

**Missouri Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps								
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 81.5%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 69%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 137 of 139 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner, and the remaining findings were corrected by July 1, 2007.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e) and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>								
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97.4%.</p> <p>The State’s data reflect a high level of performance for this indicator.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 95%.</p>	<p>The State’s actual target data for provision of services to infants and toddlers in natural environments are at or greater than 95%. There is no expectation that an increase in that percentage is necessary. OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts to improve performance and expects that the State is monitoring to ensure that IFSP teams are making service setting decisions on an individualized basis and in compliance with 34 CFR §§303.12, 303.18, and 303.344(d)(1)(ii).</p>								
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including</p>	<p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1284 1419 1495"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1284 1062 1458">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1062 1284 1182 1458">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1182 1284 1302 1458">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1302 1284 1419 1458">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1458 1062 1495">a. % of infant &amp; toddlers who did not</td> <td data-bbox="1062 1458 1182 1495">0%</td> <td data-bbox="1182 1458 1302 1495">0%</td> <td data-bbox="1302 1458 1419 1495">0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not	0%	0%	0%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior							
a. % of infant & toddlers who did not	0%	0%	0%							

**Missouri Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																
<p>early language/ communication); and                      C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.                      [Results Indicator; New]</p>	improve functioning.																				
	b. % of infant & toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.	16.3%	6.1%	51.0%																	
	c. % of infant & toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.	51.0%	71.4%	26.5%																	
	d. % of infant & toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.	14.3%	20.4%	18.4%																	
	e. % of infant & toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.	18.4%	2.0%	4.1%																	
	The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.																				
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family:                      A. Know their rights;                      B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and                      C. Help their children develop and learn.                      [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised one improvement activity for this indicator, and the baseline for Indicator 4C, in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.                      The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance and looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>																
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2005 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights.</td> <td>93.5%</td> <td>92.3%</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td>95.6%</td> <td>95.6%</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn.</td> <td>98.2% revised</td> <td>96.3%</td> <td>95%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	93.5%	92.3%	95%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	95.6%	95.6%	95%	C. Help their children develop and learn.	98.2% revised	96.3%	95%
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target																		
A. Know their rights.	93.5%	92.3%	95%																		
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	95.6%	95.6%	95%																		
C. Help their children develop and learn.	98.2% revised	96.3%	95%																		
	<p>These data represent slippage for Indicators 4A and 4C, and remain unchanged for Indicator 4B, from the FFY 2005 data.</p>																				
	<p>The State met its FFY 2006 targets for Indicators 4B and 4C, and did not meet its target for Indicator 4A.</p>																				

**Missouri Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
	<p>The State collected FFY 2006 data using both census and sampling methodologies, and concluded that data collected using a census methodology yield valid and reliable data. It is OSEP's understanding that in the future the State will continue to use census rather than sampling to collect the data for this indicator.</p>	
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:                      A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and                      B. National data.                      [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are .64%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of .71%.                      The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of .73%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:                      A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and                      B. National data.                      [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.37%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 1.48%.                      The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 1.57%.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to the State's data demonstrating improvement in performance in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.                      [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 95.1%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 90.9%.                      The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.                      The State reported that the one finding of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner.                      OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92.7%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 60.1%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 20 of 22 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner, and the remaining findings were corrected by July 1, 2007.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90.9%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 64%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 38 of 44 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner, and the remaining findings were corrected by July 1, 2007.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 78.1%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 57%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that 15 of 22 findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 were corrected in a timely manner, and that the remaining findings were</p>	<p>The State reported that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected.</p> <p>The State also reported that noncompliance</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>including: C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>corrected by July 1, 2007.</p>	<p>identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements was corrected.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification. [Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92.4%. These data represent slippage from the FFY 2005 data of 95.5%</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that all remaining FFY 2005 findings were corrected by July 2007.</p>	<p>The State reported that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 was corrected. The State also reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected. OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that the State timely corrected noncompliance identified in FFY 2006 (2006-2007) under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In addition, in responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data are based on three complaints. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 100%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with the timely complaint resolution requirements in 34 CFR §§303.510 through 303.512.</p>

**Missouri Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
respect to a particular complaint. [Compliance Indicator]		
11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline. [Compliance Indicator]	The State reported that there were no fully adjudicated due process hearing requests during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted). [Results Indicator]	Not applicable.	This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.
13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements. [Results Indicator]	The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.	OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.
14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate. [Compliance Indicator]	The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.	OSEP appreciates the State's efforts in achieving compliance with sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.