violated 21 CFR 1301.75(b) by failing to maintain adequate physical security of controlled substances. It also appears from evidence in the record that Respondent violated various provisions of Washington state law.

As to factor five, other than Respondent's material falsification of his applications for registration, there is no evidence that Respondent has engaged in any other conduct that may threaten the public health and safety.

The Acting Deputy Administrator agrees with Judge Bittner's conclusion that the Government has made a *prima facie* case that Respondent's continued registration would be inconsistent with the public interest. Respondent used his privileges as a DEA registrant to obtain controlled substances to support his chemical dependency, and he materially falsified his 1992 and 1995 renewal applications.

However, he has undergone treatment for his chemical dependency and has not abused controlled substances since 1990. Further, evidence in the record suggests that there is little likelihood of Respondent relapsing. The Acting Deputy Administrator finds it noteworthy that Respondent first sought treatment for his chemical dependency on his own and not at the direction of another.

Judge Bittner also found it significant that "there is no evidence that [Respondent] improperly handled controlled substances in any way since 1992, when he regained a DEA registration." However, the Acting Deputy Administrator can find no evidence in the record that Respondent ever completely lost his DEA privileges. It is true that he surrendered his Schedule II and IIN privileges in 1990. But it appears from the evidence in the record that Respondent has had a DEA registration since 1981. Therefore, the Acting Deputy Administrator finds it significant that there is no evidence that Respondent has improperly handle controlled substances in any way since 1990.

Regarding the material falsification of Respondent's renewal applications, the Acting Deputy Administrator agrees with Judge Bittner who noted that "Respondent acknowledged that he falsified his applications, he apparently regretted that conduct, and I believe that he will not repeat it."

Judge Bittner concluded "that the evidence that Respondent has remained drug free for more than eight years prior to the hearing and it remorseful about his prior behavior weighs in favor of continuing his registration." As a result, Judge Bittner recommended that Respondent's DEA registration be

continued. The Acting Deputy Administrator agrees.

Accordingly, the Acting Deputy Administrator of the Drug Enforcement Administration, pursuant to the authority vested in him by 21 U.S.C. 823 and 824 and 28 CFR 0.100(b) and 0.104, hereby orders that DEA Certificate of Registration AN1015331, previously issued to Theodore Neujahr, D.V.M. be, and it hereby is, continued and renewed in Schedules II, IIN, III, IIIN, IV and V.

Dated: December 14, 1999.

Julio F. Mercado,

Acting Deputy Administrator.
[FR Doc. 99–33506 Filed 12–23–99; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division

Minimum Wages for Federal and Federally Assisted Construction; General Wage Determination Decisions

General wage determination decisions of the Secretary of Labor are issued in accordance with applicable law and are based on the information obtained by the Department of Labor from its study of local wage conditions and data made available from other sources. They specify the basic hourly wage rates and fringe benefits which are determined to be prevailing for the described classes of laborers and mechanics employed on construction projects of a similar character and in the localities specified therein.

The determinations in these decisions of prevailing rates and fringe benefits have been made in accordance with 29 CFR Part 1, by authority of the Secretary of Labor pursuant to the Provisions of the Davis-Bacon Act of March 3, 1931, as amended (46 Stat. 1494, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 276a) and of other Federal statutes referred to in 29 CFR Part 1. Appendix, as well as such additional statutes as may from time to time be enacted containing provisions for the payment of wages determined to be prevailing by the Secretary of Labor in accordance with the Davis-Bacon Act. The prevailing rates and fringe benefits determined in these decisions shall, in accordance with the provisions of the foregoing statutes, constitute the minimum wages payable on Federal and federally assisted construction projects to laborers and mechanics of the specified classes engaged on contract work of the character and in the localities described therein.

Good cause is hereby found for not utilizing notice and public comment procedure thereon prior to the issuance of these determinations as prescribed in 5 U.S.C. 553 and not providing for delay in the effective date as prescribed in that section, because the necessity to issue current construction industry wage determinations frequently and in large volume causes procedures to be impractical and contrary to the public interest.

General wage determination decisions, and modifications and supersedes decisions thereto, contain no expiration dates and are effective from their date of notice in the Federal Register, or on the date written notice is received by the agency, whichever is earlier. These decisions are to be used in accordance with the provisions of 29 CFR Parts 1 and 5. Accordingly, the applicable decision, together with any modifications issued, must be made a part of every contract for performance of the described work within the geographic area indicated as required by an applicable Federal prevailing wage law and 29 CFR Part 5. The wage rates and fringe benefits, notice of which is published herein, and which are contained in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon And Related Acts," shall be the minimum paid by contractors and subcontractors to laborers and mechanics.

Any person, organization, or governmental agency having an interest in the rates determined as prevailing is encouraged to submit wage rate and fringe benefit information for consideration by the Department. Further information and self-explanatory forms for the purpose of submitting this data may be obtained by writing to the U.S. Department of Labor, Employment Standards Administration, Wage and Hour Division, Division of Wage Determinations, 200 Constitution Avenue, NW, Room S–3014, Washington, DC 20210.

Modifications to General Wage Determination Decisions

The number of decisions listed in the Government Printing Office document entitled "general Wage Determinations Issued Under the Davis-Bacon and Related Acts" being modified are listed by Volume and State. Dates of publication in the **Federal Register** are in parentheses following the decisions being modified.

Volume I None. Volume II

District of Columbia DC990001 (MAR. 12, 1999)

Maryland

MD990010 (MAR. 12, 1999)

Volume III

None

Volume IV

Ohio

OH990002 (MAR. 12, 1999)

Volume V

Iowa

IA990004 (MAR. 12, 1999)

Volume VI

None

Volume VII

California

CA990001 (MAR. 12, 1999)

General Wage Determination Publication

General wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts, including those noted above, may be found in the Government Printing Office (GPO) document entitled "General Wage Determinations Issued Under The Davis-Bacon and Related Acts." This publication is available at each of the 50 Regional Government Depository Libraries and many of the 1,400 Government Depository Libraries across the country.

The general wage determinations issued under the Davis-Bacon and related Acts are available electronically by subscription to the FedWorld Bulletin Board System of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) of the U.S. Department of Commerce at 1–800–363–2068.

Hard-copy subscriptions may be purchased from: Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402, (202) 512–1800.

When ordering hard-copy subscription(s), be sure to specify the State(s) of interest, since subscriptions may be ordered for any or all of the seven separate volumes, arranged by State. Subscriptions include an annual edition (issued in January or February) which includes all current general wage determinations for the States covered by each volume. Throughout the remainder of the year, regular weekly updates are distributed to subscribers.

Signed at Washington, DC, this 17th day of December 1999.

Terry Sullivan,

Acting Chief, Branch of Construction Wage Determinations.

[FR Doc. 99–33120 Filed 12–23–99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-27-M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Occupational Safety and Health Administration

[Docket No. ICR-1218-0129(2000)]

Benzene Standard; Extension of the Office of Management of Budget's (OMB) Approval of Information Collection (Paperwork) Requirements

AGENCY: Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA); Labor.

ACTION: Notice of an opportunity for public comment.

SUMMARY: OSHA solicits comments concerning the proposed reduction in, and extension of, the information collection requirements contained in the Benzene Standard (29 CFR 1910.1028).

Request for comment

The Agency is particularly interested in comments on the following issues:

- Whether the information collection requirements are necessary for the proper performance of the Agency's functions, including whether the information is useful;
- The accuracy of the Agency's estimate of the burden (time and costs) of the information collection requirements, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;
- The quality, utility, and clarity of the information collected; and
- Ways to minimize the burden on employers who must comply; for example, by using automated, electronic, mechanical, and other technological information and transmission collection techniques.

DATES: Submit written comments on or before February 25, 2000.

ADDRESSES: Submit written comments to the Docket Office, Docket No. ICR—1218—0129(2000), Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N—2625,200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693—2350. Commenters may transmit written comments of 10 pages or less in length by facsimile to (202) 693—1648.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Nancy Dorris, Directorate of Policy, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, U.S. Department of Labor, Room N–3641, 200 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20210; telephone: (202) 693–2444. A copy of the Agency's Information Collection Request (ICR) supporting the need for the information collection requirements in the Benzene Standard is available for inspection and copying in the Docket Office, or you may request a mailed

copy by telephoning Nancy Dorris or Todd R. Owen at (202) 693–2444. For electronic copies of the ICR on the Benzene Standard, contact OSHA on the Internet at http://www.osha-slc.gov.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

The Department of Labor, as part of its continuing effort to reduce paperwork and respondent burden, conducts a preclearance consultation program to provide the general public and Federal agencies with an opportunity to comment on proposed and continuing information collection requirements in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (PRA-95) (44 U.S.C. 3506(c)(2)(A)). This program ensures that information is in the desired format, reporting burden (time and costs) is minimal, collection instruments clearly understood, and OSHA's estimate of the information burden is correct. The Occupational Safety and Health Act of the 1970 (the Act) authorizes information collection by employers as necessary or appropriate for enforcement of the Act or for developing information regarding the causes and prevention of occupational injuries, illnesses, and accidents (29 U.S.C. 657). In this regard, the information collection requirements in the Benzene Standard provides protection for employees from the adverse health effects associated with exposure to benzene.

II. Proposed Actions

OSHA proposes to extend the OMB approval for the collection of information (paperwork) contained in the Benzene Standard (29 CFR 1910.1028). The Benzene Standard requires employers to monitor employees' exposure to benzene, monitor employee health, and provide employees with information about their exposures and the health effects of exposure to benzene.

OSHA will summarize the comments submitted in response to this notice, and will include this summary in the request to OMB to extend the approval of the information collection requirements contained in the Benzene Standard (29 CFR 1910.1028).

Type of Review: Extension of currently approved information collection requirements.

Title: Benzene Standard. OMB Number: 1218–0129.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit; Federal government; state, local or tribal government.

Number of Respondents: 13,498. Frequency: On occasion. Total Responses: 335,944.