Appendix table 1-6
Students in grades 4, 8, and 12 scoring at or above proficient level in mathematics for their grade, by student characteristics: Selected years, 1990-2003
(Percent)

| Student characteristic | Accommodations not permitted |  |  |  | Accommodations permitted |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1990 | 1992 | 1996 | 2000 | 1996 | 2000 | 2003 |
| Grade 4 ............................ | 13 | 18 | 21 | 26 | 21 | 24 | 32 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male............................ | 13 | 19 | 24 | 28 | 22 | 26 | 35 |
| Female. | 12 | 16 | 19 | 24 | 20 | 22 | 30 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic ....... | 16 | 22 | 27 | 33 | 27 | 31 | 43 |
| Black, non-Hispanic........ | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 10 |
| Hispanic ....................... | 5 | 6 | 7 | 9 | 7 | 7 | 16 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander ${ }^{\text {a }}$... | 22 | 28 | 21 | NA | 27 | NA | 48 |
| American Indian/ <br> Alaska Native $\qquad$ | - | - | - | 11 | 10 | 8 | 17 |
| Free/reduced-price lunch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible ........................ | NA | NA | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 15 |
| Not eligible ................... | NA | NA | 26 | 33 | 27 | 32 | 45 |
| Grade 8 ............................... | 15 | 21 | 24 | 27 | 23 | 26 | 29 |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male........................... | 17 | 21 | 25 | 29 | 25 | 27 | 30 |
| Female ......................... | 14 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 22 | 24 | 27 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic ....... | 18 | 26 | 30 | 34 | 30 | 34 | 37 |
| Black, non-Hispanic........ | 5 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| Hispanic ....................... | 7 | 7 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 12 |
| Asian/Pacific Islander ${ }^{\text {a }}$... | 29 | 43 | NA | 41 | NA | 41 | 43 |
| American Indian/ Alaska Native $\qquad$ | - | - | - | 9 | - | 10 | 15 |
| Free/reduced-price lunch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible ........................ | NA | NA | 8 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 12 |
| Not eligible ................... | NA | NA | 30 | 35 | 28 | 34 | 37 |
|  | 12 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 16 | 16 | NA |
| Sex |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male............................ | 15 | 17 | 18 | 20 | 18 | 19 | NA |
| Female ........................ | 9 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 14 | 14 | NA |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, non-Hispanic ....... | 14 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 | NA |
| Black, non-Hispanic........ | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | NA |
| Hispanic ...................... | 4 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 4 | NA |
| Asian/Pacific Islander ..... | 23 | 30 | 33 | 34 | 23 | 32 | NA |
| American Indian/ <br> Alaska Native $\qquad$ | - | - | 3 | 10 | - | 9 | NA |
| Free/reduced-price lunch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Eligible ......................... | NA | NA | 4 | 4 | NA | NA | NA |
| Not eligible ................... | NA | NA | 18 | 19 | NA | NA | NA |

- = sample size insufficient to permit reliable estimate; $N A=$ not available
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Special analyses raised concerns about accuracy and precision of national grade 8 Asian/Pacific Islander results in 1996 and grade 4 Asian/Pacific Islander results in 2000. Therefore, they are omitted from National Center for Education Statistics (NCES) reports and this report.
'Information on student's eligibility for free/reduced-price lunch first gathered in 1996.
'Grade 12 was not assessed in 2003.
NOTES: The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is in the process of changing the way it includes students with disabilities and limited English proficiency in assessments. Before 1996, these students were not allowed to use testing accommodations (e.g., extended time, one-on-one testing, bilingual dictionary). In 1996 and 2000, the assessment was administered to split samples: accommodations not permitted and accommodations permitted. In 2003, the NAEP mathematics assessment completed the transition to an accommodations-permitted test. Includes students in both public and private schools.
SOURCES: U.S. Department of Education, NCES, The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics Highlights 2003, NCES 2004-451 (2003); The Nation's Report Card: Mathematics 2000, NCES 2001-517 (2001); and data from NCES, NAEP, 1990, 1992, 1996, 2000, and 2003 mathematics assessments.
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