

**Idaho Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps								
<p>1. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who receive the early intervention services on their IFSPs in a timely manner.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 81.4%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 72%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that six of six findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely service provision requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>The State also reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely service provision requirements was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.340(c), 303.342(e), and 303.344(f)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>								
<p>2. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who primarily receive early intervention services in the home or programs for typically developing children.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 92.6%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 92.5%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 92.3%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>								
<p>3. Percent of infants and toddlers with IFSPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/ communication);</p> <p>and</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported progress data for this indicator are:</p> <table border="1" data-bbox="548 1247 1419 1495"> <thead> <tr> <th data-bbox="548 1247 1060 1422">06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data</th> <th data-bbox="1060 1247 1178 1422">Social Emotional</th> <th data-bbox="1178 1247 1295 1422">Knowledge &amp; Skills</th> <th data-bbox="1295 1247 1419 1422">Appropriate Behavior</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td data-bbox="548 1422 1060 1495">a. % of infant &amp; toddlers who did not improve functioning.</td> <td data-bbox="1060 1422 1178 1495">1.3%</td> <td data-bbox="1178 1422 1295 1495">2.5%</td> <td data-bbox="1295 1422 1419 1495">0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior	a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.3%	2.5%	0%	<p>The State reported the required progress data and improvement activities. The State must provide progress data with the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, and baseline data and targets with the FFY 2008 APR, due February 1, 2010.</p>
06-07 Infant and Toddler Outcome Progress Data	Social Emotional	Knowledge & Skills	Appropriate Behavior							
a. % of infant & toddlers who did not improve functioning.	1.3%	2.5%	0%							

**Idaho Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues				OSEP Analysis/Next Steps																	
<p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>b. % of infant &amp; toddlers who improved but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>16%</p>	<p>17%</p>	<p>8%</p>																		
	<p>c. % of infant &amp; toddlers who improved to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it.</p>	<p>19%</p>	<p>22%</p>	<p>22%</p>																		
	<p>d. % of infant &amp; toddlers who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>26%</p>	<p>26%</p>	<p>31%</p>																		
	<p>e. % of infant &amp; toddlers who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers.</p>	<p>37%</p>	<p>32%</p>	<p>39%</p>																		
	<p>The State provided improvement activities for this indicator covering the remaining years of the SPP.</p>																					
<p>4. Percent of families participating in Part C who report that early intervention services have helped the family: A. Know their rights; B. Effectively communicate their children's needs; and C. Help their children develop and learn. [Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's reported data for this indicator are:</p>				<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>																	
	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th></th> <th>FFY 2005 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Data</th> <th>FFY 2006 Target</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>A. Know their rights.</td> <td>56%</td> <td>58.2%</td> <td>57%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.</td> <td>52%</td> <td>54.3%</td> <td>53%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>C. Help their children develop and learn.</td> <td>68%</td> <td>71.9%</td> <td>69%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>						FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target	A. Know their rights.	56%	58.2%	57%	B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	52%	54.3%	53%	C. Help their children develop and learn.	68%	71.9%	69%	
	FFY 2005 Data	FFY 2006 Data	FFY 2006 Target																			
A. Know their rights.	56%	58.2%	57%																			
B. Effectively communicate their children's needs.	52%	54.3%	53%																			
C. Help their children develop and learn.	68%	71.9%	69%																			
	<p>These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 targets.</p>																					

**Idaho Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>5. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 1 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 1.7%. The State's FFY 2005 data were 1.75%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 1.6%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>6. Percent of infants and toddlers birth to 3 with IFSPs compared to:</p> <p>A. Other States with similar eligibility definitions; and</p> <p>B. National data.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 2.77%. The State's FFY 2005 data were 2.9%.</p> <p>The State met its FY 2006 target of 2.74%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts to improve performance.</p>
<p>7. Percent of eligible infants and toddlers with IFSPs for whom an evaluation and assessment and an initial IFSP meeting were conducted within Part C's 45-day timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 90.3%. These data remain unchanged from the FFY 2005 data of 90.3%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that four of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the 45-day timeline requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342 was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>The State must review its improvement activities and revise them, if appropriate, to ensure they will enable the State to provide data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, demonstrating that the State is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.321(e)(2), 303.322(e)(1), and 303.342, including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child's transition to preschool and</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 98%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 79%.</p>	<p>The State reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the IFSP transition content requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h) was</p>

**Idaho Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>A. IFSPs with transition steps and services;</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that four of four findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §§303.148(b)(4) and 303.344(h), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>B. Notification to LEA, if child potentially eligible for Part B; and</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 96.1%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 87.5%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that three of three findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the LEA notification requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1) was corrected.</p> <p>The State also reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the LEA notification requirement was corrected in a timely manner.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(1), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.</p>
<p>8. Percent of all children exiting Part C who received timely transition planning to support the child’s transition to preschool and other appropriate community services by their third birthday including:</p> <p>C. Transition conference, if child potentially eligible for Part B.</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 97%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 84%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p> <p>The State reported that two of two findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 related to this indicator were corrected in a timely manner.</p>	<p>The State reported that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 with the timely transition conference requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)) was corrected.</p> <p>The State also reported that noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 with the timely transition conference requirements was corrected in a timely manner.</p>

**Idaho Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

Monitoring Priorities and Indicators	Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues	OSEP Analysis/Next Steps
[Compliance Indicator]		OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State’s data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the requirements in 34 CFR §303.148(b)(2)(i) (as modified by IDEA section 637(a)(9)), including reporting correction of the noncompliance identified in the FFY 2006 APR.
<p>9. General supervision system (including monitoring, complaints, hearings, etc.) identifies and corrects noncompliance as soon as possible but in no case later than one year from identification.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State’s FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. These data represent progress from the FFY 2005 data of 75.6%.</p> <p>The State met its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>The State reported that the remaining noncompliance identified in FFY 2004 in Indicator 9 was corrected.</p> <p>The State also reported that the noncompliance identified in FFY 2005 was corrected in a timely manner in accordance with the requirements in IDEA section 635(a)(10) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>OSEP appreciates the State’s efforts in timely correcting noncompliance identified under this indicator in accordance with IDEA section 635(a)(10)(A) and 34 CFR §303.501.</p> <p>In responding to Indicators 1, 7, 8A, 8B, and 8C in its FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State must specifically identify and address the noncompliance identified in this table under those indicators.</p>
<p>10. Percent of signed written complaints with reports issued that were resolved within 60-day timeline or a timeline extended for exceptional circumstances with respect to a particular complaint.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any signed written complaints during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State’s data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>

**Idaho Part C FFY 2006 SPP/APR Response Table**

<b>Monitoring Priorities and Indicators</b>	<b>Status of APR Data/SPP Revision Issues</b>	<b>OSEP Analysis/Next Steps</b>
<p>11. Percent of fully adjudicated due process hearing requests that were fully adjudicated within the applicable timeline.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that it did not receive any due process hearing requests during the reporting period.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>12. Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements (applicable if Part B due process procedures are adopted).</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>Not applicable.</p>	<p>This indicator does not apply to the State because the State has not adopted the Part B due process procedures.</p>
<p>13. Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.</p> <p>[Results Indicator]</p>	<p>The State reported that no mediations were held during the reporting period.</p> <p>The State reported fewer than ten mediations held in FFY 2006. The State is not required to provide targets or improvement activities until any FFY in which ten or more mediations were held.</p>	<p>OSEP looks forward to reviewing the State's data in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009.</p>
<p>14. State reported data (618 and State Performance Plan and Annual Performance Report) are timely and accurate.</p> <p>[Compliance Indicator]</p>	<p>The State revised the improvement activities for this indicator in its SPP and OSEP accepts those revisions.</p> <p>The State's FFY 2006 reported data for this indicator are 100%. However, OSEP's calculation of the data for this indicator is 96.6%.</p> <p>The State did not meet its FFY 2006 target of 100%.</p>	<p>OSEP appreciates the State's efforts and looks forward to reviewing in the FFY 2007 APR, due February 1, 2009, the State's data demonstrating that it is in compliance with the timely and accurate data requirements in IDEA sections 616, 618, and 642 and 34 CFR §§76.720 and 303.540.</p>