

EPA420-R-03-008
April 2003

Draft Regulatory Impact Analysis: Control of Emissions from Nonroad Diesel Engines

Assessment and Standards Division
Office of Transportation and Air Quality
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Table of Contents

Executive Summary

CHAPTER 1: Industry Characterization	1-1
1.1 Characterization of Engine Manufacturers	1-2
1.1.1 Engines Rated between 0-19 kW (0 and 25 hp)	1-2
1.1.2 Engines Rated between 19 and 56 kW (25 and 75 hp)	1-2
1.1.3 Engines Rated between 56 and 130 kW (75 and 175 hp)	1-2
1.1.4 Engines Rated between 130 and 560 kW (175 and 750 hp)	1-2
1.1.5 Engines Rated over 560 kW (750 hp)	1-3
1.2 Characterization of Equipment Manufacturers	1-3
1.2.1 Equipment Using Engines Rated under 19 kW (0 and 25 hp)	1-4
1.2.2 Equipment Using Engines Rated between 19 and 56 kW (25 and 75 hp)	1-7
1.2.3 Equipment Using Engines Rated between 56kW and 130 kW (75 and 175 hp)	1-8
1.2.4 Equipment Using Engines Rated between 130 and 560 kW (175 and 750 hp)	1-10
1.2.5 Equipment Using Engines Rated over 560 kW (750 hp)	1-12
1.3 Refinery Operations	1-13
1.3.1 The Supply-Side	1-13
1.3.2 The Demand Side	1-20
1.3.3 Industry Organization	1-27
1.3.4 Markets and Trends	1-31
1.4 Distribution and Storage Operations	1-36
1.4.1 The Supply-Side	1-36
1.4.2 The Demand-Side	1-38
1.4.3 Industry Organization	1-38
1.4.4 Markets and Trends	1-39
CHAPTER 2: Air Quality, Health, and Welfare Effects	2-1
2.1 Particulate Matter	2-3
2.1.1 Health Effects of Particulate Matter	2-4
2.1.2 Attainment and Maintenance of the PM_{10} and $PM_{2.5}$ NAAQS: Current and Future Air Quality	2-12
2.1.2.1 Current PM Air Quality	2-12
2.1.2.2 Risk of Future Violations	2-22
2.1.3 Welfare Effects of Particulate Matter	2-33
2.1.3.1 Visibility Degradation	2-33
2.1.3.2 Other Effects	2-46
2.2 Air Toxics	2-50
2.2.1 Diesel Exhaust PM	2-50
2.2.1.1 Potential Cancer Effects of Diesel Exhaust	2-50
2.2.1.2 Other Health Effects of Diesel Exhaust	2-54
2.2.1.3 Diesel Exhaust PM Ambient Levels	2-55

2.2.1.4 Diesel Exhaust PM Exposures	2-65
2.2.2 Gaseous Air Toxics	2-69
2.2.2.1 Benzene	2-73
2.2.2.2 1,3-Butadiene	2-77
2.2.2.3 Formaldehyde	2-80
2.2.2.4 Acetaldehyde	2-83
2.2.2.5 Acrolein	2-85
2.2.2.6 Polycyclic Organic Matter	2-87
2.2.2.7 Dioxins	2-87
2.3 Ozone	2-87
2.3.1 Health Effects of Ozone	2-89
2.3.2 Attainment and Maintenance of the 1-Hour and 8-Hour Ozone NAAQS	2-91
2.3.2.1 1-Hour Ozone Nonattainment Areas and Concentrations	2-92
2.3.2.2 8-Hour Ozone Levels: Current and Future Concentrations	2-95
2.3.2.3 Potentially Counterproductive Impacts on Ozone Concentrations from NO _x Emissions Reductions	2-107
2.3.3 Welfare Effects Associated with Ozone and its Precursors	2-112
2.4 Carbon Monoxide	2-115
2.4.1 General Background	2-115
2.4.2 Health Effects of CO	2-116
2.4.3 CO Nonattainment	2-117
CHAPTER 3: Emissions Inventory	3-1
3.1 Nonroad Diesel Baseline Emissions Inventory Development	3-1
3.1.1 Land-Based Nonroad Diesel Engines—PM _{2.5} , NO _x , SO ₂ , VOC, and CO Emissions	3-2
3.1.2 Land-Based Nonroad Diesel Engines—Air Toxics Emissions	3-13
3.1.3 Commercial Marine Vessels and Locomotives	3-15
3.1.4 Recreational Marine Engines	3-20
3.1.5 Fuel Consumption for Nonroad Diesel Engines	3-23
3.2 Contribution of Nonroad Diesel Engines to National Emission Inventories	3-25
3.2.1 Baseline Emissions Inventory Development	3-25
3.2.2 PM _{2.5} Emissions	3-26
3.2.3 NO _x Emissions	3-27
3.2.4 SO ₂ Emissions	3-28
3.2.5 VOC Emissions	3-28
3.2.6 CO Emissions	3-29
3.3 Contribution of Nonroad Diesel Engines to Selected Local Emission Inventories	3-36
3.3.1 PM _{2.5} Emissions	3-36
3.3.2 NO _x Emissions	3-39
3.4 Nonroad Diesel Controlled Emissions Inventory Development	3-42
3.4.1 Land-Based Diesel Engines—PM _{2.5} , NO _x , SO ₂ , VOC, and CO Emissions	3-42
3.4.2 Land-Based Diesel Engines—Air Toxics Emissions	3-51
3.4.3 Commercial Marine Vessels and Locomotives	3-52

3.4.4 Recreational Marine Engines	3-54
3.5 Anticipated Emission Reductions With the Proposed Rule	3-58
3.5.1 PM _{2.5} Reductions	3-58
3.5.2 NO _x Reductions	3-67
3.5.3 SO ₂ Reductions	3-69
3.5.4 VOC and Air Toxics Reductions	3-76
3.5.5 CO Reductions	3-79
3.6 Emission Inventories Used for Air Quality Modeling	3-80
 CHAPTER 4: Technologies and Test Procedures for Low-Emission Engines	 4-1
4.1 Feasibility of Emission Standards	4-1
4.1.1 PM Control Technologies	4-1
4.1.2 NO _x Control Technologies	4-17
4.1.3 Can These Technologies Be Applied to Nonroad Engines and Equipment?	4-68
4.1.4 Are the Standards Proposed for Engines >25 hp and <75 hp Feasible?	4-80
4.1.5 Are the Standards Proposed for Engines <25 hp Feasible?	4-89
4.1.6 Meeting the Crankcase Emissions Requirements	4-93
4.1.7 Why Do We Need 15ppm Sulfur Diesel Fuel?	4-94
4.2. Supplemental Transient Emission Testing	4-103
4.2.1. Background and Justification	4-103
4.2.2. Data Collection and Cycle Generation	4-106
4.2.3 Composite Cycle Construction	4-119
4.2.4 Cycle Characterization Statistics	4-121
4.2.5 Cycle Normalization / Denormalization Procedure	4-122
4.2.6 Cycle Performance Regression Statistics	4-123
4.2.7 Constant-Speed Variable-Load Transient Test Procedure	4-124
4.2.8 Cycle Harmonization	4-127
4.2.9 Supplemental Cold Start Transient Test Procedure	4-137
4.2.10 Applicability of Component Cycles to Nonroad Diesel Market	4-140
4.2.11 Final Certification Cycle Selection Process	4-143
4.3 Feasibility of Not-to-Exceed Standards	4-144
4.3.1 What EPA concerns do all NTE standards address?	4-144
4.3.2 How does EPA characterize the highway NTE test procedures?	4-145
4.3.3 How does EPA characterize the alternate NTE test procedures mentioned above?	4-145
4.3.4 What limits might be placed on NTE compliance under the alternate test procedures?	4-146
4.3.5 How does the “constant-work” moving average work, and what does it do?	4-150
4.3.6 What data would need to be collected in order to calculate emissions results using the alternate NTE?	4-153
4.3.7 Could data from a vehicle’s on-board electronics be used to calculate emissions?	4-154
4.3.8 How would anyone test engines in the field?	4-154

4.3.9	How might in-use crankcase emissions be evaluated?	4-155
4.3.10	How might the agency characterize the technological feasibility for manufacturers to comply with NTE standards?	4-155
CHAPTER 5: Fuel Standard Feasibility		
5.1	Blendstock Properties of Non-Highway Diesel Fuel	5-1
5.1.1	Blendstocks Comprising Non-highway Diesel Fuel and their Sulfur Levels	5-1
5.1.2	Current Levels of Other Fuel Parameters in Non-highway Distillate	5-4
5.2	Evaluation of Diesel Fuel Desulfurization Technology	5-6
5.2.1	Introduction to Diesel Fuel Sulfur Control	5-6
5.2.2	Conventional Hydrotreating	5-7
5.2.3	Phillips S-Zorb Sulfur Adsorption	5-20
5.2.4	Linde Isotherming	5-23
5.2.5	Chemical Oxidation and Extraction	5-26
5.2.6	FCC Feed Hydrotreating	5-26
5.3	Feasibility of Producing 500 ppm Sulfur Nonroad Diesel Fuel in 2007	5-27
5.3.1	Expected use of Desulfurization Technologies for 2007	5-27
5.3.2	Leadtime Evaluation	5-29
5.4	Feasibility of Distributing 500 ppm Sulfur Non-Highway Diesel Fuel in 2007 and 500 ppm Locomotive and Marine Diesel Fuel in 2010	5-37
5.4.1	The Diesel Fuel Distribution System Prior to the Implementation of the Proposed 500 ppm Sulfur Program:	5-37
5.4.2	Summary of the Proposed 500 ppm Sulfur Standards	5-38
5.4.3	Limiting Sulfur Contamination	5-40
5.4.4	Potential Need for Additional Product Segregation	5-40
5.5	Feasibility of Producing 15 ppm Sulfur Nonroad Diesel Fuel in 2010	5-46
5.5.1	Expected use of Desulfurization Technologies for 2010	5-46
5.5.2	Leadtime Evaluation	5-49
5.6	Feasibility of Distributing 15 ppm Sulfur Nonroad Diesel Fuel in 2010	5-50
5.6.1	The Diesel Fuel Distribution System Prior to the Implementation of the Proposed 15 ppm Nonroad Diesel Sulfur Program	5-50
5.6.2	Summary of the Proposed 15 ppm Nonroad Diesel Sulfur Standard	5-50
5.6.3	Limiting Sulfur Contamination	5-51
5.6.4	Potential need for Additional Product Segregation Due to the Implementation of the Proposed 15 ppm Sulfur Specification for Nonroad Diesel Fuel	5-52
5.7	Impacts on the Engineering and Construction Industry	5-54
5.7.1	Design and Construction Resources Related to Desulfurization Equipment	5-54
5.7.2	Number and Timing of Revamped and New Desulfurization Units	5-55
5.7.3	Timing of Desulfurization Projects Starting up in the Same Year	5-63
5.7.4	Timing of Design and Construction Resources Within a Project	5-64
5.7.5	Projected Levels of Design and Construction Resources	5-65
5.8	Supply of Nonroad, Locomotive, and Marine Diesel Fuel (NRLM)	5-70
5.9	Desulfurization Effect on Other Non-Highway Diesel Fuel Properties	5-76

5.9.1 Fuel Lubricity	5-76
5.9.2 Volumetric Energy Content	5-79
5.9.3 Fuel Properties Related to Storage and Handling	5-81
5.9.4 Cetane Index and Aromatics	5-81
5.9.5 Other Fuel Properties	5-83
5.10 Feasibility of the Use of a Marker in Heating Oil from 2007-2010 and in Locomotive and Marine Fuel from 2010-2014	5-84
Appendix 5A: EPA’s Legal Authority for Proposing Nonroad, Locomotive, and Marine Diesel Fuel Sulfur Controls	5-90
CHAPTER 6: Estimated Engine and Equipment Costs	6-1
6.1 Methodology for Estimating Engine and Equipment Costs	6-1
6.2 Engine-Related Costs	6-4
6.2.1 Engine Fixed Costs	6-4
6.2.2 Engine Variable Costs	6-20
6.2.3 Engine Operating Costs	6-44
6.3 Equipment-Related Costs	6-55
6.3.1 Equipment Fixed Costs	6-56
6.3.2 Equipment Variable Costs	6-63
6.3.3 Potential Impact of the Transition Provisions for Equipment Manufacturers	6-64
6.4 Summary of Engine and Equipment Costs	6-66
6.4.1 Engine Costs	6-66
6.4.2 Equipment Costs	6-68
6.5 Costs for Example Pieces of Equipment	6-69
6.5.1 Summary of Costs for Some Example Pieces of Equipment	6-69
6.5.2 Method of Generating Costs for Our Example Pieces of Equipment	6-70
CHAPTER 7: Estimated Costs of Low-Sulfur Fuels	7-2
7.1 Nonroad Fuel Volumes	7-2
7.1.1 Overview	7-2
7.1.2 Diesel Fuel Demand by PADD for 2000	7-3
7.1.3 Diesel Fuel Demand by PADD for 2008	7-21
7.1.4. Annual Diesel Fuel Demand (2000-2040) and Associated In-Use Sulfur Levels	7-25
7.1.5 Refinery Supply Volumes	7-42
7.2 Refining Costs	7-49
7.2.1 Methodology	7-49
7.2.2 Refining Costs	7-106
7.3 Cost of Distributing Non-Highway Diesel Fuel	7-137
7.3.1 Distribution Costs Under the 500 ppm Sulfur Non-Highway Diesel Fuel Program	7-137
7.3.2 Distribution Costs Under the 15 ppm Sulfur Nonroad Diesel Fuel Program	7-139
7.3.3 Cost of Lubricity Additives	7-141

7.3.4 Fuel Marker Costs	7-142
7.3.5 Distribution, Lubricity, and Marker Costs Under Alternative Sulfur Control Options	7-143
7.4 Net Cost of the Two-Step Nonroad Diesel Fuel Program	7-145
7.5 Potential Fuel Price Impacts	7-146
Appendix 7A: Estimated Total Off-Highway Diesel Fuel Demand and Diesel Sulfur Levels	7-151
 CHAPTER 8: Estimated Aggregate Cost and Cost per Ton of Reduced Emissions	8-1
8.1 Projected Sales and Cost Allocations	8-1
8.2 Aggregate Engine Costs	8-4
8.2.1 Aggregate Engine Fixed Costs	8-4
8.2.2 Aggregate Engine Variable Costs	8-6
8.3 Aggregate Equipment Costs	8-9
8.3.1 Aggregate Equipment Fixed Costs	8-9
8.3.2 Aggregate Equipment Variable Costs	8-11
8.4 Aggregate Fuel Costs and Other Operating Costs	8-13
8.4.1 Aggregate Fuel Costs	8-14
8.4.2 Aggregate Oil Change Maintenance Savings	8-16
8.4.3 Aggregate CDPF & CCV Maintenance Costs and CDPF Regeneration Costs	8-19
8.4.4 Summary of Aggregate Operating Costs	8-21
8.5 Summary of Total Aggregate Costs of the Proposed Program	8-23
8.6 Emission Reductions	8-26
8.7 Cost per Ton	8-28
8.7.1 Cost per Ton for the 500 ppm Fuel Program	8-28
8.7.2 Cost per Ton for the Proposed Program	8-30
 CHAPTER 9: Cost-Benefit Analysis	9-1
9.1 Time Path of Emission Changes for the Proposed Standards	9-8
9.2 Development of Benefits Scaling Factors Based on Differences in Emission Impacts Between Proposed and Modeled Preliminary Control Options	9-10
9.3 Summary of Modeled Benefits and Apportionment Method	9-11
9.3.1 Overview of Analytical Approach	9-12
9.3.2 Air Quality Modeling	9-13
9.3.3 Health Effect Concentration-Response Functions	9-15
9.3.4 Economic Values for Health Outcomes	9-18
9.3.5 Welfare Effects	9-19
9.3.6 Treatment of Uncertainty	9-23
9.3.7 Model Results	9-24
9.3.8 Apportionment of Benefits to NO _x , SO ₂ , and PM Emissions Reductions ...	9-37
9.4 Estimated Benefits of Proposed Nonroad Diesel Engine Standards in 2020 and 2030	9-39
9.5 Development of Intertemporal Scaling Factors and Calculation of Benefits Over Time	9-42
9.6 Comparison of Costs and Benefits	9-47
APPENDIX 9A: Benefits Analysis of Modeled Preliminary Control Option	9-65

APPENDIX 9B: Sensitivity Analyses of Key Parameters in the Benefits Analysis . . .	9-187
APPENDIX 9C: Visibility Benefits Estimates for Individual Class I Areas	9-206
CHAPTER 10: Economic Impact Analysis	10-1
10.1 Overview of Results	10-1
10.1.1 What is an Economic Impact Analysis?	10-1
10.1.2 What is EPA’s Economic Analysis Approach for this Proposal?	10-1
10.1.3 What are the key features of the NDEIM?	10-4
10.1.4 Summary of Economic Analysis	10-8
10.2 Economic Methodology	10-19
10.2.1 Behavioral Economic Models	10-20
10.2.2 Conceptual Economic Approach	10-20
10.2.3 Key Modeling Elements	10-28
10.3 Economic Impact Modeling	10-39
10.3.1 Operational Economic Model	10-39
10.3.2 Baseline Economic Data	10-40
10.3.3 Market Linkages	10-44
10.3.4 Compliance Costs	10-50
10.3.5 Supply and Demand Elasticity Estimates	10-59
10.3.6 Model Solution Algorithm	10-62
APPENDIX 10A: Impacts on the Engine Market and Engine Manufacturers	10-66
APPENDIX 10B: Impacts on Equipment Market and Equipment Manufacturers	10-75
APPENDIX 10C: Impacts on Application Market Producers and Consumers	10-84
APPENDIX 10D: Impacts on the Nonroad Fuel Market	10-88
APPENDIX 10E: Time Series of Social Cost	10-93
APPENDIX 10F: Model Equations	10-96
APPENDIX 10G: Elasticity Parameters for Economic Impact Modeling	10-102
APPENDIX 10H: Derivation of Supply Elasticity	10-118
APPENDIX 10I: Sensitivity Analysis	10-119
CHAPTER 11: Small-Business Flexibility Analysis	11-1
11.1 Overview of the Regulatory Flexibility Act	11-1
11.2 Need for the Rulemaking and Rulemaking Objectives	11-2
11.3 Definition and Description of Small Entities	11-2
11.3.1 Description of Nonroad Diesel Engine and Equipment Manufacturers	11-3
11.3.2 Description of the Nonroad Diesel Fuel Industry	11-3
11.4 Summary of Small Entities to Which the Rulemaking Will Apply	11-4
11.4.1 Nonroad Diesel Engine Manufacturers	11-4
11.4.2 Nonroad Diesel Equipment Manufacturers	11-5
11.4.3 Nonroad Diesel Fuel Refiners	11-5
11.4.4 Nonroad Diesel Fuel Distributors and Marketers	11-6
11.5 Related Federal Rules	11-6
11.6 Projected Reporting, Recordkeeping, and Other Compliance Requirements	11-6
11.7 Projected Economic Effects of the Proposed Rulemaking	11-7
11.8 Regulatory Alternatives	11-8

11.8.1 Small Engine Manufacturers	11-8
11.8.2 Nonroad Diesel Equipment Manufacturers	11-13
11.8.3 Nonroad Diesel Fuel Refiners	11-16
11.8.4 Nonroad Diesel Fuel Distributors and Marketers	11-21
CHAPTER 12: Regulatory Alternatives	12-1
12.1 Range of Options Considered	12-1
12.1.1 One-Step Options	12-1
12.1.2 Two-Step Options	12-6
12.2 Emission Inventory Impacts Comparison	12-18
12.2.1 Assumptions Regarding Fuel Sulfur Content	12-19
12.2.2 Emission Inventories for Alternative Program Options	12-23
12.2.3 Cumulative Emission Reductions for Alternative Program Options	12-35
12.3 Benefits Comparison	12-37
12.4 Cost Analysis for Alternative Options	12-53
12.4.1 One Step Options	12-53
12.4.2 Two Step Options	12-60
12.4.3 Other Options	12-69
12.5 Costs per Ton	12-74
12.5.3 Incremental Cost per Ton for Option 2c	12-78
12.5.4 Incremental Cost per Ton for Option 2e	12-78
12.5.5 Incremental Cost per Ton for Option 3	12-79
12.5.6 Incremental Cost per Ton for Option 4	12-80
12.5.7 Incremental Cost per Ton for Option 5a	12-80
12.5.8 Incremental Cost per Ton for Option 5b	12-81
12.6 Summary and Assessment of Alternative Program Options	12-82
12.6.1 Summary of Results of Options Analysis	12-82
12.6.2 Discussion of Rationale, Issues, and Feasibility Assessment of Options	12-85
Appendix 12A: Certification Fuel Sulfur Levels	12-106
Appendix 12B: Incremental Cost, Emission Reductions, Benefits, and Cost Effectiveness	12-114

List of Acronyms

ABT	Averaging, Banking, and Trading
AEO	Annual Energy Outlook
AGME	Above-ground mining equipment
AT	Aftertreatment
BSFC	Brake Specific Fuel Consumption
CCV	Closed crankcase ventilation
CDPF	Catalyzed diesel particulate filter
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CI	Compression-Ignition
CMV	Commercial Marine Vessel
CO	Carbon monoxide
DF	Deterioration Factor
DI	direct injection
DOC	Diesel oxidation catalyst
EF	Emission Factor
EGR	Exhaust gas recirculation
EIA	U. S. Energy Information Administration
EIA	Economic Impact Analysis
FR	Federal Register
FTC	Federal Trade Commission
GDP	Gross domestic product
HC	Hydrocarbons
HD2007	Heavy-duty 2007 refers to the final rule setting emission standards for 2007 and later engines used in heavy-duty highway vehicles.
hp	Horsepower
IDI	Indirect injection
IRFA	Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis
kW	kilowatt
L&M	Locomotive and marine
MPP	marginal physical product

NDEIM	Nonroad Diesel Economic Impact Model
NMHC	Non-methane hydrocarbons
NPV	Net present value
NR	Nonroad
NRLM	Nonroad, Locomotive, and Marine diesel fuel
O&M	operating and maintenance
OMB	Office of Management and Budget
PM	Particulate matter
ppm	Parts per million
PSR	Power Systems Research
RIA	Regulatory Impact Analysis
SBA	Small Business Administration
SBAR	Small Business Advocacy Review
SBREFA	Small Business Regulatory Enforcement Fairness Act
SER	Small Entity Representative
SIC	Standard Industrial Classification
stds	standards
TAF	Transient Adjustment Factor
TPEM	Transition program for engine manufacturers (see 40 CFR 89.102 and the proposed 40 CFR 1039.625)
VMP	value of marginal product
VOC	Volatile organic compounds
ZHL	Zero-Hour Emission Level

Executive Summary

The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) is proposing requirements to reduce emissions of particulate matter (PM) and oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) from nonroad diesel engines. This proposal includes emission standards for new nonroad diesel engines. The proposal also addresses the quality of the fuel used in nonroad engines, as well as locomotive and marine engines, by specifying reduced sulfur levels.

This executive summary first highlights the proposed emission standards and fuel requirements, then gives an overview of the analyses in the rest of this document.

Emission Standards and Engine Technologies

Tables 1 and 2 show the Tier 4 emission standards and when they apply. For most engines, these standards are similar in stringency to the final standards included in the 2007 highway diesel program and are expected to require the use of high-efficiency aftertreatment systems to ensure compliance. As shown in the table, we are phasing in many of the proposed standards over a two- or three-year period to address lead time, workload, and feasibility considerations.

Table 1
Proposed PM Standards (g/bhp-hr) and Schedule

Engine Power	Model Year					
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013
hp < 25 (kW < 19)	0.30					
25 ≤ hp < 75 (19 ≤ kW < 56)	0.22					0.02
75 ≤ hp < 175 (56 ≤ kW < 130)					0.01	
175 ≤ hp ≤ 750 (130 ≤ kW ≤ 560)				0.01		
hp > 750 (kW > 560)				0.01		

Table 2
Proposed NO_x and NMHC Standards and Schedule

Engine Power	Standard (g/bhp-hr)			
	NO _x		NMHC	
25 ≤ hp < 75 (19 ≤ kW < 56)	3.5 NMHC+NO _x			
75 ≤ hp < 175 (56 ≤ kW < 130)	0.30		0.14	
175 ≤ hp ≤ 750 (130 ≤ kW ≤ 560)	0.30		0.14	
hp > 750 (kW > 560)	0.30		0.14	
	Phase-in Schedule			
	2011	2012	2013	2014
25 ≤ hp < 75 (19 ≤ kW < 56)			100%	
75 ≤ hp < 175 (56 ≤ kW < 130)		50%	50%	100%
175 ≤ hp ≤ 750 (130 ≤ kW ≤ 560)	50%	50%	50%	100%
hp > 750 (kW > 560)	50%	50%	50%	100%

The proposal includes new provisions to help ensure that emission-control systems perform as well when operating in actual service conditions as in the laboratory. These procedures will also allow for testing an engine's emission levels while the machinery operates in normal service.

Controls on In-use Diesel Fuel

Just as lead was phased out of gasoline because it damages catalytic converters in cars, sulfur can contaminate high-efficiency emission-control systems used on diesel engines. Nonroad diesel fuel currently has sulfur levels up to 3,400 parts per million (ppm). This proposal would reduce these levels by 99 percent, which is an essential step in achieving the emission reductions anticipated under the proposal.

Starting in 2007, fuel sulfur levels in nonroad diesel fuel would be limited to a maximum of 500 ppm, the same as for current highway diesel fuel. This limit also covers fuels used in locomotive and marine applications (though not to the marine residual fuel used by very large engines on ocean-going vessels). Reducing fuel sulfur levels to 500 ppm or lower will provide immediate public health benefits by reducing particulate emissions from engines in the existing fleet of nonroad equipment, with the added benefit of reducing the cost of maintaining engines.

The proposal includes a second step of fuel controls to a 15-ppm limit on sulfur content that would apply in 2010. This additional reduction in sulfur levels will further reduce PM emissions from existing engines. More importantly, the ultra-low sulfur levels will make it possible for engine manufacturers to use advanced emission-control systems that will achieve dramatic reductions in both PM and NO_x emissions. In addition, we are seriously considering whether to

establish new emissions standards in the future that would reduce the emissions from locomotive and marine engines by more than 90 percent with the same advanced emission-control technologies included in this proposal.

Estimated Costs of the Proposal

There are approximately 600 nonroad equipment manufacturers using diesel engines in several thousand different equipment models. Fixed costs consider engine research and development, engine tooling, engine certification, and equipment redesign. Variable costs include estimates for new emission-control hardware. Near-term and long-term costs for some example pieces of equipment are shown in Table 3. Also shown in Table 3 are typical prices for each piece of equipment for reference. See Chapter 6 for additional detailed information related to cost analyses related to engines and equipment.

Table 3
Long-Term Costs for Several Example Pieces of Equipment^a

	GenSet	Skid/Steer Loader	Backhoe	Dozer	Agricultural Tractor	Dozer	Off-Highway Truck
Horsepower	9 hp	33 hp	76 hp	175 hp	250 hp	503 hp	1000 hp
Displacement (L)	0.4	1.5	3.9	10.5	7.6	18	28
Incremental Engine & Equipment Cost							
Long Term	\$120	\$760	\$1,210	\$2,590	\$2,000	\$4,210	\$6,780
Near Term	\$170	\$1,100	\$1,680	\$3,710	\$2,950	\$6,120	\$10,100
Estimated Equipment Price ^b	\$3,500	\$13,500	\$50,000	\$235,000	\$130,000	\$575,000	\$700,000

^a Near-term costs include both variable costs and fixed costs; long-term costs include only variable costs and represent those costs that remain following recovery of all fixed costs.

Our estimated costs related to upgrading to low-sulfur fuel takes into account all the necessary changes in both refining and distribution practices. We have estimated the cost of producing 500-ppm fuel to be on average 2.5 cents per gallon. Average costs for 15-ppm fuel are estimated to be an additional 2.3 cents per gallon for a combined cost of 4.8 cents per gallon, as shown in Table 4. These ranges consider variations in regional issues in addition to factors that are specific to individual refiners. In addition, engines running on low-sulfur fuel will have reduced maintenance expenses that we estimate will be equivalent to reducing the cost of the fuel by 3.3 cents per gallon.

Table 4
Increased Cost of Providing Nonroad,
Locomotive and Marine Diesel Fuel (cents per gallon of affected fuel)

	Refining	Distribution	Total
Step One - 500 ppm NRLM diesel fuel	2.2	0.3	2.5
Step Two - 15 ppm Nonroad diesel fuel	4.4	0.4	4.8
Step Two - 500 ppm Locomotive and Marine diesel fuel	2.2	0.2	2.4

Cost per Ton of Reduced Emissions

Chapter 8 describes the analysis of aggregating the incremental fuel costs, operating costs, and the costs for producing compliant engines and equipment, operating costs. Table 5 compares these aggregate costs with the corresponding estimated emission reductions to present cost-per-ton figures for the various pollutants.

Table 5
Aggregate Cost per Ton for the Proposed Two-Step Fuel Program
and Engine Program—2004-2036 Net Present Values at 3% Discount Rate (\$2001)

Pollutant	Aggregate Discounted Lifetime Cost per ton
NO _x +NMHC	\$810
PM	\$8,700
SO _x	\$200

Estimated Emission Reductions, Air Quality Impacts and Benefits

Based on our most recent nationwide inventory used for this proposal (1996), we estimate that the nonroad diesel engines affected by this proposal contribute about 44 percent of diesel PM emissions and 12 percent of NO_x emissions from mobile sources. By 2020, these engines will emit over 60 percent of diesel PM and 20 percent of NO_x from mobile sources. When fully implemented, this proposal would reduce PM and NO_x emissions from nonroad diesel engines by more than 90 percent. It will also virtually eliminate emissions of sulfur oxides (SO_x) from these engines, which amounted to nearly 300,000 tons in 1996, and would otherwise grow to approximately 380,000 tons by 2020. These dramatic emission reductions emissions are a critical part of the effort by federal, state, local, and tribal governments to reduce the health-related impacts of air pollution.

Reducing NO_x and PM emissions from nonroad diesel engines by more than 90 percent would provide a wide range of benefits for public health and the environment. We have estimated that, by 2030, controlling these emissions would annually prevent 9,600 premature

deaths, over 8,300 hospitalizations, and almost a million work days lost. All told, the monetized health benefits of this rule would be \$81 billion annually once the program is fully phased in. Costs for both the engine and fuel requirements would be significantly less, at approximately \$1.5 billion annually. See the fact sheet referenced below for further description of these environmental benefits.

Economic Impact Analysis

An Economic Impact Analysis was prepared for this proposal to estimate its potential economic impacts on producers and consumers of nonroad engines and equipment and fuels, and related industries. The Economic Impact Analysis has two parts: a market analysis and a welfare analysis. The market analysis explores the impacts of the proposed program on prices and quantities of affected products. The welfare analysis focuses on changes in social welfare and explores which entities will bear the burden of the proposed program. A multi-market partial equilibrium approach was used to track changes in price and quantity for 60 integrated product markets. The model and data inputs are described in Chapter 10.

As shown in Table 6, the market analysis predicts that the overall economic impact of the proposed emission control program on society is expected to be small, on average. According to this analysis, the average prices of goods and services produced using equipment and fuel affected by the proposal (the application markets) are expected to increase about 0.02 percent. Engine prices are expected to increase, on average, about 22.9 percent in 2013, decreasing to about 19.5 percent for 2020 and after. The average price increase for nonroad equipment is expected to be about 5.2 percent in 2013, decreasing to 4.4 percent by 2020. The average price increase for nonroad diesel fuel for all years is expected to be about 4.1 percent. Quantities of products affected by this proposal are expected to decline negligibly, by less than 0.02 percent.

Table 6
Summary of Expected Market Impacts, 2013 and 2020

Market	2013			2020		
	Average engineering cost per unit	Price change	Quantity change	Average engineering cost per unit	Price change	Quantity change
Engines	\$1,087	22.9%	-0.013%	\$1,028	19.5%	-0.013%
Equipment	\$1,021	5.2%	-0.014%	\$1,018	4.4%	-0.014%
Application markets ^a	—	0.02%	-0.010%	—	0.02%	-0.010%
Nonroad Fuel Markets	\$0.039	4.1%	-0.013%	\$0.039	4.1%	-0.014%

^aCommodities in the application markets are normalized; only percentage changes are presented

The welfare analysis predicts that consumers and producers in the application markets are expected to bear the burden of this proposed program. In 2013, the total social costs of the rule are estimated to be about \$1,202 million. About 82 percent of the total social costs are expected to be borne by producers and consumers in the application markets, indicating a majority of the costs are expected to be passed on in the form of higher prices. When these estimated impacts are broken down, 58 percent are expected to be borne by consumers in the application markets and 42 percent are expected to be borne by producers in the application markets. Equipment manufacturers are expected to bear about 10 percent of the total social costs. These are primarily the costs associated with equipment redesign. Engine manufacturers are expected to bear about 2.5 percent; this is primarily the fixed costs for R&D. Nonroad fuel refiners are expected to bear about 0.5 percent of the total social costs. The remaining 5 percent is accounted for by fuel marker costs and the additional costs of 15 ppm fuel being sold in to markets such as marine diesel, locomotive, and home heating fuel that do not require it.

In 2020, the social costs of the rule are expected to increase to about \$1,510 million. Producers and consumers in the applications markets are expected to bear nearly all of these costs, about 94 percent. This is consistent with economic theory, which states that, in the long run, all costs are passed on to the consumers of goods and services.

Alternative program options

In the course of designing our proposed program, we investigated several alternative approaches to both the engine and fuel programs. These alternative program options included variations in:

- The applicability of aftertreatment-based standards for different horsepower categories
- The phase-in schedule for engine standards
- The start date for the diesel fuel sulfur standard
- The use of a single-step instead of a two-step approach to fuel sulfur standards
- The applicability of the very-low fuel sulfur standards to fuel used by locomotives and marine engines

Chapter 12 includes a complete evaluation of twelve alternative program options, including an assessment of technical feasibility, cost, cost-effectiveness, inventory impact, and health and welfare benefits for each alternative. Table 12.6.1-1 summarizes the alternative program options, while the accompanying text in Section 12.6 presents our rationale for choosing the proposed program rather than one of the alternatives.