SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

A brief summary of financial proposals filed with and actions by the S.E.C.

(In ordering full text of Releases from Publications Unit, cite number)



FOR RELEASE April 24, 1959

SECURITIES VIOLATIONS CHARGED TO MANAGED FUNDS

The Securities and Exchange Commission has instituted proceedings under the Securities Act of 1933 challenging the accuracy and adequacy of disclosures contained in a registration statement filed by Managed Funds, Inc., a St. Louis investment company, and scheduling a hearing for May 6, 1959, on the question whether a stop order should be issued suspending its effectiveness. The registration statement was filed August 2, 1954. From time to time since that date amendments have been filed increasing the number of shares registered for public sale and to provide a current prospectus, the most recent such amendment having been filed August 25, 1958, and declared effective August 29, 1958.

At the same time, the Commission served written notice on Managed Funds pursuant to provisions of the Investment Company Act of 1940 that various of its annual and other reports under that Act appear to be false and misleading; that the company may file corrected reports on or before May 29, 1959; and that following any such filing or failure so to file, the Commission may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, suspend or revoke the registration of Managed Funds as an investment company.

In announcing these proceedings, the Commission called attention to the fact that Managed Funds has a portfolio of securities having an aggregate market value of approximately \$75 million, and that its actions should not be construed in any manner as questioning the ability of Managed Funds to meet the contract terms of its outstanding shares.

One of the principal issues raised in the stop order proceedings relates to the adequacy and accuracy of the stated investment policy of Managed Funds, the principal objective of which is said to be "to provide for capital growth in all classes," with emphasis upon "capital growth rather than income" and with resulting normal turnover in the securities held. The statement of investment policy is challenged by the Commission in view (among other things) of the Fund's large turnover of portfolio securities and its apparent policy and design to provide and distribute quarterly gains on sales of portfolio securities.

Another primary issue relates to representations in the Managed Funds prospectus that research, statistical and investment advisory services are rendered to the Fund by Slayton Associates. Inc., under a contract dated August 15, 1952. The accuracy of these representations is questioned by the Commission in view particularly of the undisclosed delegation of certain of these functions by Slayton Associates to Stephen M. Jaquith and the nature and extent of the services actually rendered by Jaquith for the Fund, as well as the payment to Jaquith and others of brokerage commissions on transactions in Managed Funds portfolio securities, as outlined below.

In the notice under the Investment Company Act, false and misleading representations in various reports filed by Managed Funds under that Act are charged, including the omission to state that Jaquith had become the investment adviser for Managed Funds in December 1953 under provisions of a contract dated December 1, 1953, between Slayton Associates, Inc., the then investment adviser for the Fund, and Jaquith, then an employee of Model, Roland and Stone. According to the notice, this contract required Jaquith, under the general direction and approval of Slayton Associates, to use his best judgment in the selection, purchase and sale of securities under the general policy of Slayton Associates as it may be determined from time to time; and Slayton Associates in payment for the rices to be rendered, agreed to direct brokerage business to Jaquith or to such persons or file is he might designate. OVER

It further appears, according to the Commission's notice, that despite the obligation of Slayton Associates to render advisory services to Managed Funds under and pursuant to a contract dated August 15, 1952, "... said obligation and responsibility of Slayton Associates Inc., to Managed Funds, Inc. and its stockholders had been abdicated and delegated to Stephen M. Jaquith. It is also asserted by the Commission that Managed Funds' annual report for the year ended November 30, 1958, failed to disclose that Slayton Associates did not perform the services it had agreed to perform and for which it had received payment of \$278,488; that Slayton Associates had directed brokerage commissions to Jaquith aggregating at least \$635,775 in respect of portfolio securities purchased and sold for Managed Funds that year; that Jaquith designated Model, Roland and Stone to effect said purchases and sales and to receive the \$635,775 of brokerage commissions; and that Jaquith received as compensation for said designation credit from Model, Roland and Stone in the gross amount of 50% of the commissions.

It further appears that, at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith caused \$215,231 gross commissions to be credited to James S. Stubbs and \$63,392 to Harold W. Smith. Hilton H. Slayton is president of Managed Funds and vice president and 51% stockholder of Slayton Associates; Smith is the husband of a sister of Hovey E. Slayton, vice president of Managed Funds and president of Slayton Associates; and Stubbs is a former business associate of Hilton H. Slayton and a former director of Managed Funds. These payments were not disclosed in the report.

Similar non-disclosures are charged with respect to earlier reports, including payments to Slayton Associates and to Model, Roland and Stone for prior years, as follows: 1957 - \$253,821 and \$254,525, respectively; 1956 - \$229,096 and \$402,474, respectively; 1955 - \$179,019 and \$268,872, respectively; and 1954 - \$125,943 and \$134,977, respectively. In each of the years, Jaquith received 50% of the commissions payable to Model, Roland and Stone; and at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith caused commissions to be credited in 1957 to Smith in the amount of \$49,985 and to Stubbs in the amount of \$67,520; in 1956, \$47,266 to Smith and \$144,813 to Stubbs; 1955, \$60,263 to Smith and \$11,399 to Stubbs; and 1954, \$6,769 to Smith.

Moreover, according to the notice, the report failed to disclose that the said contract between Jaquith and Slayton Associates was illegal and void under provisions of the Investment Company Act and that all or part of the compensation paid thereunder might be recoverable by Managed Funds.

JACOBS HEARING POSTPONED

At the request of Joseph S. Radom, counsel to Arthur B. Pfleiderer and Harry Garland, cotrustees of F. L. Jacobs Co., debtor in reorganization proceedings pursuant to Chapter X of the Bankruptcy Act pending in the U. S. District Court in Detroit, the SEC has authorized a further postponement, from April 27 to June 1, 1959, of the hearing in proceedings under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to determine whether Jacobs Co. Class A and Class B common stock should be withdrawn from listing and registration because of the company's failure to file proper annual and other reports with the Commission and the New York Stock Exchange. The postponement was requested by counsel in order that the independent accountants may complete their audit of the company's books and records and so that counsel could properly prepare for the hearing.

* * * * * *

CORRECTION: The hearing on the Middle South Utilities, Inc., stock option plan referred to in the News Digest of April 22, 1959, has been postponed to June 16, 1959, not June 10, 1959.

SEC ADVISORY REPORT FILED ON SELECTED INVESTMENTS REORGANIZATION

The SEC today filed an advisory report (Release CR-118) with the U. S. District Court in Oklahoma City upon the proposed plan for reorganization of Selected Investments Corporation and Selected Investments Trust Fund, debtors in reorganization pursuant to Chapter X of the Bankruptcy Act. In its report, the Commission concluded that the plan was feasible and that it could be made fair and equitable if modified in certain respects.

The debtor companies, directly and through subsidiaries, are engaged primarily in the finance business in Oklahoma; and they also own or control many other businesses and properties. The plan was proposed by the Wirt Franklin Investors Committee representing the holders of \$16,000,0 of trust certificates issued by the Trust Fund. There are over \$39,000,000 trust certificates

CONTINUED

outstanding on which no interest has been paid since the reorganization proceedings commenced in Mr 5 1958. Under the plan, the reorganized company would extend its operations in the finance ess and divest itself of other holdings. For reorganization purposes, the estate is valued at \$27,500,000. The plan accords no participation to present common stockholders since the corporation was found to be insolvent by the Court.

The plan proposes that new debentures and common stock be issued to holders of trust certificates. Such holders would receive up to 16,500,000 shares of new \$1 par common stock and up to \$11,000,000 of new 6% subordinated debentures. Holders would be allowed to receive cash in lieu of new securities; but if requests for cash exceeded \$14,000,000 in amount, the plan is to terminate. After the initial issuance of such securities the new management group is to receive an option at par value on $7\frac{1}{2}\%$ of the number of shares issued to certificate holders; and the plan also provides for an option to unnamed employees on $2\frac{1}{2}\%$ of the number of shares issued.

Amendments to the plan suggested by the Commission to make it fair and equitable to public security holders are the following: (1) Changing the formula for determining the amount of cash to pay to the certificate holders who withdraw; (2) eliminating the option provisions or in the alternative, changing their terms; (3) altering the method of election of the members of the Board of Directors by providing for cumulative voting; (4) altering the length of terms to be served by the members of the Board of Directors; (5) increasing the face amount of the debentures and the par value of the common stock and reducing the number of debentures and shares of stock to be issued and providing for the issuance of scrip for fractional interests; (6) providing for a premium upon call of the debentures before maturity or serial redemption; (7) providing for preemptive rights for the common stock; and (8) providing for pro-rata cash withdrawals if requests for more than \$14,000,000 of withdrawals are made.

COMMERCIAL CREDIT FILES STOCK OPTION PLAN

Commercial Credit Company, Baltimore, Md., filed a registration statement (File 2-15025) with the SEC on April 23, 1959, seeking registration of 118,100 shares of common stock, issuable upon exercise of options to purchase common stock issued or to be issued to certain officers and key employees of the company and its subsidiaries under the company's Employees' Restricted Stock Option Plan.

GENERAL AMERICAN OIL PROPOSES DEBENTURE OFFERING

General American Oil Company of Texas, Meadows Bldg., <u>Dallas</u>, filed a registration statement (File 2-15026) with the SEC on April 23, 1959, seeking registration of \$15,000,000 of Subordinated Debentures, due May 1, 1984 (convertible), to be offered for public sale through an underwriting group headed by Blyth & Co., Inc. The interest rate, public offering price and underwriting terms are to be supplied by amendment.

The company is principally engaged in the production and sale of crude oil and natural gas; in the acquisition of proved or prospective oil and gas properties; and in the exploration, drilling and development of oil and gas properties. Net proceeds of the sale of the debentures will be added to the company's general funds and, as such, will be available for any corporate purpose. It is expected that some \$2,500,000 will be applied to the repayment of bank loans incurred to acquire prospective oil and gas properties; and the balance will further increase net working capital which has been reduced as a result of expenditures made for property acquisitions and for exploration and development activities.

POTOMAC ELECTRIC POWER PROPOSES RIGHTS OFFERING

Potomac Electric Power Company, Washington, D. C., filed a registration statement (File 2-15027) with the SEC on April 23, 1959, seeking registration of 1,182,077 shares of common stock. The company proposes to offer this stock for subscription by common stockholders of record May 12, 1959, at the rate of one new share for each five shares then held. Unsubscribed shares will first before for sale to employees, and then to the public through an underwriting group headed by I, n, Read & Co. Inc., and Johnston, Lemon & Co. The subscription price and underwriting terms are to be supplied by amendment.

Net proceeds of the stock sale will be used to pay \$6,425,000 of outstanding bank loan notes, representing borrowings for working capital and other corporate purposes, to reimburse to company's treasury for a portion of the construction expenditures heretofore made, and to provifor a portion of its construction program. The company estimates that its gross property additions for the years 1959 and 1960 will aggregate some \$89,000,000.

RULE PROPOSED ON NOTICE OF STOCK OFFERINGS

The SEC has proposed an amendment to its Rule 135 under the Securities Act of 1933 relating to public notice of proposed stock offerings (Release 33-4072); and it has invited the submission of views and comments thereon not later than May 25, 1959.

Rule 135 provides that a notice or other communication sent by an issuer to security holders to inform them of the proposed issuance of rights to subscribe to additional securities shall not be deemed to offer any security for sale if the communication is transmitted within 60 days prior to the record date, states that the offering will be made only by the prospectus and in addition contains only certain specified information necessary to inform the security holders of the forthcoming offering.

The proposed amendment would expand the rule to authorize the sending of similar notices where an issuer proposes to offer securities to its own security holders or to the security holders of another issuer in exchange for securities presently held by them, or proposes to make an offering of securities to its employees or to the employees of an affiliate.

Under the proposed amendment to the rule, such notices issued by an issuer would not be construed to be an offer of a security for sale subject to the registration requirements of Section 5 of the Securities Act.

DELISTING OF AMERICAN HAWAIIAN STEAMSHIP STUCK PROPOSED

The SEC has issued an order giving interested persons until May 8, 1959, to request a hearing upon an application of the New York Stock Exchange to delist the capital stock of American Hawaiian Steamship Company, due to the limited distribution of the stock consequent upon a recent company offer to acquire the shares at \$105 per share (Release 34-5943).

UNLISTED TRADING IN COLUMBIA BROADCASTING SOUGHT

The Boston and the Philadelphia-Baltimore Stock Exchanges have applied to the SEC for unlisted trading privileges in the common stock of Columbia Broadcasting Company, Inc.; and the SEC has issued orders giving interested persons until May 5 and 8, 1959, respectively, to request a hearing thereon (Release 34-5943).

UNLISTED TRADING GRANTED PHILADELPHIA-BALTIMOKE EXCHANGE

The SEC has granted applications of the Philadelphia-Baltimore Stock Exchange for unlisted trading privileges in the common stocks of Ampex Corporation and Johnson & Johnson (Release 34-5943).

INVESTMENT FUNDS SEEK REGISTRATION OF ADDITIONAL SHARES

Investors Stock Fund, Inc., <u>Minneapolis</u>, filed an amendment on April 23, 1959, to its registration statement (File 2-11358) seeking registration of 10,000,000 additional shares of capital stock.

United Funds, Inc., Kansas City, filed an amendment on April 23, 1959, to its registration statement (File 2-11527) seeking registration of 3,000,000 additional United Income Fund shares, 2,000,000 United Science Fund shares, and 1,000,000 Continental Fund shares.

---0000000---



The Securities and Exchange Commission has instituted proceedings under the Securities Act of 1933 challenging the accuracy and adequacy of disclosures contained in a registration statement filed maddet by Managed Funds Inc., a St. Louis investment company, __ on the question whether and scheduling a hearing for _____ a stop order should be issued suspending its effectiveness.

At the same time, the Commission served written notice on Managed Funds pursuant to provisions of the Investment Company Act of 1940 that various of its annual and other reports under that Act wine felse and misleading; that the company may file corrected reports on or before May 29, 1959; and that following any such filing or failure so to file, the Commission may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, suspend or revoke the registration of Managed Funds as an investment company.

In announcing these proceedings, the Commission called rities having an aggregate market value of approximately \$75 million, and that its actions should not be construed in any manner as seflecting on the ability of Manner as attention to the fact that Managed Funds has a portfolio of secumanner as deflecting on the ability of Managed Funds to meet the contract terms of its outstanding shares.

> One of the principal issues raised in the stop order proceedings relates to the adequacy and accuracy of the stated investment policy of Managed Funds, the principal objective of which is said to be "to provide for capital growth in all classes." with emphasis upon "capital growth rather than income" and with resulting normal turnover in the securities held. This statement of investment policy is challenged by the Commission in view of the Fund's lange turnover of portfolio securities and its apparent policy and design to provide and distribute quarterly gains on sales of portfolio securities.

among offen

(15TE: The turnous freezeed with an estimated average turn over of other mutual fund companies of less than 20%.)

undrectuos

DRAFT/Page 2

Another primary issue relates to representations in the Managed Funds prospectus that research, statistical and investment advisory services are rendered to the Fund by Slayton Associates, Inc., under a contract dated August 15, 1952. These representations Sommission in view particularly of the delegation of certain of these functions by Slayton Associates to Stephen M. Jaquith() the nature and extent of the services actually rendered by Jaquith for the Fund, the designation by Jaquith of securities would be purchased and sold for the Fund, and the such the brokerage or musically faid under this arrange commissions received by that firm on such transactions and as anti-

noticey-that-despite-the-obligation-of-Sla-

In the notice of manufactures under the Investment Company Act, false and misleading representations in various reports filed by Managed Funds under that Act are charged, including the omission to state that Jaquith had become the investment adviser for Managed Funds in December 1953 under provisions of a contract dated December 1, 1953, between Slayton Associates, Inc., the then investment adviser for the Fund, and Jaquith, then an employee of Model, Roland and Stone. According to the notice, this contract required Jaquith, under the general direction and approval of Slayton Associates, to use his best judgment in the selection, purchase and sale of securities under the general policy of Slayton Associates as it may be determined from time to time;

and Slayton Associates in payment for the services to be rendered, agreed to direct brokerage business to Jaquith or to such persons or firms as he might designate.

It further appears, according to the Commission's motice, that despite the obligation of Slayton Associates to render advisory services to Managed Funds under and purguant to a contract dated August 15, 1952, ". . . said obligation and responsibility of Slayton Associates Inc., to Managed Funds, Inc. and its stockholders had been abdicated and delegated to Stephen M. Jaquith." It is also asserted by the Commission that Managed Funds' annual report for the year ended November 30, 1958, failed to disclose that Slayton Associates did not perform the services it had agreed to perform and for which it had received payment of \$278,488; that Slayton Associates had directed brokerage commissions to Jaquith aggregating at least \$635,775 in respect of portfolio securities purchased and sold for Managed Funds that year; that Jaquith designated Model. Roland and Stone to effect said purchases and sales and to receive the \$635,775 of brokerage commissions; and that Jaquith received as compensation for said designation credit from Model, Roland and Stone in the gross amount of 50% of the commissions.

It further appears that, at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith caused \$215,231 gross commissions to be credited to James S. Stubbs and \$63,392 to Harold W. Smith. Hilton H.

DRAFT/Page 4

and provisions

of the Investment

Contemporary act Slayton is president of Managed Sunds and vice president stockholder of Slayton Associates; Smith is the husband of a sister of Hovey E. Slayton, vice president of Managed Funds and president of Slayton Associates; and Stubbs is a former business associate of Hilton H. Slayton and a former director of Managed Funds. These payments were not disclosed in the report. [Moreover , according to the notice, the report failed to disclose that the said contract between Jaquith and Slayton Associates was illegal and void and that all or part of the compensation paid thereunder might be en partie de la company de recoverable by Managed Funds.

Similar non-disclosures are charged with respect to earlier reports, including payments to Slayton Associates and to Model, Roland and Stone for prior years, as follows: 1957 - \$253,821 and \$254,525, respectively; 1956 - \$229,096 and \$402,474, respectively; 1955 - \$179,019 and \$268,872, respectively; and 1954 - \$125,943 and \$134,977, respectively. In each of the years, Jaquith received 50% of the commissions payable to Model, Roland and Stone; and at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith caused commissions to be credited in 1957 to Smith in the amount of \$49,985 and to Stubbs in the amount of \$67,520; in 1956, \$47,266 to Smith and \$144.813 to Stubbs; 1955, \$60,263 to Smith and \$11,399 to Stubbs; and 1954, \$6,769 to Smith.

VIA REGISTERED MAIL

Moneyod Funds Inc. 608 Olive Street St. Louis 2, Missouri

> Re: Monaged Fundo Inc. File No. 811-520

Centleson:

Pursuant to the proviolons of Section 8(e) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 you are hereby notified that it appears to this Commission that Managed Funds inc., since Bossmoor 1, 1953, has filled reports required by Sections 30(e) and 30(b) of such Act and has emitted from contain of said reports material facts required to be sected therein, and has filled cortain of said reports in violation of Section 24(b) (of said Act in that certain of those reports contain wature statements of material faute and omit to state therein facts an encourt in order to prevent the statements made therein, in the high of the chrometences under which they used made, from being materially relabelished.

The respects in which each reports appear to be mekerially incomplete or misleading and to contain untrue statements of meterial firsts are an follows:

(1) the questorly Report filed by Hanaged Fix do tan. or Harch 22, 1950, on Form N-203-1, as required by Section 30(6) of the Act and the rule thereugiar then in affect, emitted to state that Staphen H. Jayutth, on People t, 1933, had become an investment advices of designed Finds inc. by reason of a coatroct dated Decamber 1, 1925, untered into between Blayton Afrociates Jac., the then investment sevieur for Manugad Rands Inc. and Staphon H. <u>Jamit</u>h, then an amployee of Model, Boland & Stone, a reciber line of the New York Stock Enchange; that easi contract required Jamilu, under the manarel direction and approval of Slavkon Associates Inc. to use his book judgment in the selection, purchase and sale of securities we for the governed policy of Slayton Associates Inc. An it may be determined form then to them; and that Slayten Accorates Inc. in payment for the combined on to be rendered, agreed to direct brokerego commission business to 940 from it. Sequith or to such persons or firms as he night designate. 201 a Transport period in a total minimum emount of (250,000, at \$50.000 ter year alpinum, and for a second five-year period, in a total minimum andris of \$175,000, at \$25,000 per year minimum.

- (2) Said Form N-308-1 filed on March 22, 1954, emitted to disclose that Slayton Associates Inc., by reason of a contract entered into with Managed Funds Inc. on August 15, 1952, and approved that date by a majority of said Fund's shareholders, was obligated to furnish Managed Funds Inc. advisory, research and statistical services as required by said Fund's investment policies adopted by its Board of Directors, and that said obligation and responsibility of Slayton Associates Inc. to Managed Funds Inc. and its stockholders had been abdicated and delegated to Stephen M. Jaquith as set forth in (1) above.
- (3) The Amuel Report on Furn N-30A-1 for the year ended November 30, 1954. filed on February 8, 1955, as required by Section 30(a) of the Act and the rule adopted thereunder and then in offect, emitted to state the facts bereinsbove recited in (1) and (2); caltted to state as was required to be stated therein that Jaquith was exployed by Model, koland & Stone; omitted to state that Slayton Associates Inc. had not performed the services it had agreed to perform (as set forth 25 person of (2) above) for which it had received payment of \$125,943; omitted to state that part or all of said spots mish be recoverable by Managed Fundo Inc; emitted to state that Slayton Associates Inc., pursuant to the contract referred to in (1) above, had directed to Jaquith, during the period covered. brokerege commissions aggregating at least \$134,977.51 in respect of portfolio securities purchased and sold for Managed Funds Inc.; omitted to state that Jaquith had designated Model, Roland & Stone to effect cald purchases and sales so brokers and to receive said brokerage commission; omitted to state that Jaquith received as gross compensation for said designation 50% of said commission; omitted to state that of the credit for brokerage commission thus received by Jaquith, at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, President of Managed Funds Inc. and Vice President and 51% stockholder of Slayton Associates Inc., Jaquith caused 86.769.64 gross commissions to be credited to Herold W. Smith, the <u>husband</u> of a sigter of lievey E. Slayton, the Vice Freeldent of damaged Funds Inc. - and Francoust of Stayton Associates Inc.; omitted to state that the contrast described in (1) above between Slayton Associates Inc. and Stephen H. Jaquith was in violation of Section 15(a) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and therefore void, and that part or all of the compensation paid thereunder to Model. Roland & Stone, and in turn to Jaquith and to Smith, might be recoverable by Hanaged Funds Inc.
 - (4) The Annual Report on Form N-30A-1 for the year ended November 30, 1955, filed on February 13, 1956, emitted to state the facts recited in (1) and (2) above; emitted to state that Slayton Associates Inc. had not performed the advisory services it had agreed to perform under the contract dated August 15, 1952, for which it had received payment of \$179.019; emitted to state that part or all of said amount might be recoverable by Managed Funds Inc.; emitted to state that Slayton Associates

Menaged Funds Inc.

Page Three

Inc. had directed to Jaquith (pursuant fo the illegal advisory contract dated December 1, 1953) brokerage counfissions aspreasting at least §268,872.24 in respect of portfolio securities purchased and sold for Managed funds Inc.; omitted to state that Jaquith had designated Model. Roland & Stone to effect said purchases and sales and to receive brokerage commissions aggregating \$260,872.24; bmitted to state that Jequith received as compensation for said designation credit from Model. Roland & Stone in the gross smount of 50% of said commissions; omitted to state that of the credit for brokerage complesions thus received by Jaquith, at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, President of Managod Funds Inc. and Vice President and 51% stockholder of Slayton Associates Inc. Jaguith caused \$11.399.91 gross commissions to be credited to one James S. Stubbs, formerly a husiness associate of Hilton H. Slayton and a Director of Managad Funds Inc.; contted -to state that at milton H. Slayton's direction Jacuith size caused \$60,263.69 gross of said commissions to be credited to Herold W. Smith: and omitted to state that the contract between Jaquith and Slayton Associates Inc., pursuant to which brokerage complesions were paid to Model, Roland & Stone and, in turn, to Jeguith, Stubbs, and Swith, was in violation of Section 15(a) of the Act and therefore void and that all or part of the compensation vaid thorounder might be recoverable by Managed Funds Inc.

(5) The Amuel Report on Form N-30A-1 for the year ended November 30, 1936, filed on January 29, 1957, caltred to state the facto resited in (1) and (2) above; omitted to state that Slayton Association Inc. had not parformed the services it was obligated to perform under the compract dated August 15, 1952, for which it had received payment of \$229,096; cmitted to state that part or all of said arount might be recoverable by Manayad funds Inc.; omitted to state that Slayton Appochaton Inc. had directed to Jaquith (pursuant to the illegal advisory contract dised December 1, 1953) brokerage commissions aggregating at least \$402,474.23 in respect of portfolio sacurities purchased and sold for Managad Tunda Inc.; omitted to state that Jaquith had designated Model, Roland & Stane to offer end purchases and nales and to receive brokerage commingious aggregating \$402,474.29; omitted to state that Jaquith received as componsation for said designation credit from Model, Roland & Stone in the gross amunt of 50% of said commissions. Elect of the credit for brokerage commissions thus received by Jequith, at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith ceuse: <u>9144.813 cross commissions to be credited to Stubbs and \$4</u>7,266.94 gross crimiusions to be credited to Smith; and omitted to state that the ecatroof between Jeguith and Slayton Associates Inc., pursuant to which brokerope commissions were paid to Model, Roland & Stone and in turn to Require, Stubbo, and Smith was illegal and void and that all or part of the compression paid therefinder might be recoverable by Managad Funds AL CL

- (6) The Amual Report on Form H-30A-1 for the year ended Hovember 30. 1957, filed on January 22, 1958, omitted to state the facts racited in (1) and (2) shove; omitted to state that Slayton Asbociates Inc. had not porformed the carvices it was obligated to perform under the centract dated August 15, 1952, for which it had received payment of \$253.821; omitted to state that part or all of said encent might be recoverable by Hemanad Funda Inc.; omitted to state that Slayton Associates Inc. had directed to Jaquith (purnuent to the illogal advisory contract deted Describer 1, 1953) brokerage commissions aggregating at least \$254,525.42 in respect of purtfollo securities purchased and sold for Hanaged Function; caitted to state that Jaquith had designated Nodel, Roland & Stone to offset said purchases and sales and to receive brokerage commissions appreparing \$254.525.42; emitted to state that Jaquith received as compensation for said designation credit from Vodel, Boland & Stand in the arces enount of SUR of and counterions, that of the cradit for brokerage counterion thus received by Jaquith at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith erused \$67,520.69 gross commissions to be credited to Stubbs and 987,785.65 gross commissions to be everyteed to Graith; and caited be since that the contract between Jaquith and Slayton Assaciated Mos., purament to which brokerage commissions were paid to Moisi, Enland & Store end, in ture, to Joquith, Shibbe, and Shith, was illegal ord vol. end that all or part of the companention peld therronier alght be decoverable by lenaged Funds Inc.
- (7) The Armush Report on Form 7-30A-1 for the year anded Gruntbur II. MASS, filed on Japuney 12, 1959, contrad to exact the Mosta workled in (A) 79,5 **(2) abova; emitted to otalo t**ist Gloyton Assaultos Two ind not per-Autical the services in non-obligated to parlows that the scritted dates. fromust 15, 1952, Sor which it had received payment of £278,400% cmlere : to cause that part or all to acid measur alger to recoverable in Processia William Two.; caitted to state that Glayton Accordated Ame. had Wilcoted to droubly (paracent to the Allegal advicery contract dated incomber is 1999) brokorazo complesiona azgregaties at leact \$631,775.18 de 1999) of nexterior committee purchased and sold for Managed Funds That i critical to atota that Jaquith had douignoted Maras, abland & Store to one certain special original to be able to accept the contract problem. conventing \$635.775.10; emitted to state that Saguith received on conpossibles for an**id** designation exonic from Hodal, Reland C Stone in the This count of 50% of seld combotons, that of the credit in brokenyo arribular, that received by deputth, at the discation of Hilton H. I of the lights count till, 201.41 from norminales to be exected to <u>Kurios</u> and 160,292.05 geries sereiserione to belied; on centred to state 2000 the contract kataoan Jagelth and Slayton Acquai con Inc., pureneur To takki indiceps comissions wase paid to Nadal, Roland & Stone and, in Dally to Architic, Stubbe, and Suith, was illeged and void and that all or year of the congeneration poid thorounder night be recoverable by hereged Tright of the

- (8) The annual and semi-semual Reports transmitted to Stockholders by Managed Funds Inc. since December 1, 1953, as required by Section 30(d) of the Act and as filed with this Commission as required by Section 30(b)(2) of the Act recited the payments to Slayton Associates Inc. as described in (3), (4), (5), (6), and (7) above and omitted to state that the services for which such payments were made had not been performed and omitted to state that all or part of said amounts might be recoverable by Managed Funds Inc.; and the financial statements included in such Reports to Stockholders are misleading in that realized gains were included in equalization credits and that such equalization accounts were reflected under the caption Net Investment Income in the statement of net assets.
- (9) The financial statements included in the annual reports filed with the Commission for the fiscal years ending November 30, 1954, 1955, 1956, 1957, and 1958 as required by Section 30(a) of the Act are misleading to that realized gains were included in equalization credits and that such equalization accounts were reflected under the caption Net Investment Income in the statement of not assets.
- (10) Amendment number two to the registration statement filed on November 7, 1956, by Managed Funds Inc. pursuant to the requirements of Section 8(b) of the Act reads as follows:

"It will be the principal and primary purpose of Henaged Funds Incorporated to provide for capital growth on all series. To the extent consistent with the foregoing policy Henaged Funds Incorporated will seek reasonable income on its investments. Net investment income, and my realized profits from the cole of portfolio soccrities will be distributed quarterly, subject however to determination of its board of directors as to the enough and source thereof, and depending on economic conditions."

Subsequent to said summinent the investment policy of Humaged Funds Inc. continued to be identical with its prior policy which was to maintain a predetermined amount of distributions on the Fund's shares from year to year and whose investment income was not adequate to provide for such distributions, to realize gains on sales of portfolio securities in order to maintain the distributions at the desired rate, and both prior and subsequent to Haraser 7, 1935, the principal and primary purpose of Haraser Funds Inc. as actually followed has not been to provide for explical growth on all series.

(11) In collecting proxime for the annual meetings of stockholders of Managed Funds Inc. for the annual meetings in 1919, 1957, and 1958, the proxy distances transmitted by Managed Funds Inc. recited that during the fiscal years much preceding such annual meetings Slayton Associates

Inc. had "earned" management fees (pursuant to the contract dated August 15, 1952) aggregating \$179,019.10, \$229,096.20, and \$253,821.26 for the respective fiscal years when, in fact, Slayton Associates Inc. had not performed the services required to earn such fees.

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 8(e) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 Managed Funds Inc. may file a corrected registration statement and corrected reports on or before May 29, 1959.

You are further notified that following any such filing or failure so to file, after appropriate notice and opportunity for bearing, this Commission may suspend the registration of Managed Funds Inc. under the Investment Company Act of 1940, or may by order revoke such registration, if the evidence establishes (1) that Managed Funds Inc. has filed reports required by Sections 30(a) and (b) of the Act but has omitted therefrom material facts required to be stated therein, or has filed a registration statement or report or other documents in violation of Section 34(b) of the Act; and (2) that such suspension, or revocation, is in the public in areas.

By the Coumission.

Orval L. DuBois Secretary

FField: acm 4/23/59

t is also asserted by the Commission that Managed Funds' annual report for the year ended November 30, 1958, failed to disclose that Slayton Associates did not perform the services it had agreed to perform and for which it had received payment of \$278,488; that Slayton Associates had directed brokerage commissions to Jaquith aggregating at least \$635,775 in respect of portfolio securities purchased and sold for Managed Funds that year; that Jaquith designated Model, Roland and Stone to effect said purchases and sales and to receive the \$635,775 of brokerage commissions.

It further appears that, at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith caused gross commissions of \$357,152 to be credited to himself, \$215,231 to James S. Stubbs, and \$63,393 to Harold W. Smith. Net commissions to the three individuals was 50% of such amounts. Hilton H. Slayton is president of Managed Funds and vice president and 51% stockholder of Slayton Associates: Smith is the husband of a sister of Hovey E. Slayton, vice president of Managed Funds and president of Slayton Associates; and Stubbs is a former business associate of Hilton H. Slayton and a former director of Managed Funds. These payments were not disclosed in the report.

Similar non-disclosures are charged with respect to earlier reports. In 1957, gross commission payable to Model, Roland and Stone amounted to \$254,525 of which Jaquith, Stubbs and Smith were to receive 50% of gross commissions in the respective amounts of \$137,020, \$67,520, and \$49,985; in 1956, gross commissions payable to Model, Roland and Stone amounted to \$402,474, of which Jaquith, Stubbs and Smith were to receive 50% of gross commissions in the respective amounts of \$210,395, \$144,813, and \$47,266; in 1955, gross commissions payable to Model, Roland and Stone amounted to \$268,872, of which Jaquith, Stubbs and Smith were to receive 50% of gross commissions in the respective amounts of \$197,210, \$11,399, and \$60,263; and in 1954, gross commissions payable to Model, Roland and Stone amounted to \$134,977, of which Jaquith and Smith were to receive 50% of the gross commissions in the respective amounts of \$128,208 and \$6,769. Payments to Slayton Associates during these earlier years were in the amounts of \$253,821 in 1957, \$229,096 in 1956, \$179,019 in 1955 and \$125,943 in 1954, no disclosure being made that Slayton Associates did not perform the services it had agreed to render.

Moreover, according to the notice, the report failed to disclose that the said contract between Jaquith and Slayton Associates was illegal and void under provisions of the Investment Company Act and that all or part of the compensation paid thereunder might be recoverable by Managed Funds.

Flayton Jaquith associates Commissions Fels 635,775
Jaquith Stubbs Smith

50/of commissions to. grandel Stubbs Smith #675,775 278,488 278,488 357,152 215,231 63.393 1958 253821 67,520 49, 981 137,020 254,525 1957 229,096 144,813 47,266 210,395 402,474 1956 11,399 60,26 197,210 268,872 1955 179,019 6,76 128,208 134,977 1954 227,67 125,943 1,029,985 438963 96,623 50% 00

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D. C.

Securities Act of 1933 Release No. 4074 Investment Company Act of 1940 Release No. 2870

The Securities and Exchange Commission has instituted proceedings under the Securities Act of 1933 challenging the accuracy and adequacy of disclosures contained in a registration statement filed by Managed Fund, Inc., a St. Louis investment company, and scheduling a hearing for May 6, 1959, on the question whether a stop order should be issued suspending its effectiveness. The registration statement was filed August 2, 1954. From time to time since that date amendments have been filed increasing the number of shares registered for public sale and to provide a current prospectus, the most recent such amendment having been filed August 25, 1958, and declared effective August 29, 1958.

At the same time, the Commission served written notice on Managed Funds pursuant to provisions of the Investment Company Act of 1940 that various of its annual and other reports under that Act appear to be false and misleading; that the company may file corrected reports on or before May 29, 1959; and that following any such filing or failure so to file, the Commission may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, suspend or revoke the registration of Managed Funds as an investment company.

In announcing these proceedings, the Commission called attention to the fact that Managed Funds has a portfolio of securities having an aggregate market value of approximately \$75 million, and that its actions should not be construed in any manner as questioning the ability of Managed Funds to meet the contract terms of its outstanding shares.

One of the principal issues raised in the stop order proceedings relates to the adequacy and accuracy of the stated investment policy of Managed Funds, the principal objective of which is said to be "to provide for capital growth in all classes," with emphasis upon "capital growth rather than income" and with resulting normal turnover in the securities held. The statement of investment policy is challenged by the Commission in view (among other things) of the Fund's large turnover of portfolio securities and its apparent policy and design to provide and distribute quarterly gains on sales of portfolio securities.

Another primary issue relates to representations in the Managed Funds prospectus that research, statistical and investment advisory services are rendered to the Fund by Slayton Associates, Inc., under a contract dated August 15, 1952. The accuracy of these representations is questioned by the Commission in view particularly of the undisclosed delegation of certain of these functions by Slayton Associates to Stephen M. Jaquith and the nature and extent of the services actually rendered by Jaquith for the Fund, as well as the payment to Jaquith and others of brokerage commissions on transactions in Managed Funds portfolio securities, as outlined below.

In the notice under the Investment Company Act, false and misleading representations in various reports filed by Managed Funds under that Act are charged, including the omission to state that Jaquith had become the investment adviser for Managed Funds in December 1953 under provisions of a contract dated December 1, 1953, between Slayton Associates, Inc., the then investment adviser for the Fund, and Jaquith, then an employee of Model, Roland and Stone. According to the notice, this contract required Jaquith, under the general direction and approval of Slayton Associates, to use his best judgment in the selection, purchase and sale of securities under the general policy of Slayton Associates as it may be determined from time to time; and Slayton Associates in payment for the services to be rendered, agreed to direct brokerage business to Jaquith or to such persons or firms as he might designate.

It further appears, according to the Commission's notice, that despite the obligation of Slayton Associates to render advisory services to Managed Funds under and pursuant to a contract dated August 15, 1952, ". . . said obligation and responsibility of Slayton Associates Inc., to Managed Funds, Inc. and its stockholders had been abdicated and delegated to Stephen M. Jaquith."

For IMMEDIATE Release Friday, April 24, 1959

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D. C.

Securities Act of 1933 Release No. 4074 Investment Company Act of 1940 Release No. 2870

The Securities and Exchange Commission has instituted proceedings under the Securities Act of 1933 challenging the accuracy and adequacy of disclosures contained in a registration statement filed by Managed Fund, Inc., a St. Louis investment company, and scheduling a hearing for May 6, 1959, on the question whether a stop order should be issued suspending its effectiveness. The registration statement was filed August 2, 1954. From time to time since that date amendments have been filed increasing the number of shares registered for public sale and to provide a current prospectus, the most recent such amendment having been filed August 25, 1958, and declared effective August 29, 1958.

At the same time, the Commission served written notice on Managed Funds pursuant to provisions of the Investment Company Act of 1940 that various of its annual and other reports under that Act appear to be false and misleading; that the company may file corrected reports on or before May 29, 1959; and that following any such filing or failure so to file, the Commission may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, suspend or revoke the registration of Managed Funds as an investment company.

In announcing these proceedings, the Commission called attention to the fact that Managed Funds has a portfolio of securities having an aggregate market value of approximately \$75 million, and that its actions should not be construed in any manner as questioning the ability of Managed Funds to meet the contract terms of its outstanding shares.

One of the principal issues raised in the stop order proceedings relates to the adequacy and accuracy of the stated investment policy of Managed Funds, the principal objective of which is said to be "to provide for capital growth in all classes," with emphasis upon "capital growth rather than income" and with resulting normal turnover in the securities held. The statement of investment policy is challenged by the Commission in view (among other things) of the Fund's large turnover of portfolio securities and its apparent policy and design to provide and distribute quarterly gains on sales of portfolio securities.

Another primary issue relates to representations in the Managed Funds prospectus that research, statistical and investment advisory services are rendered to the Fund by Slayton Associates, Inc., under a contract dated August 15, 1952. The accuracy of these representations is questioned by the Commission in view particularly of the undisclosed delegation of certain of these functions by Slayton Associates to Stephen M. Jaquith and the nature and extent of the services actually rendered by Jaquith for the Fund, as well as the payment to Jaquith and others of brokerage commissions on transactions in Managed Funds portfolio securities, as outlined below.

In the notice under the Investment Company Act, false and misleading representations in various reports filed by Managed Funds under that Act are charged, including the omission to state that Jaquith had become the investment adviser for Managed Funds in December 1953 under provisions of a contract dated December 1, 1953, between Slayton Associates, Inc., the then investment adviser for the Fund, and Jaquith, then an employee of Model, Roland and Stone. According to the notice, this contract required Jaquith, under the general direction and approval of Slayton Associates, to use his best judgment in the selection, purchase and sale of securities under the general policy of Slayton Associates as it may be determined from time to time; and Slayton Associates in payment for the services to be rendered, agreed to direct brokerage business to Jaquith or to such persons or firms as he might designate.

It further appears, according to the Commission's notice, that despite the obligation of Slayton Associates to render advisory services to Managed Funds under and pursuant to a contract dated August 15, 1952, ". . . said obligation and responsibility of Slayton Associates Inc., to Managed Funds, Inc. and its stockholders had been abdicated and delegated to Stephen M. Jaquith."

OWER

- 2 - 33-4074

It is also asserted by the Commission that Managed Funds' annual report for the year ended November 30, 1958, failed to disclose that Slayton Associates did not perform the services it had agreed to perform and for which it had received payment of \$278,488; that Slayton Associates had directed brokerage commissions to Jaquith aggregating at least \$635,775 in respect of portfolio securities purchased and sold for Managed Funds that year; that Jaquith designated Model, Roland and Stone to effect said purchases and sales and to receive the \$635,775 of brokerage commissions; and that Jaquith received as compensation for said designation credit from Model, Roland and Stone in the gross amount of 50% of the commissions.

It further appears that, at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith caused \$215,231 gross commissions to be credited to James S. Stubbs and \$63,392 to Harold W. Smith. Hilton H. Slayton is president of Managed Funds and vice president and 51% stockholder of Slayton Associates; Smith is the husband of a sister of Hovey E. Slayton, vice president of Managed Funds and president of Slayton Associates; and Stubbs is a former business associate of Hilton H. Slayton and a former director of Managed Funds. These payments were not disclosed in the report.

Similar non-disclosures are charged with respect to earlier reports, including payments to Slayton Associates and to Model, Roland and Stone for prior years, as follows: 1957 - \$253,821 and \$254,525, respectively; 1956 - \$229,096 and \$402,474, respectively; 1955 - \$179,019 and \$268.872, respectively; and 1954 - \$125,943 and \$134.977, respectively. In each of the years, Jaquith received 50% of the commissions payable to Model, Roland and Stone; and at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith caused commissions to be credited in 1957 to Smith in the amount of \$49,985 and to Stubbs in the amount of \$67,520; in 1956, \$47,266 to Smith and \$144,813 to Stubbs; 1955, \$60,263 to Smith and \$11,399 to Stubbs; and 1954, \$6,769 to Smith.

Moreover, according to the notice, the report failed to disclose that the said contract between Jaquith and Slayton Associates was illegal and void under provisions of the Investment Company Act and that all or part of the compensation paid thereunder might be recoverable by Managed Funds.

---0000000---

".

Smith Stubbs 2 15 231 (63,392 8635, 775 107,615 67,520 4 49,985 1 254,525 */357,152 137,6720 V 1958 1957 144,813 47,266 4 402,4744 210,3951 1956 11,399 4 60,263 7 268,872 197,2100 1955 4,769, 134,977, 128,208 1954 1,029,985 438,963 227,6751,696,623 438,963 227675 1, 1, 1, 2, 3 134,977 128,208 144,813 254,525 47,520 117,505 47,266 137,020 192,079 40,263 402,474 268,872 192,079 71,662 11,349 210,395 197,210 71,662

You are further notified that following any such illing or failure so to file, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing, this Commission may suspend the registration of Managed Funds Inc. under the Investment Company Act of 1940, or may by order revoke such registration, if the evidence establishes (1) that Managed Funds Inc. has filed reports required by Sections 30(a) and (b) of the Act but has emitted therefrom material facts required to be stated therein, or has filed a registration statement or report or other documents in violation of Section 34(b) of the Act; and (2) that such suspension, or resocation, is in the public interest.

By the Comission.

Orval L. DuBois Secretary



For IMMEDIATE Release Friday, April 24, 1959

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D. C.

Securities Act of 1933 Release No. 4074 Investment Company Act of 1940 Release No. 2870

The Securities and Exchange Commission has instituted proceedings under the Securities Act of 1933 challenging the accuracy and adequacy of disclosures contained in a registration statement filed by Managed Fund, Inc., a St. Louis investment company, and scheduling a hearing for May 6, 1959, on the question whether a stop order should be issued suspending its effectiveness. The registration statement was filed August 2, 1954. From time to time since that date amendments have been filed increasing the number of shares registered for public sale and to provide a current prospectus, the most recent such amendment having been filed August 25, 1958, and declared effective August 29, 1958.

At the same time, the Commission served written notice on Managed Funds pursuant to provisions of the Investment Company Act of 1940 that various of its annual and other reports under that Act appear to be false and misleading; that the company may file corrected reports on or before May 29, 1959; and that following any such filing or failure so to file, the Commission may, after notice and opportunity for hearing, suspend or revoke the registration of Managed Funds as an investment company.

In announcing these proceedings, the Commission called attention to the fact that Managed Funds has a portfolio of securities having an aggregate market value of approximately \$75 million, and that its actions should not be construed in any manner as questioning the ability of Managed Funds to meet the contract terms of its outstanding shares.

One of the principal issues raised in the stop order proceedings relates to the adequacy and accuracy of the stated investment policy of Managed Funds, the principal objective of which is said to be "to provide for capital growth in all classes," with emphasis upon "capital growth rather than income" and with resulting normal turnover in the securities held. The statement of investment policy is challenged by the Commission in view (among other things) of the Fund's large turnover of portfolio securities and its apparent policy and design to provide and distribute quarterly gains on sales of portfolio securities.

Another primary issue relates to representations in the Managed Funds prospectus that research, statistical and investment advisory services are rendered to the Fund by Slayton Associates, Inc., under a contract dated August 15, 1952. The accuracy of these representations is questioned by the Commission in view particularly of the undisclosed delegation of certain of these functions by Slayton Associates to Stephen M. Jaquith and the nature and extent of the services actually rendered by Jaquith for the Fund, as well as the payment to Jaquith and others of brokerage commissions on transactions in Managed Funds portfolio securities, as outlined below.

In the notice under the Investment Company Act, false and misleading representations in various reports filed by Managed Funds under that Act are charged, including the omission to state that Jaquith had become the investment adviser for Managed Funds in December 1953 under provisions of a contract dated December 1, 1953, between Slayton Associates, Inc., the then investment adviser for the Fund, and Jaquith, then an employee of Model, Roland and Stone. According to the notice, this contract required Jaquith, under the general direction and approval of Slayton Associates, to use his best judgment in the selection, purchase and sale of securities under the general policy of Slayton Associates as it may be determined from time to time; and Slayton Associates in payment for the services to be rendered, agreed to direct brokerage business to Jaquith or to such persons or firms as he might designate.

It further appears, according to the Commission's notice, that despite the obligation of Slayton Associates to render advisory services to Managed Funds under and pursuant to a contract dated August 15, 1952, ". . . said obligation and responsibility of Slayton Associates Inc., to Managed Funds, Inc. and its stockholders had been abdicated and delegated to Stephen M. Jaquith."

THER

- 2 -

It is also asserted by the Commission that Managed Funds' annual report for the year ended November 30, 1958, failed to disclose that Slayton Associates did not perform the services it had agreed to perform and for which it had received payment of \$278,488; that Slayton Associates had directed brokerage commissions to Jaquith aggregating at least \$635,775 in respect of portfolio securities purchased and sold for Managed Funds that year; that Jaquith designated Model, Roland and Stone to'effect said purchases and sales and to receive the \$635,775 of brokerage commissions.

It further appears that, at the direction of Hilton H. Slayton, Jaquith caused gross commissions of \$357,152 to be credited to himself, \$215,231 to James S. Stubbs, and \$63,393 to Harold W. Smith. Net commissions to the three individuals was 50% of such amounts. Hilton H. Slayton is president of Managed Funds and vice president and 51% stockholder of Slayton Associates; Smith is the husband of a sister of Hovey E. Slayton, vice president of Managed Funds and president of Slayton Associates; and Stubbs is a former business associate of Hilton H. Slayton and a former director of Managed Funds. These payments were not disclosed in the report.

Similar non-disclosures are charged with respect to earlier reports. In 1957, gross commission payable to Model, Roland and Stone amounted to \$254,525 of which Jaquith, Stubbs and Smith were to receive 50% of gross commissions in the respective amounts of \$137,020, \$67,520, and \$49,985; in 1956, gross commissions payable to Model, Roland and Stone amounted to \$402,474, of which Jaquith, Stubbs and Smith were to receive 50% of gross commissions in the respective amounts of \$210,395, \$144,813, and \$47,266; in 1955, gross commissions payable to Model, Roland and Stone amounted to \$268,872, of which Jaquith, Stubbs and Smith were to receive 50% of gross commissions in the respective amounts of \$197,210, \$11,399, and \$60,263; and in 1954, gross commissions payable to Model, Roland and Stone amounted to \$134,977, of which Jaquith and Smith were to receive 50% of the gross commissions in the respective amounts of \$128,208 and \$6,769. Payments to Slayton Associates during these earlier years were in the amounts of \$253,821 in 1957, \$229,096 in 1956, \$179,019 in 1955 and \$125,943 in 1954, no disclosure being made that Slayton Associates did not perform the services it had agreed to render.

Moreover, according to the notice, the report failed to disclose that the said contract between Jaquith and Slayton Associates was illegal and void under provisions of the Investment Company Act and that all or part of the compensation paid thereunder might be recoverable by Managed Funds.

---0000000---

