Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission:

2. Agency: General Services Administration

3. Bureau: Office Of The Chief Financial Officer

4. Name of this Capital Asset: National Electronic Accounting and Reporting (NEAR) System

5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 023-30-01-01-01-1010-00

6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Operations and Maintenance

7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2001 or earlier

8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

The National Electronic Accounting and Reporting (NEAR) system is systematically being replaced to align with the GSA FMLOB solution. NEAR was GSA's accounting system from 1974 to 2002, when it was replaced by CGI-AMS Momentum Financials (referred to as Pegasys) as GSA's official financial system of record. NEAR was developed in-house and supported many complex functions unique to GSA but did not have some key functionality required by JFMIP, including requirements to provide on-line fund status notification and to support the Standard General Ledger (SGL). While most of NEAR's functionality has been replaced by Pegasys, the remaining portion of NEAR supports billing, accounts receivable, and asset management is on target to sunset at the conclusion of FY10. The remaining functional areas are being planned for replacement (please refer to the Pegasys business case).

The planned replacement and retirement of the NEAR system supports the FMLOB initiative goals and the strategic goals from the President's Management Agenda in the areas of improving financial management and aligning to the FMLob, and integrating budget and performance. NEAR's replacement and retirement plans are included in the GSA e-Gov alignment report.

9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes

    a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 7/23/2007

10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes

11. Contact information of Project Manager?

Name

Phone Number

Email

a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? Senior/Expert-level

12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? Yes

    a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes

    b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) No

       1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment? No

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?	No
3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?	
13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives?	Yes
If "yes," check all that apply:	Budget Performance Integration
a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)	The planned replacement and retirement of the NEAR system supports the FMLOB initiative goals and the strategic goals from the President's Management Agenda in the areas of improving financial management and integrating budget and performance. NEAR's replacement and retirement plans are included in the GSA e-Gov alignment report.
14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.)	No
a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review?	No
b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?	
c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?	
15. Is this investment for information technology?	Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	Level 2
17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)	(1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment
18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)	No
19. Is this a financial management system?	Yes
a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?	No
1. If "yes," which compliance area:	N/A
2. If "no," what does it address?	

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

NEAR

Accounts Receivable Claims System - ARCS
Schedules Industrial Funding Transaction - SIFT
Billed Accounts Receivable Tracking System - BART
Capital Asset Management System - CAMS
Concessions Accounts Receivable System - CARS
Outlease Accounts Receivable System - OARS
Accounts Receivable - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE
Combined Billing Generator (CBG) - COMBINED BILLING GENERATOR (CBG)
Customer Billing Addresses - CUSTOMER BILLING ADDRESSES
FSS Fleet Billings - FSS FLEET BILLINGS
FSS Supply Billings - FSS SUPPLY BILLINGS
FTS Billing System - FTS BILLING SYSTEM
NEAR Billing System - NEAR BILLING SYSTEM
NEAR General Processes - EDITS
PBS (RPADS) - PBS (RPADS)
PBS Billing (Rent) - PBS Billing (RENT)
Pegasys, NEAR and FMIS Interface - VATPROC
Reimbursable Work Authorization System - REIMBURSABLE WORK AUTHORIZATION SYSTEM

Transformation Box - TBOX
Transportation Accounts Payable - TRANSPORTATION ACCOUNTS PAYABLE
Financial Management Information System - FMIS

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware

Software

Services

Other

21. If this project produces information dissemination   No
products for the public, are these products published to the
Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and
included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities?

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Phone Number

Title

E-mail

23. Are the records produced by this investment   Yes
appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and
Records Administration's approval?

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO   No
High Risk Areas?

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS) (Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)								
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	0.656	0	0	0					
Acquisition:	0	0	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	0.656	0	0	0					
Operations & Maintenance:	18.0376	6.826	7.86	8.256					
TOTAL:	18.6936	6.826	7.86	8.256					
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.								
Government FTE Costs	7.397	2.738	2.874	3.018					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	69	30	23	17					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional   No
FTE's?

    a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Contracts/Task Orders Table: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | * Costs in millions

Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order ($M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition? (Y/N)

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

    a. Explain why:

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

    a. If "yes," what is the date?

    b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

        1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2006	1. Provide Best Value for Customer Agencies and Taxpayers	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access	Maintain	Transaction processed timely and accurately	Percent timeliness of AR tranactions processed	97% completion
2006	3. Operate Efficiently and Effectively	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Collections and Receivables	Phased Elimination of Remaining Functionality	Operational, reliable system; Technology needs to be replaced to meet operational and strategic goals	Requirements definition work completed. Procurement for fixed asset module in Spring FY2006	On Target
2006	3. Operate Efficiently and Effectively	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Collections and Receivables	Phased Elimination of Remaining Functionality	Operational, reliable system; Technology needs to be replaced to meet operational and strategic goals	Migration of reporting functionality for cost allocation reports complete	On Target
2006	3. Operate Efficiently and Effectively	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Collections and Receivables	Phased Elimination of Remaining Functionality	Operational, reliable system; Technology needs to be replaced to meet operational and strategic goals	Migration of reporting functionality for cost allocation reports complete	A/R Billing procurement timeframe readjusted to account for organization charges impacts (i.e. FAS establishment) and need to revalidate requirements and analysis with other GSA systems work related to acquisitions.
2007	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access	% of Transactions Processed Timely and Accurately	98%	98% percent timeliness of Asset Accounting tranactions processed	98% completion

Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
								business solutions.
2007	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Collections and Receivables	% of Functionality Remaining in System	100% requirements definition work completed	Complete 100% requirements definition work. Procurement for AR Billing module in Spring FY2008	Completed on schedule
2007	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Financial Management	% of Transactions Processed Timely and Accurately	98%	98% percent timeliness of AR transactions processed	98% completion
2007	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Technology	Efficiency	Improvement	% of milestone targets in GSA eGov Implementation Plan met	100%	Complete 100% identification of NEAR replacement tasks by Q4, FY2007.	Available after 10/01/2007
2008	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access	% of Transactions Processed Timely and Accurately	99%	99% percent timelines of Asset Accounting tranactions processed	Available after 10/01/2008
2008	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Asset and Liability Management	% of Functionality Remaining in System	0%	Complete 100% of migration of remaining functionality for Asset Accounting complete	Available after 10/01/2008
2008	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Financial Management	% of Transactions Processed Timely and Accurately	99%	99% percent timelines of AR tranactions processed	Available after 10/01/2008
2008	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Technology	Efficiency	Improvement	% of Functionality Remaining in System	0%	100% migration of reporting functionality for A/R Billing complete	Available after 10/01/2008
2009	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology,	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access	% of Transactions Processed Timely and Accurately	100%	100% percent timelines of Asset Accounting tranactions processed	Available after 10/01/2009

Performance Information Table

Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	supplies, and related services.							
2009	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Collections and Receivables	% of Functionality Remaining in System	0%	Complete 100% of migration of reporting functionality for Asset Accounting from NEAR	Available after 10/01/2009
2009	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Financial Management	% of Transactions Processed Timely and Accurately	100%	100% percent timelines of AR tranactions processed	Available after 10/01/2009
2009	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Technology	Efficiency	Improvement	% of Functionality Remaining in System	0%	Complete 100% of migration of reporting functionality for A/R Billing from NEAR	Available after 10/01/2009

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified   Yes
and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:

    a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the
budget year:

2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part   Yes
of the overall risk management effort for each system
supporting or part of this investment.

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):

Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

    a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

    a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
NEAR	No	No	A PIA is not required at this time because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act System of Records.

Details for Text Options:
Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.

Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.

Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture?   Yes

    a. If "no," please explain why?

NEAR is targeted for replacement in the EA.

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy?   Yes

    a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

    b. If "no," please explain why?

NEAR is targeted for replacement in the EA.

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a   Yes
target architecture) and approved segment architecture?

    a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as Financial Management
provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Property / Asset Management	Defines the set of capabilities that support the identification, planning and allocation of an organization's physical capital and resources.	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management			No Reuse	4
Data Warehouse	Defines the set of capabilities that support the archiving and storage of large volumes of data.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Data Warehouse			No Reuse	4
Extraction and Transformation	Defines the set of capabilities that support the manipulation and change of data.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Extraction and Transformation			No Reuse	4
Loading and Archiving	Defines the set of capabilities that support the population of a data source with external data.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Loading and Archiving			No Reuse	4
Meta Data Management	Defines the set of capabilities that support the maintenance and administration of data that describes data.	Back Office Services	Data Management	Meta Data Management			No Reuse	4
Billing and Accounting	Defines the set of capabilities that support the charging, collection and reporting of an organization's accounts.	Back Office Services	Financial Management	Billing and Accounting			No Reuse	20
Debt Collection	Defines the set of capabilities that support the process of accounts receivable.	Back Office Services	Financial Management	Debt Collection			No Reuse	20
Revenue Management	Defines the set of capabilities that support the allocation and re-investment of earned net credit or capital within an organization.	Back Office Services	Financial Management	Revenue Management			No Reuse	20
Ad Hoc	Defines the set of capabilities that support the use of dynamic reports on an as needed basis.	Business Analytical Services	Reporting	Ad Hoc			No Reuse	10
Standardized / Canned	Defines the set of capabilities that support the use of pre-conceived or pre-written reports.	Business Analytical Services	Reporting	Standardized / Canned			No Reuse	10

    a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Property / Asset Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Collaboration / Communications	IBM-Lotus Notes Electronic Mail (E-mail)
Property / Asset Management	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Other Electronic Channels	GSA-System to System
Meta Data Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	Internal (within Agency)
Meta Data Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	GSA-Privacy: Platform for Privacy Preferences (P3P)
Meta Data Management	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	GSA-Security
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Microsoft/IBM-File Transfer Protocol (FTP)
Billing and Accounting	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Microsoft/IBM-Internet Protocol (IP)
Debt Collection	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Microsoft/IBM-Transport Control Protocol (TCP)
Debt Collection	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	IBM-Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP)
Extraction and Transformation	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	GSA-Application Connectivity
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle-Enterprise
Data Warehouse	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Storage	IBM-Network-Attached Storage (NAS)
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	IBM/Dell-Hard Disk Drive
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	IBM/Dell-Random Access Memory (RAM)
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Embedded Technology Devices	IBM/Intel Microprocessor
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Local Area Network (LAN)	Cisco-Ethernet
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco-Firewall
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco-Gateway
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco-Hub
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco-Router
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	Cisco-Switch
Loading and Archiving	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Network Devices / Standards	ISP-T1/T3
Ad Hoc	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Peripherals	HP/Dell-Printer
Ad Hoc	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	IBM-Mainframe
Standardized / Canned	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Inhouse-Change Management
Standardized / Canned	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Inhouse-Defect Tracking
Standardized / Canned	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Inhouse-Deployment Management
Standardized / Canned	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Inhouse-Issue Management
Standardized / Canned	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Software Configuration Management	Inhouse-Task Management
Revenue Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Inhouse-Business Cycle Testing
Revenue Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Inhouse-Configuration Testing

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Revenue Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Inhouse-Functional Testing
Revenue Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Inhouse-Security and Access Control Testing
Revenue Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Software Engineering	Test Management	Inhouse-Usability Testing (508 Testing)

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)?   No

a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part III: For "Operation and Maintenance" investments ONLY (Steady State)

Section A: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

Part III should be completed only for investments identified as "Operation and Maintenance" (Steady State) in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?   Yes

    a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan?   8/27/2007

    b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly   No
changed since last year's submission to OMB?

    c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?   No

    a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?

    b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

Section B: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

1. Was operational analysis conducted?   Yes

    a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed.   5/8/2007

    b. If "yes," what were the results?

    c. If "no," please explain why it was not conducted and if there are any plans to conduct operational analysis in the future:

2. Complete the following table to compare actual cost performance against the planned cost performance baseline. Milestones reported may include specific individual scheduled preventative and predictable corrective maintenance activities, or may be the total of planned annual operation and maintenance efforts).

    a. What costs are included in the reported Cost/Schedule   Contractor and Government
Performance information (Government Only/Contractor
Only/Both)?

    2.b Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table:

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Planned		Actual		Variance	
		Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost($M)	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost($M)	Schedule (# days)	Cost($M)

Comparison of Plan vs. Actual Performance Table