

**Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary**

**Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)**

**Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)**

1. Date of Submission:
2. Agency: General Services Administration
3. Bureau: Public Building Service
4. Name of this Capital Asset: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 023-05-01-08-01-1100-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Mixed Life Cycle
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2001 or earlier
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:  

The Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon Suite is a centralized web-enabled electronic procurement system with a range of fully functional tools designed to support nationwide PBS acquisition preparation, tracking, and reporting. It integrates and streamlines the entire acquisition management process and supports full lifecycle contracting from requisition through contract award/purchase to final contract closeout for approximately 3,000 active users located at PBS regional offices, field offices, and other remote locations nationwide. EAS has enabled system efficiencies with the migration regional databases into one centralized database for the national web-enabled Comprizon Suite investment. Over 57,000 total contract related documents were migrated to support awards to many of the 15,000 vendors currently listed in Comprizon Suite. EAS will further streamline acquisition by interfacing with agency financial systems such as Pegasys. In FY07 the planning for development and implementation of this financial interface was initiated. Execution of proposed FY08 and FY09 development will provide a stronger acquisition management capability, improve the contracting process and administration activities, and enhance budget and performance integration. EAS strongly supports the President's Management Agenda (PMA) and is compliant with the Federal Acquisition Regulation (FAR). It aligns with GSA's mission and Strategic Plan, Section 508 compatibility, the Integrated Acquisition Environment (IAE), eGov initiatives, and the PBS Office of Vendor Alliance and Vendor Acquisition. It fully supports the PBS Federal Procurement Database System (PBS-FPDS) investment allowing electronic reporting of PBS lease data to the Federal Procurement Database System - Next Generation (FPDS-NG). EAS enables electronic interoperability with Business Partner Network/Central Contractor Registry, Federal Business Opportunities and other IAE initiatives, with more interfaces planned. The EAS application simplifies acquisition, improves the efficiency of the procurement process, and eliminates redundant data entry and record keeping. EAS strategically positions PBS to better serve acquisition professionals in a more efficient and cost-effective manner while preparing for and aligning with changes in the Federal acquisition landscape.
9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes
  - a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 7/23/2007
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes
11. Contact information of Project Manager?  
 Name  
 Phone Number  
 Email  
 a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? TBD
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? Yes
  - a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes

b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) No

1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment?

2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles?

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? Yes

If "yes," check all that apply:

- Human Capital
- Budget Performance Integration
- Financial Performance
- Expanded E-Government
- Competitive Sourcing

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

EAS fully supports the mutually reinforcing PMA initiatives. EAS has implemented business process improvements for a more user-oriented acquisition system; increased the accuracy/cost-effectiveness of acquisition processes; enabled a more strategic use of human resources; and will further increase efficiency with the Pegasys financial system interface and leveraging of IAE resources. These will continue to position PBS to meet the increasing demands of government acquisition in the 21st century.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit [www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part](http://www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part).) Yes

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review? No

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program? New Construction

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive? Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 1

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) (1) Project manager has been validated as qualified for this investment

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23) No

19. Is this a financial management system? Yes

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area? Yes

1. If "yes," which compliance area: The system addresses compliance areas set forth by the FFMIA and OMB mandates.

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon Suite  
EAS

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware

Software

Services

Other

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? N/A

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Phone Number

Title

E-mail

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? Yes

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

**Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)**

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

<b>Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)</b>									
<i>(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)</i>									
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	0	0.222	0	0					
Acquisition:	0	0	0.602	0.591					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	0	0.222	0.602	0.591					
Operations & Maintenance:	10.895	3.025	2.797	2.806					
TOTAL:	10.895	3.247	3.399	3.397					
<b>Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.</b>									
Government FTE Costs	0.452	0.179	0.221	0.303					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	2	2	2	2					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

**Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)**

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon (Revision 9)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

a. Explain why:

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

a. If "yes," what is the date?

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

**Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)**

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at [www.egov.gov](http://www.egov.gov). The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2006	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Training	Increase quantity and quality of centralized system training.	Web based EAS new to some regions and learning curve was impacting satisfaction and ability to perform job functions.	Implement new training approaches to reach more users directly from EAS Program in Central Office.	"Webinars" employed to reach and educate front line users directly at low cost to program. Over 500 users reached in 8.0 rollout demonstrations. Approx 100 attending monthly webinars.
2006	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Availability	Achieve greater visibility and accessibility for EAS program information.	0 EAS data present in PBS Portal.	Integrate EAS stakeholders into Portal Teams. Upload EAS program data to web library.	EAS Team was established in Portal and 100% of legacy data migrated in Q3 FY06. Information available to all.
2006	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Reporting and Information	Increase data accuracy through lease cleanup effort to comply with FPDS-NG reporting requirements.	1715 PBS base lease records in draft or error status in FPDS-NG.	Increase PBS Leasing data accuracy in the FPDS-NG reporting system. Improve PBS-FPDS to automatically populate more corrected data.	66% of draft & error leases in Q1 FY06 finalized in FPDS-NG by Q4 FY06. Reprocessing resulted in approximately \$1B increase of PBS lease data fully reported from FY04 through FY06. Q1 FY07 update: 96% resolved. Those remaining require vendor action.

Exhibit 300: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon (Revision 9)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2006	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Financial (Processes and Activities)	Financial Management	Map business processes and develop efficient electronic interface between EAS and financial system.	Manual process to populate contract financial data into GSA financial system.	Create an electronic interface between EAS and the GSA financial system to reduce labor and increase data accuracy.	DME planning pushed back to begin FY07 due to major upgrade of financial system in FY06. Preliminary project plan drafted in Q3 FY06 and executive approval granted Q4 FY06.
2006	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Technology	Effectiveness	IT Contribution to Process, Customer, or Mission	Increase system performance, reliability, and efficiency.	Learning curve and performance of new web based system was impacting user satisfaction.	Improve system performance and stability.	Upgraded from Comprizon 7.8 to Comprizon Suite 8.1. Documented specific system response improvements of up to 800%.
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Access	Improve access and ease of use.	EAS accessed through stand alone web application. Password resets are manually processed.	Implement EAS Single Sign-On via PBS Portal and automated password reset functionality. Estimate will save up to 200 manual password resets per week nationwide.	Q3 FY07 Update: Tasked developer to prepare technical analysis and LOE to implement Single Sign-On.
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Integration	Provide vendor payment history information from GSA Finance system (Pegasys) to PBS Contracting Officers via EAS.	There is no linkage to any Pegasys information from EAS.	Introduce a link in FY07 to general GSA Finance Pegasys Payment Search information from EAS. Requirement for a contract specific interface recorded as requirement for part of financial interface project scheduled for development in FY08 & FY09.	Q4 FY07 Update: Completed 08/09/07. Link was added to EAS allowing all users to launch the Pegasys Payment Search engine to obtain payment data while working on PBS procurement actions.
2007	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Accounting	Standardize business processes to define business requirements for EAS Financial Interface.	11 regions and Central Office follow up to 12 different standards for business processes between EAS and GSA financial system.	Standardize business processes across 11 regions and Central Office. Map to EAS system functions. Will increase efficiency and data accuracy. Output will include project plan and requirements for design and build in FY08.	Q3 FY07 Update: Formal BCA due July 16, 2007. Tasking for DME Planning effort expected Q4 FY07.
2007	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles,	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Define business requirements to improve functionality of FAR and GSAM clause processes in EAS.	Current process to attach clauses and maintain clause matrices is cumbersome and users report difficulty in using system.	Collect and definitize the business requirements for enhancement of the Clause functionality in Comprizon™ Suite. The requirements will be used to target future enhancement of EAS.	Q3 FY07 Update: The business line is sponsoring a conference on 06/07 for requirements gathering. The developer will be tasked to return a formal Requirements Document for validation. The requirements

Exhibit 300: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon (Revision 9)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	and personal property provide by GSA.							validation should be completed in Q4 FY07.
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Define business requirements to provide functionality to organize and store all procurement related information and make it accessible from EAS.	There is no standard document or record management system in use. Some regions are paper based, some have developed regional share drives to store electronic documents.	Define requirements to facilitate, develop and deploy an integrated Electronic Document Management System (EDMS) in FY08 to facilitate use of EAS as the procurements contract file of record.	Q3 FY07 Update: Conducted pilot based on the GSA Form 3420 as contract file format. The pilot was deemed a proof of concept so initiated acquisition of EDMS software and supporting hardware. Design and build is scheduled for FY08.
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	Plan system interface to improve efficiency and comply with IAE & eGov initiatives.	EAS not integrated with eSRS.	Draft IAE complaint requirements document and project plan in SOW.	Q3 FY07 Update: Actual results will be available in FY08. Activity on hold due to IAE delays first reported in Q2 FY07. Electronic link to eSRS website has been provided in EAS.
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	Plan system interface to improve efficiency and comply with IAE & eGov initiatives.	EAS not integrated with PPIRS.	Draft IAE compliant requirements document and project plan in SOW.	Q3 FY07 Update: EAS has completed procurement of the servers necessary for VPP architecture. However, PPIRS technical requirements from IAE for PBS required forms have not been finalized. Planned for Q4 FY07.
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	Plan system interface to improve efficiency and comply with IAE & eGov initiatives.	EAS not integrated with Wage Determinations OnLine (WDOL).	Draft IAE compliant requirements document and project plan in SOW.	Q3 FY07 Update: Tabled until FY08 due to delays at FAR & IAE setting WDOL requirements. Electronic link to WDOL website has been provided in EAS.
2007	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Technology	Quality	Compliance and Deviations	Implementation of XML processing to comply with FPDS-NG reporting requirement.	PBS-FPDS uses flat batch processing 12 times per year to report PBS lease data to FPDS-NG.	Upgrade PBS-FPDS to transfer lease data to FPDS-NG 365 days per year via XML. New process will also allow for automated correction and modification of FPDS-NG records. Upgrade to current technology for all system requirements.	Q3 FY07 Update: PBS-FPDS 8.0 Operational Baseline deployed for testing against FPDS-NG Beta site. Full deployment readiness anticipated in Q4 FY07.
2007	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings,	Technology	Quality	IT Composition	Upgrade Servers to current or leading standards	Application server operating at Solaris 8 OS.	Upgrade to Solaris 9 OS and implement use of Open Office and Comprizon module for Document Converter.	Completed Q2 FY07. Solaris 9 and Document Converter allow attachment of MS Office or .pdf documents into contract forms

Exhibit 300: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon (Revision 9)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	technology, supplies, and related services.							and official record.
2007	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Technology	Quality	IT Composition	Migrate system database to current industry standard operating system.	Database server operating at Oracle 9i operating system.	Upgrade to Oracle 10g and implement Patch Management program to maintain industry recommended standards.	Completed Q3 FY07. Production upgraded March 24, 2007. QA and Training instance upgraded on May 28, 2007. Oracle Patch Management Program implemented and ongoing.
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Customer Results	Service Accessibility	Integration	Develop interface with PBS Acquisition Planning Wizard (APW).	Manual Process to upload Acquisition Plan from APW and map to milestones in EAS.	Plan and develop interface to integrate APW with EAS milestone functionality.	Actual results will be available in FY08.
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Mission and Business Results	Financial Management	Accounting	Execute EAS Financial Interface project plan and requirements defined in FY07.	Manual process to populate contract financial data into GSA financial system.	Initiate development of EAS Financial Interface based on requirements documented in FY07.	Q3 FY07 Update: Process mapping completed with BCA due July 16, 2007. Business line needs to standardize processes. Tasking for DME Planning expected Q4 FY07. Development likely completed in FY09.
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Prepare project management plan to enhance EAS based on business requirements.	Current process to attach clauses and maintain clause matrices is cumbersome and users report difficulty in using system.	Determine course of action based on requirements and recommendations from Vendor Acquisition. Initiate development and deployment of enhanced clause functionality in EAS.	Actual results will be available in FY08.
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Compliance	Prepare project management plan to develop an integrated EDMS for EAS and deploy enhanced EAS as Procurement System of Record.	There is no standard document or record management system in use. Some regions are paper based; some have developed regional share drives to store electronic documents.	Develop and deploy an integrated Electronic Document Management System (EDMS) to facilitate use of EAS as the procurements System of Record.	Actual results will be available in FY08.
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	Develop system interface to improve efficiency and comply with IAE & eGov initiatives.	EAS not integrated with eSRS.	Initiate planned electronic interface with eSRS based on IAE final standards documents.	Actual results will be available in FY08. Success will be dependent on IAE final definition of interface requirements.
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	Develop system interface to	EAS not integrated with	Initiate planned electronic	Actual results will be available

Exhibit 300: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon (Revision 9)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.				improve efficiency and comply with IAE & eGov initiatives.	PPIRS system.	interface with PPIRS system based on IAE final standards documents.	in FY08. Success will be dependent on IAE final definition of interface requirements.
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	Develop system interface to improve efficiency and comply with IAE & eGov initiatives.	EAS not integrated with Wage Determinations OnLine (WDOL).	Initiate planned electronic interface with WDOL system based on IAE final standards documents.	Actual results will be available in FY08. Success will be dependent on IAE final definition of interface requirements.
2008	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Technology	Quality	IT Composition	Migrate application platform to current industry standard operating system.	Application operating at Oracle 10g operating system.	Upgrade to EAS Application server to Oracle 10gR2 or current industry standard in FY08. Continue Patch Management program to maintain industry recommended standards.	Actual results will be available in FY08.
2009	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Training	Train EAS user community to use the Pegasys-Comprizon Interface functionality.	There is no automated interface between Pegasys and EAS/Comprizon therefore no training exists.	Provide national training to EAS user community as part of the Implementation Plan for the Interface.	Actual results will be available in FY09. Dependent on completion of development of at least a prototype interface for training purposes.
2009	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Mission and Business Results	Supply Chain Management	Services Acquisition	Conduct User Acceptance Testing and validation testing of financial obligation processing in advance of deploying the Pegasys-Comprizon Interface.	All work is completed manually which requires resources and double entry allows for possibility of error. The automated interface between Pegasys and EAS/Comprizon is planned for development FY08-FY09.	Conduct User Acceptance Testing, validate that new interface processes are correct and compliant, and deploy the newly interfaced system.	Actual results will be available in FY09. Dependent on completion of development.
2009	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Productivity and Efficiency	Efficiency	Deploy interface to reduce manual double entry. Redeploy staff to other mission critical tasks.	All data entry performed manually as there is no automated interface between Pegasys and EAS/Comprizon.	Reduce double entry and cycle time to process contract obligations, modifications, and close-out.	Actual results will be available in FY09. Dependent on completion of development.
2009	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Technology	Information and Data	Data Reliability and Quality	Deploy financial interface. Plan and execute national deployment of enhanced system.	There is no automated interface between Pegasys and EAS/Comprizon. Data validation and reconciliation must be conducted manually.	Reduce contract obligation data entry errors and improve data reliability & quality. Reduce need for manual reconciliation efforts.	Actual results will be available in FY09. Dependent on completion of development.

**Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)**

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified Yes  
and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:
  - a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:
2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part Yes  
of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment.

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):			
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?
  - a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?
6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?
  - a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.
7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Electronic Acquisition System (EAS) / Comprizon.Suite	No	Yes	<a href="http://www.gsa.gov/gsa/cm_attachments/GSA_DOCUMENT/EAS_PIA_2006_PUBLIC_R2-z-d8-z_025RDZ-i34K-pR.doc">http://www.gsa.gov/gsa/cm_attachments/GSA_DOCUMENT/EAS_PIA_2006_PUBLIC_R2-z-d8-z_025RDZ-i34K-pR.doc</a>	Yes	<a href="http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/01jan20071800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/2007/pdf/E7-8947.pdf">http://a257.g.akamaitech.net/7/257/2422/01jan20071800/edocket.access.gpo.gov/2007/pdf/E7-8947.pdf</a>
PBS Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS)	No	Yes	Part 1 of the Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) has been completed and is on file. This system does not collect, store, or process any Personally Identifiable Information (PII). As a result of the privacy review, Part II of the PIA is not required and thus, the PIA is not publicly posted.	No	No, because PBS FPDS is not a Privacy Act system of records, and thus, SORN posting to the Federal Register is not required

**Details for Text Options:**  
 Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.  
 Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.  
 Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

**Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)**

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes
  - a. If "no," please explain why?
  
2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes
  - a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon Suite
  - b. If "no," please explain why?
  
3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? No
  - a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table: Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <a href="http://www.egov.gov">http://www.egov.gov</a> .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
EAS Comprizon Suite (C.Request)	Facilitates creation and routing of requisitions for goods or services	Business Management Services	Supply Chain Management	Ordering / Purchasing			No Reuse	20
EAS Comprizon Suite (C.Award)	Manage contract lifecycle from purchase request through	Business Management Services	Supply Chain Management	Procurement			No Reuse	80

Exhibit 300: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon (Revision 9)

<b>4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:</b>								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to <a href="http://www.egov.gov">http://www.egov.gov</a> .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
	contract award and closeout							

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

<b>5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:</b>				
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.				
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Procurement	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Open Database Connectivity (ODBC)
Ordering / Purchasing	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Open Database Connectivity (ODBC)
Procurement	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Java Online Analytical Processing (JOLAP)
Ordering / Purchasing	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Java Online Analytical Processing (JOLAP)
Procurement	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Online Analytical Processing (OLAP)
Ordering / Purchasing	Component Framework	Data Management	Reporting and Analysis	Online Analytical Processing (OLAP)
Procurement	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	Active Server Pages (ASP)
Ordering / Purchasing	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	Active Server Pages (ASP)
Procurement	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	Java Server Pages (JSP)
Ordering / Purchasing	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Dynamic Server-Side Display	Java Server Pages (JSP)
Procurement	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML)
Ordering / Purchasing	Component Framework	Presentation / Interface	Static Display	Hyper Text Markup Language (HTML)
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft Internet Explorer
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Access and Delivery	Access Channels	Web Browser	Microsoft Internet Explorer
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	File Transfer Protocol (FTP)
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	File Transfer Protocol (FTP)
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP)
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Hyper Text Transfer Protocol (HTTP)
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Internet Protocol (IP)
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Internet Protocol (IP)
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Transport Control Protocol (TCP)
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Service Transport	Transport Control Protocol (TCP)
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	Hyper Text Transfer Protocol Secure (HTTPS)
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	Hyper Text Transfer Protocol Secure (HTTPS)
Procurement	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP)
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Access and Delivery	Service Transport	Supporting Network Services	Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP)
Procurement	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	eXtensible Markup Language (XML)
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Format / Classification	eXtensible Markup Language (XML)

Exhibit 300: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon (Revision 9)

**5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:**

To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.

FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Procurement	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	XML Schema
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Interface and Integration	Interoperability	Data Types / Validation	XML Schema
Procurement	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle Oracle 10g
Ordering / Purchasing	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle Oracle 10g

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? Yes

a. If "yes," please describe.

**Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information**

**Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)**

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project?      Yes
  - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed?      1/8/2007
  - b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?
  - c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

<b>2. Alternative Analysis Results:</b>		* Costs in millions	
Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:			
Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?
4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?
5. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?
  - a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment.
  - b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

List of Legacy Investment or Systems		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	UPI if available	Date of the System Retirement

**Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)**

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan?      Yes
  - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan?      8/17/2007
  - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB?      Yes
  - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:
2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
  - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
  - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?

3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

**Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)**

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included

Exhibit 300: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon (Revision 9)

in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748? Yes

2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100) No

a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?

b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:

c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:

3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? No

a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?

Exhibit 300: Electronic Acquisition System (EAS)/Comprizon (Revision 9)

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		Percent Complete
		Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule (# days)	Cost (\$M)	
				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual			