

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF SPECIAL EDUCATION AND REHABILITATIVE SERVICES

JUN 1 5 2007

Honorable Hank Bounds Superintendent of Education Mississippi State Department of Education P.O. Box 771 Jackson, MS 39205

Dear Superintendent Bounds:

Thank you for the timely submission of Mississippi's Annual Performance Report (APR) and revised State Performance Plan (SPP) under Part B of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA), as amended in 2004. We also appreciate the revisions to Mississippi's SPP received on May 15, 2007.

As you know, under IDEA section 616, each State has an SPP that evaluates the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of Part B of the IDEA and describes how the State will improve its implementation of Part B. In the revised SPP due by February 1, 2007, States were required to provide information on: (1) specific new indicators; and (2) correction of any deficiencies identified in the Office of Special Education Programs' (OSEP's) SPP response letter sent to your State last year. States were also required to submit by February 1, 2007, an APR for Federal fiscal year (FFY) 2005 that describes the State's: (1) progress or slippage in meeting the measurable and rigorous targets established in the SPP; and (2) any revisions to the State's targets, improvement activities, timelines or resources in the SPP and justifications for the revisions. We appreciate the State's efforts in preparing the FFY 2005 APR and revised SPP.

The Department has reviewed the information provided in the State's FFY 2005 APR and revised SPP, other State-reported data, information obtained through monitoring visits, and other public information and has determined that, under IDEA section 616(d), Mississippi needs assistance in meeting the requirements of Part B of the IDEA. The State should review IDEA section 616(e) regarding the potential future impact of the Department's determination.

The Department's determination is based on the totality of the State's data in its SPP/APR and other publicly available information, including any compliance issues. The factors in States' FFY 2005 APR and February 1, 2007 SPP submissions that affected the Department's determinations were whether the State: (1) provided valid and reliable FFY 2005 data that reflect the measurement for each indicator, and if not, whether the State provided a plan to collect the missing or deficient data; and (2) for each compliance indicator that was not new (a) demonstrated compliance or timely corrected noncompliance, and (b) in instances where it did not demonstrate compliance, had nonetheless made progress in ensuring compliance over prior performance in that area. We also considered whether the State had other IDEA compliance issues that were identified previously through the Department's monitoring, audit or other activities, and

the State's progress in resolving those problems. See the enclosure entitled "How the Department Made Determinations under Section 616(d) of the IDEA in 2007" for further details.

OSEP's FFY 2005 determination for Mississippi of needs assistance, rather than needs intervention, was based on OSEP's recognition of the devastating effects of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita on the State during the FFY 2005 reporting period. Other specific factors affecting OSEP's determination included the following: (1) the State did not provide FFY 2005 data for Indicator 12; (2) did not provide data, or a plan that would result in the State being able to report data for the FFY 2006 APR, for Indicator 13; and (3) the State reported 80.85% compliance for Indicator 15.

For Indicator 12, the State did not provide any data that would have allowed us to assess the magnitude of the State's compliance with the requirement that eligible children transitioning from the Part C program to the Part B program have an individualized education program (IEP) in place and implemented by their third birthday. Without these data, OSEP and the public cannot determine whether children transitioning from Part C to Part B in Mississippi are receiving special education and related services by their third birthdays, or whether there are delays in the provision of needed special education and related services for these children. Last year the State reported that 51% of eligible children transitioning from Part C to Part B were receiving services by their third birthday in FFY 2004. Although we identified this as noncompliance in OSEP's March 21, 2006 FFY 2004 SPP response letter, and advised the State to review and make appropriate revisions to its improvement activities, the State's FFY 2005 APR indicates that the State's efforts focused on collecting data, which it was nevertheless unable to provide with the FFY 2005 APR. The State's FFY 2005 APR does not indicate that the State took any other steps to address the underlying compliance issue of ensuring that local educational agencies (LEA) have an IEP in place and implemented by the third birthday for eligible children transitioning from Part C to Part B that was identified based on the FFY 2004 data. In addition, as noted above, the State did not provide data for Indicator 13, and, while it did provide a plan to collect the data for this Indicator, the plan will not result in the State being able to report data for the FFY 2006 year either.

We commend the State for its 100% compliance for Indicators 16 and 17.

The table enclosed with this letter provides OSEP's analysis of the State's FFY 2005 APR and revised SPP and identifies, by indicator, OSEP's review and acceptance of any revisions made by the State to its targets, improvement activities (timelines and resources) and baseline data in the State's SPP. It also identifies, by indicator, the State's status in meeting its targets, and whether the State's data reflect progress or slippage, and whether the State corrected noncompliance and provided valid and reliable data. The table also lists, by indicator, any additional information the State must include in the FFY 2006 APR or, as needed, the SPP due February 1, 2008, to address the problems OSEP identified in the revised SPP or FFY 2005 APR. The State must provide this required information. We plan to factor into our determinations next year whether or not States provided the additional information requested in this table in their FFY 2006 APR, due February 1, 2008, and may take other actions as well, if the State's data, or lack of data, regarding these issues indicates continuing noncompliance.

As you know, your State must report annually to the public on the performance of each LEA located in the State on the targets in the SPP under IDEA section 616(b)(2)(C)(ii)(l). The requirement for public reporting on LEA performance is a critical provision in ensuring accountability and focusing on improved results for children with disabilities. Please have your staff notify your OSEP State Contact when and where your State makes available its public report on LEA performance. In addition, States must review LEA performance against targets in the State's SPP, especially the compliance indicators, determine if each LEA meets the requirements of the IDEA and inform each LEA of its determination. For further information regarding these requirements, see SPP/APR Guidance Materials at http://www.rrfcnetwork.org/.

We hope that the State found helpful, and was able to benefit from, the monthly technical assistance conference calls conducted by this Office, ongoing consultation with OSEP State Contacts and OSEP-funded Technical Assistance Center staff, materials found on the IDEA 2004 website, and attendance at OSEP-sponsored conferences. OSEP will continue to provide technical assistance opportunities to assist your State as it works to improve performance under Part B of the IDEA. If you have any feedback on our past technical assistance efforts or the needs of States for guidance, we would be happy to hear from you as we work to develop further mechanisms to support State improvement activities.

OSEP is committed to supporting Mississippi's efforts to improve results for children with disabilities and looks forward to working with your State over the next year. If you have any questions, would like to discuss this further, or want to request technical assistance, please do not hesitate to call Cynthia Bryant, your OSEP State Contact, at 202-245-7284.

Sincerely,

Patricia J. Guard

Acting Director

Office of Special Education Programs

Patricia J. Guard

Enclosures

cc: State Director of Special Education