotextile side on the bottom and the plastic core on top. This orientation reduces the amount of fill needed.

Geogrids

Geogrids (Figure 4) are made from polyethylene sheeting that is formed into very open grid-like configurations. Geogrids are good for **reinforcement** because they have high tensile strengths, and coarse aggregate can interlock into the grid structure.




Figure 4—Geogrids are normally placed on top of a layer of geotextile to obtain separation from saturated soils in wet areas.

Geocells

Geocells (Figure 5) are usually made from polyethylene strips from 50 to 200 millimeters (2 to 8 inches) high that are bonded at intermediate points to form a honeycomb structure. The product is shipped in a collapsed and compact form. During installation, the material is pulled open and the honeycomb structure is staked to the ground surface. Each of the cells is filled with select backfill and compacted. Compacting trail tread material within the cell increases the bending strength of the layer, which reduces settlement into soft saturated soils. Geocells are good for **reinforcement** and reduce the amount of fill material required.



Figure 5—Geocell usually has geotextile under it to provide separation from wet saturated soils.



Proprietary Products

TrailMaster (Figure 6) is made from 3-millimeter ($\frac{1}{8}$ -inch) thick polypropylene that has 13-millimeter ($\frac{1}{2}$ -inch) diameter extruded holes about 32 millimeters ($1\frac{1}{4}$ inches) on center. The extrusions extend 16 millimeters ($\frac{1}{8}$ inch) below the top of the sheet. This product was originally marketed under the *Gripmaster* name and weighs about 2.8 kilograms per square meter (0.57 pounds per square foot). Bending strength is high enough to distribute loads from pack animals over a large enough area so that the material essentially floats on top of saturated soils. *TrailMaster* is excellent for **reinforcement** because no fill material is required.





Figure 6—*TrailMaster* is a very stiff material, but it can be rolled up for relatively easy transport.



Section 2: Basic Geosynthetic Design and Utilization

Concepts for Trail Construction in Wet Areas



Geosynthetics provide a stable trail surface in wet areas. Unstable trail surfaces are usually caused by saturation from subsurface moisture and precipitation. Geosynthetics assist in obtaining stable surfaces by providing:

❖ **Separation**—Geotextiles, geonets, and sheet drains keep saturated, weak native soils from contaminating stronger, load-bearing trail surface materials. They allow water, but not soil, to pass through.

❖ **Drainage**—Geotextiles, geonets, and sheet drains improve subsurface drainage to avoid saturation and weakening of the trail tread.

❖ **Reinforcement and Load Distribution**—All geosynthetics discussed in this paper provide some degree of tread reinforcement and load distribution. This decreases the amount of imported fill material required. *TrailMaster* provides enough load distribution so it can be placed directly on the saturated soil and also serves as the trail tread with no additional fill needed.

Geosynthetics are relatively simple to use. Products that meet the physical requirements discussed in Section 4 are tough enough to be placed over small stumps that stick up from the ground surface after brushing. Cutting stumps and brush to within a few inches from the ground is usually all that is necessary. Where joints occur in geotextiles, geonets, or geogrids, the overlap should normally be at least 300 millimeters (12 inches). Pins or clips are used at joints for the other products. All geosynthetics must be stored in shipping wrappers until installation since they will gradually deteriorate when exposed to ultraviolet light.

Selecting good tread fill material is very important. Organic, silt, or clay soils should not be used as tread fill since they become muddy during wet weather. Use firm mineral soil, coarse-grained soils, granular material, or small well-graded angular rock instead. Soil from wet areas is normally not

suitable for use as tread fill. Unsuitable organic soils are easily identified by a dark color and musty odor when damp. Many soils containing clays and silts are just as unstable, but identification is more difficult. Avoiding unsuitable tread fill is very important because poor materials will fail when wet and costs for excavation and haul are high. Poor materials can be identified in the field by several methods discussed in Section 5.

How much acceptable tread fill material you need over the geosynthetic selected depends on several site-specific factors:

FACTORS AFFECTING TREAD THICKNESS NEEDED	MAXIMUM THICKNESS	MINIMUM THICKNESS
Trail fill quality	Mineral soil with little rock, less than 20-percent silt or clay	Granular, free-draining materials
Trail tread surface	Horse or motorcycle	Foot traffic
Tread surface moisture content during traffic	Predominantly high	Predominantly low
Amount of foundation settlement	Continuously wet areas over 2 feet deep	Intermittent soft, wet areas under 2 feet deep
Geosynthetic alternative selected	Single layer of geotextile (Figure 1)	Geotextile with logs (Figure 9) Geocell, (Figure 13)
Trail surface crown maintenance	Less than annual	Annual

In addition to the nine applications illustrated in Section 3, other combinations are possible and perhaps preferable, depending on mud hole conditions and natural building materials available. Once you understand the design/utilization concepts and product capabilities, try different applications.

Section 3: Specific Design Applications



Most all of the techniques shown can be integrated into standard trail turnpike construction specifications. To simplify the illustrations, not all the components of a complete turnpike (ditches, curb rocks, or logs, etc.) are shown. For many trail locations through flat muddy sites, the traditional trail side ditch and long outlet ditch will not be necessary. Curb logs or rocks are still needed to confine tread fill except where tread fill materials are quite granular. Shoulders must be maintained to keep geosynthetics covered to protect them from ultraviolet light and traffic abrasion. The figures are simplified cutaway cross-sectional views of the trail. They normally look much better on paper than they do during construction.

Geosynthetics are usually placed directly on the natural ground without prior excavation. Many of the illustrations show the various applications with a sag in the native soil surface along the center of the trail alignment. This sag is caused by adding the weight of the tread fill. The actual amount of settlement is very site specific and depends on soil type, level of saturation, and weight of tread fill used. Less tread fill can be used over geosynthetic products that are rigid or have high bending strengths because the weight of fill is distributed over a larger area. Settlements are decreased when less fill is needed to obtain a stable tread surface. For example, much more tread fill is required for a single layer of geotextile (Figure 7), than for geocell with

geotextile (Figure 13). In this example, the cost of importing tread fill must be compared to the increased cost of the geocell.

All alternatives that use tread fill should have a crowned or outsloped surface to help shed water quickly and improve stability and control erosion and sediment. Additional tread fill may be necessary to rebuild the crown after initial settlement. More imported fill will be necessary to maintain the crown if tread wear is high. Alternatives are compared in Table 1.

Geotextile or Geonet

Single-layer geotextile or geonet (Figure 7) separates fill material from saturated soils and distributes fill weight so less settling takes place. Since geonets cost more, use them only where drainage and subsurface moisture conditions are worst. Avoid using organic, silt, or clay soils for trail tread material because little subsurface drainage will occur and the trail tread will become muddy in wet weather. Rocky soils or crushed aggregate should be used as a tread material if possible. These materials retain much of their strength

Table 1—Comparisons of geosynthetic alternatives.

Evaluation Criteria of Construction Objectives	Geosynthetic Application									
	Figure 7 ¹ Geotex	Figure 7 ² Geonet	Figure 8 ³	Figure 9 ⁴	Figure 10 ⁵ Geotex	Figure 10 ⁶ Geonet	Figure 11 ⁷	Figure 12 ⁸	Figure 13 ⁹ Geocell	Figure 14 ¹⁰
Separation (keep tread fill separate from poor soils)	B	B	A	A	B	B	B	NA.	A	C
Reinforcement (turnpike over deep layer of very weak soil)	D	D	A	A	B	A	B	NA.	A	B
Reduce quantity of imported fill material	D	D	B	A	B	A	B	C	B	A
Eliminate trail side ditching	D	C	A	B	C	C	B	D	B	A
Ease of product placement	A	B	C	C	C	C	B	D	C	A
Low-cost geosynthetic	A	C	A	B	C	C	C	C	D	D
Cost for geosynthetics per square meter	0.68	4.05	1.36	2.04	4.13	7.50	7.50	7.50	10.08	16.68
per square yard	0.57	3.42	1.14	1.71	3.47	6.32	6.26	6.26	8.45	14.07
Weight of geosynthetics:										
kilogram per square meter	0.14	0.89	0.28	0.42	0.32	1.07	2.3	2.3	1.9	3.0
pound per square yard	0.25	1.64	0.50	0.75	0.60	1.98	4.25	4.25	3.45	5.40

Alternative Rating Code: A = Best alternative; B = Better than most; C = Not as good as most; D = Least effective; NA. = Not applicable

¹ Single layer of geotextile.

² Single layer of geonet.

³ Geotextile with encapsulated free-draining rock. Rock can be large, single-size cobbles, down to relatively clean sands.

⁴ Geotextile with poles, logs. Must have small trees onsite.

⁵ Geogrid with geotextile.

⁶ Geogrid with geonet.

⁷ Sheet drains under tread fill.

⁸ Sheet drains or geonets for drainage cutoff wall. Extensive ditching required.

⁹ Geocell with geotextile and permeable tread.

Granular fill material required; costs and weights are based on 100-mm-deep cells.

¹⁰ TrailMaster with geotextile. Curb logs are required.



when saturated. Excess surface moisture can drain off through these permeable materials if the trail is located on a grade or side slope.

Geotextile With Encapsulated Free-Draining Rock

(Sausage Technique, Figure 8). The geotextile provides separation from the saturated soil, and the rock provides drainage for excess water. Twenty-five-millimeter (1-inch)

flexible plastic pipe outlets for subsurface water may be desirable where trails are constructed on very flat terrain to avoid the “bath tub” effect. If the trail has grade or is built on a sideslope, other drainage options exist. The rock may be single-size material from pea gravel size to cobbles (75 to 300 millimeters or 3 to 12 inches), or it may be a mixture of rock materials that does not contain silt or clay. The free-draining rock can be placed to a thickness equal to the maximum size rock if only drainage is desired. If reinforcement is also needed, at least 75 millimeters (3 inches) of rock is recommended. The geotextile is wrapped over the rock layer with a 300-millimeter (12-inch) overlap to ensure encapsulation, since settlement of saturated soil can pull the overlap joint apart.




Figure 7—Typical placement of geotextile or geonet through flat, boggy areas. Side or lead-off ditches may not be needed.




Figure 8—Encapsulation or “sausage” technique where native rock is used for drainage.



Geotextile With Poles or Logs

Figure 9 provides a system that requires less imported fill and resists being pushed down into soft saturated soils. No subsurface drainage is provided with this design, although longitudinal drainage may occur along the poles if the trail is on a grade. Another approach is to place interior logs perpendicular to the trail after cutting them to lengths equal to the trail width. This method does not utilize log bending strengths as effectively and is more labor intensive. An outlet pipe or daylight section would provide drainage where trails are on a grade or side slope.

Settling of saturated soil is minimal; the turnpike structure is light weight since it is primarily wood; the bending strength of wood distributes dead load (tread fill) and live loads (traffic); and wrapping trees together with geotextile distributes concentrated live loads.

This alternative is attractive for areas that have wood and not much rock for obtaining drainage. If the trail alignment is very swampy, this alternative has strong advantages because the flotation and bending strength of wood is utilized. Keeping wood continually wet or dry is necessary to control rotting. Otherwise the life of the structure will be cut to less

than half. A layer of geotextile placed down the centerline over the logs will help keep the wood saturated and also keep individual logs from coming up through the trail tread surface.

Geogrid With Geotextile or Geonet

Figure 10 shows geogrid placed on top of the geotextile or geonet adds bending strength to the system and decreases settling. This reduces the amount of fill material required. Very little drainage is required with this design, unless geonets are used or the tread material is permeable (rocky soils or crushed aggregate). The geogrid should be pulled taut to remove wrinkles before staking. The stakes and poles provide some pretension of the grid, to better utilize its strength. The geotextile or geonet provides separation from the saturated soil and keeps the drainage paths along the bottom of the fill material from clogging. See Section 913 of the *Standard Specifications for Construction of Trails* for additional information.




Figure 9—Poles or logs wrapped with geotextile.




Figure 10—Geogrid with geotextile or geonet.

Sheet Drains Under Tread Fill

Sheet drains under tread fill (Figure 11) provide separation from saturated soils and distribute the trail tread weight to limit settling. Install the product with the plastic core side facing up and the fabric side facing down. This orientation takes advantage of the plastic core compressive strength

and the fabric's tensile strength, which will reduce the amount of settling and also the amount of tread fill required. Twenty-five-millimeter (1-inch) diameter flexible plastic pipe can be used as a drainage outlet to take full advantage of the drainage capability of the sheet drain. If the trail is on a grade or side slope, an outlet pipe or daylight section could provide drainage.




Figure 11—Sheet drain under fill material.



Sheet Drains or Geonets Used as Drainage Cutoff Walls

If the trail section is on a sideslope where subsurface water saturates the uphill side of the trail, a cutoff wall can be constructed to intercept surface and subsurface moisture (Figure 12) and help drain and stabilize the trail section. This application is especially beneficial where cutslope sloughing continually closes ditches. The sheet drain or geonet should be installed within 1 meter (3 feet) of the trail's edge. The proper depth of the collection pipe and location of the sheet drain can be determined by probing the saturated soil with a short length of Number 4 reinforcing steel. Collector and outlet pipes can be made from flexible plastic pipe. Keeping the top edge of the drain above the ground will capture surface runoff moving downslope. Cover the exposed material with large rocks to protect it from deterioration from ultraviolet light. The collector pipe can be drained into an outlet pipe or with a sheet drain or geonet panel under the trail section. This application requires ditching for proper interception and drainage of water. Ditching is normally more extensive on flatter terrain.

Geocell With Geotextile and Permeable Tread Material

The geocell provides confinement chambers that distribute the trail tread loads over a wider area and reduce settling (Figure 13). This works best in sandy soils, rocky soils, crushed aggregate, or free-draining rock. The net effect is to increase the load-bearing capacity of the tread and prevent feet and hooves from punching down into the trail. The geotextile provides separation between saturated soil and the tread fill. Somewhat less tread fill is required because settling is reduced. There is no subsurface drainage if the trail is on flat ground. If the trail has a grade or is built on a sideslope, drainage will occur through the permeable tread fill. Organic, silt, and clay soils are not desirable fill for geocells because these soils will likely remain saturated and unstable, and thus not strong enough to carry the loads placed on the trail. Geocell itself does not increase the load-bearing strength of clay or silt.




Figure 12—Sheet drain or geonet used to intercept seepage.




Figure 13—Geocell with geotextile and permeable tread material.

TrailMaster With Geotextile

TrailMaster with geotextile (Figure 14) is made from 3-millimeter ($\frac{1}{8}$ -inch) thick polypropylene with 16-millimeter ($\frac{5}{8}$ -inch) diameter extruded holes about 32 millimeters ($1\frac{1}{4}$ inches) on center. This material is quite rigid, although it can be rolled up for easier transport. It is widely used in horse stalls to keep hooves dry.

It can be used without fill material since it has significant bending and tensile strength and is durable enough to resist abrasion from pack animals and even motorcycles. Since the material is used as the trail surface and grasses can grow through, it also resists erosion better than tread fill materials. The geotextile allows water, but not soil, to be pumped through the *TrailMaster*. The water collected on the surface can be removed by an outlet ditch at the lowest point on flat trail sections, or by using rolling dips or outsloping on other trails. Since the surfacing will deflect under the

weight of stock, rebar with hooks on the upper ends must be used to anchor the geotextile and *TrailMaster* to the ground. Rebar staples are normally needed for transverse joints. The poles provide a curb to keep traffic on the surface and also help anchoring. Settling should be minimal since the weight of *TrailMaster* is only 2.8 kilograms per square meter (0.57 pounds per square foot). The high price of this product may be offset by not having to import fill for a turnpike section. It can be cut with a hand saw. Turns in the trail are normally cut on angles, which makes constructing winding trail alignments more difficult.

Before placing a large order, it's a good idea to obtain a sample for examination. This is a manufactured material and does not blend well with natural forest environments. Since installation is relatively quick, it may be especially useful as a temporary surface until a more aesthetically pleasing alternative is constructed.




Figure 14—TrailMaster with geotextile.

Section 4: Geosynthetic Product Information



General Notes

The listed manufacturers and products were obtained from the *Geotechnical Fabrics Report, 1995 Specifier's Guide*. The products listed meet the physical properties shown for each type of geosynthetic. These physical properties were selected to meet typical muddy trail construction conditions. These properties are on the "low end" of those available since trails applications are much less demanding than geosynthetic applications in road construction where heavy machinery and large angular boulders require heavier products. These products are lighter, easier to work with, and also cost less.

Phone numbers and web sites have been updated using the *Geotechnical Fabrics Report, 2000 Specifier's Guide*. However, we have not updated the product numbers, prices, and specifications. Geosynthetic products that have entered the market since 1995 are not described here. For a copy of the newest *Specifier's Guide*, contact the Industrial Fabric Association International (page 18).

The products listed are ones that are readily available. Many other products from these and other manufacturers may be appropriate. There are literally hundreds of products available from manufacturers and even home improvement centers. Most manufacturers and Geotechnical/Materials Engineers can assist in selecting products if you provide details on soil and moisture conditions and expected loads (light loads for trails).

Price ranges shown are approximate and vary throughout the country due to shipping costs. Call the listed phone numbers for current prices delivered to your area, or for the local sales representative. For comparison, price ranges shown are in dollars per square meter for all products. Price ranges in parenthesis are in dollars per square yard. Manufacturers may provide prices by the square meter, square yard, square foot, or for full roll quantities. Unit costs decrease as the amount ordered increases. Prices shown are based on the minimum one roll quantity, FOB the manufacturing location.

All geosynthetic products can be either field cut or precut by the manufacturer to meet your width requirements and weight handling capability.

Geotextiles

Manufacturers:

COMPANY	PRODUCT
AMOCO Fabrics & Fibers Phone: 800-445-7732	4545
TC Mirafi Phone: 888-795-0808 Web site: http://www.tcmirafi.com	140N
Linq Industries Phone: 800-543-9966 Web site: http://www.linqind.com	1300EX

Price Range: \$0.63 to \$0.72 per square meter (\$0.53 to \$0.60 per square yard)

Typical Product Unit Weight: 0.13 kilogram per square meter (0.25 pound per square yard)

Critical Physical Properties for Trail Construction:

- **Material structure:** Nonwoven.
- **Polymer composition:** Polypropylene.
- **Apparent opening by ASTM D 4751-87:** Less than 0.297 millimeters (greater than No. 50 mesh).
- **Permittivity by ASTM D4491-92:** Greater than 4060 liters per minute per square meter (Greater than 100 gallons per minute per square foot).
- **Puncture strength by ASTM D48833-88:** Greater than 0.245 kilonewtons (greater than 55 pounds).
- **Mullen burst by ASTM D 3786-87:** Greater than 1275 kilopascals (more than 185 pounds per square inch).
- **Trapezoid tear strength by ASTM D4533-91:** Greater than 0.18 kilonewtons (more than 40 pounds).
- **Grab tensile at 50 percent elongation by ASTM D4632-91:** Greater than 0.40 kilonewtons (Greater than 90 pounds).
- **Ultraviolet degradation:** Greater than 70 at 150 hours.

Notes: The products listed are nonwoven, felt-like materials that are easier to work with than heat bonded or slit film products that have a slick surface texture. Physical property requirements are minimum average roll values where applicable. Compare desired widths with standard roll widths and consult with manufacturers for field or factory cutting. Costs are based on one roll quantities which normally cover 400 to 500 square meters (475 to 600 square yards).



Geonet (Geonet Composites)

Manufacturers:

COMPANY	PRODUCT
Tenax Phone: 800-356-8495 Web site: http://www.tenax.com	TNT 204042

Tensar Corp. Phone: 800-836-7271 Web site: http://www.tensarcorp.com	DC4205
--	--------

Price Range: \$3.50 to \$4.60 per square meter (\$2.97 to \$3.87 per square yard)

Typical Product Unit Weight: 0.89 kilograms per square meter (1.64 pounds per square yard)

Critical Physical Properties for Trail Construction:

- **Polymer composition of core (net or mesh):** Medium or high-density polyethylene.
- **Geotextile:** Must be attached to both sides of the Core, and meet or exceed the requirements of AASHTO M 288 Subsurface Drainage Class B with permeability greater than 0.0001 centimeters per second, and an apparent opening size less than 0.297 millimeters (greater than the No. 50 U.S. Standard Sieve).
- **Core thickness:** Greater than 5 millimeters by ASTM D5199.
- **Compressive strength of core:** Greater than 500 kilopascals by ASTM D1621.
- **Transmissivity with gradient at 0.1, pressure at 10 kilo-pascals:** Greater than 0.0009 meters² per second (greater than 4 gallons per minute per foot).

Notes: Discuss the roll width and length requirements for your project with manufacturers.

Sheet Drains

Manufacturers:

COMPANY	PRODUCT
TC Mirafi Phone: 800-445-7732 Web site: http://www.tcmirafi.com	Miradrain 6000

Contech Phone: 800-338-1122 Web site: http://www.contech-cpi.com	C-Drain 15K
---	-------------

Presto Phone: 800-548-3424 Web site: http://www.prestogeo.com	Amerdrain 500
--	---------------

Price Range: \$6.50 to \$8.50 per square meter (\$5.40 to \$7.11 per square yard).

Typical Product Unit Weight: 2.3 kilogram per square meter (4.25 pounds per square yard).

Critical Physical Properties for Trail Construction:

- **Structure:** Single- or double-dimpled core.
- **Core polymer composition:** Polystyrene or polypropylene.
- **Attached geotextile:** Nonwoven on one side if core solid, on both sides if core perforated. Geotextile must meet or exceed the requirements of AASHTO M 288 Subsurface Drainage Class B with permeability greater than 0.0001 centimeters per second, and an apparent opening size less than 0.297 millimeters (greater than the No. 50 U.S. Standard Sieve).
- **Core thickness by ASTM D5199:** Greater than 10 millimeters (greater than 0.40 inches).
- **Core compressive strength at yield by ASTM D1621:** Greater than 650 kilopascals (greater than 95 pounds per square inch).

Notes: Compare desired width with standard sheet width and consult with manufacturers for field or factory cutting. Various core thicknesses are available. For example, Presto makes a product called *Akwadrain* that has a 25-millimeter core thickness, with fabric on both sides. It has significantly greater bending strength which helps limit the amount of settling in soft soils, and reduces the amount of fill material required.



Geogrids

Manufacturers:

COMPANY	PRODUCT
Contech Phone: 800-338-1122; Web site: http://www.contech-cpi.com or Tensar Corp. Phone: 800-836-7271 Web site: http://www.tensarcorp.com	BX1100
Carthage Mills Phone: 800-543-4430 Web site: http://www.carthagemills.com	FX-3000
TenaxMS300 Phone: 800-356-8495 Web site: http://www.tenax.com	
Huesker Phone: 800-942-9418 Web site: http://www.huesker.com	Fortrac 35/20-20
TC Mirafi Phone: 800-445-7732 Web site: http://www.tcmirafi.com	Miragrid 5T

Carthage Mills
Phone: 800-543-4430
Web site: <http://www.carthagemills.com>

TenaxMS300
Phone: 800-356-8495
Web site: <http://www.tenax.com>

Huesker
Phone: 800-942-9418
Web site: <http://www.huesker.com>

TC Mirafi
Phone: 800-445-7732
Web site: <http://www.tcmirafi.com>

Price Range: \$2.15 to \$4.75 per square meter (\$1.80 to \$4.00 per square yard). Low-cost products are made from polypropylene, higher cost products are made from coated polyester. Both product types are adequate for trails.

Typical Product Unit Weight: 1.75 kilograms per square meter (0.34 pounds per square yard).

Critical Physical Properties for Trail Applications:

- **Polymer type:** Polypropylene or polyester with acrylic or PVC coating.
- **Mass per unit area by ASTM D5261-92:** 175 grams per square meter (greater than 5.5 ounces per square yard).
- **Maximum aperture size:** Machine direction (MD): 25 centimeters (1 inch). Cross direction (XD): 33 centimeters (1.3 inches).
- **Wide-width strip tensile strength at 5-percent strain by ASTM D4595-86:** Machine direction (MD): 8 kilonewtons per meter (550 pounds per foot). Cross direction (XD): 6 kilonewtons per meter (410 pounds per foot).

Notes: Specify desired product widths and lengths for the project application.

Geocells

Manufacturers:

COMPANY	PRODUCT	Expanded Dimensional Properties	
		Individual Cell Depth x Length	Whole Sheet Length x Width
Presto Phone: 800-548-3424 Web site: http://www.prestogeo.com	Geoweb	100 x 200 mm (4 x 8 in)	6.10 x 2.44 m (20 x 8 ft)
AGH Phone: 800-434-4743 Web site: http://www.aghindustries.com	Envirogrid	Same	Same
WEBTEC Phone: 800-438-0027 Web site: http://www.webtechgeos.com	TerraCell	Same	Same

Price Range: \$7.50 to \$11.30 per square meter (\$6.30 to \$9.45 per square yard).

Typical Product Unit Weight: 1.55 kilograms per square meter (2.9 pounds per square yard).

Similar Physical Properties for Listed Products:

- **Composition:** Polyethylene or high-density polyethylene
- **Geocell weight expanded:** Greater than 1.4 kilograms per square meter (greater than 45 ounces per square yard).
- **Minimum cell seam peel strength by U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Technical Report G-86-19 Appendix A:** 800 newtons (180 pounds).
- **Expanded dimensional properties:** As specified by the designer—see dimensions listed for the products shown above.

Notes: Specify desired product widths for the project application. The 100-millimeter (4-inch) cell depth should be adequate for trails—depths from 50 to 200 millimeters (2 to 8 inches) are available. Consult manufacturers for availability of different section widths and alteration of standard section widths to fit your project needs.



Proprietary Materials

Manufacturers:


COMPANY	PRODUCT	APPROXIMATE COST
GroundMaster Phone: 800-968-2930	<i>TrailMaster</i>	\$16 per sq m (\$13.50 per sq yd)

Physical Property Description: This is a proprietary product, and no standards for testing and acceptance are available. When specifying or ordering, describe the product as follows:

TrailMaster—

- **Polypropylene sheet thickness:** Greater than 3 millimeters ($\frac{1}{8}$ inch).
- **Extruded hole diameter (nominal):** 16 millimeters ($\frac{5}{8}$ inch).
- **Percent open area (nominal):** 12 percent.
- **Space between extruded holes (nominal):** 32 millimeters ($1\frac{1}{4}$ inches).
- **Minimum product weight:** 2.8 kilograms per square meter (5.13 pounds per square yard).

Notes: Consult the manufacturer for appropriate widths and lengths of product desired prior to placing order.



Section 5: Identification of Unsuitable Tread Fill Material



Soils from wet areas are normally not suitable for use as tread fill because they are too moisture sensitive and lose strength easily when they become wet. Avoiding unsuitable tread fill is very important since poor materials will fail when wet, and costs for excavating and hauling are high. Poor materials can be identified by several methods:

Organic Soils: Identified by musty odor when damp, and dark in color.

Other Unsuitable Tread Fill Materials: Stability of tread fill material is primarily influenced by the amount of silt or clay present. If the percentage exceeds 20 percent, the materials will likely become very unstable when wet. Rough evaluations for suitability can be done by the following methods:

Method A—Field Comparison

Make comparisons between existing trail tread materials with borrow sources. Compare the proportions of gravel, sand, and fines. Individual “fine-size” material particles are actually not visible to the naked eye and are classified as silt or clay. If the proportions of gravel, sand, and fines are similar, you can expect the borrow materials to perform as well as the existing trail tread materials. If less fines exist in the borrow source, you can expect better performance.

Method B—Laboratory Test

Take a 5-kilogram (10-pound) sample of the proposed tread fill material to a materials testing laboratory, and have them perform a washed sieve analysis test to determine the percentage of minus No. 200 material. Since the minus No. 200 represents the amount of silt or clay, if the amount exceeds 20 percent, the material is not suitable. Typical cost for this test is between \$35 and \$50.

Method C—Geotextile Field Test

Build a short section of a small-scale trail over a wet area with a 2-meter (6-foot) square piece of geotextile and the proposed tread fill material. The depth of tread fill should be at least 150 millimeters (6 inches), and should be saturated with water after placement to assimilate moisture contents that one would expect under the worst conditions. Evaluate the stability of the tread material by repeated load testing with your foot.

Additional Information



Industrial Fabric Association International (IFAI)

The *Geotechnical Fabrics Report, Specifier's Guide*, is published annually by IFAI. To purchase a copy of the latest *Specifier's Guide*, to subscribe to *Geotechnical Fabrics Report*, or learn about other publications, check out IFAI's web site at: <http://www.ifai.com>. Here are some other ways to contact IFAI.

IFAI Resource Center
1801 County Road BW
Roseville, MN 55113-4061
Phone: 800-207-0729 or 651-222-2508
Fax: 651-631-9334

Federal Highway Administration

The Federal Highway Administration's Recreational Trails Program provides funds to the States to develop and maintain recreational trails and trail-related facilities for motorized and nonmotorized recreational trail uses. For additional information, see FHWA's Recreational Trails Program web site at: <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/rectrail.htm>.

Library Card

Monlux, Steve; Vachowski, Brian, 2000. Geosynthetics for trails in wet areas: 2000 edition. Tech. Rep. 0023-2838-MTDC. Missoula, MT: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Missoula Technology and Development Center. 18 p.

Geosynthetics are synthetic materials that are used with soil or rock in many types of construction. They perform three major functions: separation, reinforcement, and drainage.

This report describes several types of geosynthetics; explains basic geosynthetic design and utilization concepts for trail construction in wet areas; and provides geosynthetic product information. Detailed product specifications and procurement sources are listed.

Keywords: geocells, geogrids, geonets, geosynthetics, geotextiles, sheet drains, trail construction, trail turnpikes

To order a copy of this document, you can use the order form on the FHWA's Recreational Trails Program web site at: <http://www.fhwa.dot.gov/environment/trailpub.htm>. Fill out the order form and fax it to the distributor listed on the order form. If you do not have Internet access, you can send a fax request to 202-366-3409, or request a copy by mail from:

USDOT, Federal Highway Administration
Office of Human Environment, Room 3301
400 7th Street SW
Washington DC 20590

Electronic copies of MTDC's documents are available on the Internet at:

<http://www.fs.fed.us/eng/t-d.php?link=pubs>

Produced by:

USDA FS, Missoula Technology & Development Center
5785 Hwy. 10 West
Missoula, MT 59808-9361
Phone: 406-329-3978
Fax: 406-329-3719
E-mail: wo_mtdc_pubs@fs.fed.us

For further technical information, contact Brian Vachowski at MTDC.

Phone: 406-329-3935
Fax: 406-329-3719
E-mail: bvachowski@fs.fed.us

This document was produced in cooperation with the Recreational Trails Program of the Federal Highway Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation.

This document is disseminated under the sponsorship of the U.S. Department of Transportation in the interest of information exchange. The United States Government assumes no liability for its contents or use thereof.

The contents of this report reflect the views of the contractor, who is responsible for the accuracy of the data presented herein. The contents do not necessarily reflect the official policy of the Department of Transportation.

This report does not constitute a standard, specification, or regulation. The United States Government does not endorse products or manufacturers. Trade or manufacturer's names appear herein only because they are considered essential to the object of this document.

