

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)****Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

1. Date of Submission:
2. Agency: General Services Administration
3. Bureau: Office Of The Chief Acquisition Officer
4. Name of this Capital Asset: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov)
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 023-30-01-02-01-0230-24
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Multi-Agency Collaboration
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2003
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

The Integrated Acquisition Environment is one of the Presidential e-Government (e-Gov) initiatives selected in November, 2001 to improve the performance and management of the government. GSA was assigned by OMB to lead the Government-wide Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE) initiative. IAE's mission is to provide acquisition services to support the entire acquisition lifecycle in a unified manner. To balance needs and funding and to stage and manage acquisition/development and adoption, IAE is being developed in phases. In the first phase, IAE created a federal acquisition framework and built a foundation of essential core high need/high-value shared services that filled acknowledged gaps in existing electronic acquisition capability. To establish a federal service, IAE (1) developed standard vocabulary and acquisition transactions, (2) put in place policy to underpin government-wide adoption of an integrated acquisition service, and (3) instituted a governance structure, outreach and essential business processes. Utilizing that federal framework, the IAE (1) adapted existing systems providing core functions (e.g. Central Contractor Registration, FedBizOpps) for government-wide use, (2) significantly upgraded existing essential capability (e.g. Federal Procurement Data System), and (3) stood up services that provided immediate efficiencies (e.g. On-line Representations and Certifications, Wage Determinations On-Line). The essential core of shared services of the first phase currently supports and aligns each step of the acquisition life cycle as follows:
 -Market research: Central Contractor Registration (CCR)/Federal Agency Registration (FedReg), Wage Determinations On-Line (WDOL).
 -Ordering via Purchase Order: An Interagency Contracts Directory (ICD) within Federal Procurement Data System-Next Generation (FPDS-NG)
 -Ordering via Purchase Card: Federal Procurement Data System-Next Generation (FPDS-NG)
 -Solicitation: FedBizOpps (FBO), Federal Technical Data System (FedTeDS)
 -Evaluation: On-line Representations and Certifications Application (ORCA), Excluded Parties List System (EPLS)
 -Award: FBO, Federal Procurement Data System-Next Generation (FPDS-NG)
 -Contract Administration: Electronic Subcontractor Reporting System (eSRS), FPDS-NG
 IAE will optimize the resources used to deliver current services. In the next phase, IAE will provide more full-service and integrated capability for both the acquisition community and vendors.
9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 7/23/2007
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes
11. Contact information of Project Manager?
 Name
 Phone Number
 Email
- a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? TBD
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable Yes

techniques or practices for this project?

a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes

b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) No

1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment? No

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles? No

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? Yes

If "yes," check all that apply:

Expanded E-Government

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

Initiative: Expanded Electronic Government. Minimize burden on business by reusing data previously collected or by XML or other open standards to receive transmissions, e.g. CCR, a single point for collection of vendor data, is re-used in downstream IAE services such as ORCA, eSRS, FedTeDS, EPLS, PPIRS, and FPDS-NG. Obtain productivity improvements by implementing customer relationship management, supply chain management, enterprise resource management, or knowledge management best practices.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review? No

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) Yes

19. Is this a financial management system? Yes

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area? Yes

1. If "yes," which compliance area: Financial Reporting

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

Central Contractor Registration (CCR) / Federal Registration (FedReg)

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware

Software

Services

Other

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? Yes

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Phone Number

Title

E-mail

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? Yes

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)									
(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)									
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	3.135269	1.951465	8.11621	1.830496					
Acquisition:	1.622013	0	0	0					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	4.757282	1.951465	8.11621	1.830496					
Operations & Maintenance:	193.9703	32.354256	32.960603	41.508361					
TOTAL:	198.727582	34.305721	41.076813	43.338857					
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	5.3315	5.402128	5.796529	6.088154					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	0	25	29	34					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov) (Revision 8)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

a. Explain why:

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

a. If "yes," what is the date?

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2005		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Complaints: Helpdesk calls by type, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2004: CCR 14,402, EPLS 115, FedTeDS 155, FPDS-NG 349, ORCA 131	10% decrease over baseline by 9/30/2005	CCR 13,433; EPLS 103; eSRS 31; FBO 1,158; FedTeDS 379; FPDS-NG 349; WDOL 1,037
2005		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Customer Satisfaction: Customer satisfaction % by system based on survey	% by system as of 10/1/2004	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2005	No survey taken
2005		Customer Results	Service Coverage	Frequency and Depth	Frequency & Depth: Number of hits/searches per month by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2004: EPLS, 2,760,570; ORCA, 156	10% increase from baseline	CCR 917,654; EPLS 7,379,943; FBO 32,909,021; FedReg 90; FedTeDS 809; ORCA 16,040; WDOL 1,821,997
2005		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	New Customers and Market Penetration: Number of agencies - Total/CFO - by system	Number of total/CFO agencies as of 10/1/2004, by system: CCR 128/24; EPLS 61/24; eSRS 61/24; FBO 61/24; FedReg 61/24; FedTeDS 61/24	By system, 90% of eligible agencies	CCR 53/24; EPLS 45/24; eSRS 17/17; FBO 61/24; FedReg 61/24; FedTeDS
2005		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	New Customers and Market Penetration: Number of users, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2004: FedTeDS 7,164; FPDS-NG 4,137	10% increase over baseline by 9/30/2005	CCR 2,658; eSRS 563; FBO 757,792; FedReg 487; FedTeDS 15,335; FPDS-NG 53,052; ORCA 38,505
2005		Mission and Business Results	Supply Chain Management	Goods Acquisition	Goods Acquisition: Percent/# of	By system, percent/# as of 10/1/2004: CCR	By system, 10% increase from baseline	CCR 388,809; EPLS 52,304; FBO 1,285,654;

Exhibit 300: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov) (Revision 8)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
					eligible records	350,073; FedTeDS 4,128; FPDS-NG 100%; ORCA 152		FedReg 141,509; FedTeDS 7,073; FPDS-NG 100%; ORCA 38,542; WDOL 811,846
2005		Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Costs: % of systems within +/- 10% of budget	% of systems as of 10/1/2004: 12	90% of systems within +/- 10% of budget at 9/30/2005	5 of 12, 42%
2005		Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Planning: % of systems within +/- 10% of schedule	% of systems as of 10/1/2004, 12	90% of systems within +/- 10% of schedule by 9/30/2005	8 of 12, 67%
2005		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Innovation & Improvement: Number of eligible systems eliminated, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2004	10% increase over baseline by 9/30/2005	CCR 1; EPLS Hardcopy; eSRS SF 294/295; FedTeDS 3; FPDS-NG 4; ORCA Section K, ACASS; WDOL SF96
2005		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Innovation & Improvement: Number of software providers certified, by system as required	Number by system as of 10/1/2004	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2005	TBD
2005		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Participation	Participation: Agency/Industry participation, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2004	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2005	TBD
2005		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Participation	Innovation & Improvement: Number of agencies integrating data, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2004	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2005	TBD
2005		Technology	Quality	Compliance and Deviations	Compliance & Deviations: Certification and Accreditation by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2004, 9	All operational systems have completed C&A and received ATO by 9/30/2005	9
2005		Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Availability: By system, percent available	Number by system as of 10/1/2004: CCR 99%; EPLS 99%; FBO 99%; FedTeDS 99%; FPDS-NG 99%; ORCA 99%; WDOL 99%	10% improvement over baseline or 99% available	CCR 99%; EPLS 100%; FBO 100%; FedReg 99%; FedTeDS 100%; FPDS-NG 99%; ORCA 98%; WDOL 99%
2006		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Complaints: Helpdesk calls by type, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2005: CCR 13,433; EPLS 103; eSRS 31; FBO 1,158; FedTeDS 379; FPDS-NG 349; WDOL 1.037	10% decrease over baseline by 9/30/2006	As of 9/30/2006: CCR n/a; EPLS 1,171; eSRS 1,152; FBO 2,210; FedTeDS 673; FPDS-NG 349; WDOL 543, ORCA 753
2006		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Customer Satisfaction: Customer satisfaction % by system based on survey	% by system as of 10/1/2005	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2006	SPE Initial Survey Results - % Favorable: CCR 38%; EPLS 52%; eSRS 38%; FBO 48%; FedReg 10%; FedTeDS 24%; FPDS-NG 62%; ORCA 24%; WDOL 43%
2006		Customer Results	Service Coverage	Frequency and Depth	Frequency & Depth: Number of hits/searches per month by system	FY 2005 results: CCR 917,654; EPLS 7,379,943; eSRS 376,616; FBO 32,909,021; FedReg 90; FedTeDS 809;	10% increase from baseline	As of 9/30/2006: CCR 1,757,258; EPLS 7,926,467; eSRS 620,224; FBO 40,687,074; FedReg 30; FedTeDS 1,532;

Exhibit 300: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov) (Revision 8)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
						ORCA 16,040; WDOL 1,821,997		ORCA 17,658; WDOL 1,754,475
2006		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	New Customers and Market Penetration: Number of users, by system	FY 2005 results: CCR 2,658; eSRS 563; FBO 757,792; FedReg 487; FedTeDS 15,335; FPDS-NG 53,052; ORCA 38,505	10% increase from baseline	As of 9/30/2006: CCR 3,420; eSRS 6,605; FBO 852,811; FedReg 565; FedTeDS 37,314; FPDS-NG 81,264; ORCA 54,983
2006		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	New Customers and Market Penetration: Number of agencies - Total/CFO - by system	FY 2005 results: CCR 53/24; EPLS 43/24; eSRS 17/17; FBO 111/24; FedReg 52/24; FedTeDS 14/8; FPDS-NG 62/24; ORCA 43/24;	By system, 90% of eligible agencies	As of 9/30/2006: CCR 61/24; EPLS 45/24; eSRS 51/21; FBO 113/24; FedReg 51/24; FedTeDS 24/14; FPDS-NG 62/24; ORCA 37/24;
2006		Mission and Business Results	Supply Chain Management	Goods Acquisition	Goods Acquisition: Percent/# of eligible records	By system, percent/# as of 10/1/2005: CCR 388,809; EPLS 52,304; eSRS 275; FBO 1,285,654; FedReg 141,509; FedTeDS 7,073; FPDS-NG 100%; ORCA 38,542; WDOL 811,846	By system, 10% increase from baseline	As of 9/30/2006: CCR 425,361; EPLS 53,429; eSRS 8,697; FBO 1,542,081; FedReg 119,693; FedTeDS 11,462; FPDS-NG 100%; ORCA 54,983; WDOL 1,005,048
2006		Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Costs: % of systems within +/- 10% of budget	% of systems as of 10/1/2005: 9	90% of systems within +/- 10% of budget at 9/30/2005	As of 9/30/2006: 8 of 9, 89%
2006		Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Planning: % of systems within +/- 10% of schedule	% of systems as of 10/1/2005, 9	90% of systems within +/- 10% of schedule by 9/30/2006	As of 9/30/2006: 9 of 9, 100%
2006		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Participation: Agency/Industry participation, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2005: CCR-10, EPLS-1, eSRS-10, FBO-110, FedTeDS-2, FPDS-NG-19, ORCA-1, WDOL-8	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2006	9/30/2006: CCR-10, EPLS-1, eSRS-23/6, FBO-113, FedTeDS-12, FPDS-NG-19, ORCA-1, WDOL-8
2006		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Innovation & Improvement: Number of software providers certified, by system as required	Number by system as of 10/1/2005: FPDS-NG-11 Cots, 15 Gots	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2006	9/30/2006: FPDS-NG-11 Cots, 15 Gots
2006		Technology	Quality	Compliance and Deviations	Compliance & Deviations: Certification and Accreditation by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2005, 9	All operational systems have completed C&A and received ATO by 9/30/2006	As of 9/30/2006: 9
2006		Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Availability: By system, percent available	Number by system as of 10/1/2005: CCR 99%; EPLS 100%; eSRS 100%; FBO 100%; FedReg 99%; FedTeDS 100%; FPDS-NG 99%; ORCA 98%; WDOL 99%	10% improvement over baseline or 99% available	As of 9/30/2006: CCR 99.84%; EPLS 100%; eSRS 100%; FBO 100%; FedReg 99.84%; FedTeDS 100%; FPDS-NG 99%; ORCA 100%; WDOL 100%
2007		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Complaints: Helpdesk calls by type, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2006: CCR 18,634; EPLS 1,171; eSRS 1,152; FBO	10% decrease over baseline by 9/30/2007	As of 6/30/2007: CCR 16,317; EPLS 67; eSRS 50; FBO 1,940; FedTeDS 914;

Exhibit 300: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov) (Revision 8)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
						2,210; FedTeDS 673; FPDS-NG 349; WDOL 543, ORCA 753		FPDS-NG 760; WDOL 327, ORCA 765
2007		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Customer Satisfaction: Customer satisfaction % by system based on survey	SPE Initial Survey Results - % Favorable: CCR 38%; EPLS 52%; eSRS 38%; FBO 48%; FedReg 10%; FedTeDS 24%; FPDS-NG 62%; ORCA 24%; WDOL 43%	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2007	Results as of April, 2007 - 82% Favorable: CCR 73%; EPLS 86%; eSRS 50%; FBO 77%; FedReg 91%; FedTeDS 86%; FPDS-NG 59%; ORCA 77%; WDOL 91%
2007		Customer Results	Service Coverage	Frequency and Depth	Frequency & Depth: Number of hits/searches per month by system	FY 2006 results: CCR 917,654; EPLS 7,379,943; eSRS 376,616; FBO 32,909,021; FedReg 90; FedTeDS 809; ORCA 16,040; WDOL 1,821,997* WebTrends Hits	10% increase from baseline by 9/30/2007	As of 6/30/2007: CCR 1,428,395; EPLS 8,382,682; eSRS 1,019,462; FBO 50,853,496; FedReg 30; FedTeDS 1,523; FPDS-NG 156,063; ORCA 51,304; WDOL 173,885 * WebTrends Visits
2007	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	New Customers and Market Penetration: Number of users, by system	FY 2006 results: CCR 2,658; eSRS 563; FBO 757,792; FedReg 487; FedTeDS 15,335; FPDS-NG 53,052; ORCA 38,505	10% increase from baseline by 9/30/2007	As of 6/30/2007: CCR 1,743; eSRS 8,540; FBO 745,403; FedReg 584; FedTeDS 50,802; FPDS-NG 122,708; ORCA 81,183; WDOL 78,325* WebTrends Visitors Started 6/2007
2007		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	New Customers and Market Penetration: Number of agencies - Total/CFO # by system	FY 2006 results: CCR 53/24; EPLS 43/24; eSRS 17/17; FBO 111/24; FedReg 52/24; FedTeDS 14/8; FPDS-NG 62/24; ORCA 43/24;	By system, 90% of eligible agencies by 9/30/2007	As of 6/30/2007: CCR 73/24; EPLS 50/24; eSRS 51/21; FBO 114/24; FedReg 51/24; FedTeDS 37/14; FPDS-NG 62/24; ORCA 37/24; WDOL 14;
2007	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/ workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	Supply Chain Management	Goods Acquisition	Goods Acquisition: Percent/# of eligible records	By system, percent/# as of 10/1/2006: CCR 388,809; EPLS 52,304; eSRS 275; FBO 1,285,654; FedReg 141,509; FedTeDS 7,073; FPDS-NG 100%; ORCA 38,542; WDOL 811,846	By system, 10% increase from baseline by 9/30/2007	As of 6/30/2007: CCR 440,797; EPLS 63,008; eSRS 17,461; FBO 1,973,847; FedReg 134,586; FedTeDS 16,332; FPDS-NG 3,000,280; ORCA 61,183; WDOL 493,130
2007	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Costs: % of systems within +/- 10% of budget	% of systems as of 10/1/2006: 9	90% of systems within +/- 10% of budget at 9/30/2007	As of 6/30/2007: 9 of 9, 100%
2007		Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Planning: % of systems within +/- 10% of schedule	% of systems as of 10/1/2006, 9	90% of systems within +/- 10% of schedule by 9/30/2007	As of 6/30/2007: 9 of 9, 100%

Exhibit 300: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov) (Revision 8)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	4. Innovation: Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Innovation & Improvement: Number of software providers certified, by system as required	Number by system as of 10/1/2006: FPDS-NG-11 Cots, 15 Gots	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2007	As of 6/30/2007: FPDS-NG-8 Cots, 26 Gots
2007		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Participation: Agency/Industry participation, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2006: CCR-10, EPLS-1, eSRS-10, FBO-110, FedTeDS-2, FPDS-NG-19, ORCA-1, WDOL-8	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2007	6/30/2007: CCR-10, EPLS-1, eSRS-24, FBO-114, FedTeDS-14, FPDS-NG-19, ORCA-1, WDOL-8
2007		Technology	Quality	Compliance and Deviations	Compliance & Deviations: Certification and Accreditation by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2006, 9	All operational systems have completed C&A and received ATO by 9/30/2007	As of 6/30/2007: 9 of 9, 100%
2007		Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Availability: By system, percent available	Number by system as of 10/1/2006: CCR 99%; EPLS 100%; eSRS 100%; FBO 100%; FedReg 99%; FedTeDS 100%; FPDS-NG 99%; ORCA 98%; WDOL 99%	10% improvement over baseline or 99% available	As of 6/30/2007: CCR 99.98%; EPLS 100%; eSRS 100%; FBO 100%; FedReg 99.98%; FedTeDS 100%; FPDS-NG 100%; ORCA 100%; WDOL 100%
2008		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Complaints: Helpdesk calls by type, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2007:	10% decrease over baseline by 9/30/2008	TBD
2008		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Customer Satisfaction: Customer satisfaction % by system based on survey	Results as of April, 2007 - 82% Favorable: CCR 73%; EPLS 86%; eSRS 50%; FBO 77%; FedReg 91%; FedTeDS 86%; FPDS-NG 59%; ORCA 77%; WDOL 91%	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2008	TBD
2008		Customer Results	Service Coverage	Frequency and Depth	Frequency & Depth: Number of hits/searches per month by system	FY 2007 results: As of 6/30/2007: CCR 1,428,395; EPLS 8,382,682; eSRS 1,019,462; FBO 50,853,496; FedReg 30; FedTeDS 1,523; FPDS-NG 156,063; ORCA 51,304; WDOL 173,885 * WebTrends Visits	10% increase from baseline by 9/30/2008	TBD
2008	2. Superior Workplaces: Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	New Customers and Market Penetration: Number of users, by system	FY 2007 results: As of 6/30/2007: CCR 1,743; eSRS 8,540; FBO 745,403; FedReg 584; FedTeDS 50,802; FPDS-NG 122,708; ORCA 81,183; WDOL 78,325* WebTrends Visitors Started 6/2007	10% increase from baseline by 9/30/2008	TBD
2008		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market	New Customers and Market	FY 2007 results: As of	By system, 90% of eligible	TBD

Exhibit 300: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov) (Revision 8)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
				Penetration	Penetration: Number of agencies - Total/CFO # by system	6/30/2007: CCR 73/24; EPLS 50/24; eSRS 51/21; FBO 114/24; FedReg 51/24; FedTeDS 37/14; FPDS-NG 62/24; ORCA 37/24; WDOL 14;	agencies by 9/30/2008	
2008	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	Supply Chain Management	Goods Acquisition	Goods Acquisition: Percent/# of eligible records	By system, percent/# as of 10/1/2007: As of 6/30/2007: CCR 440,797; EPLS 63,008; eSRS 17,461; FBO 1,973,847; FedReg 134,586; FedTeDS 16,332; FPDS-NG 3,000,280; ORCA 61,183; WDOL 493,130	By system, 10% increase from baseline by 9/30/2008	TBD
2008	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Costs: % of systems within +/- 10% of budget	% of systems as of 10/1/2007: As of 6/30/2007: 9 of 9, 100%	90% of systems within +/- 10% of budget at 9/30/2008	TBD
2008		Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Planning: % of systems within +/- 10% of schedule	% of systems As of 6/30/2007: 9 of 9, 100%	90% of systems within +/- 10% of schedule by 9/30/2008	TBD
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Innovation & Improvement: Number of software providers certified, by system as required	Number by system as of 10/1/2007: As of 6/30/2007: FPDS-NG-8 Cots, 26 Gots	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2008	TBD
2008		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Participation: Agency/Industry participation, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2007: 6/30/2007: CCR-10, EPLS-1, eSRS-24,FBO-114,FedTeDS-14, FPDS-NG-19,ORCA-1,WDOL-8	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2008	TBD
2008		Technology	Quality	Compliance and Deviations	Compliance & Deviations: Certification and Accreditation by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2007: As of 6/30/2007: 9 of 9, 100%	All operational systems have completed C&A and received ATO by 9/30/2008	TBD
2008		Technology	Reliability and Availability	Availability	Availability: By system, percent available	Number by system as of 10/1/2007: As of 6/30/2007: CCR 99.98%; EPLS 100%; eSRS 100%; FBO 100%; FedReg 99.98%; FedTeDS 100%; FPDS-NG 100%; ORCA 100%; WDOL 100%	10% improvement over baseline or 99% available	TBD
2009		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Complaints: Helpdesk calls by type, by	Number by system as of 10/1/2008: TBD	10% decrease over baseline by 9/30/2009	TBD

Exhibit 300: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov) (Revision 8)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
					system			
2009		Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Complaints	Customer Satisfaction: Customer satisfaction % by system based on survey	Results as of 9/30/2008: TBD	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2009	TBD
2009		Customer Results	Service Coverage	Frequency and Depth	Frequency & Depth: Number of hits/searches per month by system	FY 2008 results: TBD	10% increase from baseline by 9/30/2009	TBD
2009	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	New Customers and Market Penetration: Number of users, by system	FY 2008 results: TBD	10% increase from baseline by 9/30/2009	TBD
2009		Customer Results	Service Coverage	New Customers and Market Penetration	New Customers and Market Penetration: Number of agencies - Total/CFO # by system	FY 2008 results: TBD	By system, 90% of eligible agencies by 9/30/2009	TBD
2009	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	Supply Chain Management	Goods Acquisition	Goods Acquisition: Percent/# of eligible records	By system, percent/# as of 10/1/2008: TBD	By system, 10% increase from baseline by 9/30/2009	TBD
2009	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Costs: % of systems within +/- 10% of budget	% of systems as of 10/1/2008: TBD	90% of systems within +/- 10% of budget at 9/30/2009	TBD
2009		Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Costs	Planning: % of systems within +/- 10% of schedule	% of systems As of 6/30/2008: TBD	90% of systems within +/- 10% of schedule by 9/30/2009	TBD
2009	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Innovation & Improvement: Number of software providers certified, by system as required	Number by system as of 10/1/2008: TBD	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2009	TBD
2009		Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Participation: Agency/Industry participation, by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2008: TBD	10% improvement over baseline by 9/30/2009	TBD
2009		Technology	Quality	Compliance and Deviations	Compliance & Deviations: Certification and Accreditation by system	Number by system as of 10/1/2008: TBD	All operational systems have completed C&A and received ATO by 9/30/2009	TBD
2009		Technology	Reliability and	Availability	Availability: By	Number by	10%	TBD

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
			Availability		system, percent available	system as of 10/1/2008: TBD	improvement over baseline or 99% available	

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified and integrated into the overall costs of the investment? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:
2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment? Yes

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):			
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?
 - a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?
6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?
 - a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Acquisition.gov	No	Yes	PIA has been completed and filed but not publicly posted because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act System of Records.
CCR	No	Yes	PIA has been completed and filed but not publicly posted because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act System of Records.
EPLS	No	Yes	PIA has been completed and filed but not publicly posted because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	Yes	http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/01jan20061800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/2006/pdf/E6-20484.pdf
eSRS	No	No	A PIA is not required at this time because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act System of Records.
FBO/FedBizOpps	No	Yes	http://www.gsa.gov/gsa/cm_attachments/GSA_DOCUMENT/FedBizOppsPIA_R2-z-d8-z_0Z5RDZ-i34K-pR.doc	Yes	http://www.gsa.gov/gsa/cm_attachments/GSA_DOCUMENT/OAP-4_R29T4F_0Z5RDZ-i34K-pR.doc
FedReg	No	Yes	PIA has been completed and filed but not publicly posted because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act System of Records.
FedTeDS	No	No	A PIA is not required at this time because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act System of Records.
FPDS-NG	No	Yes	http://www.gsa.gov/gsa/cm_attachments/GSA_DOCUMENT/FPDSNextGenerationPIA_R2-z-d8-z_0Z5RDZ-i34K-pR.doc	Yes	http://www.gsa.gov/gsa/cm_attachments/GSA_DOCUMENT/OAP-3_R29T4F_0Z5RDZ-i34K-pR.doc
ORCA	No	Yes	PIA has been completed and filed but not publicly posted because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act System of Records.
Performance Data	Yes	No	A PIA is not required at this time because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act System of Records.
WDOL	No	No	A PIA is not required at this time because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	No because the system is not a Privacy Act System of Records.
Details for Text Options:					
Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.					
Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.					
Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.					

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Integrated Acquisition Environment

b. If "no," please explain why?

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? No

a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Acquisition Segment

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <http://www.egov.gov>.

Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE)	Provide shared services to support the entire acquisition lifecycle in unified manner by leveraging govt-wide, existing technology/acquisition infrastructures, simplify/standardize process, and to balance needs and funding, and manage phased development. These are: CCR/FedReg, EPLS, eSRS, FBO, FPDS-NG, FedTeDS, ORCA, WDOL.	Business Management Services	Supply Chain Management	Procurement			No Reuse	100

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
-----------------------	----------------------	--------------------------	--------------------------	---

Exhibit 300: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov) (Revision 8)

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:				
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.				
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Procurement	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Dependent	.NET acceptable
Procurement	Component Framework	Business Logic	Platform Independent	J2EE preferred
Procurement	Component Framework	Data Interchange	Data Exchange	Simple Object Access Protocol (SOAP)
Procurement	Component Framework	Security	Certificates / Digital Signatures	Digital Certificate Authentication
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Internet Explorer 6.0 - Microsoft Corp.
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Netscape Communicator - America On-Line
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	For vendors
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Intranet	For government users
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Authentication / Single Sign-on	Using e-Authentication
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Hosting	Internal (within Agency)
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Privacy: Liberty Alliance
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Privacy: Platform for Privacy Preferences (P3P)
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Section 508
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Security
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Requirements	Legislative / Compliance	Web Content Accessibility
Procurement	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Business Process Management
Procurement	Service Interface and Integration	Integration	Enterprise Application Integration	Transformation and Formatting
Procurement	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	eXtensible Markup Language (XML)
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle - Oracle Corporation
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	SQL Server
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	.NET acceptable
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Application Servers	J2EE preferred
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Portal Servers	.NET
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Delivery Servers	Portal Servers	J2EE - Sun Microsystems
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Hardware / Infrastructure	Servers / Computers	Solaris (Sun Microsystems) or Wintel
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Dependent	Windows.Net - Microsoft Corp
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Support Platforms	Platform Independent	Java 2 Platform Enterprise Edition (J2EE) - Sun Microsystems

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? Yes

a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part IV: Planning For "Multi-Agency Collaboration" ONLY

Section A: Multi-Agency Collaboration Oversight (All Capital Assets)

Part IV should be completed only for investments identified as an E-Gov initiative, a Line of Business(LOB) Initiative, or a Multi-Agency Collaboration effort. The "Multi-Agency Collaboration" choice should be selected in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above. Investments identified as "Multi-Agency Collaboration" will complete only Parts I and IV of the exhibit 300. Multi-agency Collaborations, such as E-Gov and LOB initiatives, should develop a joint exhibit 300.

1. Stakeholder Table:
As a joint exhibit 300, please identify all the agency stakeholders (all participating agencies, this should not be limited to agencies with financial commitment). All agency stakeholders should be listed regardless of approval. If the partner agency has approved this joint exhibit 300 please provide the date of approval.

Partner Agency Name	Partner Agency	Joint Exhibit Approval Date
---------------------	----------------	-----------------------------

2. Partner Capital Assets within this Investment:
Provide the partnering strategies you are implementing with the participating agencies and organizations. Identify all partner agency capital assets supporting the common solution (section 300.7); Managing Partner capital assets should also be included in this joint exhibit 300. These capital assets should be included in the Summary of Spending table of Part I, Section B. All partner agency migration investments (section 53.4) should also be included in this table. Funding contributions/fee-for-service transfers should not be included in this table. (Partner Agency Asset UPIs should also appear on the Partner Agency's exhibit 53)

Partner Agency Name	Partner Agency	Partner Agency Asset Title	Partner Agency Exhibit 53 UPI (BY)
---------------------	----------------	----------------------------	------------------------------------

3. Partner Funding Strategies (\$millions):
For jointly funded initiative activities, provide in the "Partner Funding Strategies Table": the name(s) of partner agencies; the UPI of the partner agency investments; and the partner agency contributions for CY and BY. Please indicate partner contribution amounts (in-kind contributions should also be included in this amount) and fee-for-service amounts. (Partner Agency Asset UPIs should also appear on the Partner Agency's exhibit 53. For non-IT fee-for-service amounts the Partner exhibit 53 UPI can be left blank) (IT migration investments should not be included in this table)

Partner Agency Name	Partner Agency	Partner exhibit 53 UPI (BY)	CY Contribution	CY Fee-for-Service	BY Contribution	BY Fee-for-Service
---------------------	----------------	-----------------------------	-----------------	--------------------	-----------------	--------------------

An Alternatives Analysis for multi-agency collaborations should also be obtained. At least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline (i.e., the status quo), should be included in the joint exhibit 300. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments, and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments, to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

4. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this investment? Yes
- a. If "yes," what is the date of the analysis? 7/14/2006
 - b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?
 - c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

5. Alternatives Analysis Results: * Costs in millions
Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:

Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate
----------------------	----------------------------	--	---

6. Which alternative was selected by the Initiative Governance process and why was it chosen?

7. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?

8. Federal Quantitative Benefits: (\$millions):
What specific quantitative benefits will be realized (using current dollars) Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:

	Budgeted Cost Savings	Cost Avoidance	Justification for Budgeted Cost Savings	Justification for Budgeted Cost Avoidance
--	-----------------------	----------------	---	---

9. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?
- a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment?
 - b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

8b. List of Legacy Investment or Systems

Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	UPI if available	Date of the System Retirement
--	------------------	-------------------------------

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 6/28/2006
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:

2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

You should also periodically be measuring the performance of operational assets against the baseline established during the planning or full acquisition phase (i.e., operational analysis), and be properly operating and maintaining the asset to maximize its useful life. Operational analysis may identify the need to redesign or modify an asset by identifying previously undetected faults in design, construction, or installation/integration, highlighting whether actual operation and maintenance costs vary significantly from budgeted costs, or documenting that the asset is failing to meet program requirements.

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

Answer the following questions about the status of this investment. Include information on all appropriate capital assets supporting this investment except for assets in which the performance information is reported in a separate exhibit 300.

1. Are you using EVM to manage this investment? Yes
 - a. If "yes," does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748?
 - b. If "no," explain plans to implement EVM:

 - c. If "N/A," please provide date operational analysis was conducted and a brief summary of the results:

Questions #2 are NOT applicable for capital assets with ONLY O&M

2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100) Yes
 - a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both? SV
 - b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:

 - c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:

Questions #3-4 are applicable to ALL capital assets

3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? No
 - a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?

Exhibit 300: Integrated Acquisition Environment (e-Gov) (Revision 8)

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline:

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		Percent Complete	Agency Responsible for Activity
		Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost(\$M)		Schedule (# days)	Cost(\$M)		
				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual				