

the second and final part of the application when it is tendered. After completion of the acceptance review for the full application, if it is found acceptable for docketing, the Commission will conduct a hearing in accordance with Subpart L, "Informal Hearing Procedures for NRC Adjudications," of 10 CFR part 2 and will receive a report on the COL application from the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards in accordance with 10 CFR 52.87, "Referral to the Advisory Committee on Reactor Safeguards (ACRS)." If the Commission finds that the full COL application meets the applicable standards of the Atomic Energy Act and the Commission's regulations, and that required notifications to other agencies and bodies have been made, the Commission will issue a COL, in the form and containing conditions and limitations that the Commission finds appropriate and necessary.

In accordance with 10 CFR Part 51, the Commission will also prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action. Pursuant to 10 CFR 51.26, and as part of the environmental scoping process, the NRC staff intends to hold a public scoping meeting. Detailed information regarding this meeting will be included in a future **Federal Register** notice.

Finally, the Commission will announce in a future **Federal Register** notice, the opportunity to petition for leave to intervene in the hearing required for this application by 10 CFR 52.85.

Documents may be examined, and/or copied for a fee, at the NRC's Public Document Room (PDR), located at One White Flint North, Public File Area O1 F21, 11555 Rockville Pike (first floor), Rockville, Maryland 20852, and will be accessible electronically through the Agencywide Documents Access System (ADAMS) Public Electronic Reading Room link at the NRC Web site <http://www.nrc.gov/reading-rm/adams.html>. Persons who do not have access to ADAMS, or who encounter problems in accessing documents located in ADAMS should contact the NRC PDR Reference staff by telephone at 1-800-397-4209, 301-415-4737, or by e-mail to pdr@nrc.gov. The application is also available at <http://www.nrc.gov/reactors/new-licensing/col.html>.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 25th day of January 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
David B. Matthews,
*Director, Division of New Reactor Licensing,
 Office of New Reactors.*
 [FR Doc. E8-1806 Filed 1-30-08; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* Design Information Questionnaire-IAEA-N-71 and associated Forms N-72, N-73, N-74, N-75, N-91, N-92, N-93, N-94.
2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150-0056.
3. *How often the collection is required:* Approximately 1 time annually.
4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Licensees of facilities on the U.S. eligible list who have been notified in writing by the NRC to submit the form.
5. *The number of annual respondents:* 1.

6. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 360 reporting hours (1 respondent × 360 hours per response).

7. *Abstract:* In order for the United States to fulfill its responsibilities as a participant in the US/International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) Safeguards Agreement, the NRC must collect information from licensees about their installations and provide it to the IAEA. Licensees of facilities that appear on the U.S. eligible list and have been notified in writing by the NRC are required to complete and submit a Design Information Questionnaire, IAEA Form N-71 (and the appropriate associated IAEA Form) or Form N-91, to provide information concerning their installation for use of the IAEA.

Submit, by March 31, 2008, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O-1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC World Wide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Margaret A. Janney (T-5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, by telephone at 301-415-7245, or by e-mail to INFCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of January 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gregory Trussell,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. E8-1754 Filed 1-30-08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of pending NRC action to submit an information collection request to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC is preparing a submittal to OMB for review of continued approval of information collections under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. *The title of the information collection:* 10 CFR part 81, "Standard

Specifications for Granting of Patent Licenses.”

2. *Current OMB approval number:* 3150–0121.

3. *How often the collection is required:* Applications for licenses are submitted once. Other reports are submitted annually or as other events require.

4. *Who is required or asked to report:* Applicants for and holders of NRC licenses to NRC inventions.

5. *The number of annual respondents:*

1. *The number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request:* 37; however, no applications are anticipated during the next three years.

7. *Abstract:* As specified in 10 CFR part 81, the NRC may grant non-exclusive licenses or limited exclusive licenses to its patented inventions to responsible applicants. Applicants for licenses to NRC inventions are required to provide information which may provide the basis for granting the requested license. In addition, all license holders must submit periodic reports on efforts to bring the invention to a point of practical application and the extent to which they are making the benefits of the invention reasonably accessible to the public. Exclusive license holders must submit additional information if they seek to extend their licenses, issue sublicenses, or transfer the licenses. In addition, if requested, exclusive license holders must promptly supply to the United States Government copies of all pleadings and other papers filed in any patent infringement lawsuit, as well as evidence from proceedings relating to the licensed patent.

Submit, by March 31, 2008, comments that address the following questions:

1. Is the proposed collection of information necessary for the NRC to properly perform its functions? Does the information have practical utility?

2. Is the burden estimate accurate?

3. Is there a way to enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected?

4. How can the burden of the information collection be minimized, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology?

A copy of the draft supporting statement may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, One White Flint North, 11555 Rockville Pike, Room O–1 F21, Rockville, MD 20852. OMB clearance requests are available at the NRC worldwide Web site: <http://www.nrc.gov/public-involve/doc-comment/omb/index.html>. The document will be available on the NRC

home page site for 60 days after the signature date of this notice.

Comments and questions about the information collection requirements may be directed to the NRC Clearance Officer, Margaret A. Janney (T–5 F52), U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001, by telephone at 301–415–7245, or by e-mail to INFOCOLLECTS@NRC.GOV.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 25th day of January 2008.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Gregory Trussell,

Acting NRC Clearance Officer, Office of Information Services.

[FR Doc. E8–1756 Filed 1–30–08; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[IA–07–026]

In the Matter of: Mr. Luis Fernandez; Confirmatory Order (Effective Immediately)

I

Mr. Luis Fernandez is the former Security Project Manager for Wackenhut Nuclear Services (Wackenhut) at the Turkey Point Nuclear Plant (Turkey Point) operated by Florida Power and Light Company (FPL). FPL holds License No. DPR–31 and DPR–41, issued by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC or Commission) on July 19, 1972, and April 10, 1973, respectively, pursuant to 10 CFR part 50. The licenses authorize the operation of Turkey Point, Units 3 & 4, in accordance with the conditions specified therein. Turkey Point is located on the Licensee’s site in Florida City, Florida.

II

In approximately October 2004, a firing pin from a contingency response weapon was discovered to be damaged at FPL’s Turkey Point facility. Mr. Fernandez participated in an inquiry of the damage and documented the results of that inquiry in Condition Report (CR) 2004–13573. As the Security Project Manager for WNS at Turkey Point during this time, Mr. Fernandez was knowledgeable of the circumstances and assisted in the preparation and/or approval of the documentation contained in Condition Report (CR) 2004–13573.

III

In February 2006, the NRC’s Office of Investigations (OI) initiated an investigation into the circumstances

surrounding the damaged firing pin, and the NRC was provided a copy of CR 2004–13573. Based on the OI investigation, the NRC reached a preliminary conclusion that Mr. Fernandez’ documentation of the circumstances involving the damaged firing pin in CR 2004–13573 was not complete and accurate in all material respects. The NRC also preliminarily concluded that Mr. Fernandez’ incomplete or inaccurate documentation of the circumstances was deliberate. As a result, the NRC concluded that Mr. Fernandez was in apparent violation of 10 CFR 50.5, in that his actions caused FPL to be in violation of 10 CFR 50.9.

The results of the NRC’s preliminary conclusion were provided to Mr. Fernandez by letter dated May 30, 2007. The NRC’s letter informed Mr. Fernandez that the NRC was considering the apparent violation for escalated enforcement action in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, and offered Mr. Fernandez a choice to: (1) Attend a Pre-decisional Enforcement Conference; (2) provide a written response; or (3) request ADR with the NRC in an attempt to resolve any disagreement on whether a violation occurred, the appropriate enforcement action, and the appropriate corrective actions. In response, Mr. Fernandez requested ADR to resolve the matter. Mr. Fernandez and the NRC participated in an ADR session in Miami, Florida, on November 9, 2007. Consistent with the purposes of ADR, the parties acknowledged that the session was not for the purposes of reaching any conclusions regarding any facts or circumstances as discussed in the NRC’s letter to Mr. Fernandez of May 30, 2007.

As the result of the ADR session, Mr. Fernandez and the NRC reached a settlement agreement. The elements of the Agreement in Principle consisted of the following:

1. Mr. Fernandez elaborated on the circumstances concerning his involvement in the drafting of Condition Reports, in support of his view that his actions did not represent deliberate misconduct. Mr. Fernandez acknowledges that CR 2004–13573 was inartfully worded and subject to misinterpretation. However, Mr. Fernandez also stated that he had no intent to deceive the NRC or FPL with respect to CR 2004–13573. Furthermore, Mr. Fernandez expressed a clear understanding of the importance of preparing documentation at NRC-regulated facilities that is complete and accurate.

2. Mr. Fernandez indicated that since approximately February 2006, he has