

Exhibit 300: Capital Asset Plan and Business Case Summary

Part I: Summary Information And Justification (All Capital Assets)

Section A: Overview (All Capital Assets)

1. Date of Submission:
2. Agency: General Services Administration
3. Bureau: Office Of Governmentwide Policy
4. Name of this Capital Asset: Federal Real Property Asset Management
5. Unique Project (Investment) Identifier: (For IT investment only, see section 53. For all other, use agency ID system.) 023-30-01-06-01-1050-00
6. What kind of investment will this be in FY2009? (Please NOTE: Investments moving to O&M in FY2009, with Planning/Acquisition activities prior to FY2009 should not select O&M. These investments should indicate their current status.) Mixed Life Cycle
7. What was the first budget year this investment was submitted to OMB? FY2006
8. Provide a brief summary and justification for this investment, including a brief description of how this closes in part or in whole an identified agency performance gap:

This inventory system - developed through the Federal Real Property Asset Management Initiative and known as the Federal Real Property Profile (FRPP) is the government's only comprehensive inventory of federal real property assets. The goals of the database are to:

 - 1) improve decision-making with more accurate and reliable data;
 - 2) provide the ability to benchmark Federal real property assets; and
 - 3) consolidate government real property data collection into one inventory system.

The FRPP allows Federal agencies to measure real property asset performance, ultimately assisting them in identifying assets for renovation, disposal or for potential transfer to other Federal agencies. Agencies use the FRPP system to update online portfolio information in real time, perform historical benchmarking, produce ad hoc reports, measure performance of real property assets, and identify unneeded and underutilized assets for disposal. The FRPP not only aids individual agencies but enables the government as whole to identify, collect and assess the worldwide federal real property inventory in one centralized database.
9. Did the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee approve this request? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what was the date of this approval? 7/23/2007
10. Did the Project Manager review this Exhibit? Yes
11. Contact information of Project Manager?
 Name
 Phone Number
 Email
- a. What is the current FAC-P/PM certification level of the project/program manager? TBD
12. Has the agency developed and/or promoted cost effective, energy-efficient and environmentally sustainable techniques or practices for this project? No
 - a. Will this investment include electronic assets (including computers)? Yes
 - b. Is this investment for new construction or major retrofit of a Federal building or facility? (answer applicable to non-IT assets only) No
 1. If "yes," is an ESPC or UESC being used to help fund this investment? No
 2. If "yes," will this investment meet sustainable design principles? No

3. If "yes," is it designed to be 30% more energy efficient than relevant code?

13. Does this investment directly support one of the PMA initiatives? Yes

If "yes," check all that apply:

Real Property Asset Management

a. Briefly and specifically describe for each selected how this asset directly supports the identified initiative(s)? (e.g. If E-Gov is selected, is it an approved shared service provider or the managing partner?)

The FRPP supports EO 13327 and 2 PMA initiatives: 1) Financial Performance; 2) Real Property Asset Management. The database's overall objectives are to help the Government: 1) Identify all assets; 2) Analyze asset utility and performance; 3) Improve or dispose of excess or underperforming assets. Federal agencies utilize the FRPP to maximize financial performance and rightsize their real property portfolios. OMB also utilizes this tool to measure and monitor performance for the PMA Scorecard.

14. Does this investment support a program assessed using the Program Assessment Rating Tool (PART)? (For more information about the PART, visit www.whitehouse.gov/omb/part.) Yes

a. If "yes," does this investment address a weakness found during a PART review? Yes

b. If "yes," what is the name of the PARTed program?

PART Program Code 10002262: "General Services Administration - Office of Governmentwide Policy"

c. If "yes," what rating did the PART receive?

Moderately Effective

15. Is this investment for information technology? Yes

If the answer to Question 15 is "Yes," complete questions 16-23 below. If the answer is "No," do not answer questions 16-23.

For information technology investments only:

16. What is the level of the IT Project? (per CIO Council PM Guidance) Level 3

17. What project management qualifications does the Project Manager have? (per CIO Council PM Guidance)

(4) Project manager assigned but qualification status review has not yet started

18. Is this investment or any project(s) within this investment identified as "high risk" on the Q4 - FY 2007 agency high risk report (per OMB Memorandum M-05-23)

No

19. Is this a financial management system?

No

a. If "yes," does this investment address a FFMIA compliance area?

No

1. If "yes," which compliance area:

2. If "no," what does it address?

b. If "yes," please identify the system name(s) and system acronym(s) as reported in the most recent financial systems inventory update required by Circular A-11 section 52

20. What is the percentage breakout for the total FY2009 funding request for the following? (This should total 100%)

Hardware

Software

Services

Other

21. If this project produces information dissemination products for the public, are these products published to the Internet in conformance with OMB Memorandum 05-04 and included in your agency inventory, schedules and priorities? Yes

22. Contact information of individual responsible for privacy related questions:

Name

Phone Number

Title

E-mail

23. Are the records produced by this investment appropriately scheduled with the National Archives and Records Administration's approval? No

Question 24 must be answered by all Investments:

24. Does this investment directly support one of the GAO High Risk Areas? No

Section B: Summary of Spending (All Capital Assets)

1. Provide the total estimated life-cycle cost for this investment by completing the following table. All amounts represent budget authority in millions, and are rounded to three decimal places. Federal personnel costs should be included only in the row designated "Government FTE Cost," and should be excluded from the amounts shown for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." The "TOTAL" estimated annual cost of the investment is the sum of costs for "Planning," "Full Acquisition," and "Operation/Maintenance." For Federal buildings and facilities, life-cycle costs should include long term energy, environmental, decommissioning, and/or restoration costs. The costs associated with the entire life-cycle of the investment should be included in this report.

Table 1: SUMMARY OF SPENDING FOR PROJECT PHASES (REPORTED IN MILLIONS)									
<i>(Estimates for BY+1 and beyond are for planning purposes only and do not represent budget decisions)</i>									
	PY-1 and earlier	PY 2007	CY 2008	BY 2009	BY+1 2010	BY+2 2011	BY+3 2012	BY+4 and beyond	Total
Planning:	1.175	0.13	0.527	0.125					
Acquisition:	0.425	0.654	1.101	0.628					
Subtotal Planning & Acquisition:	1.600	0.784	1.628	0.753					
Operations & Maintenance:	0.8	0.857	0.472	0.847					
TOTAL:	2.400	1.641	2.100	1.600					
Government FTE Costs should not be included in the amounts provided above.									
Government FTE Costs	1.758	0.65	0.65	0.65					
Number of FTE represented by Costs:	10	5	5	5					

Note: For the multi-agency investments, this table should include all funding (both managing partner and partner agencies). Government FTE Costs should not be included as part of the TOTAL represented.

2. Will this project require the agency to hire additional FTE's? No

a. If "yes," How many and in what year?

3. If the summary of spending has changed from the FY2008 President's budget request, briefly explain those changes:

Section C: Acquisition/Contract Strategy (All Capital Assets)

1. Complete the table for all (including all non-Federal) contracts and/or task orders currently in place or planned for this investment. Total Value should include all option years for each contract. Contracts and/or task orders completed do not need to be included.

Exhibit 300: Federal Real Property Asset Management (Revision 8)

Contracts/Task Orders Table:															* Costs in millions	
Contract or Task Order Number	Type of Contract/ Task Order	Has the contract been awarded (Y/N)	If so what is the date of the award? If not, what is the planned award date?	Start date of Contract/ Task Order	End date of Contract/ Task Order	Total Value of Contract/ Task Order (\$M)	Is this an Interagency Acquisition ? (Y/N)	Is it performance based? (Y/N)	Competitively awarded? (Y/N)	What, if any, alternative financing option is being used? (ESPC, UESC, EUL, N/A)	Is EVM in the contract? (Y/N)	Does the contract include the required security & privacy clauses? (Y/N)	Name of CO	CO Contact information (phone/email)	Contracting Officer Certification Level (Level 1,2,3,N/A)	If N/A, has the agency determined the CO assigned has the competencies and skills necessary to support this acquisition ? (Y/N)

2. If earned value is not required or will not be a contract requirement for any of the contracts or task orders above, explain why:

3. Do the contracts ensure Section 508 compliance?

a. Explain why:

4. Is there an acquisition plan which has been approved in accordance with agency requirements?

a. If "yes," what is the date?

b. If "no," will an acquisition plan be developed?

1. If "no," briefly explain why:

Section D: Performance Information (All Capital Assets)

In order to successfully address this area of the exhibit 300, performance goals must be provided for the agency and be linked to the annual performance plan. The investment must discuss the agency's mission and strategic goals, and performance measures (indicators) must be provided. These goals need to map to the gap in the agency's strategic goals and objectives this investment is designed to fill. They are the internal and external performance benefits this investment is expected to deliver to the agency (e.g., improve efficiency by 60 percent, increase citizen participation by 300 percent a year to achieve an overall citizen participation rate of 75 percent by FY 2xxx, etc.). The goals must be clearly measurable investment outcomes, and if applicable, investment outputs. They do not include the completion date of the module, milestones, or investment, or general goals, such as, significant, better, improved that do not have a quantitative or qualitative measure.

Agencies must use the following table to report performance goals and measures for the major investment and use the Federal Enterprise Architecture (FEA) Performance Reference Model (PRM). Map all Measurement Indicators to the corresponding "Measurement Area" and "Measurement Grouping" identified in the PRM. There should be at least one Measurement Indicator for each of the four different Measurement Areas (for each fiscal year). The PRM is available at www.egov.gov. The table can be extended to include performance measures for years beyond FY 2009.

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Increase in % of Agencies Scoring Green on Progress on PMA Scorecard	93%	2%	87%
2007	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Retention	Increase in Number of Agencies submitting 20+ Data Elements at the Constructed Asset Level	28	1	29
2007	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	General Government (Cross-Agency)	Central Fiscal Operations	Increase in Number of FRPP Goals Met as Defined by EO 13327 and the FRPC	3	1	2

Exhibit 300: Federal Real Property Asset Management (Revision 8)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
2007	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Mission and Business Results	General Government (Cross-Agency)	Central Property Management	Increase in % of \$9 Billion Target in Real Property Savings Identified by FRPP	22%	10%	50%
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement	Increase in % of Decision Tree Model Methodologies Integrated into Performance Assessment Tool	0%	50%	75%
2007	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Technology	Information and Data	Data Reliability and Quality	Data Accuracy - Increase in Number of Agencies Submitting Valid, Reliable Data in a Timely Manner	28	1	29
2008	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Increase in % of Agencies Scoring Green on Progress for the PMA Scorecard	87%	5%	TBD
2008	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Increase in Number of Agencies Submitting 20+ Data Elements at the Constructed Asset Level	29	1	TBD
2008	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	General Government (Cross-Agency)	Central Property Management	Increase in Number of FRPP Goals Met as defined by EO 13327 and the FRPC	2	3	TBD
2008	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and	Mission and Business Results	General Government (Cross-Agency)	Central Property Management	Increase in % of \$9 billion Target in Real Property Savings by Using FRPP	50%	10%	TBD

Exhibit 300: Federal Real Property Asset Management (Revision 8)

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	business solutions.							
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement	Increase in % of Decision Tree Methodologies Integrated into Performance Assessment Tool	75%	15%	TBD
2008	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Technology	Information and Data	Data Reliability and Quality	Data Accuracy - Increase in the Number of the 28 Agencies Submitting Valid, Reliable Data in a Timely Manner	29	2	TBD
2009	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Impact or Burden	Increase in % of Agencies Making Progress on PMA Scorecard	87%	7%	TBD
2009	2.Superior Workplaces:Deliver and maintain productive workplaces consisting of office space, furnishings, technology, supplies, and related services.	Customer Results	Customer Benefit	Customer Retention	Increase in Number of Agencies Submitting 20+Data Elements at the Constructed Asset Level	29	3	TBD
2009	1.Stewardship:Lead Federal agencies in the economical/efficient management of Federal assets by spearheading effective policy development and by the exemplary mgmt of the buildings/workplaces, motor vehicles, and personal property provide by GSA.	Mission and Business Results	General Government (Cross-Agency)	Central Property Management	Increase in Number of FRPP Goals Met as Defined by EO 13327 and the FRPC	2	3	TBD
2009	3.Best Value:Develop and deliver timely, accurate, and cost-effective acquisition services and business solutions.	Mission and Business Results	General Government (Cross-Agency)	Central Property Management	Increase in % of \$9 Billion Target in Real Property Savings by Using the FRPP	50%	25%	TBD
2009	4.Innovation:Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more	Processes and Activities	Management and Innovation	Innovation and Improvement	Increase in % of Decision Tree Methodologies Integrated into Performance Assessment Tool	75%	20%	TBD

Performance Information Table								
Fiscal Year	Strategic Goal(s) Supported	Measurement Area	Measurement Category	Measurement Grouping	Measurement Indicator	Baseline	Target	Actual Results
	productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.							
2009	4. Innovation: Develop new and better ways of conducting business that result in more productive and effective Federal policies and administrative operations.	Technology	Information and Data	Data Reliability and Quality	Data Accuracy - Increase in Number of the 28 Agencies Submitting Valid, Reliable Data in a Timely Manner	29	3	TBD

Section E: Security and Privacy (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the business case, each question below must be answered at the system/application level, not at a program or agency level. Systems supporting this investment on the planning and operational systems security tables should match the systems on the privacy table below. Systems on the Operational Security Table must be included on your agency FISMA system inventory and should be easily referenced in the inventory (i.e., should use the same name or identifier).

For existing Mixed-Life Cycle investments where enhancement, development, and/or modernization is planned, include the investment in both the "Systems in Planning" table (Table 3) and the "Operational Systems" table (Table 4). Systems which are already operational, but have enhancement, development, and/or modernization activity, should be included in both Table 3 and Table 4. Table 3 should reflect the planned date for the system changes to be complete and operational, and the planned date for the associated C&A update. Table 4 should reflect the current status of the requirements listed. In this context, information contained within Table 3 should characterize what updates to testing and documentation will occur before implementing the enhancements; and Table 4 should characterize the current state of the materials associated with the existing system.

All systems listed in the two security tables should be identified in the privacy table. The list of systems in the "Name of System" column of the privacy table (Table 8) should match the systems listed in columns titled "Name of System" in the security tables (Tables 3 and 4). For the Privacy table, it is possible that there may not be a one-to-one ratio between the list of systems and the related privacy documents. For example, one PIA could cover multiple systems. If this is the case, a working link to the PIA may be listed in column (d) of the privacy table more than once (for each system covered by the PIA).

The questions asking whether there is a PIA which covers the system and whether a SORN is required for the system are discrete from the narrative fields. The narrative column provides an opportunity for free text explanation why a working link is not provided. For example, a SORN may be required for the system, but the system is not yet operational. In this circumstance, answer "yes" for column (e) and in the narrative in column (f), explain that because the system is not operational the SORN is not yet required to be published.

Please respond to the questions below and verify the system owner took the following actions:

1. Have the IT security costs for the system(s) been identified Yes and integrated into the overall costs of the investment:

a. If "yes," provide the "Percentage IT Security" for the budget year:

2. Is identifying and assessing security and privacy risks a part Yes of the overall risk management effort for each system supporting or part of this investment.

3. Systems in Planning and Undergoing Enhancement(s), Development, and/or Modernization - Security Table(s):			
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	Planned Operational Date	Date of Planned C&A update (for existing mixed life cycle systems) or Planned Completion Date (for new systems)

4. Operational Systems - Security Table:							
Name of System	Agency/ or Contractor Operated System?	NIST FIPS 199 Risk Impact level (High, Moderate, Low)	Has C&A been Completed, using NIST 800-37? (Y/N)	Date Completed: C&A	What standards were used for the Security Controls tests? (FIPS 200/NIST 800-53, NIST 800-26, Other, N/A)	Date Complete(d): Security Control Testing	Date the contingency plan tested

5. Have any weaknesses, not yet remediated, related to any of the systems part of or supporting this investment been identified by the agency or IG?

a. If "yes," have those weaknesses been incorporated into the agency's plan of action and milestone process?

6. Indicate whether an increase in IT security funding is requested to remediate IT security weaknesses?

a. If "yes," specify the amount, provide a general description of the weakness, and explain how the funding request will remediate the weakness.

7. How are contractor security procedures monitored, verified, and validated by the agency for the contractor systems above?

8. Planning & Operational Systems - Privacy Table:					
(a) Name of System	(b) Is this a new system? (Y/N)	(c) Is there at least one Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) which covers this system? (Y/N)	(d) Internet Link or Explanation	(e) Is a System of Records Notice (SORN) required for this system? (Y/N)	(f) Internet Link or Explanation
Federal Real Property Profile	No	No	A PIA is not required at this time because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	The system is not a Privacy Act System of Record.
Federal Real Property Profile	No	No	A PIA is not required at this time because the system doesn't collect personally identifiable information on the public.	No	The system is not a Privacy Act System of Record.

Details for Text Options:
 Column (d): If yes to (c), provide the link(s) to the publicly posted PIA(s) with which this system is associated. If no to (c), provide an explanation why the PIA has not been publicly posted or why the PIA has not been conducted.
 Column (f): If yes to (e), provide the link(s) to where the current and up to date SORN(s) is published in the federal register. If no to (e), provide an explanation why the SORN has not been published or why there isn't a current and up to date SORN.
 Note: Working links must be provided to specific documents not general privacy websites. Non-working links will be considered as a blank field.

Section F: Enterprise Architecture (EA) (IT Capital Assets only)

In order to successfully address this area of the capital asset plan and business case, the investment must be included in the agency's EA and Capital Planning and Investment Control (CPIC) process and mapped to and supporting the FEA. The business case must demonstrate the relationship between the investment and the business, performance, data, services, application, and technology layers of the agency's EA.

1. Is this investment included in your agency's target enterprise architecture? Yes

a. If "no," please explain why?

2. Is this investment included in the agency's EA Transition Strategy? Yes

a. If "yes," provide the investment name as identified in the Transition Strategy provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment. Federal Real Property Asset Management

b. If "no," please explain why?

A GSA Transition Strategy is under development. As indicated in submission of the OMB FY 2005 EA Assessment, the strategic approach embodied in One GSA EA is to focus on defined Business Function/Line of Business. Of the approximately eleven defined One GSA EA business functions, GSA management selected the Financial Management area as the initial focus. Investments in the Financial Management area are incorporated in to the developing architecture. As other business functions/LoBs are prioritized for detailed One GSA EA work, associated investments will be included. As part of the One GSA program, the development of a comprehensive GSA-wide EA transition Strategy and Sequence Plan will be developed.

3. Is this investment identified in a completed (contains a target architecture) and approved segment architecture? No

a. If "yes," provide the name of the segment architecture as provided in the agency's most recent annual EA Assessment.

Exhibit 300: Federal Real Property Asset Management (Revision 8)

4. Service Component Reference Model (SRM) Table:								
Identify the service components funded by this major IT investment (e.g., knowledge management, content management, customer relationship management, etc.). Provide this information in the format of the following table. For detailed guidance regarding components, please refer to http://www.egov.gov .								
Agency Component Name	Agency Component Description	FEA SRM Service Domain	FEA SRM Service Type	FEA SRM Component (a)	Service Component Reused Name (b)	Service Component Reused UPI (b)	Internal or External Reuse? (c)	BY Funding Percentage (d)
Real Property Asset Management	Provides a framework for a more strategic approach to Federal real property asset management and supports reporting and analysis.	Back Office Services	Asset / Materials Management	Property / Asset Management			Internal	25
Informed Decision-Making and Facility Planning for Real Property Assets	Gives decision makers more accurate, reliable data - through report generation - for making real property asset management decisions.	Business Analytical Services	Business Intelligence	Decision Support and Planning			No Reuse	20
Informed Investment Decision-Making Related to the Renovation, New Construction, or Disposal of Real Property Assets	Supports informed portfolio management decisions, including real estate investment analysis, by allowing real property managers to analyze portfolio-wide data and benchmark against other Federal agencies.	Business Management Services	Investment Management	Portfolio Management			No Reuse	15
Governmentwide Real Property Asset Information Sharing	Supports sharing of real property asset performance governmentwide - across Federal agencies - including the Office of Management and Budget.	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Information Sharing			No Reuse	25
Governmentwide Real Property Inventory Guidance and Procedures	Defines for Federal real property-holding agencies the business rules and IT requirements concerning the submission of inventory data to the FRPP.	Digital Asset Services	Knowledge Management	Knowledge Capture			No Reuse	15

a. Use existing SRM Components or identify as "NEW". A "NEW" component is one not already identified as a service component in the FEA SRM.

b. A reused component is one being funded by another investment, but being used by this investment. Rather than answer yes or no, identify the reused service component funded by the other investment and identify the other investment using the Unique Project Identifier (UPI) code from the OMB Ex 300 or Ex 53 submission.

c. 'Internal' reuse is within an agency. For example, one agency within a department is reusing a service component provided by another agency within the same department. 'External' reuse is one agency within a department reusing a service component provided by another agency in another department. A good example of this is an E-Gov initiative service being reused by multiple organizations across the federal government.

d. Please provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount used for each service component listed in the table. If external, provide the percentage of the BY requested funding amount transferred to another agency to pay for the service. The percentages in the column can, but are not required to, add up to 100%.

Exhibit 300: Federal Real Property Asset Management (Revision 8)

5. Technical Reference Model (TRM) Table:				
To demonstrate how this major IT investment aligns with the FEA Technical Reference Model (TRM), please list the Service Areas, Categories, Standards, and Service Specifications supporting this IT investment.				
FEA SRM Component (a)	FEA TRM Service Area	FEA TRM Service Category	FEA TRM Service Standard	Service Specification (b) (i.e., vendor and product name)
Property / Asset Management	Component Framework	Data Management	Database Connectivity	Oracle Database
Information Sharing	Service Access and Delivery	Delivery Channels	Internet	MS Internet Explorer
Decision Support and Planning	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle Database
Knowledge Capture	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle Database
Portfolio Management	Service Platform and Infrastructure	Database / Storage	Database	Oracle Database

a. Service Components identified in the previous question should be entered in this column. Please enter multiple rows for FEA SRM Components supported by multiple TRM Service Specifications

b. In the Service Specification field, agencies should provide information on the specified technical standard or vendor product mapped to the FEA TRM Service Standard, including model or version numbers, as appropriate.

6. Will the application leverage existing components and/or applications across the Government (i.e., FirstGov, Pay.Gov, etc)? No

a. If "yes," please describe.

Exhibit 300: Part II: Planning, Acquisition and Performance Information

Section A: Alternatives Analysis (All Capital Assets)

Part II should be completed only for investments identified as "Planning" or "Full Acquisition," or "Mixed Life-Cycle" investments in response to Question 6 in Part I, Section A above.

In selecting the best capital asset, you should identify and consider at least three viable alternatives, in addition to the current baseline, i.e., the status quo. Use OMB Circular A-94 for all investments and the Clinger Cohen Act of 1996 for IT investments to determine the criteria you should use in your Benefit/Cost Analysis.

1. Did you conduct an alternatives analysis for this project? Yes
 - a. If "yes," provide the date the analysis was completed? 10/1/2005
 - b. If "no," what is the anticipated date this analysis will be completed?
 - c. If no analysis is planned, please briefly explain why:

2. Alternative Analysis Results:		* Costs in millions	
Use the results of your alternatives analysis to complete the following table:			
Alternative Analyzed	Description of Alternative	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Costs estimate	Risk Adjusted Lifecycle Benefits estimate

3. Which alternative was selected by the Agency's Executive/Investment Committee and why was it chosen?
4. What specific qualitative benefits will be realized?
5. Will the selected alternative replace a legacy system in-part or in-whole?
 - a. If "yes," are the migration costs associated with the migration to the selected alternative included in this investment, the legacy investment, or in a separate migration investment.
 - b. If "yes," please provide the following information:

List of Legacy Investment or Systems		
Name of the Legacy Investment of Systems	UPI if available	Date of the System Retirement

Section B: Risk Management (All Capital Assets)

You should have performed a risk assessment during the early planning and initial concept phase of this investment's life-cycle, developed a risk-adjusted life-cycle cost estimate and a plan to eliminate, mitigate or manage risk, and be actively managing risk throughout the investment's life-cycle.

1. Does the investment have a Risk Management Plan? Yes
 - a. If "yes," what is the date of the plan? 7/1/2006
 - b. Has the Risk Management Plan been significantly changed since last year's submission to OMB? No
 - c. If "yes," describe any significant changes:
2. If there currently is no plan, will a plan be developed?
 - a. If "yes," what is the planned completion date?
 - b. If "no," what is the strategy for managing the risks?
3. Briefly describe how investment risks are reflected in the life cycle cost estimate and investment schedule:

Section C: Cost and Schedule Performance (All Capital Assets)

Exhibit 300: Federal Real Property Asset Management (Revision 8)

EVM is required only on DME portions of investments. For mixed lifecycle investments, O&M milestones should still be included in the table (Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline). This table should accurately reflect the milestones in the initial baseline, as well as milestones in the current baseline.

1. Does the earned value management system meet the criteria in ANSI/EIA Standard-748? Yes
2. Is the CV% or SV% greater than +/- 10%? (CV%= CV/EV x 100; SV%= SV/PV x 100) No
 - a. If "yes," was it the CV or SV or both?
 - b. If "yes," explain the causes of the variance:
 - c. If "yes," describe the corrective actions:
3. Has the investment re-baselined during the past fiscal year? No
 - a. If "yes," when was it approved by the agency head?

Exhibit 300: Federal Real Property Asset Management (Revision 8)

4. Comparison of Initial Baseline and Current Approved Baseline

Complete the following table to compare actual performance against the current performance baseline and to the initial performance baseline. In the Current Baseline section, for all milestones listed, you should provide both the baseline and actual completion dates (e.g., "03/23/2003"/ "04/28/2004") and the baseline and actual total costs (in \$ Millions). In the event that a milestone is not found in both the initial and current baseline, leave the associated cells blank. Note that the 'Description of Milestone' and 'Percent Complete' fields are required. Indicate '0' for any milestone no longer active.

Milestone Number	Description of Milestone	Initial Baseline		Current Baseline				Current Baseline Variance		Percent Complete
		Planned Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)	Total Cost (\$M) Estimated	Completion Date (mm/dd/yyyy)		Total Cost (\$M)		Schedule (# days)	Cost (\$M)	
				Planned	Actual	Planned	Actual			