Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission:

2. Agency:	General Services Administration
3. Bureau:	Public Building Service
4. Name of this Capital Asset:	Inventory Reporting Information System (IRIS)/Project Services
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.)	023-05-01-08-01-1030-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.)	Mixed Life Cycle
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB?	FY2001 or earlier

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

IRIS/Project Services is a portfolio of interrelated enterprise applications that support the PBS new construction program and the building repair & alteration (R&A) programs. The components of Project Services include: IRIS (Inventory Reporting Information System), WebBER (Web-based Building Evaluation Reports), PIP (Project Information Portal), AMIS (Asbestos Management Information System), ERIN (Environmental Risk Index) and Capital Projects Application. These Project Services applications are tools that provide for the identification, tracking, funding, and execution of new construction and R&A programs.

IRIS documents and schedules all identified R&A building needs. IRIS tracks the execution of construction projects through the design and construction phases, helps conduct long-range planning, and assists in developing the Budget Activity (BA) 54 and BA55 programs annually. The primary users of IRIS are regional Portfolio Management, Property Management, Service Centers, and Budget organizations that control and manage the BA54 R&A program. WebBER is a Web-based building assessment tool that provides ready access to information on the condition of major components of individual buildings in GSA's inventory. PIP is a data collection portal for PBS prospectus projects. Data from these projects are rolled up to give a summary of the new construction, lease, and major R&A programs in the areas of scope, schedule, budget, and condition. AMIS serves as a central repository for asbestos information. It provides building managers, project managers, R&A and maintenance staff with information about the particular location of asbestos within GSA buildings. ERIN is a PBS performance measure that indicates the level of environmental risk presented by PBS facilities. It uses weighted factors to consider human harm, property damage, environmental damage, legal liability, financial harm, and public relations damage risks. Capital Projects maintains and provides project-related financial data concerning BA51, BA55, and BA64 for users in the PBS Finance organization.

The IRIS/Project Services suite of applications put processes in place to ensure the right person is in the right job, at the right time, and is not only performing, but performing well by strategically supporting the effective use of human capital as well as an integrated process for the identification, analysis, and management of all repairs needed in every building in the PBS inventory.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request?	Yes
a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval?	7/23/2007
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit?	Yes

11. Contact information of Project Manager?

Name

Phone Number

Email

a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager?	TBD
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable	Yes

techniques or practices for this project?

a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)?	Yes
b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only)	No
1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?	
2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	
3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?	Yes

If "yes," check all that apply:	Human Capital Budget Performance Integration Financial Performance Expanded E-Government Competitive Sourcing Real Property Asset Management
a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)	IRIS/Project Services fully supports the mutually reinforcing PMA initiatives. IRIS/Project Services has implemented business process improvements for a more user-oriented repair and alteration (R&A) tracking system; increased the accuracy/cost-effectiveness/availability of project information; enabled tracking of vendor performance associated with R&A projects; facilitated a more strategic use of human resources; and increased efficiency with electronic availability of project information.
14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)	Yes
a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	No
b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	Asset Management
c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	Effective
15. Is this investment for information technology?	Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	Level 1
17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	No
19. Is this a financial management system?	Yes
a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	Yes
1. If "yes," which compliance area:	The system addresses compliance areas set forth by the FFMIA and OMB mandates.
2. If "no," what does it address?	

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

Inventory Reporting Information System (IRIS)/Project Services
IRIS

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware

Software

Services

Other

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?	N/A

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Phone Number

Title

E-mail

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval?	Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas?	No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)								
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	0	0.75	0	0					
Acquisition:	2.248	0.56	2.111	1.308					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	2.248	1.31	2.111	1.308					
Operations & Maintenance:	7.161	2.058	3.395	2.788					
TOTAL:	9.409	3.368	5.506	4.096					
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.								
Government FTE Costs	0.603	0.189	0.256	0.296					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	2	2	3	2					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's?	No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Task Orders Table:																* Costs in millions
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order ($M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

    a. Explain why:

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

    a. If "yes," what is the date?

    b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

        1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2006	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/ workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Automation	Reduce cost of operations by moving IRIS from client/server to a web based platform.	IRIS operates in a client server environment requiring regions to maintain additional CITRIX server software, infrastructure, and support staff.	Move IRIS to the PBS Portal Single Sign On infrastructure consolidating administration, hardware and software to the ESC.	Web-based IRIS Work Items was implemented in Q4 FY06.
2006	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Accounting	Improve accuracy of project cost capitalization and depreciation accounting.	Uneven project cost capitalization and depreciation accounting in IRIS.	Improved project cost capitalization and depreciation accounting accuracy.	IRIS Work Items was implemented in Q4 FY06.
2006	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time and Resource Time	Timeliness	Decrease time required before Substantial Completion Dates are entered into IRIS.	Entering of Substantial Completion Dates of capitalized projects into IRIS averages 3 weeks.	Increase operating efficiency and reduce depreciation of assets by entering Substantial Completion Dates into IRIS within 1 week of when those dates occur.	IRIS Work Items was implemented in Q4 FY06.
2006	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting	Technology	Efficiency	Improvement	Increase operating efficiency and streamline OMB	Manual reporting to OMB for major capital construction	100% of GSA's capital construction projects	Achieved 100% of capital construction projects

Performance Information Table							
Fiscal Year	**Strategic Goal(s) Supported**	**Measurement Area**	**Measurement Category**	**Measurement Grouping**	**Measurement Indicator**	**Baseline**	**Target**	**Actual Results**
	business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.				reporting by representing all of GSA's capital construction projects on the Project Information Portal and displaying the data in a format acceptable to OMB.	projects.	represented on the customer Project Information Portal in FY2006.	represented on the customer Project Information Portal.
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Customer Results	Timeliness and Responsiveness	Response Time	Improve system flexibility to meet evolving regional business processes.	Currently changes to both national and region-specific fields (including pick-list & drop-downs) require development.	Provide national and regional leaders ability to modify and update relevant fields as-needed.	100% Complete. National and regional leaders have been provided the ability to modify and update relevant fields as-needed.
2007	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/ workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Reporting and Information	Reduce reported amount of overdue work as shown on fiscal year summary report.	$550M in overdue work as shown on fiscal year summary report for BA54.	Reduce reported amount of overdue work as shown on fiscal year summary report by 10% in FY07.	Q3 Update: Amount of overdue work on the fiscal year summary for BA54 reduced to 495M, meeting the goal to reduce the amount by 10%
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Cycle Time and Resource Time	Cycle Time	Increase operating efficiency and streamline PBS Capital Project reporting by processing all reports online via PIP.	Manual process of reporting to OMB for major prospectus construction projects done in disparate systems.	Achieve 95% reporting on prospectus projects for BA55 work categorization.	Q3 Update: Actual results will be available Q4 FY07.
2007	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/ workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Compliance with PBS Enterprise Quality program (EQP).	IRIS/WebBER 100% compliant with PBS EQP process in FY06.	Maintain 100% compliance with PBS EQP process in FY07.	Q3 Update: Applications have maintained 100% compliance with PBS EQP process.
2007	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/ workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Compliance with PBS Enterprise Quality Program (EQP).	PIP 80% compliant with PBS EQP process.	Establish and maintain 100% compliance with PBS EQP process in FY07.	Q3 Update: PIP is 100% PBS EQP process complaint.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Technology	Efficiency	Improvement	Increase operating efficiency and streamline OMB reporting by integrating limited AMIS functionality in ERIN; Potential decommission of AMIS could result in cost savings to the Government.	ERIN and AMIS coexist on the PBS Portal but users are required to reference two separate applications.	By integrating AMIS functionality into ERIN, user community will look to one application for data instead of two, resulting in increased efficiency and potential cost savings.	Q3 Update: Planned development for enhancement is Q4 FY07. Design specifications are presently being prepared. Actual results will be available in FY08.
2007	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Technology	Information and Data	Data Reliability and Quality	Increase accuracy of building condition survey data.	80% of building survey data are up to date.	Achieve 85% accuracy of building survey data by FY07.	Q3 Update: Achieved 82% accuracy of building survey data for FY07.
2007	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	System availability.	Baseline metrics provided by ESC report, which denotes unscheduled downtime for investments.	Achieve IRIS and WebBER system availability of 90%.	Q3 Update: One unscheduled downtime occurred for IRIS so far in FY07. System availability is 99.3%. No unscheduled downtime occurred for WebBER so far in 07. System Availability is 100%.
2008	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Automation	Reduce cost of operations by consolidating infrastructure.	PIP utilizes the GSA-wide Lotus Domino infrastructure for user authentication, messaging, and public name and address directory.	Reduced operating costs by consolidating to existing infrastructure.	Actual results will be available in FY09.
2008	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Reporting and Information	Reduce reported amount of overdue work as shown on fiscal year summary report.	$495M in overdue work as shown on fiscal year summary report for BA54 in FY07.	Reduce reported amount of overdue work as shown on fiscal year summary report by 10% in FY08.	Actual results will be available in FY09.
2008	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Compliance with PBS Enterprise Quality program (EQP).	Compliance with PBS EQP Process in FY07.	Maintain compliance with PBS EQP process in FY08. Perform audit of documentation submitted to CM library.	Actual results will be available in FY09.

Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.							
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	Increase operating efficiency and streamline OMB reporting by representing all of GSA's capital construction projects on the Project Information Portal and displaying the data in a format acceptable to OMB.	Manual reporting to OMB for non-prospectus projects.	100% of GSA's capital construction projects represented on the customer Project Information Portal in FY2009.	Actual results will be available in FY09.
2008	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/ workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Technology	Information and Data	Data Reliability and Quality	Increase accuracy of building condition survey data.	82% of building survey data are up to date.	Achieve 90% accuracy of building survey data in FY08.	Actual results will be available in FY09.
2009	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Automation	Integrate Physical Condition Survey (PCS) with VFA.facility to improve operating efficiency and improve Building condition data that would help easily track and update across the entire real estate portfolio via the Internet.	VFA.facility and PCS exist as two separate applications and not fully integrated. 55% of the building data are held in PCS.	Roll out VFA Auditor product to allows users to capture building data with a web-based questionnaire and link the data directly to VFA.Facility. With the rollout of PCS with VFA Facilities, the goal is that 100% of all building data be held in PCS.	Actual results will be available in FY10.
2009	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/ workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Reporting and Information	Reduce reported amount of overdue work as shown on fiscal year summary report.	$495M in overdue work as shown on fiscal year summary report for BA54 in FY07.	Reduce reported amount of overdue work as shown on fiscal year summary report by 20% in FY09.	Actual results will be available in FY10.
2009	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Compliance with PBS Enterprise Quality Program (EQP).	Compliance with PBS EQP Process in FY08.	Maintain compliance with PBS EQP process in FY09.	Actual results will be available in FY10.

Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.							
2009	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Technology	Efficiency	Improvement	Improved stability, performance, and security of asset.	PIP resides in a Lotus Domino 6.5.5 environment.	Move PIP from Lotus Domino to an Oracle environment.	Actual results will be available in FY10.
2009	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	System availability.	Baseline metrics provided by ESC report, which denotes unscheduled downtime for investments.	Maintain IRIS and WebBER system availability of at least 95%. Document and test contingency for investment accessed via the PBS Portal should the portal be inaccessible for an extended period of time.	Actual results will be available in FY10.

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified   Yes
and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:

    a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the
budget year:

2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part   Yes
of the overall risk management effort for each system
supporting or part of this investment.

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):

Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:

Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

    a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

    a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Capital Projects	No	Yes	Part 1 of the Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) has been completed and is on file. This system does not collect, store, or process any Personally Identifiable Information (PII). As a result of the privacy review, Part II of the PIA is not required and thus, the PIA is not publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records, and thus, SORN posting to the Federal Register is not required.
Environmental Risk Index (ERIN)	No	Yes	Part 1 of the Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) has been completed and is on file. This system does not collect, store, or process any Personally Identifiable Information (PII). As a result of the privacy review, Part II of the PIA is not required and thus, the PIA is not publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records, and thus, SORN posting to the Federal Register is not required.
Inventory Reporting Information System (IRIS)	No	Yes	Part 1 of the Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) has been completed and is on file. This system does not collect, store, or process any Personally Identifiable Information (PII). As a result of the privacy review, Part II of the PIA is not required and thus, the PIA is not publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records, and thus, SORN posting to the Federal Register is not required.
Project Information Portal (PIP)	No	Yes	Part 1 of the Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) has been completed and is on file. This system does not collect, store, or process any Personally Identifiable Information (PII). As a result of the privacy review, Part II of the PIA is not required and thus, the PIA is not publicly posted.	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act system of records, and thus, SORN posting to the Federal Register is not required.
Web Building Evaluation Report (WebBER)	No	Yes	Part 1 of the Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA	No	No, because the system is not a Privacy Act

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:

(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
			has been completed and is on file. This system does not collect, store, or process any Personally Identifiable Information (PII). As a result of the privacy review, Part II of the PIA is not required and thus, the PIA is not publicly posted.		system of records, and thus, SORN posting to the Federal Register is not required.

Details for Text Options:
Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?　　　　　　Yes

    a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?　　　　　　Yes

    a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.　　　　Inventory Reporting Information System (IRIS)/Project Services

    b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture?　　　　　　No

    a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Life Safety Management Module	Tracks and manages life safety issues and abatement plans for all leased and ownded Federal building	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management			No Reuse	15
Web Building Evaluation Report	Used to evaluate physical condition of all Federally owned buildings	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management			No Reuse	20
Work Items Inventory Module	Tracks and manages repair and alteration tasks for all leased and owned Federal building	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management			No Reuse	16
Prospectus Project	Tracks and manages the	Business Management	Management of Processes	Program / Project			No Reuse	49

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Management	prospectus construction projects	Services		Management				

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	Electronic Business using XML (ebXML)
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	Active Server Pages (ASP)
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	Java 2 Enterprise Editions (J2EE) Struts
Property / Asset Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	System to System
Property / Asset Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	Web Service
Property / Asset Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft Internet Explorer
Property / Asset Management	Service Interface and Integration	Interface	Service Description / Interface	Application Program Interface (API) / Protocol
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Lotus Domino 6.5
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle 10g
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	Network-Attached Storage (NAS)
Property / Asset Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	Oracle 10AS
Program / Project Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Web Servers	Lotus Domino 6.5

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?　　　　No

a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project?   Yes

    a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed?   2/16/2007

    b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?

    c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

2. Alternative Analysis Results:			* Costs in millions
Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:			
Alternative Analyzed	**Description of Alternative**	**Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate**	**Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate**

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?

4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?

5. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?

    a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment.

    b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

List of Legacy Investment or Systems		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	**UPI if available**	**Date of the System Retirement**

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?   Yes

    a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan?   8/20/2007

    b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?   Yes

c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?

    a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?

    b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included

in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748?   Yes

2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100)   No

    a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?

    b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:

    c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:

3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year?   Yes

a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?   8/28/2007

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in $ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		Percent Complete
		Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost ($M) Estimated	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost ($M)		Schedule (# days)	Cost ($M)	
				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual			