

# Archived Information

**Exhibit C-2—School Level of Charter Schools, 1995–96 Compared to All Public Schools in 1993–94 by State<sup>4</sup>**

State		Percentage of charter schools and all public schools in the state							
		Primary	Elementary	Middle	K-8	Middle-High	High	K-12	Othe
California	charter	4.8%	31.3%	13.3%	20.5%	7.2%	10.8%	4.8%	0.0
	state	2.3%	54.7%	13.3%	7.4%	1.6%	16.7%	1.6%	1.1'
Arizona	charter	2.6%	18.4%	2.6%	10.5%	15.8%	26.3%	15.8%	5.3
	state	5.9%	46.4%	14.6%	14.0%	0.9%	15.3%	0.7%	1.4'
Michigan	charter	10.5%	26.3%	2.6%	13.2%	2.6%	26.3%	7.9%	2.6
	state	6.1%	53.3%	15.7%	2.7%	4.2%	14.6%	1.2%	1.0
Colorado	charter	0.0%	31.8%	9.1%	22.7%	4.5%	4.5%	18.2%	0.0
	state	4.7%	54.4%	17.3%	0.9%	5.2%	15.7%	0.3%	0.6
Minnesota	charter	5.9%	17.6%	0.0%	23.5%	11.8%	5.9%	29.4%	0.0
	state	7.6%	46.3%	10.9%	1.9%	14.8%	15.0%	1.6%	1.9
Massachusetts	charter	15.4%	38.5%	23.1%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7'
	state	10.6%	53.1%	14.0%	4.0%	3.3%	13.0%	1.1%	0.7'
Wisconsin	charter	0.0%	20.0%	20.0%	0.0%	40.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0
	state	6.2%	49.0%	15.9%	6.1%	3.3%	18.1%	0.5%	0.8
New Mexico	charter	0.0%	25.0%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0
	state	7.1%	53.8%	17.4%	0.7%	4.4%	13.7%	1.0%	0.8
Georgia	charter	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0
	state	4.6%	51.0%	16.1%	7.1%	2.9%	15.6%	1.3%	1.3
Hawaii	charter	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0
	state	0.8%	64.7%	11.6%	5.0%	3.7%	10.0%	3.3%	0.8
Total	charter	5.3%	28.9%	8.9%	16.0%	8.0%	14.2%	12.0%	3.6
	states	5.0%	52.5%	14.4%	5.5%	3.8%	15.7%	1.2%	1.1'

**Exhibit B-1—Comparison of Major Policy Elements of Charter School Reform Legislation  
(25 states plus District of Columbia, as of August 1996)**

State/ Year law passed	Charter approving agencies and sponsors	Appeal of charter denial	Cap on number of charters allowed in state & by district	Automatic waiver of most state education laws and regulations	Charter school may employ and/or bargain with staff independently	Charter school may be independent legal entity	of i g co w :
Alaska 1995	Local boards	No	30 state 1-10 district	No, may apply for waiver of local policies only	No	No	
Arizona 1994	Local board, state board, and state board for Charter Schools	No, but may apply to multiple grantors	No caps	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Arkansas 1995	State board (with local board and bargaining unit approval)	No	No caps	No, may apply for waivers	No	No	
California 1992	Local boards	Yes, county panel	100 state, 10 district (21 in Los Angeles)	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes
Colorado 1993	Local boards	Yes, state board may order local board to grant charter	60 state (cap expires in 1997)	No, may apply for waivers	Yes	Yes	N
Connecticut 1996	state board local board for conversions	None specified 2 school district; 4 congressional district	24 state (cap expires 1999)	No, may apply for waivers	Yes	Yes	Y cc I
Delaware 1995	Local boards; state board also for new start-ups	No	5/year state	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Washington, DC 1996	DC board, new charter school board, other entities as designated by DC Council	Subject to judicial review	10 districtwide in 1996, 20 per year thereafter	Yes	Yes	Yes	

**Exhibit B-1—Comparison of Major Policy Elements of Charter School Reform Legislation  
(25 states plus District of Columbia, as of August 1996) continued**

State/ Year law passed	Charter approving agencies and sponsors	Appeal of charter denial	Cap on number of charters allowed in state & by district	Automatic waiver of most state education laws and regulations	Charter school may employ and/or bargain with staff independently	Charter school may be independent legal entity	of 1 g co w !
Florida 1996	Local boards and state universities in some cases	Yes, state board and judicial review	No state cap	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Georgia 1993	State board (with local board and union approval)	No	No caps	Yes	May be negotiated; otherwise no	No	
Hawaii 1994	State board	No	25 state	Yes	Not specified	Not specified	
Illinois 1996	Local boards	Limited appeals process	45 state 15 each in 3 regions	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Kansas 1994	Local boards	No	15 state 2 district	No, may apply for waivers	No	No	No
Louisiana 1995	Local boards (state board for district-initiated proposals)	No	No state cap Variable local caps	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Massachusetts 1993	State board	No	25 state 2/city except 5 in 2 major urban areas	No	Yes	Yes	M dep loc:
Michigan 1993	Local boards, universities, community colleges	May petition to have issue placed on ballot for next election	No absolute cap; only 85 (rises to 150 by 1999) to be issued by universities	No	Yes	Yes	Yes at
Minnesota 1991	Local boards, State board (on appeal), colleges & universities	Yes, state bBoard (if two votes on local board)	40 state	Yes	Yes	Yes	Ma so

**Exhibit B-1—Comparison of Major Policy Elements of Charter School Reform Legislation  
(25 states plus District of Columbia, as of August 1996) continued**

State/ Year law passed	Charter approving agencies and sponsors	Appeal of charter denial	Cap on number of charters allowed in state & by district	Automatic waiver of most state education laws and regulations	Charter school may employ and/or bargain with staff independently	Charter school may be independent legal entity	op i g co w s
New Hampshire 1995	Local boards, state board upon appeal (with pre- conditions)	Yes, state board	10/year until 2000 when cap expires 2/year	Yes	Yes	Yes	co n r mini at th of t
New Jersey 1996	State commissioner	No	135 state (cap expires 1998)	No, regulations only may be waived upon request	Yes, for "new starts" No for "conversions"	Yes	No, n
New Mexico 1993	State board	No	5 state	No, may request waivers from State Board	Not specified	Not specified	
North Carolina 1996	Local boards, state university trustees, state board	Yes, state board	100 state 5 per year, per district	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Rhode Island 1995	State board of regents (with approval of Commissioner and/or local boards)	No	20 state	No, may apply for waivers	No	No	
South Carolina 1996	Local boards	Yes, but state board may not actually grant charter	No caps	Yes	Yes, for "new starts" No for "conversions"	Yes	
Texas (Campus) 1996	Local boards	No	No caps	Yes	Not specified	<i>Presumably yes</i>	No pi n
Texas (Open Enrollment) 1996	State board	No	20 state	Yes	Yes	<i>Presumably yes</i>	



**Exhibit B-1—Comparison of Major Policy Elements of Charter School Reform Legislation  
(25 states plus District of Columbia, as of August 1996) continued**

State/ Year law passed	Charter approving agencies and sponsors	Appeal of charter denial	Cap on number of charters allowed in state & by district	Automatic waiver of most state education laws and regulations	Charter school may employ and/or bargain with staff independently	Charter school may be independent legal entity	op i g co w s
Wisconsin 1993	Local boards only except Dept. of Public Instruction may grant to Milwaukee	No, except DPI may grant in Milwaukee	No caps	Yes	No, except Milwaukee	No, except Milwaukee	N
Wyoming 1995	Local boards	No	No caps	Not specified	Not specified	Not specified	











