## **CONTENTS**

Abstract		1				
Introduction		2				
Background						
Purpo	Purpose and Scope					
-	Description of Study Area					
	ous and Ongoing Investigations					
	River Management Plans					
	-Release Effects on the Lower Missouri River					
	Stage Data					
	Comparison of Daily River Stages					
Effects of Alternative Management Plans on Ground-Water Levels 10						
	Simulation of Ground-Water Flow					
Silliui	Transient Ground-Water Flow					
	River-Stage Data					
	<u> </u>					
C	Rainfall and Well Pumping Data					
Groui	nd-Water Simulation Results					
	Depth to Ground Water					
	Change in Depth to Ground Water for each ARMP					
	Average Monthly Changes in Depth to Ground Water					
	Pulse and Ground-Water-Level Response Analysis					
	ity of Ground-Water Response Factors to Unmodeled Areas					
•						
References.		51				
FIGURES	for showing model area leastion	4				
	In showing model area location					
	Iap showing Forest City, Atherton, and Hermann well locations	5				
	raphs showing:					
	3. Missouri River stage at Kansas City, Missouri, 1965–80	9				
2	4. Daily difference in river stage between each Alternative River Management Plan and the Current Water Control Plan at Kansas City, Missouri, 1970–80	11				
4	5. Daily rainfall at Kansas City, Missouri, 1965–80					
	6. Percentage of model area with shallow ground water for the Current Water Control Plan and the					
`	Fish and Wildlife 20 Plan, 1970–80	16				
-	7. Percentage of daily change in model area with shallow ground water for each Alternative River	10				
•	Management Plan compared to the Current Water Control Plan, 1970–80	22				
ş	3. Percentage of average monthly change in model area with shallow ground water for each	22				
	Alternative River Management Plan from the Current Water Control Plan, 1970–80	27				
(	One-day, 0.5-, 1-, and 3-meter flood-pulse simulation results					
10						
	* *					
11						
12		32				
13	3. Day of arrival of largest ground-water response factor for selected distances from the river for the 1-day, 0.5-, 1-, and 3-meter flood pulses	34				
1/	1. Day of arrival of largest ground-water response factor for selected distances from the river for the	54				
1-	8-day, 0.5-, 1-, and 3-meter flood pulses	35				
	o eag, old , 1 , and o motor motor purpos	55				

## FIGURES—Continued

15.			36	
16.	5. Graphs showing day of arrival of largest ground-water response factor for selected distances from		37	
17.			38	
-26.				
	18.		41	
	19.	Seven-day, 2.36-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Forest City, Missouri,	42	
	20. 21.	Eighteen-day, 3.4-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Forest City, Missouri, well 9 Missouri River stage near Atherton, Missouri, March 1996 to September 1997, and periods of	42	
	22.	Eighteen-day, 2.66-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Atherton, Missouri,	44	
	23.	Twenty-two-day, 1.09-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Atherton, Missouri, well 6	45	
	24.	Missouri River stage near Hermann, Missouri, May to September 1996, and periods of comparison	47	
	25.	Seven-day, 1.13-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Hermann, Missouri,	47	
	26.	Nine-day, 3.08-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Hermann, Missouri, wells 5, 6, 7, and 8	48	
LES		ry of the eight Alternative Diver Management Plans	6	
			6	
			7	
. Data pertaining to daily river stage differences between each Alternative River Management Plan and the				
5. Number of stress periods between 1965 and 1980 for each Alternative River Management Plan				
. Average number of days per month for each shallow ground-water area for the Current Water Control Plan			17	
Summary of daily differences in percentage of the model area with shallow ground water for Alternative River Management Plans C18, C31, C44, FW10, FW15, FW20, and M66 with respect to the Current Water Control			21	
. Average number of days per month for each change of shallow ground-water area for each Alternative River			24	
	_		28	
			39	
			43	
Simulated one-day, flood pulse and ground-water response factor results for 0.5-, 1-, and 3-meter flood pulses for selected days and distances from the Missouri River				
	LES Sun U.S. and Dat and Nu gro Ave and Sun Ma Pla Ave Ma Dat Sun Con Con Sin	for the state of t	for the 32-day, (0.5-, 1., and 3-meter flood pulses.  16. Graphs showing day of arrival of largest ground-water response factor for selected distances from the river for the 128-day, 0.5-, 1., and 3-meter flood pulses.  17. Map showing location of hydrologic property data of the lower Missouri River flood plain.  26. Graphs showing:  18. Missouri River stage near Forest City, Missouri, April 1996 to August 1998, and periods of comparison.  19. Seven-day, 2.36-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Forest City, Missouri, wells 9, 11, and 12.  20. Eighteen-day, 3.4-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Forest City, Missouri, well 9.  21. Missouri River stage near Atherton, Missouri, March 1996 to September 1997, and periods of comparison.  22. Eighteen-day, 2.66-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Atherton, Missouri, wells 6, 8, and 9.  23. Twenty-two-day, 1.09-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Atherton, Missouri, well 6.  24. Missouri River stage near Hermann, Missouri, May to September 1996, and periods of comparison.  25. Seven-day, 1.13-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Hermann, Missouri, wells 7 and 8.  26. Nine-day, 3.08-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Hermann, Missouri, wells 7 and 8.  27. Nine-day, 3.08-meter flood pulse and ground-water response factor in Hermann, Missouri, wells 5, 6, 7, and 8.  28. Summary of the eight Alternative River Management Plans.  29. U.S. Geological Survey gaging station, river mile, average daily discharge for Gavin's Point Dam to average daily discharge at U.S. Geological Survey gaging station, river mile, average annual discharge, and stage increase from 10,000-and 20,000-cubic feet per second releases at Gavin's Point Dam  20. Data pertaining to daily river stage differences between each Alternative River Management Plan at Kansas City, Missouri.  21. Data pertaining to daily river stage differences between each Alternative River Management Plan and each Alternati	

## TABLES—Continued

16.	Simulated eight-day, flood pulse and ground-water response factor results for 0.5-, 1-, and 3-meter flood	
	pulses for selected days and distances from the Missouri River	64
17.	Simulated 32-day, flood pulse and ground-water response factor results for 0.5-, 1-, and 3-meter	
	flood pulses for selected days and distances from the Missouri River	83
18.	Simulated 128-day, flood pulse and ground-water response factor results for 0.5-, 1-,	
	and 3-meter flood pulses for selected days and distances from the Missouri River	104

## **CONVERSION FACTORS**

Multiply	Ву	To obtain
centimeters	0.3937	inches
meter	3.281	feet
kilometer	0.6215	mile
square meter	10.76	square feet
hectare	2.471	acre
square kilometer	0.3861	square mile
cubic meters	8.110 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	acre-feet
cubic meters per day	4.087 x 10 <sup>-4</sup>	cubic feet per second

**Sea Level:** In this report, "sea level" refers to the National Geodetic Vertical Datum of 1929 (NVGD of 1929)—a geodetic datum derived from a general adjustment of the first-order level nets of both the United States and Canada, formerly called Sea Level Datum of 1929.

Altitude, as used in this report, refers to distance above or below sea level.