# THE MEASURE OF POVERTY

Technical Paper XVIII

Characteristics of Low-Income Populations
Under Alternative Poverty Definitions

By: Lawrence L. Brown, III

Department of Health, Education, and Welfare with Renee Miller, Bureau of the Census



U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare



#### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

# OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY WASHINGTON, D.C. 20201

October 1, 1976

Virginia Trotter
Assistant Secretary for Education
Department of Health, Education,
and Welfare

William A. Morrill
Assistant Secretary for Planning
and Evaluation
Department of Health, Education
and Welfare

I am pleased to issue Technical Paper XVIII, "Characteristics of Low-Income Populations Under Alternative Poverty Definitions". It contains supporting data for Chapter V of the report entitled The Measure of Poverty which was prepared in compliance with section 823 of the Education Amendments of 1974. The paper was prepared for the Poverty Studies Task Force by Lawrence L. Brown III, Dept. of Health, Education, and Welfare, with Renee Miller, Bureau of the Census.

The analysis contained in this paper is based on a set of special tabulations prepared by the Bureau of Census. The paper examines the way in which different poverty standards affect the statistical description of the low-income population. Changes over time in the size and composition of the poverty population under the alternative poverty definitions are also analyzed, along with a discussion of the impact of these definitions on the geographic distribution of the poor.

Bette Mahoney Chairman

Poverty Studies Task Force

Bette Mahoney

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
FORWARDING LETTER	iii
PREFACE	vi
POVERTY STUDIES TASK FORCE	viii
TECHNICAL PAPERS	ïx
TECHNICAL PAPER XVIII - CHARACTERISTICS OF LOW INCOME POPULATIONS UNDER ALTERNATIVE POVERTY DEFINITIONS	1
INTRODUCTION	1
THE FEDERAL POVERTY DEFINITION	2
CHARACTERISTICS OF THE POVERTY POPULATION, 1974, USING THE FEDERAL DEFINITION OF POVERTY	8
Scaling the Measure	11
Simplifications of the Poverty Definition	14
Single-Dollar Poverty Definitions	15
Median-Based Poverty Definitions	16
Summary	18
DIFFERENCES OVER TIME	20
Elderly	21
Female-Headed Families	22
School-Aged Children and Blacks	22
Summary	23
GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF POVERTY	24
Differences Between CPS and Decennial Census Statistics	24
Changes in Shares of Poverty Population	24

#### PREFACE

Section 823 of the Education Amendments of 1974 (PL 93-380) requires a thorough study of the manner in which the relative measure of poverty for use in the financial assistance program, authorized by Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, may be more accurately and currently developed.

That financial assistance program is administered by the Commissioner of Education, through the Office of Education, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. An important feature is the use of a formula prescribed by Section 103 of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act for the annual distribution of Federal funds to school districts. A significant factor in the formula is the number of school-age children 5 to 17 in poor families within each school district. The measure of poverty which is used, and which is the subject of the study mandated by Section 823, is the Federal government's official statistical definition of poverty (also known as the Orshansky, OMB, Census Bureau, or Social Security poverty lines).

Other work related to poverty measurement has been called for in recent legislative acts. In the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act, the Secretary of Labor is directed to develop and maintain comprehensive household budget data at different levels of living, including a "level of adequacy." Any such review of the level of adequacy must necessarily be closely related to measures of poverty. The Housing and Community Development Act of 1974 gives the Secretary of HUD authority to adjust the poverty measure to reflect local variations in the cost of living. The Conference Report accompanying it directs the Secretary to develop or obtain data with respect to the "extent of poverty" by metropolitan areas and to submit such data to the Congress as part of a March 31, 1977, report.

Because of the broad scope of the subject matter, coverage of the study of the measure of poverty mandated by Section 823 of the Education Amendments of 1974 was extended to include implications of the study findings for the poverty-related programs of all affected Federal departments and agencies. The Title I program of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act was given the most detailed treatment, to meet the legislatively-mandated specifications for the study as well as to serve as a primary example of application of the concepts of poverty measurement to Federal programs. The findings of the study are published in a report entitled, "The Measure of Poverty." An important objective of the study was full discussion and documentation of the major elements of currently applied and potentially usable poverty measures. Material containing essential supporting documentation for the study was assembled as technical papers. These have been written to stand alone as complete technical treatments of specific subjects.

The study was performed under the direct guidance of a Poverty Studies Task Force of the Subcommittee on the Education of the Disadvantaged and Minorities, Federal Inter-Agency Committee on Education. Technical papers were prepared at the request of, under the direction of, and subject to review by the Task Force members. Some papers are primarily the work of one or two persons; these are attributed to their authors. Others result from the collective input of Task Force members or advisors and no specific attribution is given except to the Task Force, as a whole.

The following listings show members of the Poverty Studies Task Force by appropriate Federal departments and agencies, and the titles and authors of the technical papers.

This report contains Technical Paper XVIII, Characteristics of Low-Income Populations Under Alternative Poverty Definitions. It was prepared by Lawrence L. Brown III, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluations, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, with Renee Miller, Population Division, Bureau of the Census. Special thanks are due to Arno Winard, Richard Hornseth, and Roger Herriott, also of the Census Bureau; to Jane Lee, Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare; and to Jill King, Mathematica, Inc.

At the request of the Poverty Studies Task Force, the Bureau of the Census prepared the set of tabulations showing selected characteristics of the population by alternative measures of poverty. The data from these tabulations underlie the analysis contained in this paper. The tabulations were run from the March Current Population Survey (CPS) files for the income years 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974. They were also run from the 1970 Census one—in—hundred sample for income year 1969, by State.

To obtain copies of the report, "The Measure of Poverty," or any of the technical papers, please write to:

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation Department of Health, Education, and Welfare 200 Independence Avenue, S.W. Room 443D - South Portal Building Washington, D. C. 20201

### Federal Interagency Committee on Education Subcommittee on Education for the Disadvantaged and Minorities

#### POVERTY STUDIES TASK FORCE

Chairman

Bette S. Mahoney
Office of the Assistant Secretary
for Planning and Evaluation
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Co-Chairman for Education

Abdul Khan
Office of the Assistant Secretary for Education
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

David Arnaudo Social and Rehabilitation Services Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Eva Jacobs Bureau of Labor Statistics Department of Labor

Richard B. Clemmer
Office of the Assistant Secretary
for Policy Development and Research
Department of Housing and Urban Development

Jane Lampmann
Office of the Assistant Secretary
for Human Development
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Genevieve O. Dane
Office of Education
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Daniel Levine Bureau of the Census Department of Commerce

William Dorfman National Center for Educational Statistics Department of Health, Education, and Welfare Nelson McClung Office of Tax Analysis Department of the Treasury

Alan L. Ginsburg
Office of the Assistant Secretary
for Planning and Evaluation
Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

June O'Neil Council of Economic Advisors

George E. Hall Social Statistics Branch Office of Management and Budget Mollie Orshansky Social Security Administration Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Stephen Hiemstra Food and Nutrition Service Department of Agriculture Israel Putnam Community Services Administration

Paul T. Hill National Institute for Education Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Robert L. Rizek Agricultural Research Service Department of Agriculture

Gooloo Wunderlich Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health Department of Health, Education, and Welfare

Staff Director
George F. Grob
Office of the Assistant Secretary
for Planning and Evaluation

Julie Jervey Mitchell Research Assistant Office of the Assistant Secretary for Planning and Evaluation

### TECHNICAL PAPERS

I.	Documentation of Background Information and Rationale for Current Poverty Matrix	Mollie Orshansky Social Security Administration
II.	Administrative and Legislative Usages of the Terms "Poverty," "Low Income," and Other Related Terms	Poverty Studies Task Porce with assistance from Ellen Kraus
III.	A Review of the Definition and Measurement of Poverty	Urban Systems Research and Engineering, Inc.
IV.	Bureau of Labor Statistics Family Budgets Program	Mark Sherwood Bureau of Labor Statistics
٧.	The Consumer Price Index	Jill King Mathematica, Inc.
VI.	Wealth and the Accounting Period in the Measurement of Means	Nelson McClung and Eugene Steuerle Department of the Treasury
VII.	In-kind Income and the Measurement of Poverty	Janice Peskin Health, Education, and Welfare
VIII.	The 1972-73 Consumer Expenditure Survey	Jill King Mathematica, Inc.
IX.	Inventory of Federal Data Bases Related to the Measurement of Poverty (A) Non-Census Data Bases (B) Census Data Bases	Connie Citro, Mathematica, Inc. Bureau of the Census
х.	Effect of Using a Poverty Definition , Based on Household Income	Jack McNeil, Doug Sater, Arno Winard Bureau of the Census
XI.	Update of the Orshansky Index	Mollie Orshansky Social Security Administration
XII.	Food Plans for Poverty Measurement	Betty Peterkin Department of Agriculture
XIII.	Relative Poverty	Jack McNeil Bureau of the Census
XIV.	Relative Measure of Poverty	Stanley Stephenson Health, Education, and Welfare
xv.	Analytic Support for Cost-of-Living Differentials in the Poverty Thresholds	Thomas Carlin Department of Agriculture
XVI.	Implications of Alternative Measures of Poverty on Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act	Abdul Khan and Herman Miller Health, Education, and Welfare
XVII.	The Sensitivity of the Incidence of Poverty to Different Measures of Income: School-aged Children and Families	Survey Research Center University of Michigan
XVIII.	Characteristics of Low-Income Populations Under Alternative Poverty Definitions	Lawrence Brown Health, Education, and Welfare

	Children Under 18 Years Old, by Farm-Nonfarm Residence	28
TABLE 2.	Equivalence Matrix Implicit in Current Poverty Measure	29
TABLE 3.	Race, Spanish Origin and Family Status of Persons by Poverty Status in 1974	31
TABLE 4.	Poverty Status of Persons in 1974 by Family Status and Sex and Race of Head	32
TABLE 5.	Families by Sex of Head, Presence of Related Children Under 18 Years, and Poverty Status in 1974	33
TABLE 6.	Persons 65 Years and Over by Family Status and Poverty Status in 1974	34
TABLE 7.	Work Experience of Family Heads by Poverty Status in 1974 and Sex of Head	35
TABLE 8.	Distribution of Families and Unrelated Individuals by Type of Income, Poverty Status and Sex of Head in 1974	36
rable 9.	Selected Characteristics of the Population Above and Below the Current Poverty Level in 1974 by Race	37
TABLE 10.	Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and 12 Alternative Poverty Levels in 1974	38
TABLE 11.	Number and Poverty Rates Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Upward Scalings of the Current Poverty Level in 1974	39
TABLE 12.	Percent Distributions Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Upward Scalings of the Current Poverty Level in 1974	40
TABLE 13.	Number and Poverty Rates Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Upward Scalings of the Current Poverty Level in 1974	41

	•		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• .	-	
•			
		, .	
		•	
	•		
	·		
	. ·		
			•

•		Page
TABLE 14.	Percent Distributions Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Upward Scalings of the Current Poverty Level in 1974	43
TABLE 15.	Number and Poverty Rates Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Simplifications of the Current Poverty Level of 1974	. 44
TABLE 16.	Percent Distributions Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Simplifications of the Current Poverty Level in 1974	45
TABLE 17.	Number and Poverty Rates Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Simplifications of the Current Poverty Level in 1974	46
TABLE 18.	Percent Distributions Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Simplifications of the Current Poverty Level in 1974	48
TABLE 19.	Number and Poverty Rates Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Two Single Dollar Cutoffs in 1974	49
TABLE 20.	Percent Distributions Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Two Single Dollar Cutoffs in 1974	50
TABLE 21.	Number and Poverty Rates Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Two Single Dollar Cutoffs in 1974	51
TABLE 22.	Percent Distributions Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Two Single Dollar Cutoffs in 1974	52
TABLE 23.	Number and Poverty Rates Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Median Based Poverty Measures in 1974	53
TABLE 24.	Percent Distributions Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Median Based Poverty Measures in 1974	54
TABLE 25.	Number and Poverty Rates Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Median Based Poverty Measures in 1974	55

TABLE 26.	Day 's The same	Pa
TABLE 20.	Percent Distributions Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Median Based Poverty Measures in 1974	•
TABLE 27.	Persons Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and and 1974	
TABLE 28.		:
1.000 20.	Alternate Poverty Measures: 1967, 1969, 171, 1973, and 1974	t
TABLE 29.	Persons 65 Years and Over Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974	5
TABLE 30.	Persons in Families with a Female Hoad Balance	
	Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974	
TABLE 31.		6
TABLE 31.	Families with Public Assistance Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969,	
	1971, 1973, and 1974	6.
TABLE 32.	Related Children 5 to 17 Years Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974	
TABLE 33.	Blacks Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967 1969 1971 1972	62
	and 1974	63
TABLE 34.	Families with Earnings Below the Poverty Level Using	
Manto as	1973, and 1974	64
TABLE 35.	Unrelated individuals Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974	
TABLE 36.	1973, and 1974	<b>6</b> 5
TABLE 30.	Families Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974	
MARKE 25		66
TABLE 37.	Families With Social Security Income Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974	67
TABLE 38.	Percent of Population in Poverty for Alternative Poverty Definitions, by State 1969	<b>C</b> 0

			Page
TABLE	39.	Percent of Related Children Aged 5-17 Years in Poverty for Alternative Defintions by State, 1969	69
TABLE	40.	Distribution of Persons by State for Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1969	. 70
TABLE	41.	Distribution of Related Children 5 to 17 Years by State for Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1969	72
TABLE	42.	State Share of Poor Persons Under Alternative Poverty Definitions as a Ratio of State Share Under Current Poverty Definition, 1969	74
TABLE	43.	State Share of Poor Children 5 to 17 Years Under Alternative Poverty Definitions as a Ratio of State Share Under Current Poverty Definition, 1969	76
		DETAILED TABLES	
TABLE	Dl.	Selected Characteristics of Persons by Alternative Measures of Poverty: 1974	78
TABLE	D2.	Selected Characteristics of Families by Alternative Measures of Poverty: 1974	81
TABLE	D3.	Selected Characterstics of Unrelated Individuals 14 Years Old and Over by Alternative Measures of Poverty: 1974	87
TABLE	D4.	Persons by Alternative Poverty Definitions by State, 1969	90
TABLE		Related Children 5 to 17 Years by Alternative Poverty Definitions by State, 1969	91

## LIST OF CHARTS

		Pac
FIGURE 1.	School-Age Children: Poverty Rates and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1974	
FIGURE 2.	Elderly Persons: Poverty Rates and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1974	
FIGURE 3.	Black Persons: Poverty Rates and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1974	
FIGURE 4.	Persons in Female-Headed Families: Poverty Rates and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Alternative Definitions, 1974	94
FIGURE 5.	Number of Persons in Poverty Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, for Selected Years, 1967-1974	95 96
FIGURE 6.	Percent of Persons in Poverty Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, for Selected Years, 1967-1974	
FIGURE 7.	Poverty Rates for Related Children 5 to 17 Years and Persons 65 Years and Over Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967 and 1974	97
FIGURE 8.	Elderly as a Percent of All Poverty Persons Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967-1974	98
FIGURE 9.	Persons in Families with a Female Head as a Percent of All Poverty Persons Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967-1974	99
FIGURE 10.	Families with Public Assistance: Poverty Rates and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions 1967 and 1974	.01
FIGURE 11.	Families with Earnings as a Percentage of All Poverty Families Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions:	
FIGURE 12.	Regional Share of Poor Persons Under Alternative Poverty Definitions as a Ratio of Regional Share Under Current Poverty Definition, 1969	02
FIGURE 13.	Regional Share of Poor School-Age Children Under Alternative Poverty Definitions as a Ratio of Regional Share Under Current Poverty Definition, 1969	03
	long in the roverty perinition, 1969	)4

#### INTRODUCTION

This paper examines how different poverty standards can change the statistical description of the low-income population. It supplements Chapter V --'Alternative Poverty Counts Based on Available Data" of The Measure of Poverty a report to Congress as mandated by the Education Amendments of 1974, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, April 1976). The poverty measure currently used in Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (Census Bureau definition of poverty) and alternative poverty definitions which have meen selected for analysis by the Poverty Studies Task Force (which produced The Measure of Poverty) are described. The characteristics of the poverty popilation in 1974 under the current Federal definition and under the various alternative poverty definitions are then presented based on data from the March 1975 Current Population Survey (CPS). In addition, changes over time in the size and composition of the poverty population under the alternative measures are analyzed. A discussion of the impact of the alternative definitions on the geographic distribution of the poor based on the One Percent Sample of the 1970 Census of Population is also included.

One reason for performing this analysis is to enable those who administer social service programs to identify subgroups of the population which would be reached by their programs if a particular poverty measure were to be used as a program parameter or in a funding formula. The characteristics of potentially eligible persons are of vital interest to administrators who must plan and budget for programs that are intended to serve target groups with specific characteristics. Consequently, the material in this paper should prove useful to administrators and analysts in many programs which are designed to help the poor (needy, low-income, disadvantaged) and which make use of a poverty measure or income eligibility standard.

Different programs use different measures, which is not surprising in view of the broad spectrum of objectives covered by such programs. Some examples are: Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, which uses the official Federal measure as part of an allocative formula; the College Work-Study program authorized by the Higher Education Act of 1965, which uses a single dollar threshold (unchanged for family size); Title XX of the Social Security Act, which adopted 80 to 115 percent of median family income in each state as its standard; the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act, which uses a single-dollar threshold with the allocation based partly on the number of families in an area with income below that level; and the Community Services Administration, which issues income eligibility standards directly based on the official Federal measure, but without many of the distinctions and with variations that remain smoothed.

#### THE FEDERAL POVERTY DEFINITION

The current Federal definition of poverty is based on a definition developy Mollie Orshansky at the Social Security Administration (SSA) in 1964 and revised by a Federal Interagency Committee in 1969.

The SSA (Orshansky) index provided a range of income cutoffs adjusted by such factors as family size, sex of head, number of children under 18 years old and farm-nonfarm residence. At the core of this definition of poverty was the economy food plan, the least costly of four food plans that are nutritionally sound, designed by the Department of Agriculture. It was determined from the Department of Agriculture's 1955 survey of food consumption that families of three or more persons spend approximately one-third of their after tax income on food; the poverty level for these families was, therefore, set at three times the cost of the economy food plan. For smaller families and persons living alone, the cost of the economy food plan was multiplied by factors that were slightly higher in order to compensate for the relatively larger fixed expenses of these smaller households. Annual revisions of these SSA poverty cutoffs were based on price changes of the items in the economy food budget.

As a result of deliberations of a Federal Interagency Committee in 1969, the following two modifications to the original SSA definition of poverty were recommended: (1) that the SSA thresholds for nonfarm families be retained for the base year 1963, but that annual adjustments in the levels be based on change in the Consumer Price Index (CPI) rather than on changes in the cost of food included in the economy food plan; and (2) that the farm thresholds be raised from 70 to 85 percent of the corresponding nonfarm levels. The reasons for making these changes are discussed in Technical Paper I of The Measure of Poverty.

Currently, the cutoffs used by the Bureau of the Census to determine the low-income status of families and unrelated individuals consist of a set of 124 thresholds arranged in a four-dimensional matrix. The matrix consists of a family size dimension (from one person, ie., unrelated individuals, to seven or more person families) cross-classified by presence and number of children under 18 years old (from no children present to six or more children present), sex of head, and farm-nonfarm residence. Unrelated individuals and two-person families are further differentiated by age of head (under 65 years and 65 years and over). The total income of each family and each unrelated individual in the sample is tested against the appropriate dollar threshold to determine the low-income status of that family or unrelated individual. If the family's total income is less than its corresponding cutoff, the family is classified as below the low-income level. Table 1 reproduces the poverty matrix for income year 1974. (See page 28.)

Data on income collected in the CPS are limited to money income received before payments for personal income taxes, Social Security, union dues, Medicare deductions, etc. Money income is the sum of the amounts received from earnings; Social Security and public assistance payments; dividends, interest, and rent; unemployment and workmen's compensation; government and private employee pensions and other periodic income. See Chapter II of The Measure of Poverty and Technical Papers VI, VII, and X for details on the limitations of the income concept.

## ALTERNATIVE POVERTY DELIMINOS.

This section investigates the effect of some alternative poverty measures on the statistical description of poor populations. It deals with the poverty cutoffs, not with the definition of income. In Chapter IV of The Measure of Poverty report, it was shown that modifying the income definition by including some cash equivalent value of in-kind benefits or assets would lower the poverty count if the poverty cutoffs were not simultaneously changed to be consistent with the new income definition. Similarly, poverty counts would be reduced if underreporting of cash income were corrected in the census and CPS surveys, or if irregular cash income were counted. However, these commonly proposed changes to the definition of income cannot be incorporated into the poverty measure without modifying the census or CPS surveys or developing new surveys from which to derive a poverty count. Furthermore, the statistical effect of these modifications is not approximated by simple adjustments of the poverty cutoffs, such as by lowering them, because subgroups of the poor population are affected differently by changes in the income definition. For example, the income of the elderly would probably be raised more by the inclusion of the value of assets than would the income of young family heads.

The change which is most commonly proposed in connection with the poverty cutoffs is to raise them. This reflects a presumption that the standard of living, however defined, has risen in this country since the official poverty level was originally established. Also, it is not generally believed that U.S. citizens need less now than they did in the past. These notions are implicit in such proposals as: revising the Orshansky matrix on the basis of current food plans and consumption patterns; identifying the lowest quintile of the income distribution as the poverty income level; setting the poverty level at 50 percent of median income; using public opinion polls to determine a generally accepted level of income adequacy; or using the lower BLS family budget as a poverty budget. Similarly, most administrative adaptations of the poverty line in Federal programs have the effect of enlarging the population of program beneficiaries beyond those identified as poor by the official poverty measure.

However, not all commonly proposed changes to the poverty cutoffs or income definition can be linked simply to higher or lower poverty counts. For example, if the thrifty food plan were used as a basis for the poverty cutoffs, but without simultaneously raising the ratio of income-to-food costs, the cutoffs for some families would be lowered and others raised. Similarly, if poverty cutoffs were annually updated using a price index based on food or on items in a special poor person's index, rather than on the Consumer Price Index, the poverty cutoffs could be higher in some years and lower in others than the current cutoffs. Presumably, geographic adjustments to the poverty cutoffs would raise them in some places and lower them in others; removing the current adjustments for farm residence would raise the poverty counts only slightly. Simplifying the current poverty matrix by removing distinctions for sex of head and presence of children would affect the poverty status of families according to sex and age of family members. If Federal or state and local income taxes, Social Security payroll deductions, or other taxes were excluded from the income definition (and the poverty cutoffs were not

simultaneously changed), the poverty count would be increased, although this could be offset if the Federal tax credit for earned income were counted as income. Changing the definition of family or using households rather than families as accounting units could raise or lower the count depending on the change made. Applying a recently proposed index of employment and earnings inadequacy and a price index for the elderly might cause poverty counts of these groups to differ from counts under a single national poverty measure.

It is not practical to describe here the statistical effects of adopting all of the variously proposed alternative measures. Of the many possibilities, the analysis here considers four groups of definitions totalling 13 specific alternatives (the current poverty measure and 12 others selected for analysis by the Poverty Studies Task Force). These were selected because: they can be studied with readily accessible data; they are similar to administrative poverty measures or income eligibility criteria currently used in Federal programs; and they can be used to approximate the effects of adopting some concepts discussed in The Measure of Poverty.

The four broad groups of alternatives are: proportionate increases or decreases of the current poverty matrix (scaling), simplifications of the current poverty matrix, single-dollar thresholds, and relative measures based on median income.

The first group consists of four sets of cutoffs derived by multiplying each of the cutoffs in the official poverty matrix for 1974 by 75 percent, 125 percent, 150 percent, and 200 percent. As noted earlier, many commonly proposed poverty measures have the effect of raising the poverty income levels. The statistical effect of such changes can be approximated by reference to one of the higher sets of poverty cutoffs included here. Also, some Federal programs, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, define eligibility on the basis of simple proportional increases in the official poverty cutoffs. A poverty matrix set at 75 percent of the current matrix is also analyzed. This is provided to identify those groups of people who are most severely in need. Furthermore, in making administrative adaptations of the poverty line, program administrators may desire to raise income eligibility criteria above the poverty line in some places and lower the criteria below the poverty line in other places, in order to accommodate variations in local labor markets, local prices, the extent of poverty, or other conditions. Poverty matrixes consisting of poverty cutoffs at 75 percent, 125 percent, 150 percent, and 200 percent of the current measure are not reproduced here; however, a sense of the size of the cutoffs can be obtained by reviewing Table l and the following simple scalings of the 1974 poverty cutoffs for a nonfarm male-headed family of four with two children:

75% of Current Measure	Current Measure	125% of Current Measure	150% of Current Measure	200% of Current Measure
\$3 <b>,</b> 750	\$5 <b>,</b> 000	\$6,250	\$7 <b>,</b> 500	\$10,000

Three progressive simplifications of the current poverty measure were studied because it has been argued that the various adjustments for residence and family composition are not accurate or necessary. Also, most administrative uses of the poverty measure as an eligibility criterion do not incorporate all of the refinements in the current poverty matrix. For example, the income eligibility guidelines of the Community Services Administration are based on family size and farm-nonfarm residence, but not on sex of head or number of children under 18. Other administrative guidelines include distinctions only for family size. For this analysis, first the farm-nonfarm differential was eliminated by using the nonfarm thresholds of the current poverty matrix for both farm and nonfarm families. Next, the distinction based on sex of head was eliminated by using the nonfarm male-headed family thresholds for all families. Finally, the distinctions for the number of related children under 18 were eliminated by using weighted average thresholds for each family size category. Simplified poverty cutoffs in 1974 are shown below. For nonfarm and male-headed family cutoffs, see Table 1.

Family-Size and Type	1974 Weighted Average Poverty Cutoffs
1 Person Under 65 Over 65 2 Persons Head under 65 Head over 65 3 Persons 4 Persons 5 Persons 6 Persons	\$2,610 2,658 2,387 3,220 3,329 2,984 3,957 5,040 5,957 6,706 8,278
7 or more Persons	0,270

Two single-dollar poverty thresholds, which are invariant with respect to family size, were included in the analysis. The first, the low threshold, was \$3,200 for all families and unrelated individuals; that amount was chosen because it yielded a poverty count equal to the number of poor persons derived by the current poverty measure in 1969 from the 1970 Census of Population. The high threshold is the average weighted threshold for a nonfarm family of four in the current poverty matrix, equal to \$5,038 in 1974.

Such measures serve as a point of reference to illustrate the effect of variations for family size on the composition of the poor. Single-dollar cutoffs are sometimes used for analytical purposes or as administrative criteria for distributing Federal funds. The Comprehensive Employment and Training Act, for example, distributes manpower revenue-sharing funds to local governments in part (by a weighting factor of 12.5 percent) based on the number of families in each unit of a government's jurisidiction with an income less than a fixed amount. A \$2,000 threshold was used for distributing Title I funds under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act until 1974. Although in this analysis the high threshold (like the current measure) was varied over time by the annual

rise in the Consumer Price Index, the low threshold was held constant at \$320 over the eight-year period of analysis, 1967-1974. Thus, the low threshold a illustrates the effect of a poverty measure which is not annually updated. Single-dollar cutoffs in 1974 are presented below.

<u>Low Cutoff</u>
\$3,200

\$5,038

Finally, the study investigated the effect of three different relative measures of poverty. Each measure is based on 50 percent of some median incomplifty is an arbitrary percentage which was selected because it is frequently upon in discussions of relative poverty measures. The interest in these measures is primarily in their behavior over time and their relationship to the more convectional measure(s) updated by the Consumer Price Index.

The first relative measure examined was a double threshold distinguishing between families and unrelated individuals: for families, the poverty thresho was set at 50 percent of median family income (\$6,418 in 1974, or 50 percent of \$12,836); for unrelated individuals, the threshold was set at 50 percent of the median income for unrelated individuals (\$2,220 in 1974, or 50 percent of \$4,4 This large difference in the thresholds for these two groups of people reflect the fact that unrelated individuals as a group receive much lower incomes than families.

The remaining two relative measures considered use 50 percent of base median income and adjust it for family size by using the equivalence scales implicit in the current poverty measure. (These equivalence scales are described in Chapter IV of The Measure of Poverty and are illustrated in Table 2.) In O case, the base median income is that for all families (\$12,836 in 1974); in the other, the median income is that for a nonfarm family of four with a male head and two children (\$14,004 in 1974). In both cases, 50 percent of the base median income was assumed to represent the poverty threshold for the typical family of four just described, and the equivalence scale was used to calculate the comparable cutoffs for the 123 other family sizes and types. Income eligibilit criteria based on median income have been included in recently enacted legislation, such as Title II of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1974, ar Title XX of the Social Security Act. Those definitions, however, use local median incomes rather than the national median. Title XX requires the median income for each state to be used in establishing its income eligibility standard, and the Housing Act uses median income for a metropolitan area in establishing the income eligibility level for the area. National median incomes in 1974 were as follows:

All families\$12,836
Male-headed Nonfarm families cf four with two children\$14,004
Unrelated individuals\$ 4,439

An important consideration in analyzing the alternative definitions of poverty is the composition of differing poverty populations. Knowing precisely who is counted as poor is as important was knowing the number of poor.

Several generalizations can be made about the alternative poverty populations. Those definitions which most alter the size of the poverty population also have the greatest effect on the characteristics of the poor. As the current poverty measure is successively scaled by 75 percent, 125 percent, 150 percent, and 200 percent, the poverty rate for each subgroup increases, but at a different rate. The composition of the ever larger poverty population approaches that of the total population. Progressive simplifications of the current measure, on the other hand, have negligible impact on the composition of the poor. The single-dollar thresholds, which are invariant with respect to family size, change the composition of the poor to a large extent because of their differential screening of large and small families.

 There were differences in the composition of the low-income white and black populations in terms of family status and age. (Table 4).

For example, of the 7.5 million blacks below the poverty level, about 87 percent were family members. A large proportion of low-income black family members were related children under 18 years (59 percent); about 70 percent of these children were in families with a female head. Only a small proportion of blacks below the low-income level were aged (8 percent). (Table 9) On the other hand, about three-fourths of the 16.3 million low-income whites were living in families. (Table 4) Of these family members, about one-half were children under 18 years, and 43 percent of these children were in families headed by women. Persons aged 65 and over comprised 16 percent of the low-income white population. (Table 9)

- Families headed by women was another group that was overrepresented among the poor. Of the 5.1 million low-income families in 1974, about 46 percent were headed by a female. By comparison, only about 10 percent of all nonpoor families were femaleheaded. Practically all of these low-income female-headed families had at least one child under 18 years present. (Table 5)
- In general, low-income families were more likely to have children under 18 years present than families above the low-income level. (76 percent and 54 percent respectively). (Table 5)
- Over one-half (53 percent) of the 10.2 million children below the poverty level in 1974 were in female-headed families. To reverse the perspective, children in female-headed families were far more likely to be below the low-income level than those in male-headed families (52 percent compared to 9 percent). (Table 4)
- School-age children (between 5 and 17 years) comprised 31 percent of all poor persons in 1974 and 23 percent of persons above the poverty level. (Table 3)
- About 3.3 million aged persons were below the poverty line in 1974. This amounts to 16 percent of all persons 65 years and over and accounts for 14 percent of all poor persons. By comparison, the aged comprised about 10 percent of the population above the poverty line. (Table 3)

The majority of aged poor persons were unrelated individuals (62 percent). The poverty rate for aged unrelated individuals was much higher than that for elderly persons who were family members (32 percent compared to 9 percent). About three-fourths of aged unrelated individuals below the poverty line were women living alone. (Table 6)

 About 53 percent of all poor families had a family head who worked at some time during 1974, and of these low-income families headed by a worker, 36 percent were headed by a year-round full-time worker. (Table 7) As would be expected, a higher proportion of low-income families with a male head than with a female head worked in 1974 (63 percent compared to 40 percent).

About 62 percent of all low-income families received some income from earnings. (Table 8) Not surprisingly, a larger proportion of low-income families with male heads have income from earnings than families headed by a female (72 percent compared to 50 percent). Social Security was the largest category of income other than earnings for low-income families headed by a man while public assistance was the largest category for families headed by a woman.

In summary, the current poverty population has a higher proportion of bl persons in families with a female head, and elderly than both the population above the poverty level and the total population. (Table 9) Special attenti will be focused on these groups along with the working poor in the following sections on the characteristics of the poverty population under alternative measures in 1974 and on the changes in the poverty population over time. Separate consideration has also been given to school-age children because of the focus of this study on Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

## CHANGES RESULTING FROM ALTERNATIVE POVERTY DEFINITIONS

This section deals with the characteristics of the different poverty populations which result when the various alternative definitions are used. Comparisons will be made between the low-income population in 1974 based on the current definition and the populations which result from use of each of the alternative standards. Selected detailed statistics summarizing the different poverty populations are furnished for persons, families, and unrelated individuals in Tables D-1 through D-3.

#### Scaling the Measure

Successively scaling the current poverty thresholds by 75 percent, 125 percent, 150 percent, and 200 percent has the largest impact on the number of poor persons; the poverty population is largest for 200 percent of the current measure and smallest for 75 percent of the current measure. A pattern of increase is observed with the upward shifts: for every 5 percent increase in the current cutoffs, approximately two million persons are added to the poverty population, and the percentage of the population counted as poor increases one percentage point. This pattern was also observed for the downward shift to 75 percent of the current measure. As shown in Table 10, the number of poor persons in 1974 rises from 14.5 million with 75 percent of the current measure, or 7 percent of the population to 69.4 million, or 33 percent of the population with 200 percent of the current measure.

Scaling the current measure brings changes in the composition of the poor as well as in the number of poor. When the poverty thresholds are increased, the number of poor increases and the composition of the ever larger poverty population approaches that of the total population.

## Raising the Level to 125 Percent

Raising the current thresholds to the 125 percent level increased the low-income population by about 10.4 million persons in 1974 and resulted in a total poverty population of about 34.6 million persons. (Table 11) Of the 10.4 million persons who were added, about 7.8 million were white, 2.4 million were black, and .2 million were of other races. This addition increased the total number of low-income white persons from 16.3 million to about 24 million and the total number of low-income blacks from 7.5 million to about 9.8 million. Although blacks increased in absolute numbers, their share of the low-income population declined from 31 percent under the current measure to about 28 percent at the 125 percent level. (Table 12) This is still about 2.5 times the 11 percent share which blacks comprised of the total population, but the decrease is an example of a pattern of declining minority representation which persisted when the thresholds were raised to the 150 and 200 percent levels.

About 8.3 million or 81 percent of the persons who were added to the low-income population when the thresholds were raised to the 125 percent ("near poor") level were family members. Of these additional family members, about 6.2 million or 74 percent were living in male-headed families and the remaining 2.1 million or 26 percent were living in female-headed families. The number of persons in

male-headed families therefore increased from about 11 million under the cu measure to about 17 million, and their share of the low-income population i creased from 56 to about 62 percent. Correspondingly, persons in female-he families represented a smaller proportion of the poverty population at 125 cent of the poverty level than at the current level. (Table 12)

As the poverty thresholds are raised from the current measure to the 11 percent level, the proportion of the poverty population comprised of school-children (children 5 to 17 years) declined from 31 percent to 29 percent. (the other hand, the proportion of low-income aged persons increased from 14 cent to 16 percent of all poor persons. (Table 12) At the 125 percent leve there were about 5.5 million persons 65 years and over below the poverty lev (26 percent of all aged persons). As the poverty thresholds are raised, man elderly whose Social Security and pension income is only marginally higher the current thresholds are "recaptured" as poor. (Table 11)

Raising the thresholds by 25 percent added about 2.3 million families (13) and about 2.0 million unrelated individuals to the low-income universe i 1974. (Table 11) The number of low-income families is, therefore, increase from 5.1 million to about 7.4 million. About 6.8 million or 36 percent of a unrelated individuals are below 125 percent of the low-income level.

Many of the patterns observed for persons are paralleled by families who the thresholds are raised to the 125 percent level. For example, at the 125 cent level compared to the current level, families headed by blacks and women became a smaller proportion of all low-income families whereas families headed by an elderly person increase their share of all low-income families. (Table

Selected poverty rates, universe totals, and proportions are shown in  $T\epsilon$  11 through 14 for persons and families at the various alternate poverty level A review of these data reveals the following additional information:

- Families with children comprised a smaller proportion of families below the "near poor" level than of families below the current level in 1974 (71 compared to 76 percent). Nevertheless, the proportion of such families with children was still disproportionately high when compared with their representation in the total population (56 percent of all families).
- Small families (of 2 persons) increased from 33 to 35 percent of all low-income families when the thresholds were raised to the 125 percent level.
- Compared with their current poverty level counterparts, family heads below the "near poor" level were more likely to have worked at some time during the year. More were likely to have received earnings income (65 percent compared to 62 percent) and fewer were likely to have received public assistance income (35 compared to 40 percent). A larger

proportion of "near poor" families than current measure families received some Social Security income (28 percent compared to 24 percent).

## Raising to Higher Levels -- 150 and 200 Percent

Generally, the patterns of change which occurred when the low-income thresholds were raised to the 125 percent level persisted when the thresholds were increased to the 150 and 200 percent levels. A review of the poverty universe totals and rates furnished in Tables 11 and 13 reveals the magnitude of the across-the-board increases which occur. As may be seen, increasing the thresholds to the 150 percent level raised the total number of poor persons to about 45.2 million or 22 percent of all persons. When the current thresholds were doubled, the number of poor reached 69.4 million and the poverty rate was 33 percent.

At the 150 percent level, the poverty rate for persons in families with a female head was about 53 percent and at the 200 percent level, the rate reached 64 percent. The poverty rates for blacks were also quite high at these levels (49 percent and 62 percent respectively). (Table 11)

Although the poverty rates for persons in families with a female head and blacks were very high at these increased poverty levels, the share of the low-income population that these groups have is smaller than at the lower levels, more closely reflecting their representation in the total population. (Table 12) While persons in families with a female head represented 44 percent of all poor family members using the current measure, and 39 percent at the 125 percent level, they represented 33 percent of all poor family members at the 150 percent level and declined to 25 percent of poor family members at the 200 percent level.

As the poverty level is raised from the current measure to 125 percent and 150 percent and then 200 percent, the proportion of the low-income population that was comprised of blacks declined from 31 percent to 28 percent, to 26 percent, and then to 21 percent.

Both the elderly and school-aged children comprised a more stable proportion of the poverty population than blacks and persons in female-headed families as the thresholds are raised to higher levels. At the 200 percent level 28 percent of the low-income population was comprised of school-aged children; the figures were not much different at the 125 percent and 150 percent levels, and at the current level, the proportion was 31 percent. (Table 12)

Similarly, about 15 percent of the poverty population was elderly at the 200 percent level; the figures were about the same at the 125 percent and 150 percent levels and about 13.6 percent of the poverty population was elderly using the current measure. (Table 12)

As the poverty levels are raised from the current level to the 200 percent level, the proportion of low-income families in 1974 that were headed by workers increased from 53 percent to 63 percent. (Table 14)

## 75% of the Current Level

At the 75% level, there were 14.5 million persons below the poverty level 1974. (Table D-1) This was the lowest poverty figure produced by any of the alternatives. While this figure can be used in some instances to identify the most severely in need, it is important to note the limitations of the income cept at this level.

Of the families with incomes below 75 percent of the poverty level, abou percent had incomes of under \$1,000. 3/ This figure includes those families were classified as having no income in the income year along with those repor a loss in net income from farm and nonfarm self-employment or in rental income. In addition, many of these families were living on income "in kind," savings, or gifts. These sources are not included as income in the CPS. Many were new constituted families or families in which the sole breadwinner had recently do nhad left the household and whose income was, therefore, not counted as particle family's income. On the basis of available data, it is not possible to determine accurately the economic well-being of these families. As the poverty level is raised, these families become a smaller proportion of the low-income population.

In any event, the low-income population at the 75 percent level in 1974 we more likely to be comprised of blacks and persons in families with a female he than the poverty population at the current level. (Table D-1) On the other hand, the elderly comprised only 10 percent of all poor at the 75 percent level compared to 14 percent at the current level. School-aged children and familie headed by workers represented about the same proportion of the poor at the 75 percent level as at the current level.

## Simplifications of the Poverty Definition

In contrast to the sharp changes observed in the size and composition of low-income population when the poverty measure was scaled, very slight changes occur when the current poverty measure is simplified.

Shifting from the current 124 census thresholds to the 62 nonfarm poverty cutoffs increased the size of the low-income population by about 274,000 person (from 24.3 million persons to 24.5 million). (Table 15) Further simplifying the current definition to 31 male nonfarm thresholds yields a poverty count of about 25.1 million persons as does the last simplification to the weighted male nonfarm thresholds.

Not surprisingly, such small changes in the total number of persons in powerty do not alter the composition of the current poverty population. Under the three simplifications, blacks still comprise 31 percent of all poor persons, persons in families with female heads comprise about 44 percent of all poor family members (45 percent under the 2nd simplification), the elderly comprise about 1 percent of poor persons, and school-aged children represent 31 percent. (Table 16, 17, and 18)

## Single-Dollar Poverty Définitions

Using the low single-dollar standard (\$3,200) resulted in a poverty population in 1974 of 17.4 million persons which was considerably lower than the figure of 24.3 million derived from the current measure, and is, in fact, the lowest of all the alternatives with the exception of 75 percent of the current measure. On the other hand, the high standard (weighted average threshold for a nonfarm 4-person family of \$5,038 in 1974) resulted in a poverty population of 32.7 million which was somewhat lower than the figure of 34.6 million that resulted from the 125 percent level. (Table 19)

Use of the single-dollar standard greatly altered the composition of the poverty population in terms of family status and age. While unrelated individuals represented about 20 percent of the current poverty population, they represented about 42 percent of the poverty population using the low standard and 32 percent using the high standard. (Table 20) Use of the low standard increased the number of poor unrelated individuals from 4.8 million under the current standard to 7.3 million, and use of the high standard further increased their number to 10.5 million. The only figure for unrelated individuals from the other alternatives that approached this figure was that of 10.3 million at the 200 percent level. (Table 11) This is not surprising since the single-dollar cutoff of \$5038 for an unrelated individual was considerably higher than the cutoff for most of the other alternatives.

Closely related to the increase in the proportion of unrelated individuals in the poverty populations derived from the two single-dollar cutoffs is the increase in the proportion of the elderly in these poverty populations. (Table 20) While the elderly represented 14 percent of the poor under the current measure, they represented 29 percent of the poor under the low standard and 27 percent under the high standard.

Conversely, school-aged children represented a much smaller proportion of the poor under these single-dollar alternatives than under the current measure. The proportion dropped from 31 percent under the current measure to 17 percent for the low standard and 18 percent for the high standard. (Table 20)

Blacks also represented a smaller proportion of the poverty population under these two alternatives than under the current measure, but the change was not as dramatic as for school-aged children. (Table 20)

About 3.4 million families had incomes below \$3,200. (Table 21) This was the smallest number of poor families produced by any of the various alternatives that are being tested with the exception of the 75 percent alternative. About 7.5 million families had incomes below the nonfarm 4-person threshold of \$5038.

Table 22 shows that compared with their census low-income counterparts, families whose incomes are below \$3,200 or \$5,038 were more likely to be small (less than three persons). This is because the single-dollar cutoffs do not allow for differences in family size; large and small families have the same cutoff. While the \$3,200 level is near the cutoff for small families under the current definition, it is lower than the cutoff for large families, thus resulting in a

disproportionately high number of small families. On the other hand, the \$5,0 cutoff is much higher than the census cutoff for small families, again result in a disproportionally high number of small families. In addition, Table 22 state that low-income families under the single-dollar cutoffs compared to families low the current definition were more likely to be childless, headed by an age person, white, and to be receiving Social Security income and less likely to be worked at some time during 1974. Families headed by women represented about the same proportion of all poverty families under the \$3,200 cutoff as under the carent measure whereas they represented a smaller proportion under the high since dollar cutoff than under the current measure.

#### Median-Based Poverty Definitions

In 1974, 36.4 million persons or about 17.4 percent of the population wer in families or were unrelated individuals with incomes of less than one-half t national median (\$6,418 for families and \$2,220 for unrelated individuals). (Table 23) This figure of 36.4 million poor was considerably higher than the census figure of 24.3 million; in fact it was somewhat higher than the figure of 34.6 million at the 125 percent level. (Table 11)

Although the total number of poor was higher when one-half the median was used than when the current poverty level was used, the number of unrelated ind viduals was lower under this alternative. (Table 23) This is to be expected cause the cutoff of \$2,220 representing one-half the median for unrelated individuals in 1974 was lower than the census nonfarm thresholds for unrelated individuals. Unrelated individuals comprised about 20 percent of the current poverty ulation, but they represented only 12 percent of the poverty population genera by one-half the national median. (Table 24)

While unrelated individuals represented a smaller proportion of the pover population below one-half the median than below the current poverty level, the elderly represented a higher proportion (21 percent compared to 14 percent). (Table 24) The elderly population resulting from this poverty definition was unique because it included so many family members. About 76 percent of the powerly below one-half the median were family members compared to 38 percent for the current poverty level. (Table D-1)

In contrast to the elderly, school-aged children comprised a smaller propertion of the population below one-half the median than below the current poverty level (23 percent compared to 31 percent). (Table 24) In fact, the proportion of children in the poverty population below one-half the median was very close the proportion of school-aged children in the total population. Only the two single-dollar standards yielded populations comprised of smaller proportions of school-aged children.

Blacks and persons in families with a female head also comprised smaller proportions of the poverty population below one-half the median than below the current poverty definition. (Table 24)

About 10.9 million families have incomes of less than one-half the median (Table 25) -- the second largest number of poor families resulting from the

alternatives tested. Only by doubling the current poverty thresholds are more poor families produced. (Table 13) One-half the median is, in effect, a single-dollar threshold for families and thus families below \$6,418 have characteristics similar to those below the two other single-dollar thresholds; i.e., they are more likely to be small, elderly, and childless than their current measure counterparts. (Table 26)

The second median-based measure was constructed by using one-half the national median family income as the base case family threshold (nonfarm 4-person male-headed family with 2 children) and adjusting it for the remaining family types using the need equivalence rates shown in Table 2. 4/

Moving from a median-based poverty measure that is not adjusted for family size to one that is adjusted has a pronounced effect on the distribution of the low-income population by family status even though the size of the total low-income population is not affected much. (It remained at about 36 million for both relative measures.) As may be seen from Table 23, adjusting for family composition and "need" increases the total number of poor unrelated individuals from 4.2 million to 7.1 million. Unrelated individuals now represent about the same proportion of the poverty population as under the current measure (20 percent). (Table 24) On the other hand, the number of poor families decreased from 10.9 million to 7.8 million. (Table 25)

In addition, adjusting the median for family size makes the composition of the median-generated poverty population more like the current poverty population in terms of age. School-aged children represented 29 percent of the adjusted median poverty population compared to 23 percent for the unadjusted median and 31 percent for the current measure. The elderly declined from 21 percent of the poverty population using the unadjusted median to 16 percent using the adjusted median while the proportion for the current poverty population was 14 percent. (Table 24)

Actually, the composition of the poverty population for the adjusted median in terms of race and sex of head as well as age was very similar to the composition of the poverty population below 125 percent of the poverty level because the cutoffs for the base family were close (\$6,418 for half the median and \$6,250 for 125 percent) and the equivalence scales were the same.

Table 25 shows that moving from an unadjusted median to a median-based measure adjusted for family size causes the following changes to occur to the poverty rate for families in terms of age of family head, size of family, and presence of children:

The poverty rate for older families declined from 43 percent using the unadjusted standard to about 18 percent using the adjusted median-based thresholds. The poverty rate for 2-person families declined from 29 percent to 13 percent while the poverty rate for large (five plus person) families increased from 13 percent to 20 percent. There was a dramatic reduction in the poverty rate for childless families (from 22 percent to 11 percent).

When the third median-based measure is used and one-half the median incoff a "typical" or base case family is adjusted using the current poverty measure equivalence scale, the population which results is close in number and compose to the population which results when the current thresholds are increased by percent because using the equivalence scale to adjust one-half a "typical" family's median income (\$7,002 in 1974) yields a matrix of poverty thresholds that is very similar to the one which results when the poverty thresholds are raised to the 150 percent level. (\$7,500 is the cutoff for a "typical" family at the 150 percent level.) An examination of the appropriate columns and data items in Tables 23 through 26 and 11 through 14 will indicate the extent of these similarities.

### Summary

The effect of the alternative poverty definitions on the number and characteristics of those who would be counted as poor is substantial in some case. The composition of the poor varies the most with two basic changes in the poor definition: first, large increments in the level of the thresholds, and second elimination of the variations by family size.

While there were sharp changes in the number of poor under the 12 alternative measures, the proportion of the poverty population composed of school-children was fairly stable, ranging from 28 percent to 32 percent for all the sures tested with the exception of those that were not adjusted for family si (the two single-dollar cutoffs and half the U. S. median unadjusted). The pr portion was considerably lower under these measures (17 percent for the low single-dollar cutoff, 18 percent for the high single-dollar cutoff, and 23 pe cent for the unadjusted median). (Figure 1)

Similarly, the proportion of the poverty population composed of the elde ranged from 13 to 17 percent for all the measures tested with the exception o those invariant with respect to family size and the 75 percent level. In thi situation, the proportion was considerably higher using the family size invar measures than for most of the other measures. The elderly represented about percent of the poverty population under the low single-dollar cutoff, 27 percent under the high single-dollar cutoff, and 21 percent using half the unadjusted median. In contrast, the elderly represented only 10 percent of the poverty pulation below the 75 percent level. (Figure 2)

Generally, as the poverty levels were increased the composition of the location in terms of race, sex, and work experience of the family heapproached that of the total population. When the cutoffs were raised, family headed by workers became a larger proportion of poor families while blacks and persons in families with a female head became a smaller proportion of the poor However, even at the 200 percent level, blacks and persons in families with a female head were overrepresented among the poor compared to the total populati (Figures 3 and 4) The invariant poverty thresholds, on the other hand, produce poverty population with proportionately fewer families (especially large families), more unrelated individuals, fewer female-headed, and more elderly.

The simplifications of the current poverty measure, by eliminating distinctions for sex of head, farm residence, and presence of children, have a negligible effect on both the size and composition of the poverty population.

## **DIFFERENCES OVER TIME**

Poverty definitions differ in the manner in which they are updated ove All measures based on the current definition are updated each year by the page increase in the annual Consumer Price Index (absolute or fixed measures). The relative measures, based on median income, are updated each year as incolevels change. Only one of the poverty measures analyzed, the \$3,200 single dollar threshold (low single-dollar threshold) was invarant over time. This section considers changes in the effect of the different poverty measures ov time, with a view to determining the stability of the patterns observed in t 1974 data. The analysis in this section is based on special tabulations fro the March Current Population Surveys for 1968, 1970, 1972, 1974, and 1975 (calendar or income years 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974). For this analy it is convenient to distinguish between the relative measures and all of the others. For this purpose, the term "fixed measures" will be used throughout this section to connote the non-relative measures.

Between 1967 and 1974, the total population increased by almost 15 mill persons, and the poverty population under the current definition dropped from almost 28 million to 24 million. (Table 27) The descent was not smooth, how ever, with increases occurring between 1969 and 1971 and between 1973 and 197 (Figure 5) This same trend was reflected in the three progressive simplifications of the current measure, in the four scalings of the current measure (75 percent, 125 percent, 150 percent, and 200 percent) and with the high single-dollar cutoff. 5/ As would be expected, the invariant threshold of \$3,200 resulted in a large and steady fall in the number of poor persons from 29 milli in 1967 to 17 million in 1974. This illustrated what would happen if poverty cutoffs were not adjusted for rising prices or a rising standard of living.

The poverty counts from the relative measures, based on median income, e hibited much less variation over time than the fixed measures. For 50 percen the median income for four-person families, adjusted, the counts were virtual invariant, and for 50 percent of median family income, adjusted, only slightl more change over time was apparent. With the unadjusted 50 percent U. S. med income, the number of poor persons increased between 1967 and 1973, and remai about the same between 1973 and 1974. In fact, none of the relative measures resulted in an increase in the number of poor between 1973 and 1974 as did th fixed measures. (Table 27)

The difference in the changes in the number of poor between 1967 and 197 using fixed and relative measures can be explained by the difference in the p cedure used to update these measures. As shown in Table 28 the poverty thresholds updated by changes in the Consumer Price Index (all but the median-based relative measures and the low single-dollar cutoff) have increased about 48 pc cent between 1967 and 1974 whereas the relative cutoffs have increased by about 62 percent during this same period. In other words, between 1967 and 1974 median income has increased more than the cost of living as measured by the CPI. Because the cutoffs for the median-based measures have kept pace with the over all increase in income level between 1967 and 1974, the number of poor based of these measures did not decline. However, since the cutoffs for the measures

updated by the CPI did not keep pace with the overall increase in income level, the number of poor based on these measures decreased.

There were two time periods, however, during which real median income did not increase — 1969-1971, and 1973-1974. During the 1969-1971 period there was no significant change in real median income, and between 1973 and 1974 real median income declined. Parallelling the decline in real median income between 1973 and 1974, the cutoffs for the median-based relative measures did not increase as much as the cutoffs for the fixed measures and, therefore, the poverty count based on the relative measures did not increase as did the count based on the fixed measures.

Figure 6 shows that the poverty rate for all persons under the alternative measures followed the same trend as the number of poor between 1967 and 1974.

#### **Elderly**

The largest differences from the general pattern of poverty rates over time were observed among the elderly and persons in female-headed families. For the elderly, the poverty rates under all definitions displayed a strong and steady downward trend from 1967 to 1973 (particularly between 1971 and 1973). As would be expected, the decline in the poverty rate for the elderly was not as sharp using the relative measures as for the fixed measures; and the constant \$3,200 cutoff yielded the largest decline. (Table 29) This improvement in the economic position of the elderly is largely a result of increases in Social Security benefits after 1970, and to a lesser extent, larger and more widespread private pensions.

Between 1973 and 1974 Social Security benefits increased at about the same rate as the CPI in contrast to the larger increases observed in the early 1970's. The poverty rates for the elderly appeared to decline somewhat for all measures between 1973 and 1974. However, because of sampling variability, a definite statement can only be made about the differences for the relative measures; other small differences were not statistically significant at the 95 percent confidence level.

Nevertheless, the poverty rate for the elderly in 1974 was well below the rate in 1967 under all the alternatives. Figure 7 shows that in 1974 the poverty rate for the elderly under the current measure was approximately the same as for school-aged children, whereas in 1967 the rate for the elderly was considerably higher than that for school-aged children (30 percent compared to 16 percent). The gap between the poverty rate for the elderly and school-aged children has narrowed under the other alternatives too.

Reflecting the overall decline in poverty incidence for the elderly, the elderly have become a smaller proportion of the poor since 1967 under all measures with the exception of the 150 and 200 percent levels. At the 150 percent level, they represented about the same proportion of the poor as in 1967, and at the 200 percent level, they represented a higher proportion. (Figure 8)

#### Female-Headed Families

In contrast to the steady decrease in the poverty rate for the elderly tween 1967 and 1973, the poverty rate for persons in families with a female increased under all three relative measures during this period. (Table 30) Between 1973 and 1974, however, the poverty rate for persons in families hea by a woman decreased under these measures. This pattern of a rising poverty to 1973 followed by a drop was even more pronounced for families receiving p assistance under the relative measures. In fact, for all poverty definition the decline in the poverty rate from 1973 to 1974 was very strong for familie receiving public assistance. (Table 31)

In contrast to the relative measures, under the fixed measures the poverate for persons in families with a female head either showed a slight decreabetween 1967 and 1974, or else the change was not statistically significant. Under the low single-dollar cutoff, there was a sharp decrease in the poverty rate for persons in families with a female head between 1967 and 1974.

Persons in families with a female head represented an increasing proport of all poverty persons under each measure between 1967 and 1974. While grows from 9 to 11 percent of the general population, this group rose from 25 perce of the poverty population under the current measure in 1967 to 35 percent in 1974. (Figure 9) Gains of 5 to 10 percentage points were made under the alt native measures. Families receiving public assistance experienced an ever lagrowth over the period, from 4 percent to 8 percent of all families and from percent to 40 percent of poor families under the current measure. (Figure 10

#### School-Aged Children and Blacks

School-aged children and blacks remained a fairly constant proportion of the low-income population under all the alternatives between 1967 and 1974 (Tables 32 and 33) while families with earnings have become a declining proportion of the poor under all definitions since 1967. (Table 34; Figure 11) In both 1967 and 1974 they represented the lowest proportion of the poor under the low single-dollar cutoff and the highest proportion under the 200 percent alternative. Unrelated individuals have become an increasing proportion of the low-income population under all alternatives with the exception of the 75 percent measure. The increase was sharpest for the two single-dollar cutoffs. (Table 35)

Although there were changes in the composition of the poor in terms of the elderly and persons in families with a female head between 1967 and 1974, the finding from the 1974 data that even at the 200 percent level these two groups as well as blacks were overrepresented among the poor held true for other year

The regularity of the increase in the number of poor persons observed for the 1974 data as the current poverty thresholds are raised successively to the 200 percent level was also observed for the 1973 data — i.e., for each increase of 5 percent in the current poverty matrix, an additional 2 million persons we counted as poor. The increase in the poverty count for the 1969 and 1971 data

averaged about 2.4 million for each 5 percent increase in the poverty cutoff and in 1967 the increase averaged about 2.6 million. For all years, the increase in the poverty count was slightly higher for the 150-200 percent interval than for the other intervals. (Tables 36, 37)

#### **Summary**

The subgroups that deviated most from the general pattern noted for the total population of declining poverty rates under the fixed measures and fairly constant poverty rates under the relative measures between 1967 and 1974 were the elderly and persons in families with a female head. The poverty rate for the elderly declined under the relative measures as well as under the fixed measures during the 1967 to 1974 period while the poverty rate for persons in families with a female head remained fairly constant under the fixed measures between 1967 and 1974, but showed an increase under the relative measures between 1967 and 1973 and then a drop between 1973 and 1974.

For some of the subgroups such as blacks and unrelated individuals, the poverty rates do not appear to follow the same general trends as for the total population; in fact in some instances it is difficult to pinpoint a pattern. These groups, however, comprise relatively small proportions of the total population and consequently small changes over time are difficult to measure from sample survey results.

In general, there are two differences in the trends for subgroups compared to the overall trends for the general population. The poverty rates under the relative measures were not as stable and constant for the subgroups over the period, although the difference was not consistent among the subgroups. Both upward and downward trends were observed in addition to greater variability in both directions from one year to the next. The greater variability observed for the subgroups may not actually mean that the income distribution of subgroups is not as stable as the distribution for the population as a whole, but rather that we have a harder time measuring the distribution for subgroups over time because of the small sample size of some of the groups. Also, these differences may reflect changes in the composition of the population as a whole. A second difference was that the poverty rates of the subgroups at successively higher levels of the current thresholds, especially 200 percent of the current measure, did not exactly mirror the pattern over time of the poverty rates with the current measure.

Although some rather large changes in poverty rates and in the composition of the poor occurred over the eight-year period, the differences between any two adjacent years were not large. Furthermore, the same groups were overrepresented among the poor in 1974 as in 1967.

## GEOGRAPHIC DISTRIBUTION OF POVERTY

The preceding sections have dealt with the impact of the thirteen povert definitions at the national level, based on tabulations from the March Currer Population Surveys. Although the CPS is geographically representative, the sample size of about 47,000 households is not large enough to yield statistic reliable estimates of the number of poor at the state level; this point has be further elaborated in Chapter III of The Measure of Poverty. Therefore, in o to investigate the impact of the definitions on the geographic distribution of the poor, it was necessary to use the One Percent Sample of the 1970 Census of Population, which contains over 6 million households. This section discusses data for the poverty population by states in 1969.

## Differences Between CPS and Decennial Census Statistics

Statistics on income in 1969 collected in the 1970 census differ from darkor that year from the Current Population Survey of March 1970 despite the fact that the same basic concept was used in both instances. In general, the number of persons and families below the poverty level reported in the census is high than that reported in the Current Population Survey. The number of poor person in the 1970 census was 27.1 million compared to 24.1 million derived from the March 1970 Current Population Survey. The comparable figures for low-income families were 5.5 million and 5.0 million, respectively.

There are several reasons for these differences. In the first place, the small group of Current Population Survey interviewers was more experienced and had more intensive training and supervision than the large number of temporary census enumerators and may have more often obtained more accurate answers from respondents. Furthermore, approximately 60 percent of the households were sel enumerated in the 1970 census. Moreover, income data in the Current Populatio Survey are based on responses to separate questions on eight types of income, well as responses to additional yes—no circles within three of these questions whereas in the census six questions were used. In addition, college students generally enumerated at their own homes in the Current Population Survey and classified as family members, but were enumerated at their college residence in the census, usually as secondary individuals.

## **Changes in Shares of Poverty Population**

Concern about the geographic distribution of poverty arises from the manner in which funds are distributed under Title I of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act. The formula allocates available funds according to the number of school-aged children in families with incomes below the appropriate poverty cut offs. Thus, the relevant criterion is a state's share of the pool of eligible children (i.e., of the national count of poor, school-aged children). Therefor the analysis in this section is concerned with changes in the share of the total poverty population from the share existing under the current official poverty measure. Tables 38 to 41 show the distribution of poor persons and children by state for the alternative measures of poverty, as well as the poverty rates for these groups under the alternative measures.

Figure 12 illustrates the changes in the share of poverty for each region under the alternative poverty definitions. In each case, the bar on the graph represents the region's share of the poverty population under each definition relative to its share under the current definition. As an aid in comparing effects, we introduce the notion of a share ratio, defined as a region's percentage of the nation's poor population resulting from an alternative measure divided by its percentage resulting from the current definition. (Both percentages are based on the same year, 1969.) A ratio of 1.0 indicates that a region's share is unchanged by the alternative measure; a ratio smaller than 1.0 indicates a decreased share. For example, the Northeast contains 17.9 percent of the 27.4 million poor persons in the United States under the current definition in 1969; raising the current poverty thresholds by 25 percent results in a poverty population of 37.5 million persons, of which 18.4 percent reside in the Northeast. Therefore, the share ratio for the Northeast under the upward scaling of the current measure by 25 percent is 1.03 (18.4/17.9).

Several broad regional patterns emerge from Figure 12. The South's share of poor persons generally decreases, or remains unchanged, for every alternative poverty measure, with the exception of 75 percent of the current measure. In this situation, the South's share increases slightly. Conversely, the other regions generally increase their share of the poverty population.

These regional changes, for the most part, are not large. Significant changes (5 percent or more) from the share of poverty under the current measure occur only when the current official poverty matrix is raised by 50 percent or 100 percent or when the single-dollar thresholds are used. The progressive simplifications of the current official measure have a negligible impact on the regional distributions of poverty. Both single-dollar thresholds reduce the South's share of poor persons by 9 percent, increase the North Central's by 9 percent, and increase the West's and the Northeast's by about 6 to 7 percent. Raising the current official poverty matrix by 100 percent decreases the South's share by 13 percent, increases the Northeast's and North Central's shares by 12 to 13 percent, and increases the West's share by 6 percent.

These broad regional patterns obscure some differences at the state level. For example, by using 75 percent of the current measure, Mississippi and the District of Columbia increase their share of the poverty population more than does the South as a whole, while Delaware, Oklahoma, and Texas decrease their shares. Not all Southern States consistently reduce their share of the poverty population for the other 11 alternative poverty measures, as does the South as a whole.

Several alternatives increase the positions of Florida, Maryland, Oklahoma, and Virginia, although usually only slightly. Several Southern States have greater losses than does the South as a whole. Three states in the North Central region experience a significant loss (i.e., larger than 5 percent of their present share of the national poverty population): North Dakota under both the \$3200 single-dollar cutoff and 75 percent of the current measure, and Iowa and Minnesota under 75 percent of the current measure. Other states in this region experience slight losses for some alternatives, and the pattern of significant gains varies among the states for the different alternatives. All Northeastern

States with the exception of Rhode Island and Connecticut experience signific losses at 75 percent of the current measure. Rhode Island is the only except to the general rise in the share of the poor in the Northeast States under the other alternatives; its share is reduced consistently, although significantly only once. New York does not exhibit a significant gain or loss in its share of the poor under any alternative studied with the exception of the 75 percent measure. A mixed pattern is observed among the states in the Western region, with more states experiencing a relative decline in their share of poverty that in the Northeast and North Central regions. However, few of these losses are significant. New Mexico and Arizona are the most consistent losers under the alternatives, and Idaho, Montana, and Wyoming the most consistent and significant gainers in the West. Tables 42 and D-4 provide detail on the effect of the alternative poverty measure on each state's share of the poor.

Similar patterns of regional changes are apparent for poor school-aged chi dren in Figure 13. In all regions except the West, the gains and losses are generally larger and more significant than in Figure 13. Two important differences from the geographic distribution of poor persons just described can be noted. First, with the two single-dollar thresholds, the South's share of poor school-aged children does not significantly decrease. Also under these two poverty measures, fewer North Central, Northeastern, and Western States experience an increase in their share of poor school-aged children than experience an increase in their share of all poor persons.

Second, the relative measures based on median income, which have very little impact on the geographic distribution of the total poverty population, do affect the geographic distribution of poor school-aged children. Under these definition of poverty, the South's share of poor school-aged children declines relative to its share under the current poverty measure. Many Southern States experience significant losses; only the District of Columbia significantly increases its share of poor school-aged children with the unadjusted 50 percent of median income, and only Delaware does so with the two adjusted relative measures. (Tables 43, D-5) States in the North Central, Northeast, and Western regions generally increase their share of poor school-aged children under these relative measures, frequently significantly. The relative measure defined as 50 percent of the median income of a nonfarm family of four with a male head and two children (adjusted for family size and composition with the equivalence scale in the current poverty matrix) produces the largest regional differences of these three measures. Under this measure, the South's share of poor school-aged children is reduced by 7 percent; the share in the North Central and Northeast regions is increased by 10 percent and 8 percent, respectively. Only the West does not appreciably change its share under this measure.

In addition to the regional patterns, it can be observed that the more populated states as a group increase their share of poor persons more than other states as the poverty lines are increased. When the poverty lines are set at 150 percent of the official thresholds, the national poverty rate is increased by 10.4 percentage points; however, over half of this increase is attributable to low-income persons living in the eleven most populated states. As a group, these states contain 48 percent of the poverty population under the current poverty thresholds and 51 percent of the poverty population under the thresholds set at 150 percent of the current thresholds.

## FOOTNOTES TO TECHNICAL PAPER XVIII

- 1. See Chapter III and Technical Paper IX for additional details on the Current Population Survey.
- 2. See <u>Current Population Reports</u>, Series P-60, No. 102, "Characteristics of the Population Below the Poverty Level: 1974," for more detail on the limitations of the income data derived from the CPS.
- 3. Table D-1 and <u>Current Population Reports</u>, Series P-60, No. 102, "Characteristics of the <u>Population Below the Poverty Level: 1974," Table 35.</u>
- 4. See Chapter IV of <u>The Measure of Poverty</u> for more details on the equivalence scales.
- 5. It is impossible to distinguish these measures on the graph in Figures 5 and 6. Because of their similarity with the current measure, these measures were not included in Tables 27 and 29 to 37.

Table 1. Income Thresholds at the Current Poverty Level in 1974 by Sex o Head, Size of Family, and Number of Related Children Under 18 Years Old, by Farm-Nonfarm Residence

	~ <sub>j</sub> rur	iii ivonita	rm Mesi	dence			
Size of family unit		Number	r of relat	ted childre	en under 18	years old	
<u>,</u>	None	1	2	3	4	5	6 or mc
NONFARM							1
Male Head							
1 person (unrelated indiv.): Under 65 years	\$2,658 2,387 3,324	\$3,724					
Head 65 years and over	2,985	3,724					
4 persons	3,870 5,103	3,996 5,178	34,223	,	.		
5 persons	6,158	5,178 6,232	5,000 6,032				1
6 persons	7,063	7,087	6,937	, ,		1	
7 or more persons	8,896	8,972	8,796				\$8,0
Female Head							
1 person (unrelated indiv.): Under 65 years	\$2,458 2,357						
2 persons:	,	i		1	1		
Head 65 years and over	3,072	\$3,353					
Head 65 years and over	2,948	3,353	åa - : -				
4 persons	3,745   4,900	3,568 5,075	\$3,946 5,053	\$5 000			•
5 persons	5,881	6,058	6,032	\$5,000 5,982	\$5,781		
6 persons	6,862	6,987	6,937	6,886	6,660	\$6,457	
7 or more persons	8,619	8,746	8,720	8,645	8,419	8,244	\$7,8
FARM							
Male Head							
1 person (unrelated indiv.):		1				ĺ	
Under 65 years	\$2,258						
2 persons:	2,030						
Head under 65 years	2,825	\$3,165		ļ			
Head 65 years and over	2,537	3,165		I		}	
3 persons	3,291	3,397	\$3,590		.		
4 persons	4,338 5,235	4,402	4,249	\$4,465			
6 persons	6,003	5,298   6,024	5,127 5,897	4,998	35,106		
7 or more persons	7,562	7,627	7,477	5,768 7,348	5,597 7,179	\$5,683 6,921	te o
Female Head				, = = =	, 2.0	0,521	\$6 <b>,</b> 8:
1 person (unrelated indiv.):							
Under 65 years	\$2,089	}		1			
65 years and over	2,002						
Head under 65 years	2 611	40.051					
Head 65 years and over	2,611 2,506	\$2,851 2,851	1				
3 persons	3,183	3,033	\$3,355	1			
4 persons	4,165	4,313	4,294	\$4,249			
persons	4,998	5,149	5,127	5,085	\$4,914		
7 or more persons	5,832   7,325	5,939 7,435	5,897 7,412	5,853	5,662	.\$5,489	
		, .55	1,112	7,348	7,156	7,007	\$6,66

Table 2. Equivalence Matrix Implicit in Current Poverty Measure

		Number	of	Relate	d Chi	ldren	Under	18 Years
	Size of Family Unit	None	1	2	3	4	5	6 or more
NO.	NFARM			•				
Ма	le Head							
1	person (unrelated individual): Under 65 years 65 years and over	53 48						
2	persons: Head under 65 years Head 65 years and over	67 60	74 74					
3	persons	77	80	84				
4	persons	102	104	100	105			
5.	persons	123	125	121	118	120		
6	persons	141	142	1.39	136	132	134	
7	persons or more	178	179	176	173	169	163	161
Fe	emale Head							
1	person (unrelated individual): Under 65 years 65 years and over	49 47	-					
2	persons: Head under 65 years Head 65 years and over	61 59	67 67					
3	persons	75	7:	L 79				
	persons	98	102	2 101	100			
	_	118	12	1 121	120	116		
5	_	137	14	0 139	138	133	129	
6	-	172	17		173	168	168	167
7	persons or more	1/2	± / ·					· ·

Table 2. Continued

Size of Family Unit	1	Vum	œr	01	E Re	late	d Ch	ild	ren U	ndor	10 -
or rountly offic		Nor	ne	1		2	3		4	5	18 Y
FARM											mo
Male Head				٠							
<pre>l person (unrelated individual):    Under 65 years 65 years and over</pre>		45 41									
<pre>2 persons:    Head under 65 years    Head 65 years and over</pre>		57 51		i3 3							
3 persons	6	56	6	8	72						
4 persons	8	37	88	8	85	89					
5 persons	10	5	106	5 _	103	100		102			
persons	12	0	120		118	115					
persons or more	15		153		50	147		112 144	11		
emale Head							_		130		137
person (unrelated individual): Under 65 years 65 years and over	42 40										
persons Head under 65 years Head 65 years and over	52 50		57 57								
persons	64		51	6	7						
persons	83		6	8		85					
persons	100	10		103		.02	9	ο			
persons	117		9			17			230		
persons or more	147				1		11.	3	110		

Table 3. Race, Spanish Origin, and Family Status of Persons by Poverty Status in 1974 (Persons as of March 1975)

	All	Below curr	ent pover	ty level	Above current poverty
Characteristic	income levels (thousands)	evels Total Poverty		Percent distribution	level Percent distribution
RACE AND SPANISH ORIGIN		,			
Total Spanish origin	209,343 11,202	24,260 2,601	11.6 23.2	100.0 10.7	100.0 4.6
White Black Other races	182,355 23,704 3,284	16,310 7,455 495	8.9 31.5 15.1	67.2 30.7 2.0	89.7 8.8 1.5
FAMILY STATUS					
Total 65 years and over	209,343 21,127	24,260 3,308	11.6 15.7	100.0 13.6	100.0 9.6
In families Head	190,471 55,712	19,440 5,109	10.2 9.2	80.1 21.1	92.4 27.3
Related children under 18 years 5 to 17 years Other family members Unrelated individuals	65,802 49,800 68,957 18,872	10,196 7,526 4,135 4,820	15.5 15.1 6.0 25.5	42.0 31.0 17.0 19.9	30.0 22.8 35.0 7.6

Note: Persons of Spanish origin may be of any race, but the vast majority are white.

Table 4. Poverty Status of Persons in 1974 by Race, Family Status and Sex of Head (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1975)

	a.cubaras.	rersons as	s of March 19
Family status and sex and race	All	Below curre	nt name
of head	income	Carre	ent poverty level
	levels	Total	Poverty
ALL RACES			rate
Total	200 0		
In families	209,343	24,260	11.6
With female head	190,471	19,440	10.2
Head	23,245	8,563	36.8
Related children under 18 years.	7,242	2,351	
With male head	10,458	5,387	32.5
Head	167,227	10,877	51.5
	48,470	2,757	6.5
Related children unber 18 years. Unrelated individuals	55,345	4,809	5.7
Male Male	18,872		8.7
	7,890	4,820	25.5
65 years and over	1,455	1,607	20.4
Female	10,981	390	26.8
65 years and over	5,047	3,212	29.3
	3,047	1,675	33.2
WHITE			
Total	182,355	15.04-	
In families	166,103	16,310	8.9
With female head		12,537	7.5
Head	15,458	4,275	27.7
Related children under 18 years.	5,212	1,297	24.9
With male head	6,278	2,671	42.6
Head	150,645	8,262	5.5
Related children under 18 years.	44,238	2,185	4.9
Unrelated individuals	49,038	3,508	7.2
Male	16,252	3,773	23.2
65 years and over	6,544	1,200	18.3
Female	1,233	292	
65 years and over	9,708	2,573	23.7
To Years and Over	4,641	1,405	26.5
BLACK		2, 103	30.3
Total			
In families	23,704	7,455	21 5
With female head	21,356	6,494	31.5
Head	7,523	4,194	30.4
	1,940	1,024	55.7
Related children under 18 years. With male head	4,095	2,678	52.8
Head Head	13,833	2,300	65.4
	3,558	506	16.6
Related children under 18 years.	5,293	1,146	14.2
Unrelated individuals Male	2,347	•	21.7
	1,171	961	41.0
65 years and over	195	351	29.9
remate	1,176	86	44.3
65 years and over	381	611	51.0
	201	262	68.8

Table 5. Families by Sex of Head, Presence of Related Children Under 18 Years, and Poverty Status in 1974 (Families as of March 1975)

	211				Above current pov-
	All	Polow curi	rent pover	tv level	erty level
Sex of head and	income	Total	Poverty	Percent	Percent
presence of related	levels		rate	distribution	distribution
children under 18 years	(thousands)	(thousands)	Late	GIOCI IST	
All families	55,712	5,109	9.2	100.0	100.0
With children under 18 years	31,331	3,875	12.4	75.8	54.3
No children under 18 years	24,381	1,234	5.1	24.2	45.7
	48,470	2 <b>,</b> 757	5.7	54.0	90.3
Male head	40,470	-,			40.0
With children under 18 years	26,409	1,716	6.5	33.6	48.8
No children under 18 years	22,061	1,041	4.7	20.4	41.5
Female head	7,242	2,351	32.5	46.0	9.7
With children under 18 years	4,922	2,158	43.8	42.2	5.5
No children under 18 years	2,320	193	8.3	3.8	4.2

SOURCE: Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 102, Table 21.

Table 6. Persons 65 Years and Over by Family Status and Poverty Status in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1975)

	All inc	ome levels	Below	current pov	erty love
Family status	Number	Percent distri- bution	Number	Poverty rate	Percen distri
Persons 65 years and over	21,127	100.0	3,308	15.7	bution 100.0
All family members Head Wife Other relative Of head under 65 years Unrelated individuals Male Female Living alone	14,625	69.2	1,243	8.5	37.6
	8,034	38.0	760	9.5	23.0
	4,589	21.7	382	8.3	11.5
	2,002	9.5	101	5.0	3.1
	1,498	7.1	65	4.3	2.0
	6,502	30.8	2,065	31.8	62.4
	1,455	6.9	390	26.8	11.8
	5,047	23.9	1,675	33.2	50.6
	4,718	22.3	1,544	32.7	46.7
Male family members Head Other relative Of head under 65 years Female family members	7,267	100.0	643	8.8	100.0
	6,925	95.3	616	8.9	95.8
	342	4.7	27	7.9	4.2
	228	3.1	13	5.7	2.0
Head Wife of head Other relative Of head under 65 years	7,357	100.0	600	8.2	100.0
	1,108	15.1	144	13.0	24.0
	4,589	62.4	382	8.3	63.7
	1,660	22.6	74	4.5	12.3
	1,270	17.3	52	4.1	8.7

SOURCE: Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 102, Table G.

Table 7. Work Experience of Family Heads by Poverty Status in 1974 and Sex of Head (Families as of March 1975)

	All income	Below cur	rent pover	ty level	Above current poverty level
Work experience and sex of head	levels	Total	Poverty	Percent	Percent
	(thousands)	(thousands)	rate	distribution	distribution
All families Head worked in 1974 Year round full time Head did not work in 1974 In Armed Forces	55,712	5,109	9.2	100.0	100.0
	45,146	2,691	6.0	52.7	83.9
	34,195	980	2.9	19.2	65.6
	9,639	2,390	24.8	46.8	14.3
	927	27	2.9	0.5	1.8
Male head	48,470	2,757	5.7	100.0	100.0
Head worked in 1974	40,820	1,745	4.3	63.3	85.5
Year round full time	31,836	785	2.5	28.5	67.9
Head did not work in 1974	6,723	986	14.7	35.8	12.6
In Armed Forces	927	27	2.9	1.0	2.0
Female head	7,242	2,351	32.5	100.0	100.0
Head worked in 1974	4,326	947	21.9	40.3	69.1
Year round full time	2,359	195	8.3	8.3	44.2
Head did not work in 1974	2,916	1,405	48.2	59.8	30.9

SOURCE: Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 102, Table 27.

Table 8. Distribution of Families and Unrelated Individuals by Type of Income,

Poverty Status and Sex of Head in 1974

(Families as of March 1975)

	Below c	urrent pover	ty level		Above povert	
Type of Income	Total	Male head	Female head	Total	Male head	Fem he
FAMILIES						
Total (thousands)	5,109	2,757	2,351	50,603	45,713	4,:
Percent <u>a</u> /	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	10(
Earnings Income other than earnings:	62.1	72.3	50.2	91.6	92.0	87.
Public Assistance Income Social Security Income Other Transfer Income b/ Other Unearned Income c/ UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS	40.0 23.9 10.0 22.2	20.7 30.0 14.1 23.4	62.7 16.7 5.3 20.8	4.6 21.6 19.3 56.9	3.1 19.5 19.3 57.1	18 4] 19 55
Total (thousands)	4,820	1,607	3,212	14,052	6,283	7,7
Percent <u>a</u> /	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100
Earnings Income other than earnings:	35.1	50.8	27.2	70.6	84.3	59
Public Assistance Income Social Security Income Other Transfer Income b/Other Unearned Income c/	21.6 44.5 7.4 27.3	17.1 29.1 8.9 20.4	23.8 52.3 6.7 30.8	4.4 34.4 15.9 53.5	3.1 19.4 17.5 44.8	5 46 14 60

<sup>&</sup>lt;u>a/</u> Detail does not add to total because some families have more than one of the types income specified.

SOURCE: Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 102, Tables 38 and 39.

b/ Unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, veterans' payments.

c/ Private pensions, annuities, regular contributions from persons outside the household, etc.

Table 9. Selected Characteristics of the Population Below and Above the Current Poverty Level in 1974 by Race (Persons and families as of March 1975)

	Below cu	rrent pove	rty level		urrent pove	erty level
Characteristic	All			All		
	races	White	Black	races	White	Black
Total persons (thousands)	24,260	16,310	7,455	185,083	166,045	16,249
Percent who are: Family members	80.1	76.8	87.1	92.4	92.5	91.5
Percent who are: In families with a female head	. 44.0	34.2	64.3	8.6	7.3	22.1
Related children under 18 years Unrelated individuals	52.4 19.9	49.4 23.2	58.7 12.9	32.5 7.6	32.0 7.5	37.2 8.5
65 years and over	13.6	16.2	8.4	9.6	10.0	6.7
Percent who are: Unrelated individuals Female	62.4 68.8	64.2 69.8	55.8 66.6	24.9 56.8	25.2 57.2	20.8 52.7
Total families (thousands) Percent with:	5,109	3,482	1,530	50,603	45,969	3,968
Head who worked at some time in 1974 Income from earnings	52.7 62.1	55.6 63.0	46.5 59.6	83.9 91.6	84.0 91.4	82.7 92.5

SOURCE: Current Population Reports, Series P-60, No. 102, Tables 6, 8, 39, and D.

Table 10. Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and 12
Alternative Poverty Levels in 1974
(Persons as of March 1975)

		•
Poverty measure	Number below specified poverty level (thousands)	Poverty rate
Current poverty level Scaling of the current poverty level:	24,260	11.6
75 percent of current level 125 percent of current level 150 percent of current level 200 percent of current level Simplification of the current poverty level: Nonfarm	14,538 34,615 45,211 69,389	6.9 16.5 21.6 33.1
Male nonfarm Weighted male nonfarm Single-dollar cutoffs: High (\$5,038) Low (\$3,200) Relative cutoffs:	24,534 25,146 25,060 32,653 17,392	11.7 12.0 12.0 15.6 8.3
50 percent U.S. median unadjusted 50 percent U.S. family median adjusted 50 percent 4-person family median adjusted	36,400 36,148 41,167	17.4 17.3 19.7

Table 11. Number and Poverty Rate -- Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Upward Scalings of the Current Poverty Level in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1975)

		oder N	10 04	Number below specified powerful	10.00		-		
		The state of the s	MOTON:	ביו זבת הסג	Taxat ki		Pover	roverty rate	
Characteristic	income	current	125	150	200	Current	125	150	200
	levels	level	percent	percent	percent	level	percent	percent	percent
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS									
Total	209,343	24,260	34,615	45,211	69,389	11.6	7 91	31.6	, ,,
In families	190,471	19,440	27,783	36,928	59,055	10.2	14.6	19.4	33.1
With male head	167,227	10,877	17,085	24,596	44,117	6.5	10.2	14.7	0.1C
With female head	23,245	8,563	10,698	12,332	14,938	36.8	46.0	53.1	64.3
Unrelated individuals	18,872	4,820	6,832	8,284	10,333	25.5	36.2	43.9	54.8
Related children									
under 18 years	65,802	10,196	13,784	17,577	26,517	15.5	20.9	26.7	40.3
male head	55,345	4,809	7,240	10,248	18,113	8.7	13.1	18.5	32.7
female head	10,458	5,387	6,544	7,329	8,403	51.5	62.6	70.1	80 3
AGE									;
Total	209,343	24.260	34.615	45,211	380	3 11	3 71	ć	
Under 5 years	16,002	2,670	3,647	4,686	7,064	16.7	22.8	20.7	33.1
5 to 17 years a/	49,800	7,526	10,137	12,891	19,453	15.1	20.4	25.0	44.1
Is to 64 years b/	122,414	10,756	15,357	20,216	32,249	8.8	12.5	16.5	39.I
os years and over	21,127	3,308	5,474	7,418	10,623	15.7	25.9	35.1	50.3
RACE									
Total	209,343	24,260	34,615	45,211	69,389	11.6	16.5	2) 6	, ,,
Wille Black	182,355	16,310	24,061	32,689	53,336	8.9	13.2	17.9	7. p.C
Other races	3,284	7,455	9,841	11,669	14,780	31.5	41.5	49.2	62.4
		2		000	1,273	1.61	7.17	26.0	38.8

a/ Includes only related children. b/ Includes a small number of heads, wives, and unrelated individuals 14-17 years of age. SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 12. Percent Distribution — Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Upward Scalings of the Current Poverty Level in 1974 (Persons as of March 1975)

⊴ acacteristic	All income levels	Current poverty level	125 percent	150 percent	2
LIVING AFRANGEMENTS				percent	per
Cotal persons (thousands)  Percent  Camplies  Clashated individuals	209,343 100.0 91.0 9.0	24,260 100.0 80.1 19.9	34,615 100.0 80.3 19.7	45,211 100.0 81.7 18.3	69 10 8
Percent To families (thousands) Percent To families with male head To families with female head	190,471 100.0 87.8 12.2	19,440 100.0 56.0 44.0	27,783 100.0 61.5 38.5	36,928 100.0 66.6 33.4	59, 10 -7 2
Related children (thousands)  Percent  in families with male head in families with female head  AGF	65,802 100.0 84.1 15.9	10,196 100.0 47.2 52.8	13,784 100.0 52.5 47.5	17,577 100.0 58.3 41.7	26, 10 6 3
Descent  Descent  And in 1 years  13 1 64 years by  by years and over  RACE	209,343 100.0 7.6 23.8 58.5 10.1	24,260 100.0 11.0 31.0 44.3 13.6	34,615 100.0 10.5 29.3 44.4 15.8	45,211 100.0 10.4 28.5 44.7 16.4	69, 10 1) 2; 40
otal (thousands) Percent Mittel Action Thoronous	209,343 100.0 87.1 11.3 1.6	24,260 100.0 67.2 30.7 2.0	34,615 100.0 69.5 28.4 2.1	45,211 100.0 72.3 25.8 1.9	69,1 100 76 21

only related children.

and a small number of heads, wives, and unrelated individuals 14-17 years of a

in Aprelal tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 13. Number and Poverty Rate -- Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Upward Scalings of the Current Poverty Level in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March 1975)

		Number	below	specified poverty leve	erty level		Pover	Poverty rate		
Characteristic	All income levels	Current poverty level	125 percent	150 percent	200 percent	Current poverty level	125 percent	150 percent	200 percent	
								,		
SEX OF HEAD										
Total	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	16,036	9.2	13.3	17.9	28.8	
mate nead Female head	7,242	2,351	2,953	3,446	4,306	32.5	40.8	13.4 47.6	2 <b>4.</b> 2 59.5	
RACE OF HEAD	·									
Total	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	16,036	9.5	13.3	17.9	28.8	
White	49,451	3,482	5,236	7.297	12,565	7.0	10.6	14.8	25.4	
Black Other races	5,498 763	1,530 97	2,056	2,474	3,200	27.8	37.4	45.0	58.2	
	5	;	Ç		117	7.71	0.61	7.67	د.در	
AGE OF HEAD										
Total	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	16,036	9.2	13.3	17.9	28.8	
Under 25 years	4,225	733	1,024	1,246	1,884	17.3	24.2	29.5	44.6	
25 to 64 years	43,454	3,616	5,063	6,722	10,835	۳. ش	11.7	15.5	24.9	
os years alla over	0,034	00/	1,350	1,980	3, 316	٠.۶	16.8	24.6	41.3	
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN										
Total No related children	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	16,036	9.2	13.3	17.9	28.8	
under 18 years	24,381	1,234	2,126	3,099	5,385	5.1	8.7	12.7	22.1	
under 18 years	31,331	3,875	5,310	6,848	10,651	12.4	16.9	21.9	34 0	
Male head	26,409	1,716	2,671	3,848	7,046	6.5	10.1	14.6	26.7	
Female head	4,922	2,159	2,639	3,001	3,606	43.9	53.6	61.0	73.3	

Table 13. Continued

	114	Number	below spe	Number below specified poverty lave	erty Avel					
Characteristic	income levels	Current poverty level	125	150	200	Current	Pover	Poverty rate		
FAMILY SIZE		Tana	Secont	percent	percent	level	percent	150 Percent	200 Percent	
Total 2 persons 3 and 4 persons 5 or more persons	55,712 20,823 23,139 11,750	5,109 1,705 1,815 1,588	7,437 2,621 2,614 2,202	9,948 3,588 3,436 2,923	16,036 5,829 5,549 4,658	9.2 8.2 7.8 13.5	13.3 12.6 11.3 18.7	17.9 17.2 14.8 24.9	28.8 28.0 24.0 39.6	
Total Head worked last year Year round full time Head did not work last year Head in Armed Forces	55,712 45,146 34,195 9,639	5,109 2,691 980 2,390 27	7,437 4,078 1,618 3,270 89	9,948 5,720 2,506 4,059	16,036 10,081 5,391 5,595 360	9.2 6.0 2.9 24.8 2.9	13.3 9.0 4.7 33.9 9.5	17.9 12.7 7.3 42.1 18.2	28.8 22.3 15.8 58.0 38.8	
Total a/ Earnings Social Security Public Assistance Other transfer income b/ Dividends, interest, and rent	55,712 49,529 12,162 4,359 10,296	5,109 3,172 1,220 2,043 513	7,437 4,835 2,054 2,589 900	9,948 6,768 2,953 2,979 1,389	16,036 11,777 4,848 3,478 2,552	9.2 6.4 10.0 46.9 5.0	13.3 9.8 16.9 59.4 8.7	17.9 13.7 24.3 68.3	28.8 23.8 39.9 79.8	·
Private pensions, alimony, etc.	6,581	547	1,773 810	1,779	3,952 1,936	2.5	<b>4.</b> 3 12.3	6.5 I6.7	14.5	
a/ Detail will not	اجاميا بالم	•						, , ,	43.4	

a/ Detail will not add to total since some families have more than one of the types of income specified.  $\vec{b}/$  Includes unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans' payments. SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 14. Percent Distribution — Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Upward Scalings of the Current Poverty Level in 1974 (Families as of March 1975)

	All	Current	125	150	200
Characteristic	income levels	level	percent	percent	percent
SEX OF HEAD					
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	16,036
•	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Percent Male head	87.0	54.0	60.3	65.4	73.2
emale head	13.0	46.0	39.7	34.6	26.9
RACE OF HEAD					
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	16,036
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
hite	88.8	68.2	70.4	73.4 24.9	78.4 20.0
llack	9.9	29.9 1.9	27.6 1.9	1.8	1.7
other races	1.4	1.7	1.,	2.0	
age of HEAD				0.049	16.036
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Inder 25 years	7.6	14.3	13.8 68.1	12.5 67.6	67.6
25 to 64 years	78.0 14.4	70.8 14.9	18.2	19.9	20.7
65 years and over	14.4	14.7	20.2		
Presence of Children			* 427	9,948	16,036
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109'	7,437		-
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0 31.2	100.0 33.0
No related children under 18 yrs.	43.8	24.2 75.8	28.6 71.4	68.8	66.4
With related children under 18 yrs.			_		10,651
With related children (thousands)	31,331	3,875	5,310	6,848	
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0 50.3	100.0 56.2	100.0
Male head Female head	84.3 15.7	44.3 55.7	49.7	43.8	33.9
PAMILY SIZE					
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	16,03
	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
Percent	37.4	33.4	35.2	36.1	36.
2 persons 3 and 4 persons	41.5	35.5	35.1	34.5	34.
5 or more persons	21.1	31.1	29.6	29.4	29.
WORK EXPERIENCE					
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	16,03
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.
Head worked last year	81.0	52.7	54.8	57.5	62.9
Year round full time	61.4	19.2	21.8	25.2 40.8	33.4 34.
Head did not work last year	17.3	46.8 0.5	44.0 1.2	1.7	2.
Head in Armed Forces	1.7	٠.٥		••	
TYPE OF INCOME				0.040	16.03
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	7,437	9,948	
Percent a/	100.0	100.0	100.0 65.0	100.0 68.0	100. 73.
Earnings	88.9 21.8	62.1 23.9	27.6	29.7	30.
Social Security	7.8	40.0	34.8	29.9	21.
Public assistance Other transfer income b/	18.5	10.0	12.1	14.0	15.
Dividends, interest, and rent	48.9	13.3	15.8	17.9	24. 12.
Private pensions, alimony, etc.	11.8	10.7	10.9	11.0	12.

a/ Detail will not add to total since some families have more than one of the types of income specified.

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{b}/$  Includes unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans' payments.

Table 15. Number and Poverty Rate -- Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Simplifications of the Current Poverty Level in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1975)

		Nun	Number below specific	12,0000						
Characteristic	All income levels	Current poverty level	Simplif	ications Male	Simplifications of current measure  Weighted Curre Male male powers	measure Current	Simplifi	Pove Cations	Simplifications of current mean	Measure
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS		120	NONTARM	nonfarm	nonfarm	level	Nonfarm	Male nonfarm	male nonfarm	
Total In families With male head With female head Unrelated individuals	209,343 190,471 167,227 23,245 18,872	24,260 19,440 10,877 8,563 4,820	24,534 19,695 11,129 8,566 4,839	25,146 20,119 11,129 8,990 5,026	25,060 20,042 11,296 8,746 5,018	11.6 10.2 6.5 36.8 25.5	11.7 10.3 6.7 36.9	12.0 10.6 6.7 38.7	12.0 10.5 6.8 37.6	
under la years In families with male head In families with female head	65,802 55,345 10,458	10,196 4,809 5,387	10,294 4,908 5,387	10,537 4,908 5,630	10,494 5,016 5,478	15.5 8.7 51.5	15.6 8.9 51.5	16.0 8.9 53.8	26.6 15.9 9.1 52.4	
Total Under 5 years 5 to 17 years a/ 18 to 64 years b/ 65 years and over	209,343 16,002 49,800 122,414 21,127	24,260 2,670 7,526 10,756 3,308	24,534 2,689 7,605 10,886 3,354	25,146 2,742 7,795 11,218 3,391	25,060 2,732 7,762 11,180 3,386	11.6 16.7 15.1 8.8 15.7	11.7 16.8 15.3 8.9 15.9	12.0 17.1 15.7 9.2 16.1	12.0 17.1 15.6 9.1	
Total White Black Other races	209,343 182,355 23,704 3,284	24,260 16,310 7,455 495	24,534 16,550 7,490 494	25,146 16,934 7,693 519	25,060 16,906 7,638 516	11.6 8.9 31.5 15.1	11.7 9.1 31.6	12.0 9.3 32.5	12.0 9.3 32.2 15.7	
4 Includes only relat	9400 Oh:13									

a/ Includes only related children,  $\vec{b}/$  Includes a small number of heads, wives, and unrelated individuals 14-17 years of age.

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

44

Table 16. Percent Distribution - Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Simplifications of the Current Poverty Level in 1974 (Persons as of March 1975)

			Simplifica	tions of cur	rent measure
	All	Current			Weighted
Characteristic	income	poverty		Male	male
Claracter	levels	level	Nonfarm	nonfarm	nonfarm
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS					
Total persons (thousands)	209,343	24,260	24,534	25,146	25,060
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
In families	91.0	80.1	80.3	80.0	80.0
Unrelated individuals	9.0	19.9	19.7	20.0	20.0
In families (thousands)	190,471	19,440	19,695	20,119	20,042
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
In families with male head	87.8	56.0	56.5	55.3	56.4
In families with female head	12.2	44.0	43.5	44.7	43.6
Related children (thousands)	65,802	10,196	10,294	10,537	10,494
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
In families with male head	84.1	47.2	47.7	46.6	47.8
In families with female head	15.9	52.8	52.3	53.4	52.2
AGE					
Total (thousands)	209,343	24,260	24,534	25,146	25,060
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Under 5 years	7.6	11.0	11.0	10.9	10.9
5 to 17 years a/	23.8	31.0	31.0	31.0	31.0
18 to 64 years b/	58.5	44.3	44.4	44.6	44.6
65 years and over	10.1	13.6	13.7	13.5	13.5
RACE			•		
Total (thousands)	209,343	24,260	24,534	25,146	25,060
Percent	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
White	87.1	67.2	67.5	67.3	67.5
Black	11.3	30.7	30.5	30.6	30.5
Other races	1.6	2.0	2.0	2.1	2.1

a/ Includes only related children.
b/ Includes a small number of Includes a small number of heads, wives, and unrelated individuals 14-17 years of age.

Table 17. Number and Poverty Rate -- Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Simplifications of the Current Poverty Level in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March 1975)

		Num	ber below	Number below specified poverty	Doverty	one				
Characteristic	All income	Current poverty	Simplif	ications o Male		Doverty	Simplifi	Simplifications of		2
SEX OF HEAD		Teas	Nontarm	nonfarm	nonfarm	level	Nonfarm	Male nonfarm	male nonfarm	
Total Male head iemale head	55,712 48,470 7,242	5,109 2,757 2,351	5,179 2,826 2,353	5,323	5,256 2,856	9.2	ტ <u>ი</u>	9.6 9.4	9.4	1
RACE OF HEAD			er i	764.7	2,400	32.5	32.5	34.5	5.9 33.1	
Total White Black Other races	55,712 49,451 5,498	5,109 3,482 1,530	5,179 3,547 1,535	5,323 3,627 1,593	5,256 3,595 1,563	9.2 7.0 27.8	9.3	9.6	9.4	
AGE OF HEAD			6	103	86	12.7	12.7	29.0 13.5	28.4 12.8	
Total Under 25 years 25 to 64 years 65 years and over	55,712 4,225 43,454 8,034	5,109 733 3,616 760	5,179 734 3,660	5,323 756 3,776	5,256 744 3,721	9.2 17.3 8.3	9.3 17.4 8.4	9.6 17.9	9.4	•
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN			Ę	16/	791	9.5	8.6	9.8	8.6 8.8	
Total No related children under 18 years	55,712	5,109	5,179	5, 323	5,256	9.2	9.3	9.6	9.4	
under 18 years wale head Female head	31,331 26,409 4 922	3,875	3,909		1,299 3,957 1,776	5.1 12.4 6.5		5.3	5.3 12.6	
FAMILY SIZE	77/1.	<b>4,</b> 159	2,159		2,180		6.6 43.9	6.6 46.3	6.7	
Total 2 Persons 3 and 4 persons 5 or more persons	55,712 20,823 23,139 11,750	5,109 1,705 1,815 1,588	5,179 1,740 1,827 1,612	5,323 5 1,801 1 1,895 1	5,256 1,754 1,863 1,638	9.2 8.2 7.8	9.3 8.4 7.9	9.6 8.6 8.2	φ. α. α. 4. 4	
								) •	6.5.3	

Table 17. Continued

		QuinN	er below	Number below specified poverty	poverty le	level		Pov	Poverty rate	
			Simplific	cations of	Simplifications of current measure	easure	Simplifi	cations o	. 1	measure
Characteristic	All income	Current poverty	9 00	Male	Weighted male	Current poverty	Nonfarm	Male	welgnted male	
	levels	Tevel	Nontarm	nonidim	non ratin	Tener	MOUTALIII	HOLLALIII	IIGHTALIII	
WORK EXPERIENCE				٠.						
Total	55,712	5,109	5,179	5,323	5,256	9.2	9.3	9.6	9.4	
Head worked last year	45,146	2,691	2,752	2,843	2,801	0.9	6.1	6.3	6.2	
Year round full time	34,195	980	1,010	1,041	1,033	2.9	3.0	3.0	3.0	
Head did not work	689.6	2,390	2.400	2.453	2.425	24.8	24.9	25.4	25.2	
Head in Armed Forces	927	27	27	27	30	2.9	2.9	2.9	3.3	
Chronist no nature										
TIPE OF INCOME										
Total a/	55,712	5,109	5,179	5,323	5,256	9.2	9.3	9.6	9.4	
Earnings _	49,529	3,172	3,236	3,342	3,295	6.4	. 6.5	6.7	6.7	
Social Security	12,162	1,220	1,245	1,266	1,267	10.0	10.2	10.4	10.4	
Public assistance	4,359	2,043	2,048	2,119	2,078	46.9	47.0	48.6	47.7	
Other transfer income b/	10,296	513	519	230	537	5.0	5.0	5.2	5.2	
Dividends, interest, and rent	27,243	681	7111	727	719	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.6	
Private pensions, alimony, etc.	6,581	547	547	576	559	8.3	8.3	8.8	8.5	

a/ Detail will not add to total since some families have more than one of the types of income specified.  $\overline{b}/$  Includes unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans' payments.

Table 18. Percent Distribution -- Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Simplifications of the Current Poverty Level in 1974 (Families as of March 1975)

Characteristic		Π	C	irren					
		come /els	po	wer t	,		Ma	,	Weighte
SEX OF HEAD		vers.	le	vel	Non	arm		arn	male _nonfarm
Total (thousands)	55,	712	5.	.109	5,1	70			
Percent Male head	100	1 0					5,3	23	5,256
Female head	87	7.0		0.0 4.0	100		100.	.0	100.0
	13	1.0		6.0	54. 45.		53. 46.		54.3
RACE OF HEAD							40.	,	45.7
Total (thousands)	55,7		_						
Percent	33,7,	12	5,1	109	5,17	9	5,32	3	5,256
White	100.		100	.0	100.0	,	100		
Black Other races	88. 9.		68	.2	68.5	,	100.0 68.1	-	100.0
	i.			.9 .9	29.6 1.9		29.9	1	68.4 29.7
AGE OF HEAD			_		1.9		1.9		1.9
Total (thousands)									
	55,712	2	5,10	9	5,179		5,323	-	
Under 25 years	100.0	)	100.	0	100.0		•	Э,	, 256
4J CD 04 Wases	7.6 78.0		14.	3	100.0		100.0		0.0
65 years and over	14.4		70.		70.7		70.9	1	4.2 0.8
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN			14.9	,	15.2		14.9	í	5.0
Total (thousands)	,								
	55,712		5,109	ı	5,179				
Percent No released the	100.0						5,323	5,2	!56
No related children under 18 ye With related children under 18	ars 43.8		100.0 24.2		100.0		100.0	100	.0
mirr	yts. 56.2		75.8		24.5 75.5		24.3 75.7	24	.7
With related children (thousand:	31,331		,875				73.7	75	.3
Percent				-	909	4	,031.	3,9	57
Male head Pemale head	100.0 84.3		00.0 44.3	-	00.0	1	00.0	100.	۸
	15.7		55.7		44.8 55.2		43.4	44.	9
FAMILY SIZE							56.6	55.	1
Total (thousands)									
	55,712	5,	109	5,	179	5.	.323	8 35	_
Percent 2 persons	100.0	10	0.0	10	0.0			5,25	5
3 and 4 persons	37.4 41.5	3	3.4		3.6	10	0.0 3.8	100.0	)
5 or more persons	21.1	3	5.5 l.1	3	5.3		5.6	33.4 35.4	<b>,</b>
WORK EXPERIENCE		٠.		3	1.1	3	0.5	31.2	
Total (thousands)									
	55,712	5,1	09	5.1	79				
Percent Head worked last year				•,•		3,3	323	5,256	
	81.0	52	. 7	52	-1				
COO GAD DOT WORK 1 and	61.4 17.3	19	. 2	19	.5	53 19	.4	53.3	
ead in Armed Forces	1.7	46. 0.		46	. 3 . 5	46	.1	19.7 46.1	
TYPE OF INCOME		-	-	Ψ.		0.	. 5	0.6	
Total (thousands)									
	55,712	5,10	9	5,17	9	5,32	3		
Percent <u>a</u> / arnings	100.0	100.	2		-		-	5,256	
Cial Security	88.9	62.	l .	100. 62.	U 5	100.		100.0	
DIIC aggietamon	21.8 7.8	23.	•	24.1		62.8 23.8	5 9	62.7	
her transfer income b/	18.5	40.0	!	39.5	5	39.6	í	24.1	
vidends, interest, and rent	48.9	10.0	'	10.0	)	10.0	,	39.5 10.2	
ivate pensions, alimony, etc.	11.8	13.3		13.7	1	13.7	,	13.7	
		20.7		10.6	,	10.8		10.6	

a/ Detail will not add to total since some families have more than one of the types of income specified.
b/ Includes unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans' payments.

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 19. Number and Poverty Rate -- Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Two Single-Dollar Cutoffs in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1975)

		Number be	Number below specified poverty	d poverty			
			level		4	roverty rate	
				Average 4-person			Average 4-person
	A11	Current		nonfarm	Current		nonfarm
Characteristic	income levels	pover ty level	\$3,200 (Low)	threshold (High)	poverty level	\$3,200 (Low)	threshold (High)
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS							
Total	209,343	24,260	17,392	32,653	11.6	8.3	15,6
In families	190,471	19,440	10,102	22,147	10.2	5,3	11.6
with male head	167,227	10,877	5,371	13,175	6.5	3.2	7.9
With female head	23,245	8,563	4,731	8,972	36.8	20.4	38.6
Unrelated individuals	18,872	4,820	7,290	10,506	25.5	38.6	55.7
Related children under 18 yrs.	65,802	10,196	4,255	8,437	15.5	6.5	12.8
In families with male head	55,345	4,809	1,528	3,394	8.7	2.8	6.1
In families with female head	10,458	5,387	2,727	5,043	51.5	26.1	48.2
AGE							
Total	209,343	24,260	17,392	32,653	11.6	8.3	15.6
Under 5 years	16,002	2,670	1,330	2,534	16.7	8.3	15.8
5 to 17 years a/	49,800	7,526	2,925	5,903	15.1	5,9	11.9
18 to 64 years b/	122,414	10,756	8,116	15,269	ສຸ້ສ	9.6	12.5
bo years and over	771,127	3,300	170,6	146'0	/*CI	6.5.2	47.4
RACE							
Total	209,343	24,260	17,392	32,653	11.6	8.3	15.6
White	182,355	16,310	12,815	24,558	8.9 7.12	7.0	13.5
black Other races	3,284	495	306	584	15.1	9.3	31./ 17.8

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

a/ Includes only related children. b/ Includes a small number of heads, wives, and unrelated individuals 14-17 years of age.

Table 20. Percent Distribution — Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Two Single-Dollar Cutoffs in 1974 (Persons as of March 1975)

Characteristic	All income levels	Current poverty level	\$3,200 (Low)	Average 4-perso nonfarm thresho (High)
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS				(111911)
Total persons (thousands)	209,343	24,260	17,392	32,653
Percent	100.0	100.0		32,033
In families	91.0	100.0 80.1	100.0	100.0
Unrelated individuals	9.0	19.9	58.1	67.8
In families (thousands)		13.9	41.9	32.2
- (diousands)	190,471	19,440	10 102	
Percent		,	10,102	22,147
In families with male hoad	100.0	100.0	100.0	100 0
In families with female head	87.8	56.0	53.2	100.0
	12.2	44.0	46.8	59.5
Related children (thousands)	65 000			40.5
Percent	65,802	10,196	4,255	8,437
In families with male head	100.0 84.1	100.0	100.0	100.0
In families with female head	15.9	47.2	35.9	40.2
	13.9	52.8	64.1	59.8
AGE				
Total (thousands)	209,343	24,260	17,392	32,653
Percent	100.0	100.0		02,033
Inder 5 years	7.6	100.0	100.0	100.0
to 17 years a/	23.8	11.0	7.6	7.8
.8 to 64 years b/	58.5	31.0 44.3	16.8	18.1
5 years and over	10.1	13.6	46.7	46.8
RACE		13.0	28.9	27.4
otal (thousands)				
	209,343	24,260	17,392	32,653
Percent nite	100.0	100.0	100 0	
lack	87.1	67.2	100.0	100.0
ther races	11.3	30.7	73.7	75.2
14000	1.6	2.0	24.6 1.8	23.0 1.8

Includes only related children.

b/ Includes a small number of heads, wives, and unrelated individuals 14-17 years of age

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current

Table 21. Number and Poverty Rate -- Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Two Single-Dollar Cutoffs in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March 1975)

		Number bel	ow specific	ed poverty level	I	Poverty rate	
				Average			Average
				4-person			4-person
		Command		nonfarm	Current		nonfarm
	All	Current	c2 200	threshold	poverty	\$3,200	threshold
Characteristic	income	poverty	\$3,200	(High)	level	(Low)	(High)
	levels	level	(Low)	(HIGH)	TEVEL		(*********
SEX OF HEAD							
Total	55,712	5,109	3,400	7,523	9.2	6.1 3.8	13.5 9.6
ale head	48,470	2,757	1,835	4,672	5.7		39.4
emale head	7,242	2,351	1,565	2,851	32.5	21.6	39.4
RACE OF HEAD							13.5
Total	55,712	5,109	3,400	7,523	9.2 7.0	6.1 4.9	11.4
hite	49,451	3,482	2,428	5,654		16.8	31.8
lack	5,498	1,530	921	1,749	27.8 12.7	6.7	15.7
ther races	763	′ 97	51	120	12.7	0.7	13.7
AGE OF HEAD				•			
Mar al	55,712	5,109	3,400	7,523	9.2	6.1	13.5
Total	4,225	733	579	1,046	17.3	13.7	24.8
Inder 25 years	43,454	3,616	2,005	4,148	8.3	4.6	9.5
5 to 64 years	8,034	760	816	2,330	9.5	10.2	29.0
5 years and over	0,034	, 00		•			
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN							
Total	55,712	5,109	3,400	7,523	9.2 5.1	6.1 5.5	13.5 14.7
To related children under 18 years	24,381	1,234	1,336	3,585		6.6	12.6
With related children under 18 yrs.	31,331	3,875	2,064	3,939	12.4		6.0
Male head	26,409	1,716	700	1,581	6.5	2.7	47.9
Female head	4,922	2,159	1,364	2,357	43.9	27.7	47.3
FAMILY SIZE							
Total	55,712	5,109	3,400	7,523	9.2	6.1	13.5
	20,823	1,705	1,814	4,164	8.2	8.7	20.0
2 persons	23,139	1,815	1,128	2,345	7.8	4.9	10.1
and 4 persons	11,750	1,588	458	1,014	13.5	3.9	8.6
or more persons	11,130	2,000					
WORK EXPERIENCE							12.5
Total	55,712	5,109	3,400	7,523	9.2 6.0	6.1 3.5	13.5 7.8
Head worked last year	45,146	2,691	1,586	3,542		1.6	3.6
Year round full time	34,195	980	538	1,235	2.9	18.7	40.6
Head did not work last year	9,639	2,390	1,805	3,917	24.8	1.0	7.0
Head in Armed Forces	927	27	9	65	2.9	1.0	
nead In Atmed Coloco							
TYPE OF INCOME			2 400	7 577	9,2	6.1	13.5
Total a/	55,712	5,109	3,400 1,820	7,523 4,223	6.4	3.7	8.5
Earnings	49,529	3,172	1,029	2,974	10.0	8.5	24.5
Social Security	12,162	1,220	1,029	2,348	46.9	28.0	53.9
Public assistance	4,359	2,043		907	5.0	2.4	8.8
Other transfer income b/	10,296	513	252	1,669	2.5	2.1	6.1
Dividends, interest, and rent	27,243	681	568		8.3	5.2	13.5
Private pensions, alimony, etc.	6,581	547	341	888	0.5	- · ·	

a/ Detail will not add to total since some families have more than one of the types of income specified.

b/ Includes unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans' payments.

Table 22. Percent Distribution -- Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Two Single-Dollar Cutoffs in 1974 (Families as of March 1975)

Characteristic	All income	Current		Average 4-person nonfarm
CDV OR AND	levels	level	(Low)	threshold (High)
SEX OF HEAD				
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	3,400	7,523
Percent	100.0		·	7,523
Male head Pemale head	87.0	100.0 <b>54.0</b>	100.0	100.0
remare nead	13.0	46.0	54.0 46.0	62.1 37.9
RACE OF HEAD				37.9
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	3,400	
Percent	100.0	100.0		7,523
White Black	88.8	68.2	100.0	100.0
Other races	9.9	29.9	71.4 27.1	75.2
•	1.4	1.9	1.5	23.2 1.6
AGE OF HEAD				-11
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	3,400	7,523
Percent	100.0	100 0		1,343
Under 25 years 25 to 64 years	7.6	100.0	100.0	100.0
65 years and over	78.0	70.8	17.0 59.0	13.9
	14.4	14.9	24.0	55.1 31.0
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN				32.0
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	3,400	7,523
Percent	100.0	100.0		
No related children under 18 years	43.8	24.2	100.0	100.0
With related children under 18 years	56.2	75.8	39.3 60.7	47.7 52.4
With related children (thousands)	31,331	3,875	2,064	3,939
Percent Male head	100.0	100.0		•
Female head	84.3	44.3	100.0 33.9	100.0
	15.7	55.7	66.1	40.1 59.8
FAMILY SIZE			-	37.0
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	3,400	
Percent		•	3,400	7,523
Persons	100.0 37.4	100.0	100.0	100.0
and 4 persons	41.5	33.4 35.5	53.4	55.4
or more persons	21.1	31.1	33.2 13.5	31.2
WORK EXPERIENCE		· - • •	44.3	13.5
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	3 400	
Percent			3,400	7,523
sad worked last year	100.0 81.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
iear round bull time	61.4	52.7 19.2	46.6	47.1
and did not work last year and in Armed Porces	17.3	46.8	15.8 53.1	16.4
	1.7	0.5	0.3	52.1 0.9
				0.9
TYPE OF INCOME				7
Total (thousand)	55,712	5,109	3,400	
Total (thousands)				7,523
Total (thousands)  Percent a/	55,712 100.0 88.9	100.0	100.0	100.0
Total (thousands)  Percent a/ rnings cial Security Dic assistance	100.0 88.9 21.8	100.0 62.1	100.0 53.5	100.0 56.1
Total (thousands)  Percent a/ rnings cial Security blic assistance	100.0 88.9 21.8 7.8	100.0 62.1 23.9 40.0	100.0	100.0 56.1 39.5
Total (thousands)  Percent a/ rnings rial Security	100.0 88.9 21.8	100.0 62.1 23.9	100.0 53.5 30.3	100.0 56.1

Detail will not add to total since some families have more than one of the types of income specified.

 Includes unemployment and workmen's compensation, government pensions, and veterans'

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Number and Poverty Rate —— Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Median-Based Poverty Measures in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1975) Table 23.

		Marker by	holow speci	specified poverty			Pove	Poverty rate	
Characteristic	All income levels	Current poverty level	50% U.S. median unadj.	50% U.S. family med- ian adj.	50% 4-pers. family med- ian adj.	Ourrent poverty level	50% U.S. median unadj.	50% U.S. family med- ian adj.	50% 4-pers. family med- ian adj.
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS				· ·					
Total In families	209,343	24,260	36,400 32,222	36,148 29,048	41,167 33,354	11.6	17.4	17.3	19.7
With male head With female head Unrelated individuals	167,227 23,245 18,872	10,877 8,563 4,820	20,642 11,580 4,178	18,117 10,931 7,100	21,514 11,841 7,813	6.5 36.8 25.5	12.3 49.8 22.1	10.8 47.0 37.6	12.9 41.4 41.4
Related children under 18	65,802	10,196	11,760	14,333	16,122	15.5	17.9	21.8	24.5
In families With male head With female head	55,345 10,458	4,809 5,387	5,397 6,363	7,682 6,652	9,014 7,108	8.7	9.8 60.8	13.9 63.6	16.3
AGE		•							
Total Under 5 years	209,343	24,260 2,670	36,400	36,148	41,167	11.6	21.7	23.7	19.7
5 to 17 years $\underline{a}/$ 18 to 64 years $\underline{b}/$ 65 years and over	49,800 122,414 21,127	7,526 10,756 3,308	8,294 16,971 7,669	10,546 16,043 5,772	11,839 18.327 6,718	15.1 8.8 15.7	13.9 36.3	21.2 13.1 27.3	23.8 15.0 31.8
RACE							•		
Total White Black Other races	209,343 182,355 23,704 3,284	24,260 16,310 7,455 495	36,400 26,773 8,937 690	36.148 25,251 10,172 725	41,167 29,364 11,018 785	11.6 8.9 31.5 15.1	17.4 14.7 37.7 21.0	17.3 13.8 42.9 22.1	19.7 16.1 46.5 23.9

a/Includes only related children.  $\overline{b}$ /Includes a small number of heads, wives, and unrelated individuals 14-17 years of age.

Table 24. Percent Distribution -- Selected Characteristics of Persons Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Median-Based Poverty Measures in 1974 (Persons as of March 1975)

Characteristic	All income levels	Current poverty level		50% U.S. family median	median
LIVING ARRANGEMENTS			unad).	adj.	adj.
Total persons (thousands)	209,343	24,260	34,600	36,148	41,167
Percent	100.0	100.0			.1,107
In families	91.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Unrelated individuals	9.0	80.1	88.5	80.4	81.0
	9.0	19.9	11.5	19.6	19.0
In families (thousands	190,471	19,440	32,222	29,048	33,354
Percent	100.0	100.0			
In families with male head	87.8		100.0	100.0	100.0
In families with female head	12.2	56.0	64.1	62.4	64.5
i e	12.2	44.0	35.9	37.6	35.5
Related children (thousands)	65,802	10,196	11,760	14,333	16,122
Percent	100.0	100.0			,
In families with male head	84.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
In families with female head	15.9	47.2	45.9	53.6	55.9
	13.9	52.8	54.1	46.4	44.1
AGE					
Total persons (thousands)	209,343	24,260	36,400	36,148	41, 167
Percent	100 -			30,140	41,167
Inder 5 years	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
to 17 years a/	7.6	11.0	9.5	10.5	100.0
8 to 64 years b/	23.8	31.0	22.8	29.2	10.4
5 years and over	58.5	44.3	46.6	44.4	28.8
- Jeans and OAEL	10.1	13.6	21.1	16.0	44.5
RACE	•		-1.1	10.0	16.3
Total persons (thousands)	209,343	24 850			
	207,343	24,260	36,400	36,148	41,167
Percent	100.0	100.0			, 20,
nite	87.1	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
lack		67.2	73.6	69.9	71.3
ther races	11.3	30.7	24.6	28.1	26.8
	1.6	2.0	1.9	2.0	1.9

a/ Includes only related children. b/ Includes a small number of heads, wives, and unrelated individuals 14-17 years of age.

Table 25. Number and Poverty Rate -- Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Median-Based Poverty Measures in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March 1975)

	All	Current	50% U.S.	fied poverty 50% U.S.	50% 4-pers.	Olymort	Pove	erty rate	
istis	income	poverty	median	family med-	family med-	Current poverty	50% U.S. median	50% U.S.	50% 4-pers.
Characteristic	levels	level	unadj.	ian adj.	ian adj.	level	unadi.	family med- ian adj.	family med- ian adj.
									<u> </u>
SEX OF HEAD									
Total	55,712	5,109	10,894	7,781	8,967	9.2	19.6	14.0	16.1
tale head	48,470	2,757	7,255	4,753	5,676	5.7	15.0	9.8	11.7
Female head	7,242	2,351	3,639	3,028	3,291	32.5	50.3	41.8	45.4
RACE OF HEAD									
Total	55,712	5,109	10,894	7,781	8,967	9.2	19.6	14.0	16.1
White	49,451	3,482	8,445	5,499	6,483	7.0	17.1	11.1	13.1
Black	5,493	1,530	2,277	2,136	2,323	27.8	41.4	38.8	42.3
Other races	763	97	172	146	161	12.7	22.5	19.1	21.1
AGE OF HEAD									
Total	55,712	5,109	10,894	7,781	8.967	9.2	19.6	14.0	16.1
Under 25 years	4,225	733	1,453	1,050	1,144	17.3	34.4	24.9	27.1
25 to 64 years	43,454	3,616	6,002	5,309	6,097	8.3	13.8	12.2	14.0
65 years and over	8,034	760	3,439	1,422	1,726	9.5	42.8	17.7	21.5
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN									
Total	55,712	5,109	10,894	7,781	8.967	9.2	19.6	14.0	16.1
No related children	33,	•,	,	•					20.1
under 18 years	24,381	1,234	5,432	2,238	2,709	5.1	22.3	9.2	11.1
with related children		·	·						-,
under 18 years	31,331	3,875	5,462	5,544	6,258	12.4	17.4	17.7	20.0
Male head	26,409	1,716	2,537	2,841	3,372	6.5	9.6	10.8	12.8
Female head	4,922	2,159	2,925	2,703	2,886	43.9	59.4	54.9	58.6
FAMILY SIZE									
Total	55,712	5,109	10,894	7,781	8,967	9.2	19.6	14.0	16.1
2 persons	20,823	1,705	6,039	2,743	3,193	8.2	29.0	13.2	15.3
	23,139	1,815	3,372	2,732	3,136	7.8	14.6	11.8	13.6
3 and 4 persons 5 or more persons	11,750	1,588	1,482	2,306	2,637	13.5	12.6	19.6	22.4
WORK EXPERIENCE									
	55,712	5,109	10,894	7,781	8.967	9.2	19.6	14.0	16.1
Total	45,146	2,691	5,510	4,319	5,085	6:0	12.2	9.6	11.3
Head worked last year	34,195	980	2,160	1,755	2,145	2.9	6.3	5.1	6.3
Year round full time	34,193	,00	2,200	1,,,,,	-,-,-		0.5	3.1	0.5
Head did not work	9,639	2,390	5,238	3,360	3,738	24.8	54.3	34.9	38.8
last year Head in Armed Forces	927	27	146	102	143	2.9	15.7	10.9	15.4
TYPE OF INCOME									
Total a/	55,712	5,109	10,894	7,781	8,967	9.2	19.6	14.0	16.1
Total a/	49,529	3,172	6,629	5,117	6,026	6.4	13.4	10.3	12.2
Earnings	12,162	1,220	4,415	2,157	2,604	10.0	36.3	17.7	21.4
Social Security	4,359	2,043	2,880	2,647	2,848	46.9	66.1	60.7	65.3
Public assistance	10,296	513	1,543	958	1,188	5.0	15.0	9.3	11.5
Other transfer income b/ Dividends, interest,	10,230								
and rent	27,243	681	2,787	1,249	1,532	2.5	10.2	4.6	5.6
Private pensions, alimony, etc.	6,581	547	1,513	847	985	8.3	23.0	12.9	15.0

a/Detail will not add to total since some families have more than one of the types of income specified.  $\overline{b}_{\ell}$  Includes unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans' payments.

Table 26. Percent Distribution - Selected Characteristics of Families Below the Current Poverty Level and Below Three Median-Based Poverty Measures in 1974 (Families as of March 1975)

Characteristic	All incom level:	e pove	ent erty	50% U.S. medi. unad	U. fam an med	S. Lily Lian	50% 4-perso family median
SEX OF HEAD						J	adj.
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,10	09	10,89	4 7 -	781	
Percent	100.0	100	_	•		.01	8,967
Male head	87.0		.0	100.	0 100	.0	100.0
Female head	13.0		0	66.6 33.		.1	63.3
RACE OF HEAD					- 30	.9	36.7
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,10	9	10,894			
Percent	100.0					31	8,967
White	100.0 88.8	100.0		100.0		0	100.0
Black	9.9	68.2 29.9	2	77.5	70.	.7	72.3
Other races	1.4	1.9		20.9 1.6	27.	.5	25.9
AGE OF HEAD				1.0	1.	9	1.8
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109					
Percent		3,103		10,894	7,78	1	8,967
Under 25 wars	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0	,	100 -
45 to 64 years	7.6	14.3		13.3	13.5	,	100.0 12.8
65 years and over	78.0 14.4	70.8		55.1	68.2		68.2
PRESENCE OF CHILDREN	*	14.9		31.6	18.3	1	19.2
Total (thousands)	FC 310						
	55,712	5,109	1	10,894	7,781		8,967
Percent No. released refer	100.0	100.0		100.0			-,,
No related children under 18 years	43.8	24.2		49.9	100.0		100.0
With related children under 18 years	56.2	75.8		50.1	28.8 71.3		30.2
With related children (thousands)	31,331	3 03-					69.8
		3,875		5,462	5,544	(	6,258
Percent Male head	100.0	100.0		100.0	100.0		
Female head	84.3	44.3		46.4	51.2		100.0
· -	15.7	55.7		53.6	48.8		53.9 46.1
PAMILY SIZE							
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	,,		_		
Percent	•		10	.894	7,781	8	,967
persons	100.0	100.0	1	00.0	100.0	•	00.0
and 4 persons	37.4 41.5	33.4		55.4	35.3		35.6
or more persons	21.1	35.5		31.0	35.1	3	35.0
WORK EXPERIENCE		31.1		13.6	29.6	2	29.4
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	10,	894	7,781	а	967
Percent	100.0	100.0				-,	
ed worked last year	81.0	52.7	10	0.0	100.0		0.0
Year round full time and did not work last year	61.4	19.2	3	0.6 9.8	55.5	50	5.7
ead in Armed Forces	17.3	46.8		8.1	22.6 43.2	2:	3.4
	1.7	0.5		1.3	1.3		l.7 l.6
TYPE OF INCOME		•				. 1	
Total (thousands)	55,712	5,109	10,8	104	7 70:	_	
Percent a/		·			7,781	8,9	67
rnings	100.0 88.9	100.0	100	.0	100.0	100	-0
cial Security	21.8	62.1	60	. 9	65.8	67	. 2
OLIC Assistance	7.8	23.9 40.0	40	.5	27.7	29	.0
ner transfer income b/	18.5	10.0	26 14	.4	34.0	31.	
vidends, interest, and rent ivate pensions, alimony, etc.	48.9	13.3	25		12.3 16.1	13. 17.	.2
	11.8				/ PA /	17	

a/ Detail will not add to total since some families have more than one of the types of income specified.

Local Local State of the types of Includes unemployment and worknen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans' payments.

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 27. Persons Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March of the following year)

Relative measures	50% U.S.	50% U.S. 4-person			ı			36,148 41,167							17.3 19.7	•	•	17.8 20.2	
Re]		•	50% U.S.		unadj.			36,400	36,431	370 45	04,710	32,110	31,862		17.4	17.5	17.1	16.4	16.3
Single-dollar cutoffs				LOW	(\$3200)							77817			8.3	8.7	10.8	11.4	14.8
Single					High							28,620			15.6	14.6	15.2	14.3	15.9
GILLO	2400				2008								80,529					35.8	
most most of	ווובוור ווכם				1508								52,783		21.6	20.7	23.6	23.0	27.0
90 000	Ing or co				1258								39,299					17.4	
	Scal	•			758			14 539	000 / #T	13,849	15,419	14,507	17,139		6.9	6.7	7.5	7.3	2
				Current	poverty	ancapar.		030 46	007'87	22,973	25,577	24,167	27,784		9 11	11.11	12.5	12.1	74.2
				;	Year		NUMBER	,	19/4	1973	1671	1969	1967	POVERTY RATE	1974	1973	1471	1669	

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 28. Alternate Poverty Measures: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974

Dovorty, management			Income	year	
Poverty measure	1974	1973	1971	1969	1967
Scaling of Current Poverty Measure					
(cutoffs are shown for base family)					
75 percent of current measure	\$ 3,750	\$3,379	62 070	62 706	
Current measure	5,000	4,505	\$3,078 4,104	\$2,786	\$2,53
125 percent of current measure	6,250	5,631	5,130	3,715	3,38
150 percent of current measure	7,500	6,758	6,156	4,644	4,22
200 percent of current measure	10,000	9,010	8,208	5,573 7,430	5,07 6,76
Simplification of Current Poverty Measure			,		,
Nonfarm thresholds (base family)	5,000	4,505	4,104	2 715	2 20
Male head nonfarm thresholds (base family) Weighted male nonfarm:	5,000	4,505	4,104	3,715 3,715	3,380 3,380
1 person under 65 years	2 (50	2 205			·
1 person over 65 years	2,658	2,395	2,181	1,974	1,798
2 persons, head under 65 years	2,387 3,329	2,151	1,959	1,773	1,615
2 persons, head over 65 years	2,984	2,999	2,731	2,473	2,25]
3 persons	3,957	2,690 3,565	2,450	2,217	2,020
4 persons	5,040	4,542	3,246 4,139	2,937	2,674
5 persons	5,957	5,364	4,139	3,745	3,412
6 persons	6,706	6,034	5,492	4,418	4,022
7 persons or more	8,278	7,455	6,771	4,962 6,116	4,517 5,562
Single Dollar Cutoffs					,
Low (\$3,200)	3,200	3,200	3,200	3 200	2 000
High (weighted average threshold for	0,200	3,200	3,200	3,200	3,200
nonfarm family of four)	5,038	4,540	4,137	3,743	3,410
Relative Poverty Measure					
50 percent U.S. median unadjusted:					
Families	6,418	6,026	5,143	4,717	2 067
Unrelated individuals	2,220	2,067	1,658	1,465	3,967
50 percent U.S. family median adjusted	6,418	6,026	5,143	4,717	1,190
50 percent 4-person family median adjusted	7,002	6,614	5,571	5,113	3,967 4,298
		-	•	- / 1 1 0	4,230

Table 29. Persons 65 Years and Over Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March of the following year)

ure	<b>"</b>		n median adj.		2 6,718	•			•		3 31.8								16.0 16.3					
Relative measure	508 U.S	family	mediar adj.		5,772	6,15	0, 30	<b>6</b> ,86	7/ 0		27.3	. 59.	∓; ;	36.	36.				16	17	17	19	18	
Rela		50% U.S.	median unadj.		7,669	8,213	7,924	8,228	1961		36.3	39.9	40.0	43.2	43.8				21.1	22.5	22.7	25.1	25.1	
gle-dollar cutoffs			LOW		5,021	NA	<b>\$</b>	A S	161,8		23.8	NA V	Ä	NA	50.2				28.9	NA	AN AN	NA	31.6	
Single-dollar cutoffs			High		8,947	8,940	9,196	9,352	686,4		42.3	43.4	46.4	48.9	52.6	,			27.4	29.5	29.6	32.6	30.8	
asure			2008		10,623	10,638	10,946	10,832	11,125		50.3	51.6	55.2	56.8	61.0				15.3	16.2	14.7	15.2	13.8	
conting of current measure	2		150%		7,418	7,361	8,057	8,331	8,799		35.1	35.7	40.6	43.7	48.2								16.7	
ing of C	10 Au		1258		5.474	5,521	6,283	6,742	7,286			26.8											3 18.5	
Cens	SCAL		758		1.425	N N	Z	ΑN	3,138		6.7	N.	₹	≨	17.2								18.3	
		Current	poverty	10000	3 308	3,354	4,276	4,895	5,393		15.7	16,3	21.6	25.7	29.6				13.6	14.6	16.7	20.3	19.4	
			Year	Number	4000	1973	1471	1969	1967	Poverty Rate	1974	1973	1251	6961	1967	Persons 65 years and	ت	all poor persons	1974	1973	1761	6961	1967	

NA - Not available

Table 30. Persons in Families with a Female Head Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty
Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974
(Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March of the following year)

		25	ling of			Single	Single-dollar			
			10 61171	certify of current measure	sasure	cut	cutoffs	Rela	Relative measure	
Year	Current poverty	75.9	-					508 U.S.	50% U.S. family	50% U.S. 4-person family
Number		87	173 <b>8</b>	150%	2008	High	LOW	median unadj.	median adj.	median adj.
1974	8,563	5,634	10,698	12,332	14.938	9				
1971	7,803	5,313	10,034	11,711	14,222	8,468	<b>4,</b> 731	11,580	10,931	11.841
1969 1967	6,882	4,619	8,643	11,119 9,830	13,651	7,986	5,885	11,320 10,151	10,677 9,761	11,521
Poverty Rate		C00'#	8,343	9,808	11,982	7,040	5,632 6,554	9,029 8,262	8,750	9,238
									9/01/	8,478
1974	36.8	24.2	46.0	S	;	-				
1971 1971	37.5	24.3	46.0	53.7	64.3	38.6	20.4	49.8	47.0	9
1969	38.3	25.0	48.0	55.2	67.7	39.6	23.5 20.5	51.9	48.9	52.8
196/	38.8	27.5	46.9	55.1	64.9	39.0	31.8	50.2	48.4	51.4
Persons in female head families as a percent of all poor persons				<b>:</b>		39.6	36.8	46.4	44.3	51.3 47.7
1974	35.3	ć	į							
1973	35.6	38.8 38.4	30.9 30.6	27.3	21.5	27.5	27.2	3.5	;	
1969	30.5 28.5	34.0	26.7	23.0	18.3	27.9 7.5.	28.0	31.1	30.2 29.4	28.8
1967	24.8	28.5	24.9 21.2	21.4 18.6	16.3	24.5	25.5	29.0 27.6	26.7	25.1
SOURCE: Special tabulation by the	ion by the					0.55	77.0	25.9	22.0	23.0 21.0

Table 31. Families with Public Assistance Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March of the following year)

		Scali	Scaling of current measure	rent me	asure	Single-dollar cutoffs	gle-dollar cutoffs	Rela	Relative measure	•
	Current							50% U.S. median	50% U.S. family median	50% U.S. 4-person family median
Year	measure	758	1258	1508	2008	High	Ď	unadj.	adj.	ad).
Number										
1974	2,043	1,196	2,589	2,979	3,478	2,348	1,220	2,880	2,647	2,848
1973	1,854	Ź	2,316	2,615	3,026	2,062	Z A	2,559	2,452	2,579
1971	1,801	≨	2,287	2,566	2,980	1,905	<b>£</b>	2,343	2,292	2,414
1969	1,372	¥	1,732	1,908	2,175	1,437	YZ.	1,730	1,752	1,830
1967	1,175	740	1,409	1,567	1,789	1,196	1,130	1,354	1,357	1,422
Poverty Rate										
1974	46.9	27.4	59.4	68.3	8.62	53.9	28.0	66.1	60.7	65.3
1973	51.5	≨	64.4	72.7	84.1	57.3	≨	71.1	68.1	71.7
1971	51.4	¥	65.2	73.2	85.0	54.3	¥	8.99	65.4	6.89
1969	52.0	≨	65.7	72.4	82.5	54.5	Ź	9.59	66.5	69.4
1967	54.3	34.2	65.1	72.4	82.7	55,3	52.2	62.6	62.7	65.7
Families with Public Assistance as a percent of all poor families										
1974	40.0	39.2	34.8	29.9	21.7	31.2	35.9	26.4	34.0	31.8
1973	38.4	≨ :	32.9	27.8	20.1	29.4	≨ \$	23.5	31.2	28.3
1971	9.55	<b>§</b>	4.67	24.5	17.7	6.03	₹ :	C.77	29.5	71.7
1969 1967	27.4 20.7	NA 22.0	23.5	19.2	13.7	20.8 15.7	16.1	14.6	23.2 18.1	21.2 16.7

NA - Not available

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 32. Related Children 5 to 17 Years Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Related Children as of March of the following year)

		S	Scaling of current measure	current	measure	Single cut	Single-dollar cutoffs	Rela	Relative measure	٥
Year	Current poverty measure	758	1258	150%	2008	High		50% U.S. median	50% U.S. family median	152 <del>1</del> 4 1 1 1
Number								unad).	ad).	ad).
1974 1973 1971	7,526 6,880 7,440	4,605 NA	10,137 9,570	12,891	19,453 18,786	5,903 5,281	2,925 NA	8,294 8,087	10,546	11,839
1969	6,966 8,140	NA 4,949	10,244	13,718 13,718 16,142	21,791 21,791 24,890	5,358 4,803 5,571	NA NA 5,064	7,717 7,229 7,020	10,724	12,113 11,895
Poverty Rate									700 101	11,984
1974 1973 1971 1969 1967	15.1 13.7 14.5 13.5	9.2 NA . NA NA	20.4 19.0 20.9 19.8	25.9 24.8 27.7 26.5	39.1 37.4 43.2 42.2	11.9 10.5 10.5 9.3	5.9 NA NA NA	16.7 16.1 15.1 14.0	21.2 20.9 20.9 20.3	23.8 24.0 23.7
Related Children 5 to 17 years as a percent of all poor persons	,		Ì	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	C. 0.	8°01	6.6	13.7	20.6	23.3
1974 1973 1971	31.0 29.9 29.1	31.7 NA NA	29.3 29.2 29.3	28.5 28.9 29.4	28.0 28.6 29.6	· 18.1 17.4 17.3	16.8 NA	22.8	29.2 28.9	28.8 28.9
1967	28.8 29.3	NA 28.9	29.5	29.8 30.6	30.5	16.8 17.9	NA NA 17.5	22.1 22.0 22.0	29.3 29.6 29.6	29.3 29.6 29.8

NA - Not available

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Black Persons Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March of the following year) Table 33.

		Scal	ing of cu	Scaling of current measure	sure	Single-dollar cutoffs	gle-dollar cutoffs	Rel	Relative measure	ıre
									50% U.S.	50% U.S. 4-person
Year	Current. poverty			٠.				50% U.S. median	family median	family median
	measure	75%	1258	150%	2008	High	LOW	unad).	ad).	adj.
Number	•									
1974	7,455	4,757	9,841	11,669	14,780	7,511	4,271	8,937	10,172	11,018
1973	7,367	4,674	9,490	11,280	14,549	7,064	4,259	8,856	10,037	11,080
1971	7,395	4,599	9,762	11,877	15,159	6,742	4,974	8,248	9,764	10,690
1969	7,096	4,600	9,512	11,553	14,787	6,460	5,239	7,890	699'6	10,434
1967	8,487	2,688	10,717	12,909	15,6/4	1,411	996'9	0/0'8	10,067	10,849
Poverty Rate										
1974	31.5	20.1	41.5	49.2	62.4	31.7	18.0	37.7	42.9	46.5
1973	31.5	19.9	50.5	48.2	62.1	30.2	18.1	37.8	42.9	47.3
1971	32.4	20.2	42.8	52.1	66.4	29.6	21.8	36.2	42.8	46.9
1969	32.2	20.9	43.2	52.4	67.1	29.3	23.5	35.8	43.9	47.3
1967	39.3	26.3	49.6	59.8	72.6	34.6	32.3	37.4	46.6	50.3
Black persons as a per-										
cent of all poor persons										
1974	30.7	32.7	28.4	25.8	21.3	23.0	24.6	24.6	28.1	26.8
1973	32.1	33.7	28.9	26.2	22.1	23.3	23.5	24.3	27.6	26.6
1971	28.9	29.8	26.7	24.6	20.3	21.7	22.5	23.6	26.7	25.9
1969	29.4	31.7	27.4	25.1	20.7	22.6	22.9	24.1	27.2	25.9
1967	30.5	33.2	27.3	24.5	19.5	24.0	24.1	25.3	28.1	26.9

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 34. Families with Earnings Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March of the following year)

	`	Scal	Scaling of current measure	irrent m	sasure	Single-dol cutoffs	gle-dollar cutoffs	Relat	Relative measure	
Year	Current poverty measure	758	1258	1508	2008	H da	3	50% U.S. median	50% U.S. family median	50% U.S. 4-person family median
Number								unad).	ad).	adj.
1974 1973 1971 1969 1967	3,172 2,983 3,397 3,216	1,876 NA NA NA NA	4,835 4,530 5,275 5,013	6,768 6,328 7,518 7,209	11,777 10,998 13,091 12,479	4,223 3,839 4,346 4,098	1,820 NA NA NA	6,629 6,659 6,662 6,242	5,117 5,153 5,285	6,026 6,103 6,173
Poverty Rate	90	77,77	618,0	8, 397	14,290	4,701	4,251	6,012	5,205	6,0 <i>1</i> 2 5,996
1974 1973 1971 1969 1967	6.4 7.0 7.0 8.3	3.8 NA NA NA 1.9	9.8 9.2 10.9 10.6	13.7 12.8 15.6 15.3	23.8 22.3 27.2 26.4	8.7 8.0 7.8	3.7 NA NA NA	13.4 13.5 13.2	10.3 10.5 11.0 10.9	12.2 12.4 12.8 12.9
Families with earnings as a percent of all poor families					7	10.3	n n	13.2	11.4	13.1
1974 1973 1971	62.1 61.7 64.0	61.5 NA NA	65.0 64.3 67.9	68.0 67.3	73.4	56.1	53.5 NA	60.9 61.2	65.8 65.6	67.2
1969 1967	64.2 67.0	NA 65.9	68.1	72.5	78.6 80.5	59.1 61.6	NA 60.5	64.1 64.8 64.7	67.9 68.5 69.4	70.5

NA - Not available

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 35. Unrelated Individuals Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974

(Numbers in thousands. Unrelated individuals as of March of the following year)

Current Poverty 75% 125% 150% 200% measure 75% 125% 150% 200% 1974 4,820 2,841 6,832 8,284 10,333 1974 4,974 3,010 6,318 7,703 9,787 1971 5,161 3,389 6,566 7,727 9,414 1991 1971 25.5 15.1 36.2 43.9 54.8 1974 25.5 15.1 36.2 43.9 54.8 1973 25.6 16.5 34.6 42.2 53.6 1971 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4 57.7 1967 38.1 27.3 45.9 51.6 61.7 Durelated Individuals as a percent of all poor persons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 17.9 14.9 1971 20.3 21.7 19.3 17.9 14.9 1971 20.3 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 15.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19.6 19	Single-dollar cutoffs	-dollar offs	Rela	Relative measure	
Current Poverty Povert				50% U.S.	
Year poverty Tear			50% U.S.	family	family
Number  Number  1974		,	median	median	median
Number  1974	High	₹	unad].	ad).	adj.
1974 4,820 2,841 6,832 8,284 10, 1973 4,674 3,010 6,318 7,703 9, 1971 5,161 3,389 6,566 7,727 9, 1969 4,978 3,366 6,119 7,066 8, 1967 4,998 3,583 6,021 6,764 8, 1974 25.5 15.1 36.2 43.9 1971 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4 1969 34.0 23.0 41.8 48.3 1969 34.0 27.3 45.9 51.6 ated Individuals percent of all persons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 17.9 1971 20.3 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16					
1973 4,674 3,010 6,318 7,703 9, 1971 5,161 3,389 6,566 7,727 9, 1969 4,978 3,366 6,119 7,066 8, 1967 4,998 3,583 6,021 6,764 8, 1974 25.5 15.1 36.2 43.9 1971 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4 1969 34.0 27.3 45.9 51.6 ated Individuals per cent of all per sons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 17.9 1971 20.3 21.7 19.3 17.9 1971 20.3 21.7 19.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 18.3 17.9 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7 19.7		7,290	4,178	7,100	7,813
1971 5,161 3,389 6,566 7,727 9, 1969 4,978 3,366 6,119 7,066 8, 1967 4,998 3,583 6,021 6,764 8, 1974 25.5 15.1 36.2 43.9 1971 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4 1969 34.0 23.0 41.8 48.3 1969 34.0 27.3 45.9 51.6 ated Individuals percent of all persons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 17.9 1971 20.3 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16	6,987	7,395	4,308	6,857	7,574
1969 4,978 3,366 6,119 7,066 8, 1967 4,998 3,583 6,021 6,764 8, erty Rate  1974 25.5 15.1 36.2 43.9 1971 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4 1969 34.0 23.0 41.8 48.3 1967 38.1 27.3 45.9 51.6 ated Individuals percent of all persons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 1974 19.9 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0 1967 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0		7,943	3,954	6,583	7,071
erty Rate  1974 25.5 15.1 36.2 43.9  1974 25.6 16.5 34.6 42.2  1973 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4  1969 34.0 23.0 41.8 48.3  1967 38.1 27.3 45.9 51.6  ated Individuals  percent of all  persons  1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3  1971 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0		7,631	3,851	6,217	099,9
lg74 25.5 15.1 36.2 43.9 1973 25.6 16.5 34.6 42.2 1971 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4 1969 34.0 27.3 45.9 51.6 ated Individuals percent of all persons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 1971 20.3 21.7 19.3 17.9 1971 20.3 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16		7,893	3, 383	5,710	6,085
1974 25.5 15.1 36.2 43.9 1973 25.6 16.5 34.6 42.2 1971 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4 1969 34.0 23.0 41.8 48.3 1967 38.1 27.3 45.9 51.6 per cent of all per sons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 1973 20.3 22.0 18.0 16.0 1959 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0					
1973 25.6 16.5 34.6 42.2 1971 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4 1969 34.0 22.0 41.8 48.3 1967 38.1 27.3 45.9 51.6 ated Individuals percent of all persons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 1971 20.3 21.7 19.3 17.9 1971 20.3 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16	55.7	38.6	22.1	37.6	41.4
1971 31.6 20.8 40.3 47.4 1969 34.0 23.0 41.8 48.3 1967 38.1 27.3 45.9 51.6 ated Individuals percent of all persons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 1971 20.3 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16		40.5	23.6	37.6	41.5
1969 34.0 23.0 41.8 48.3 1967 38.1 27.3 45.9 51.6 electron of all persons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 1971 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.6 16.6 20.5 27.5 19.7 18.3 1971 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.6 20.5 27.5 17.5 15.4 15.5 19.7 18.3 19.1 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16.0 16		48.7	24.2	40.4	43.4
ated Individuals  percent of all  persons  1974		52.8	26.3	42.5	45.5
ated Individuals percent of all persons 1974 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 1973 20.3 21.7 19.3 17.9 1971 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0		60.2	25.8	43.5	46.4
percent of all persons 19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 1974 20.3 21.7 19.3 17.9 1971 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0 16.0 16.0					
19.9 19.5 19.7 18.3 20.3 21.7 19.3 17.9 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0 20.6 23.3 17.5 15.4					
20.3 21.7 19.3 17.9 20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0		41.9	11.5	19.6	19.0
20.2 22.0 18.0 16.0		40.7	11.8	18.9	18.2
700 301 154		35.9	11.3	18.0	17.1
F.CT 0.11 2.62 0.02	30.2	33.4	11.8	17.5	16.6
18.0 20.9 15.3 12.8		27.3	10.6	15.9	15.1

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

Table 36. Families Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Poverty Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March of the following year)

		50% U.S. 4-person family	median adj.	8,967 9,098 8,875 8,618 8,498	16.1 16.5 16.7 16.7
	Relative measure	50% U.S. family	adj.	7,781 7,860 7,780 7,544 7,499	14.0 14.3 14.6 15.0
	Relai	50% U.S.	unadj.	10,894 10,879 10,397 9,628 9,285	19.6 19.8 19.5 18.7
Similardillar	cutoffs		LOW	3,400 3,744 4,957 5,277 7,031	6.1 6.8 9.3 10.3 14.1
Sino	ช		High	7,523 7,025 7,352 6,905 7,631	13.5 12.8 13.8 13.4
	sure		\$000Z	16,036 15,065 16,841 15,886 17,758	28.8 27.4 31.6 30.8 35.6
	Scaling of current measure	, ,	anct .	9,948 9,397 10,483 9,944 11,283	17.9 17.1 19.7 19.3 22.6
	ing of cu	1264	\$671	7,437 7,041 7,768 7,363 8,293	13.3 12.8 14.6 14.3 16.6
	Scal	759		3,052 2,840 3,137 2,936 3,368	5.2 5.9 5.9 6.8
		Current poverty		5,109 4,828 5,306 5,013 5,672	9.2 8.8 10.0 9.7 11.4
		Year	Number	1974 1973 1971 1969 1967 Poverty Rate	1974 1973 1971 1969 1967

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey,

Table 37. Families with Social Security Income Below the Poverty Level Using Alternative Definitions: 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, and 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March of the following year)

		Scali	ng of cu	Scaling of current measure	asure	Single-do cutoffs	Single-dollar cutoffs	Relat	Relative measure	ψ.
								:	50% U.S.	50% U.S. 4-person
Year	Current poverty				•			50% U.S. median	family median	family median
	measure	75%	1258	150%	2008	High	MO!	unadj.	adj.	adj.
Number										
1974	1,220	547	2,054	2,953	4,849	2,974	1,029	4,415	2,157	2,604
1973	1,235	NA	2,132	2,989	4,836	2,971	NA	4,665	2,429	2,871
1971	1,406	NA	2,264	3,081	4,739	3,032	Ź	4,201	2,268	2,599
1969	1,623	Z.	2,477	3,237	4,712	3,185	NA.	4,209	2,535	2,849
1967	1,860	626	2,801	3,611	5,078	3,508	3,255	4,160	2,520	2,863
Poverty Rate										
1974	10.0	4.5	16.9	24.3	39.9	24.5	8.5	36.3	77.7	21.4
1973	10.4	NA	18.0	25.2	40.7	25.0	NA	39.3	20.5	24.2
1971	13.3	A.	21.4	29.1	44.7	28.6	ΝĀ	39.6	21.4	24.5
1969	16.1	NA	24.6	32.2	46.9	31.7	ş	41.9	25.2	28.3
1967	19.0	10.0	28.6	36.9	51.9	35.9	33.3	42.5	25.8	29.3
Families with Socia	al					ŕ				
Security income as	_					•				
poor families										
1974	23.9	17.9	27.6	29.7	30.2	39.5	30.3	40.5	7.72	50,0
1973	25.6	NA	30.3	31.8	32.1	42.3	NA NA	42.9	30.9	31.6
1971	26.5	¥	29.1	29.4	28.1	41.2	¥	40.4	29.2	29.3
1969	32.4	NA	33.6	32.6	29.7	46.1	Y.	43.7	33.6	33.1
1967	32.8	29.1	33.8	32.0	28.6	46.0	46.3	44.8	33.6	33.7

NA - Not available

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Fopulation Survey.

Table 38. Percent of Population in Poverty for Alternative Poverty Definitions, by State, 1969

State	Univer		rent erty	Scal	ing of	Current	Measus	re	Simpi Curr	ification	ons of		Singl dolla Outof	r	F	Relative	Measure
	(0000		Sure	75%	125%	150%	200	2 Non	farm	Male Nonfarm	Ag & S	. 1			50% U.S Median	, Median	Fami
UNITED STATES	197,81	0 13.	92	9.5%	19.02	24.32	36.	3 <b>z</b> 14	. 02	14.2%	L			ligh	Unadj	. Adj.	Adj
Alabama	3,37	6 25.	7 1	8.0	33.2					14.24	14.2	2% 13.	.9% 1	6.1%	17.57	19.7%	22.4%
Alaska	27		_	8.9	16.5	40.3 21.2	53.6			26.2	26.1	21.	5 2	5.1	30.4	21.1	_
Arizona	1,72			1.0	20.9	26.9	31.3 40.6			11.9	11.9	11.		2.6	12.6	34.4 16.5	38.1
Arkansas	1,88			0.0	36.3	44.2	57.5			15.5	15.6			6.8	19.2	21.8	19.1
California	19,389	11.	2 7	7.8	15.7	20.3	30.5			28.3 11.5	28.3			0.1	35.5	37.5	24.7 41.2
Colorado	2						30.3	11.		11.5	11.5	12.	3 14	4.4	14.4	16.4	18.7
Connecticut	2,139			7.9	17.2	23.2	35.9	12.	8	12.9	12.9						,
Delaware	2,952 534			5.0	9.6	12.5	21.5	6.		7.0	7.0	12.		5.0	15.7	18.2	21.5
Dist. of Col.	720				17.0	21.5	31.6	11.		12.0	11.8	7.9 11.2		2.2	9.0	10.0	11.3
Florida	6,625				23.3	28.8	40.8	17.	8	18.1	18.1	17.6		. 9	13.1	18.2	20.0
	5,025	10.0	11	.6	22.7	29.2	42.3	16.	8	17.0	17.0	17.5		.1	20.6	23.8	26.5
Georgia	4,465	21.1	. 14	. 8	27.6	24.2							- 20	. •	22.8	23.6	26.9
Hawaii	734	10.4			27.6 14.7	34.2 18.8	47.0	21.		21.5	21.4	18.2	20	. 9	25.2	20.6	
Idaho	696	12.6	7		18.7	24.9	30.0 40.5	10.4		10.5	10.5	9.9	11		11.2	28.5 15.4	31.9
Illinois	10,835	10.4			14.2	18.2	28.1	13.		13.1	13.1	13.6			17.8	19.5	17.6
Indiana	5,061	9.6	6.		14.0	19.0	31.1	10.5 9.8	_	10.6	10.7	11.2		. 8	12.9	14.7	22.4
owa						-/.0	31.1	9.0	,	10.0	10.0	10.8	12.	. 4	13.4	14.6	16.8 17.0
ansas	2,747	11.4	7.		16.5	22.7	36.4	11.9	,	11.9						14.0	17.0
entucky	2,161	13.8	9.		8.8	24.8	38.4	14.2			11.9	13.5	15.		16.8	17.5	20.6
ouisiana	3,134 3,547	23.2	16.			37.0	50.1	23.8			14.5 24.0	15.3	17.		18.5	19.7	22.8
aine	957	27.1 13.3	19.			41.5	53.7	27.3			27.4	21.9 23.4	24.		29.2	31.3	34.7
	, , ,	13.3	8.	4 2	0.6	28.5	45.7	13.4			13.3	13.9	26.		30.2	35.5	39.2
aryland	3,813	10.0	7.	2 1	, ,					·-		13.9	16.	3	18.0	21.5	25.9
assachusetts	5,507	8.6	5.			18.3 16.4	28.0	10.1			10.2	10.0	11.	,	12.4		
ichigan	8,695	9.4	6.	_		16.4 17.1	28.2	8.6		8.8	8.8	9.9	11.0		11.2	14.5	16.5
innesota	3,711	10.7	6.9			20.8	27.6 34.1	9.5		9.6	9.6	10.2	11.6		11.2	13.0 13.5	14.9
ississippi	2,166	35.3	27.	_		51.2	64.4	11.3			1.4	12.2	14.1		14.7	15.8	15.4
lssouri							04.4	35.8	3	6.1 3	6.0	30,3	34 . 5	5 4	0.3	45.4	18.8 49.0
ntana	4,558	15.2	10.0			26.8	39.4	15.5	1	5.7 1						73.4	49.0
braska	675	13.6	8.5		0.0 2	26.5	41.6	13.8		-	5.7 3.8	16.2	18.7	•	0.3	21.7	24.5
vada	1,441 480	13.8	9.1			5.9	41.6	14.4			4.6	14.1	17.3	_	7.3	21.0	24.1
w Hampshire	715	9.9	5.9				28.8	8.5		- · · · · -	8.8	16.0 10.0	18.2	-	9.8	20.5	23.6
•	,13	7.7	6.5	, 15	5.1 1	9.6	35.0	10.1			0.3	11.6	11.3	_	1.5		15.8
w Jersey	7.042	8.0	5.2		.6 1							11.0	13.1	1	3.6	15.4	18.0
w Mexico	993	23.4	17.2		_		24.7	8.0			8.2	8.3	9.9		0.7	12.0	
w York	17,824	11.4	7.5		-		52.4	23.5			3.8	18.9	22.7	-			13.6
rth Carolina	4,891	19.9	13.9		-		30.7	11.5			l.6	11.9	13.8				36.2
rth Dakota	594	15.8	9.8				47.8 46.8	20.3 16.5			).4	17.2	20.3		_	16.4 28.1	18.6
io	10 (5)					'		10.3	16	.7 16	5.7	14.5	18.4			`	31.6 26.9
lahoma	10,424	10.2	7.2			9.1	31.1	10.4	10	4 10							7
egon	2,469 2,040	18.5	12.0			2.4	47.0	18.9	19		.6	11.1	12.7		3.2	15.0	7.3
nsylvania	11,531	12.1	8.1	16		1.7	34.3	12.2	12		.3	19.4	22.7		.7 2		9.9
de Island	902	10.9 12.4	7.1 8.7	15.			34.1	10.9	11			13.8	15.9			7.4 2	0.0
	,,,	4	6.7	16.	. 6 21	1.0	34.0	12.4	12			13.3	13.4 15.1			6.1 1	8.8
th Carolina	2,481	24.7	17.6	32.	2							-3.3	13.1	14	.9 1	7.2	9.2
th Dakota	643	17.7	12.3	25.			1.9	25.1	25.			20.2 .	23.3	28	, .		
nessee	3,833	21.8	15.2	28.			9.8	19.0	19.			18.7	22.1	24			6.6
as	10,885	18.7	12.4	25.			0.0 4.8	22.2	22.			19.5	22.6	27	_		1.3
h	1,038	11.7	7.4	16.			4.8 0.3	18.8	19.			16.5	19.4	22	_		3.3 9.8
mont	4.5-					"	·	11.8	11.	8 12.	.0	11.8	13.5	14			9.8 D.4
mont ginia		12.3	7.4	17.		.8 3	9.2	12.3	12.	5 10					•	21	··•
hington		15.5	10.8	21.		.5 3		15.7	15.			12.8	14.8	15.		8.6 2	1.8
t Virginia		10.0	6.6	13.		.2 2	_	10.1	10.			14.2	16.6	19.	.5 2	2.5 25	5.6
consin	4,292	23.2 9.8	16.7	30.			3.2	23.3	23.		-	11.9 22.3	13.8	13.	. •	4.5 16	5.8
ning		12.1	6.8 7.4	14.			2.6	10.3	10.			22.3 11.1	25.9 13.2	29.		1.6 39	6.6
_			7.4	17.6	523,	. 5 21	3.4	12.4	12.				43.7	13.	1 1/	.8 17	. 2

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the 1:100 Sample of the 1970 Census of Population.

Table 39. Percent of Related Children 5-17 Years in Poverty for Alternative Poverty Definitions, by State, 1969

	Universe	Ourset	Scal	ling of C	urrent M	leasure		ification ent Measu		dol	gl <b>o-</b> lar offs	Re	lative Me	easure
State	(000s)	Current Powerty Measure		, o. r. t	1504	200*		Male	Age			50% U.S. Median,	Family Median,	
	<u> </u>	l	75%	125%	150%	200%	Nonfarm	Nonfarm	& Size	Low	High	Unadj.	Adj.	Adj.
UNITED STATES	52,324	15.2%	10.3%	21.1%	27.5%	42.1%	15.3%	15.6%	15.6%	9.5%	11.3%	15.6%	21.9%	25.2%
Alabama	936 84	30.4 10.7	21.5 8.7	38.6 16.7	46.5 22.6	60.7 34.5	30.8 10.7	31.1 10.7	31.2 10.7	18.6 8.3	21.7 9.5	29.6	39.9	44.0
Alaska	483	17.6	12.7	24.0	31.1	47.8	17.6	17.6	18.0	11.0	12.4	10_7	16.7	20.2
Arizona	500	32.2	24.5	42.0	50.6	64.6	32.6	33.2	33.4	21.4	25.8	17.4 34.2	24.8 43.4	28.6
Arkansas California	4,957	12.5	8.4	17.3	22.5	35.0	12.5	12.7	12.8	7.9	9.4	13.2	17.9	47.2 20.6
	595	13.3	8.2	18.2	24.7	39.7	13.4	13.4	13.8	7 4	0.2	12.2		0.0
Colorado	763	6.8	5.0	10.0	13.5	25.2	6.8	6.9	6.9	7.6	9.2	13.3	19.0	23.0
Connecticut	147	12.9	6.6	19.7	25.2	36.7	12.9	13.6	12.9	4.8 6.8	5.8	7.5	10.2	12.2
Delaware Dist. of Col.	168	23.2	17.4	32.1	39.9	57.1	23.2	23.8	23.8	16.1	7.5 19.0	10.2 26.2	20.4 32.1	23.1 36.9
Florida	1,599	19.7	13.5	26.5	33.9	49.2	19.7	19.9	20.1	12.9	14.9	20.5	27.5	31.4
		•		22.0	10.7	£4 0	26 /	35 /	05.7					
Georgia	1,233	25.2	17.7	33.0	40.7	54.0	25.4	25.6	25.7	15.2	17.7	24.7	34.0	38.1
Hawaii	202	10.9	6.9	17.3 18.4	21.8 25.5	34.7	10.9	10.9	11.4	6.9	7.9	10.4	17.8	20.3
Idaho	196 2,828	12.2 10.9	6.8 7.7	15.4	20.5	43.4 33.0	12.2 10.9	12.2	12.8 11.2	7.1	8.7 8.5	12.8	18.9	22.4
Illinois Indiana	1,375	8.7	5.8	13.4	20.3	35.2	8.9	9.2	9.2	7.3 5.8	6.6	11.1 9.6	15.9 14.5	18.7 17.7
Iliozona													25	2,
Iowa	734	10.1	5.9	15.3	22.9	39.8	10.6	10.6	10.8	6.4	7.9	11.4	16.5	20.3
Kansas .	563	13.0	د.8	18.3	25.2	41.7	13.3	13.7	13.9	8.0	9.6	13.3	19.2	22.6
Kentucky	840	25.0	18.3	33.7	41.7	56.2	25.8	26.1	26.2	16.9	19.4	26.4	34.9	39.2
Louisiana	1,050	31.2	23.1	39.5	47.6	60.6	31.4	31.6	31.9	20.9	23.6	30.6	40.6	45.1
Maine	256	14.1	9.2	23.0	32.4	53.9	14.1	14.5	14.1	8.2	10.2	15.2	23.8	28.9
Maryland	1,018	11.1	7.8	16.0	21.4	33.8	11.1	11.2	11.4	7.2	8.7	11.4	16.3	18.9
Massachusetts	1,400	8.7	5.0	13.1	17.9	34.2	8.7	8.9	8.9	5.4	6.6	9.1	13.6	16.2
Michigan	2,451	9.0	6.2	13.1	17.9	31.2	9.1	9.2	9.3	6.0	6.9	9.2	13.5	15.7
Minnesota	1,047	9.3 40.9	5.5 32.2	13.9 51.6	20.6 59.4	37.2 72.5	9.9 41.4	10.1 41.6	10.1 41.6	5.6 27.5	6.7	10.4	14.6	18.3
Mississippi	640	40.9	32.2	31.0	39.4	12.3	41.4	41.0	41.0	27.3	32.0	40.9	53.4	57.2
Missouri	1,184	14.9	9.4	20.9	28.6	43.9	15.3	15.5	15.6	9.0	10.9	15.5	21.9	25.3
Montana	196	13.3	7.5	21.4	29.6 27.5	47.4	13.3	13.8	13.3	7.7	9.7	13.3	23.0	27.0
Nebraska	386	13.7	9.1	19.9		46.6	14.5	14.5	14.8	9.1	10.6	15.3	21.5	24.9
Nevada New Hampshire	125 190	8.8 8.4	5.5 5.3	13.6 14.7	20.0 19.5	32.0 39.5	8.8 8.4	8.8 8.4	8.8 8.4	6.4 5.3	6.4 6.3	8.8 8.9	14.4 14.7	17.6 17.9
New Manapantic									0.4	7. 3	0.5	0.9	14.7	17.9
New Jersey	1,794 314	8.4 28.0	4.9 20.5	12.9 36.9	17.2 44.9	29.8 59.6	8.4 28.0	8.8 28.3	8.6	5.0	6.2	9.4	13.5	15.4
New Mexico	4,340	12.9	8.0	18.4	24.0	37.7	13.0	13.4	28.7 13.3	16.9 8.0	21.0 9.7	27.7	37.6	42.4
New York North Carolin		23.5	16.0	31.8	39.7	54.5	23.9	24.1	24.2	13.5	16.6	13.4 24.2	19.0 33.1	21.9 37.0
North Dakota	177	16.9	10.3	23.7	32.2	52.0	18.1	18.1	18.1	8.5	11.9	19.2	25.4	28.8
	2 01 (	10.1	7 0	1/ 0	20.0	26.7		10.6						
Ohio	2,816 633	10.1 19.1	7.2 12.7	14.9 26.5	20.8 33.8	36.4 50.9	10.3 19.4	10.5 19.7	10.6 19.6	7.0 11.8	8.0 14.7	10.7	15.5	18.4
Oklahoma	528	11.2	7.6	15.3	22.0	37.9	11.4	11.6	19.6	7.6	8.7	19.7 12.5	27.3	31.0
Oregon	2.893	11.2	6.7	16.6	23.3	40.7	11.0	11.0	11.4	6.4	7.8		16.7	20.1
Pennsylvania Rhode Island	229	12.2	8.8	17.5	21.8	38.4	12.2	12.7	12.7	9.2	10.0	11.4 12.2	17.2 18.3	20.7 20.1
		20. 2	21 /	20 1	46.0	60.0	20.0	20.0	20.0	10.0				
South Carolin	a 718 189	30.2 16.9	21.4 12.0	39.1 25.9	46.2 35.4	60.9 55.6	30.8 18.0	30.9 18.0	30.8 18.0	18.9	22.1	29.0	40.0	43.6
South Dakota	1,011	24.9	16.6	33.0	33.4 41.7	57.8	25.4	25.6	25.5	11.6 15.0	14.3 18.1	18.5	28.0	33.3
Tennessee	2,995	24.9	14.2	29.7	37.3	51.6	21.8	22.0	22.1	12.6	15.2	25.5 21.3	34.1	38.8
Texas Utah	311	10.3	5.9	15.8	23.2	44.7	10.3	10.3	10.9	6.1	7.4	10.0	30.7 16.4	34.6 19.9
	112	11.0	٤ د	10 4	20 0	45 0	11.0	11.0	11 0	6 0	0.5			
Vermont	118 1,182	11.9 18.4	6.5 12.7	18.6 26.1	28.0 32.7	45.8 47.0	11.9 18.5	11.9 18.8	11.9 18.7	6.8 11.1	8.5 13.5	11.9 18.9	19.5	23.7
Virginia Washington	880	8.9	6.1	12.6	17.5	31.0	9.0	9.2	9.1	5.8	7.2	18.9	26.8 13.2	30.5
West Virginia		25.2	18.4	33.6	43.0	60.1	25.4	25.6	25.6	16.9	20.5	25.8	35.0	15.9
Wisconsin	1,199	9.0	6.2	14.0	20.0	37.3	9.6	9.8	9.8	5.6	6.9	9.4	14.6	39.9 · 17.7
Wyoming	90	10.0	6.3	16.7	24.4	42.2	11.1	11.1	11.1	6.7	78	10.0	17.8	22.2
													* * * * *	

SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the 1:100 Sample of the 1970 Census of Population.

Table 40. Distribution of Persons by State for Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1969

		Scali	ing of cui	Scaling of current measure	sure	Sinc	Single-dollar			
								иета	Melative measure	505
Regions, Divisions,	Current	910	, ,					50% U.S. median	50% U.S. family	4-person family
מוא סרטרפא	ileasure	96/	1258	150%	2008	High	Low	unadj.	adj.	medlan adi
United States, Total Percent	1 27,397 100.0	18,780 100.0	37,522 100.0	<b>49,</b> 029 100.0	71,807	31,777	27,406	34,637	38,977	44,278
NORTHEAST									0.001	100.0
New England							•			
Maine	0.5	0.4	0.5	9.0	9.0	0.5	C T		(	
Vermont	n.c	e 0	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.0		9.0
Massachusetts	1.7	7.0	0.2	0.2	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.2	m .c
Whode Island	0.4	0.4	0.4	4. C	7.7	2.0	2.0	1.8	1.8	7.0 6.1
Connecticut	0.7	0.8	0.8	0.8	6.0	• 0	4.0	O C	0.4	0.4
Middle Atlantic								•	ε. Ο	8.0
New York	7.4	7 7	3 6	r	,					
New Jersey	2.1	1.9	2.2	0.0	9.0	۲.۲	7.7	7.3	7.5	7.5
Pennsylvania	4.6	4.3	4.7	5.0	5.5	4.8	2.1 4.8	2.2	2.2	2.2
NORTH CENTRAL	•						•	;	r.	8.
East North Central										
Ohio	3.9	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.5	4.2	•	•		
Illinois	 8	1.7	1.7	2.0	2.2	2.0	2.0	<b>4.</b> C	4.0	4.1
Michigan	3.0	3.0	4.	4.	4.2	4.4	4.4	4.0	4.1	1.9 . 4
Wisconsin	1.5	1.6	1.6	1.7	1.9	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.0	3.0
West North Central							;	0.1	1.6	1.7
Minnesota	1.5	1.4	1.5	1.6	1.8	1.7	,			
Missouri	1.1 2.5	1.0	1.2	1.3	1.4	1.4	1.3	۰.۲	1.5	1.6
North Dakota	0.3	7 C	5.5	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.7	2.7	1.2 2.5	1.3
South Dakota	0.4	0.0	0.0	<b>7</b>	<del>4</del> 0	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.4	2.5
Nebraska Kansas	0.7	0.7	0.7	8.0	0 0	4. a	4.0	0.5	0.4	0.5
Nalibas	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2	0.8 	0.8

Table 40. Continued

								•			
50% 4-person family median adj.		0.2	0.4	2.6	. w. c	3.2	2.5 2.9 2.9	1.8 3.1 1.7 7.3		0.1 0.2 0.8 0.1 0.5 0.5	0.9 0.9 0.1 0.3
50% U.S. family median adj.		0.2	1.0 4.4	2.6	3.5	3.3	2.5 2.9 3.0 2.5	1.8 3.2 1.7 7.4		0.3 0.3 0.2 0.1 0.5 0.5	1.2 0.9 8.2 0.1
50% U.S. median unadj.		0.2	0.4	2.5	3.6	3.2	2.6 3.0 3.0 2.5	1.9 3.1 1.8 7.1		0.3 0.1 1.0 1.0 0.1 0.2	1.3 0.9 0.1 0.1
Low		0.5	0.5	2.3	3.1	3.0	2.5 2.7 2.7 2.4	1.8 3.0 1.7 6.6		0.3 0.2 1.0 0.7 0.9	1.4 1.0 8.7 0.1 0.3
High	: :	0.2	0.5	2.3	3.1	2.9 2.9	2.5 7.7 2.4	1.8 2.9 1.8 6.6		0.4 0.2 0.2 1.0 0.7 0.9	1.0 8.8 0.1 0.3
2008		0.2	0.4	2.5	m c	3.9	2.2 2.7 2.5 1.9	1.5 2.7 1.6 6.8		0.4 0.3 0.3 1.7 0.7 0.7 0.6 0.6	1.3 1.0 8.2 0.1 0.3
150%		0.2	0.4	2.5	4.0	3.5 4.0	. 2.9 2.9 2.8 2.3	1.7 3.1 1.7 7.3		0.4 0.2 0.2 1.1 1.0 0.8 0.5	1.3 0.9 8.2 0.1 0.3
1258		0.2	1.4 0.4	2.6 1.4		3.3 4.0	2.5 2.9 3.0 2.5	1.8 3.3 1.7 7.4		0.1 0.2 0.1 0.8 0.5 0.5	1.2 0.9 8.1 0.1
758		0.5	0.5 0.5	2.6 1.5	9.6	3.5 4.1	2.8 3.1 3.2	2.0 3.7 1.6		0.3 0.1 0.0 0.0 0.1 0.1	1.2 0.9 8.0 0.1 0.3
Current poverty measure		0.2	1.4 0.5	2.5	3.6	3.4 4.1	2.7 3.1 3.2 2.9	1.9		0.3 0.1 0.0 0.8 0.4 0.1	1.2 0.9 8.0 0.1
Regions, Divisions, and States	SOUTH	South Atlantic Delaware	Maryland District of Columbia	Virginia	North Carolina	South Carolina Georgia Florida	East South Central Kentucky Tennessee Alabama	West South Central Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	WEST	Mountain Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah Nevada	Pacific Washington Oregon California Alaska Hawaii
	Current 50% U.S. family poverty 75% 125% 150% 200% High Low unadj. adj.	50% U.S. Current So, U.S. family median median median median median median distates measure 75% 125% 150% 200% High Low unadj. adj.	Current Solvisions, current Solvisions, poverty 75% 125% 150% 200% High Low unadj. adj. adj. Atlantic 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	Current current soverty 75% 125% 150% 200% High Low unadj. adj.  Atlantic 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2	Current current states measure 75% 125% 150% 200% High Low unadj. adj. adj. adj. adj. adj. adj. adj.	Current coverty 75% 125% 150% 200% High Low unadj. family median	States         Current measure         75%         125%         150%         200%         High Low unadj.         50% U.S. family median med	Current   Current   Powerty   Powe	Solution	Subjections, poverty proverty proverty proverty states are measure proverty provestigate proverty provestigate provestigat	Solution

Table 41. Distribution of Related Children 5 to 17 Years by State for Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1969

1		i s	_		_																								
		50% U.S. 4-person family	adi.	13,168	100.0			9.0	0.2	1.7	0.7		7.2	2.1	•			~	1.8	4.0	2.9	9		1.5	7:	7.0	0.5	7.0	7.0
	Relative measure	50% U.S. family median	adj.	11,456	0.001			0.5	0.2	0.4	0.7		7.2	2.7 4.2				3.8	1.7	<b>თ</b> . ი	1.5				2.3	4.0	2.0	\ 6.0 0.0	F I
	Rela	50% U.S. median	unadj.	8,168 100.0	•		6	0.5	0.2	0.3	0.7		7.1	4.0				3.7	9.7.	2 2	1.4		1,3	1.0	2.3	9.0	o.c	6.0	
Single-dollar	CULOTIS		ğ	4,983			4.0	0.5	0.2 1.5	4.0	\. •		7.0	3.7			•	<b>4</b> .	4.0 -	2.9	, <b>1.</b> 3		1.2	6.0	<b>7.</b> 7	e. o	0	6.0	
Single		1	E G	5,930 100.0		,	0.4	0.5	1.6	4.0	•	,	1.9	3.8			6	3.0	4.1	2.9	1.4		1.2	0.6	7.7	• · · ·	0.7	6.0	
asure		2008		22,038 100.0			9.0	0.0	2.2	<del>4</del> 6		7 7	2.4	5.3			4.6	2.2	4.2	3,5	•	,	1.8	2.5	4.0	0.5	0.8	7:1	
Scaling of current measure		150%		14,378 100.0			9.0	0.5	1.7	0.0		7.2	2.1	4.			4.1	1.9	4.0	3.0	:		 	2.4	0.4	0.5	0.1	•	
ing of c		1258		100.0			0.0	0.2	1.7	0.7		7.2	2.1	•			3.8	1.7	ۍ د د	1.5		-	1.2	2.2	0.4	4.0	\ . 0 0	•	
Scal		758	5 301	100.0			0.4	0.1	0.4	0.7		6.5	3.6	;			3.8	1.5		1.4		1.1	0.8	2.1	۳. د	o c	6.0		
		Current poverty measure	7, 930	100.0			0.2	0.2	0.4	0.7		7.1	v. 4			•	9.6	7 6 6 6	2.8	1.4		1.2	6.0	2.2	· •	. 7	6.0		
		Regions, Divisions, and States	United States, Total	Percent	TOWN THE WORLD	New England	New Hampshire	Vermont Massachusetts	Rhode Island	Connecticut	Middle Atlantic	New York New Jersey	Pennsylvania	NORTH CENTRAL	1	East North Central	Indiana	Illinois	Michigan	Wisconsin	West North Central	Minnesota	Missouri	North Dakota	South Dakota	Nebraska	Kansas		

Table 41. Continued

tumbia O.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3			Scali	ing of cu	Scaling of current measure	sure	Single-dollar cutoffs	gle-dollar cutoffs	Rela	Relative measure	Ð
Letal 2.6 2.9 2.6 2.4 2.1 2.7 2.8 2.5 3.6 3.3 3.7 3.8 3.8 3.6 3.7 3.7 3.6 3.3 3.7 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.6 3.7 3.6 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.6 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.6 3.9 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8	Divisions,	Current poverty	758	1258	150%	2008	H G	<u> </u>	50% U.S. median	50% U.S. family median	50% U.S. 4-person- family median
Umbia   0.2   0.3   0.3   0.2   0.2   0.2   0.3   0.3   0.4   0.5   0.										ag	gg
1.4         1.5         1.5         1.6         1.5         1.6 <td>South Atlantic</td> <td>ć</td> <td>(</td> <td>ć</td> <td>ć</td> <td>•</td> <td>Ġ</td> <td>•</td> <td>i c</td> <td>,</td> <td></td>	South Atlantic	ć	(	ć	ć	•	Ġ	•	i c	,	
tral 0.5 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.7 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5 0.5		۷.۷	0.2 د ر	0.1 5.1	ی. د د	7.0	0°5	0.2	0.2	0.3	0,3
tral  2.7  2.8  2.9  2.9  2.9  2.9  2.9  2.9  2.9	of Columbia			היי	. c	- C	 	 	4. 4.	4.4	1.5
14   1.5   1.4   1.3   1.2   1.6   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5   1.4   1.5	100 10		2.8	2.8	2.7	2.5	2.5	2.6	2.7	, c	0,0
1.9   3.9   3.8   3.6   3.3   3.7   3.6   3.9   3.8   3.6   3.3   3.7   3.6   3.9   3.8   3.6   4.0   4.2   3.7   3.6   3.9   3.8   3.6   4.0   4.2   3.8   3.6   4.0   4.2   3.8   3.7   3.8   3.7   3.8   3.7   3.8   3.7   3.8   3.7   3.8   3.7   3.8   3.7   3.8   3.8   3.6   4.0   4.2   4.0   3.8   3.8   3.1   3.0   3.2   3.1   3.0   3.2   3.0	inia	7.4	1.5	1.4	1.3	1.2	1.6	5.5	7 -	7.	۲۰٬
2.7 2.9 2.5 2.3 2.0 2.7 2.7 2.7 2.5 2.5 2.5 2.5 4.0 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.8 3.6 4.0 4.0 4.0 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.6 3.7 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8 3.8	olina	3,9	3,9	8.	3.6	i m	3.7	3.6	. 6. . 6.	* œ	. r
13.9 4.1 3.7 3.5 3.0 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.6 4.0 4.2 4.0 3.8 3.8 3.6 4.0 4.2 4.0 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.6 4.0 4.2 4.0 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.8 3.6 4.0 4.2 4.0 3.8 3.7 3.8 3.8 3.6 4.0 4.2 4.0 3.6 3.4 3.5 3.2 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	olina	2.7	2.9	2.5	2.3	2.0	2.7	2.7	2.5	, 0	
Central 2.6 2.9 2.6 2.4 2.1 3.2 3.2 3.1 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0		3.9	4.1	3.7	3.5	3.0	3.7	3.8	3.7	3.7	* * *
Central         2.6         2.9         2.6         2.4         2.1         2.7         2.8         2.7         2.6           3.2         3.1         3.0         2.4         2.1         2.7         2.6         3.7         3.9         3.0         3.0         3.0         3.1         3.0 </td <td></td> <td>4.0</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>3.8</td> <td>3.6</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>4.2</td> <td>4.0</td> <td>3.8</td> <td></td>		4.0	4.0	3.8	3.8	3.6	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	
2.6 2.9 2.6 2.4 2.1 2.7 2.8 2.7 2.6 3.4 3.5 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.0 3.2 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.0 3.2 3.1 3.0 3.2 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0 3.0	th Central										
Central  3.2  3.1  3.2  3.1  3.6  3.7  3.3  3.8  3.6  3.7  3.7  3.9  3.7  3.9  3.7  3.9  3.7  3.1  3.0  2.0  2.1  3.5  3.5  3.5  3.2  3.1  3.0  3.1  3.0  3.1  3.1  3.1  3.2  3.1  3.2  3.2  3.3  3.3		2.6	2.9	5.6	2.4	2.1	2.7	2.8	2.7	2,6	2 5
Central  2.0  2.3  3.4  3.5  3.5  3.7  3.3  3.8  3.0  2.6  2.1  3.5  3.5  3.5  3.7  3.7  2.0  2.1  3.5  3.5  3.5  3.5  3.7  3.7  4.1  4.5  1.5  1.5  1.5  1.5  1.5  1.5	a.	3.2	3.1	3.0	2.4	2.6	3.1	3.0	3.2	o c	7.6
Central 2.0 2.3 1.9 1.8 1.5 2.2 2.1 2.1 1.9 4.1 4.5 3.8 3.5 2.9 4.2 4.4 3.9 3.7 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.5 1.6 1.5 1.5 1.5 8.2 7.9 8.0 7.8 7.0 7.7 7.6 7.8 8.0 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 0.4 0.5 0.6 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.1		3.6	3.7	3.3	3.0	5.6	3.4	3,5	3.4	, m	) r
2.0     2.3     1.9     1.8     1.5     2.2     2.1     2.1     1.9       4.1     4.5     3.8     3.5     2.9     4.2     4.4     3.9     3.7       4.1     4.5     3.8     3.5     2.9     4.2     4.4     3.9     3.7       1.5     1.5     1.5     1.5     1.5     1.5     1.5     1.5       1.5     1.5     1.5     1.5     1.6     1.5     1.5       0.3     0.3     0.4     0.4     0.3     0.3     0.3       0.3     0.2     0.3     0.4     0.3     0.3     0.3       0.1     0.1     0.1     0.1     0.1     0.1       1.0     0.9     1.0     1.0     1.0     1.0       1.1     1.1     1.0     1.0     1.0     1.0       1.1     1.1     1.0     1.0     1.0     1.0       0.4     0.3     0.4     0.4     0.4     0.4       0.4     0.3     0.4     0.5     0.6     0.4     0.4       0.4     0.3     0.4     0.5     0.6     0.4     0.4       0.1     0.1     0.1     0.2     0.1     0.1     0.1	ppi	3.3	3.8	3.0	2.6	2.1	3.5	3.5	3.2	3.0	2.8
2.0       2.3       1.9       1.8       1.5       2.2       2.1       2.1       2.1       1.9         4.1       4.5       3.8       3.5       2.9       4.2       4.4       3.9       3.7         1.5       1.5       1.5       1.5       1.5       1.5       1.5       1.5         8.2       7.9       8.0       7.0       7.7       7.6       7.8       3.7         8.2       7.9       8.0       7.0       7.7       7.6       7.8       8.0         9.3       0.3       0.4       0.4       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3         0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1         1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.1       1.0       1	th Central										
4.1       4.5       3.8       3.5       2.9       4.2       4.4       3.9       3.7         1.5       1.0       1		2.0	2.3	1.9	1.8	1.5	2.2	2.1	2.1	1.9	8
1.5       1.0       1	es .	4,	4.5	8. 8.	3.5	2.9	4.2	4.4	3.9	3.7	3.6
0.3 0.3 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3		4.5 8.2	7.9	1.5 8.0	1.5	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
0.3       0.3       0.4       0.4       0.4       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.4         0.3       0.2       0.3       0.4       0.4       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3         0.1       0.1       0.1       0.2       0.2       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1         1.0       0.9       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         1.1       1.1       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         0.1       0.1       0.2       0.2       0.1       0.2       0.1       0.2         0.7       0.7       0.7       0.7       0.8       0.9       0.8       0.8       0.8         7.8       7.8       7.7       7.9       7.9       7.9       7.0       8.0         0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1         0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3			•	:	!	•	•		•	0.0	6.7
0.3       0.3       0.4       0.4       0.4       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.4         0.3       0.2       0.3       0.4       0.4       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3         0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1         1.0       0.9       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         1.1       1.1       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0       1.0         0.4       0.3       0.4       0.5       0.6       0.4       0.4       0.4       0.4         0.1       0.1       0.2       0.2       0.1       0.2       0.1       0.2         0.1       0.1       0.1       0.2       0.2       0.1       0.2       0.1       0.1         0.7       0.7       0.7       0.8       0.9       0.8       0.8       0.8       0.8         0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1         0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3											
0.3 0.2 0.3 0.3 0.4 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3 0.3		0.3	0.3	0.4	4.0	0.4	۰۰ ص	~	6	•	
0.1 0.1 0.1 0.2 0.2 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1 0.1		0.3	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4	( C		7 ~	<b>.</b>	4.0
1.0 0.9 1.0 1.0 1.1 0.1 2.1 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.1 1.1		0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.1	0,1	0.1		, c
1.1 1.2 1.0 1.0 0.8 1.1 1.1 9.1 1.0 1.0 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0.4 0		1.0	6.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	0.1	2.1	1.0		7.0
1.1 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.1 1.0 1.0 1.0	8	1.1	1.2	1.0	1.0	8.0	1.1	1.1	9.1	0.1	0.1
0.4       0.3       0.4       0.5       0.6       0.4       0.4       0.4       0.4         0.1       0.1       0.2       0.2       0.2       0.1       0.2       0.1       0.2         1.0       1.0       1.1       1.2       1.1       1.0       1.1       1.0         0.7       0.7       0.7       0.8       0.9       0.8       0.8       0.8       0.8         7.8       7.8       7.7       7.9       7.9       7.0       8.0       7.8         0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1       0.1         0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3       0.3			1.1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	1.0	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		4.0	e , 0	0.4	0.5	9.0	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.5
1.0     1.0     1.1     1.2     1.1     1.0     1.1       0.7     0.7     0.7     0.8     0.9     0.8     0.8     0.8     0.8       7.8     7.8     7.7     7.9     7.9     7.9     7.0     8.0     7.8       0.1     0.1     0.1     0.1     0.1     0.1     0.1     0.1     0.1       0.3     0.3     0.3     0.3     0.3     0.3     0.3     0.3		1.0	0.1	0.5	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$											
0.7 0.7 0.8 0.9 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8 0.8	uc	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.2	1.1	1.0	1.1	1.0	-
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	. 61	7.8	7.0	7.0	0.8	0.0	0.6	0.8	. 8.0	0.8	0.8
0.3  0.3	ļ	0.1	0.1	0.1	0:1	0.1	0.1	0.1	ə.c	7.8	7.8
		0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.3		0.1

Table 42. State Share of Poor Persons Under Alternative Poverty Definition as a Ratio of State Share Under Current Poverty Definition, 1969

	es	50% U.S. 4-person family	median	adj.	1.041	1 200	1.124	1.070	1.016	1.007	1.069	1 043	1.048 1.098	1.003	1.085 1.084 1.121 1.000 1.053 1.091 1.057
	Relative measures	50% U.S. family	median	90.	1.031	1,140	1.089	1.057	1.013	1.009	1.038	1.045	1.031	. 999 1.005	1.058 1.039 1.084 1.006 1.039 1.061 1.042
	Rela	50% U.S.	median	1 010	010.1	1.071	1.081	1.026	1.035	.981	1.026	1.098	1.023	. 986 . 998 . 050	1.052 1.085 1.169 1.057 1.119 1.1133 1.063
Single-dollar	cutoffs		<b>™</b>	1.061	•	1.047	1.169	1.151	/#1.1	1.038	1.056	1.091	1.088	1.082	1.138 1.138 1.071 .915 1.052 1.155 1.107
Sing	ច		High	1.005		1.059	1.041	1.146		1.038	1.003	1,125	1.069	1.062	1.137 1.205 1.063 1.000 1.074 1.135
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	aonte		2008	1.128		1.313	1.217	1.046		1.025		1.125	1.161 1.237 1.034	1.119	1.213 1.224 .991 1.128 1.071 1.148
Scaling of current measures			1508	1.051		1.226	1.152	. 963 1.032		1.008		1.057	1.063 1.130 1.003	1.034	1.107 1.141 1.010 1.014 1.086 1.069
al ing of			1258	1.030		1.133	1.020	.978 1.013		1.013 1.054 1.035		1.022	1.027 1.067 1.002	1.007	1.035 1.058 1.000 1.018 1.014 1.031
Sc			758	.958		.918 .951	.873	1.020		.962 .943	000		1.030 .972 1.020	1.013	.932 .913 .964 .902 1.010 .986
		Current poverty	Measure	1.000	;	1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000	1 000	2	1.000	1.000	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
		Regions, Divisions,	and states	NORTHEAST	New England	New Hampshire	Vermont Massachusetts	Whode Island Connecticut	Middle Atlantic	New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	NORTH CENTRAL	4	Gast North Central Galo Indiana Illinois Michidan	Wisconsin	West North Central Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas

Table 42. Continued

		زادمي	Scaling of current measure	rent meas	ire .	Single-dollar cutoffs	follar ffs	Relat	Relative measure	
Regions, Divisions,	Current poverty	758	1258	1508	2008	High		50% U.S. median unadj.	50% U.S. family median adj.	50% U.S. 4-person family median adj.
SOUTH	1.000	1.020	.972	. 942	.871	.907	.907	176.	896.	.953
South Atlantic Delaware Maryland	1.000	.856	1.072	1.058	1.040 1.066 876	.960 1.011	. 967 786.	.893 .977	1.100	1.068 1.021 923
District of Columbia Virginia	1.000	1.016	1.024	1.014	980.	925	918	766.	1.024	1.025
West Virginia North Carolina	1.000	1.014	986.	969	916.	. 677	.863	1.007	992	. 981
South Carolina Georgia Florida	1.000	1.040	.955 .955	. 924 . 990	. 850	. 855 1.048	.862 1.044	.942	.987	.935 .990
East South Central Kentucky Tennessee Alabama Mississippi	1.000 1.000 1.000	1.056 1.016 1.022 1.127	.954 .957 .943	.909 .936 .895	.824 .875 .797	. 935 . 893 . 844 . 843	.942 .891 .838	.994 .994 .937	.949 .952 .941	. 927 . 944 . 917 . 860
West South Central Arkansas Louisiana Oklahoma Texas	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	1.063 1.050 .944	.966 .931 1.018	.918 .874 .997	.799 .756 .969	.946 .841 1.057	.944 .863 1.048	1.022 .881 1.054	.959 .921 1.015	. 929 . 896 . 999
WEST	1.000	.991	1.015	1.034	1.047	1.272	1.068	1.009	1.023	1.033
Mountain Montana Idaho Wyoming Colorado New Mexico Arizona Utah	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	.907 .839 .898 .912 1.073 1.048	1.071 1.079 1.067 .999 .979 .994 1.032	1.110 1.121 1.112 1.050 .944 .995 1.131	1.165 1.223 1.213 1.088 1.088 1.006 1.318	1.096 1.097 1.083 1.029 .836 .943	1.032 1.079 1.102 1.026 .810 .958 1.008	1.006 1.115 1.034 .988 .931 .987 1.000	1.085 1.086 1.099 1.014 .967 1.040	1.096 1.097 1.142 1.054 .958 .993 1.084
Pacific Washington Oregon California Alaska Hawaii	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000	.962 .977 1.006 1.126	1.016 .994 1.021 1.050 1.038	1.039 1.025 1.030 1.052 1.036	1.117 1.086 1.035 1.037 1.104	1.181 1.136 1.101 .943	1.115 1.142 1.095 1.000	1.059 1.058 1.013 .865	1.020 1.014 1.026 1.010	1.037 1.026 1.031 1.235

Table 43. State Share of Poor Related Children 5 to 17 Years Under Alternative Poverty Definitions as a Ratio of State Share Under Current Poverty Definition, 1969

d.	50% U.S. 4-person family median	adj.	1.079	1.238	1.280 1.205 1.121	066.	1.078	1.017	1.140	1.098	1.097	1.230 1.040 1.057	1.183 1.193 1.213 1.031 1.024 1.186 1.091
Relative measure	50% U.S. family median	adj.	1.001	1.174	1.138	1.039	1.039	1.017	1.090	1.061	1.060	1.015	1.122 1.093 1.133 1.014 1.039 1.147 1.085
Rela	50% U.S. median	unad).	1.020	1.052	.971 1.011	.971 . 1		1.008	110.1	1.028	1.029	.997 .993	1.016 1.092 1.103 1.010 1.062 1.081 .998
Single-dollar cutoffs		47.2		. 929	.910	1.194 $1.133$		.986	1 037	3	1.106	1.068	.988 .968 1.011 .953 .796 1.094 1.051
Singl	H doi H	866.		1.004	1.020	1.132		1.007 .992 .958	1.027		1.061	1.034	.966 1.049 .978 .937 1.129 1.035
asure	2008	1.213		1.380	1,389	1.330		1.049 1.127 1.338	1.250		1.294	1.252	1.448 1.421 1.058 1.104 1.182 1.223
Scaling of current measure	150%	1.096		1.273	1.136	1.093		1.021 1.129 1.174	1.127		1.133	1.099	1.229 1.253 1.057 1.049 1.156 1.104
aling of c	1258	1.060		1.177	1.077	1.050		1.020 1.099 1.087	1.053		1.056	1.048	1.081 1.087 1.002 1.005 1.100 1.043
SCé	758	.910		.956	.,734 .842 1.059	1.072		.915 .861 .906	.995		1.055 .978 1.046	1.014	.880 .863 .928 .885 1.036 .985
	Current poverty measure	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000		1.000 1.000 1.000	1.000		1.000	1.000	1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
-	Regions, Divisions and States	NORTHEAST	New England	Maine New Hampshire Vermont	Massachusetts Rhode Island	Connecticut	Middle Atlantic	New York New Jersey Pennsylvania	NORTH CENTRAL	East North Central	Onio Indiana Illinois	Michigan Wisconsin	West North Central Minnesota Iowa Missouri North Dakota South Dakota Nebraska Kansas

Table 43. Continued

		Scali	Scaling of current measure	rent meas	ure	Single-dollar cutoffs	dollar ffs	Relat	Relative measure	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Regions, Divisions and States	Current poverty measure	758	125%	150%	2008	High	Low	50% U.S. median unadj.	50% U.S. family median adj.	50% U.S. 4-person family median adj.
SOUTH	1.000	1.030	.957	.910	.812	.987	.993	.978	.952	.928
South Atlantic Delaware	1.000	092.	1.096	1.075	1.023	377.	.838	767.	1.094	1.078
Maryland	1.000	1.036	1.036	1.065	1.096 .886	1.054	1.102	1.096	.959	470.T
District of Columnia Virginia	1.000	1.018	1.019	.982	.921	.980	. 901	866.	1.012	1,002
West Virginia	1,000	1.078	096.	.943	.860	1.089	1.071	.997	.962	.954
North Carolina	1.000	1.005	.974	. 932	.836 725	980	998	1.003	6/6. 916.	986
South Carolina Georgia	1.000	1.036	.940	.891	177.	.938	.957	.953	.933	.911
Florida	1.000	1.015	.967	.950	006.	1.011	1.04b	1.011	cov.	096.
East South Central	000	1 081	968	.920	808	1,039	1.076	1.027	196.	.944
Tennessee	1.000	979.	.952	.924	.835	.972	960	.994	.948	.937
Alabama	1.000	1.041	.910	.842	.638	1,047	1.069	.944	.904	.8/1
ıddıssıssım	200	1011	•	•						) }
West South Central	1.000	1.120	.937	.867	.722	1.072	1.058	1.032	.934	.883
Louisiana Oklahoma	1.000	.980	766.	976.	.958	1.028	.987	1.003	996.	.776
Texas	1,000	996.	.981	.947	.855	.923	.922	.952	.979	096.
WEST	1.000	.932	1.009	1.025	1.260	1.006	1.004	1.018	1.011	1.024
Mountain	1,000	.843	1,160	1.231	1.288	976.	.918	.971	1.199	1.228
Idaho	1,000	.834	1.077	1.150	1.275	.948	.929	1.012	1.068	1.105
Wyoming	1.000	. 923	1.197	1.349	1.520	1.041	1.061	1/6.	1.232	1.339
New Mexico	1.000	1.078	.947	.884	.765	1.004	959.	096	.929	.911
Arizona	1.000	1.065	.980	.974	676.	.945	.993	096.	.978	.878
Utah	1.000	.866	1.100	1.242	1.564	.962	. 945	.941	1.104	1.167
Nevada	1.000	916.	1.110	1.234	1.300	6/6.	1.136	1/6.	1.134	·1.205
Pacific Washington	1.000	1.019	1.022	1.090	1.260	1,081	1.041	1.108	1.030	1 081
Oregon	1.000	1.000	986	1.085	1.221	1.043	1.079	1.087	1.033	1.082
Alaska	1.000	1.182	1.117	1.105	1.162	1.189	1.238	.971	1.078	995
Hawaii	1.000	.938	1.143	1.104	1.146	.972	1.013	.927	1.134	1.123

TABLE D1. Selected Characteristics of Persons by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1975)

	ווע		Scaling	of Ourn	Scaling of Ourrent Measure	ure	Simplifications of Current Meas	Simplifications of Current Measure	g1	Single-dollar Outoffs	ollar	Relative	Pelative Meanway	
Family Status and Race and Sex of Head	Income	Poverty Measure	758	1258	1508	2008	Nonfarm	Male Nonfarm	Weighted Male Nonfarm	High	301	50% U.S. Median	8	50% 4-pers. Family Med-
ALL RACES													tout, Ad).	ian, Adj.
All Persons, total 65 years and over	209,343 21,127	24,260 3,308	14,538 1,425	34,615 5,474	45,211 7,418	69,389 10,623	24,534 3,354	25,146 3,391	25,060 3,386	32,653	17,392	36,400	36,148	41,167
In families, total Head Related children	190,471 55,712	19,440 5,109	11,698 3,052	27,783 7,437	36,928 9,948	59,055 16,036	19,695	20,119 5,323	20,042 5,286	22,147	10,102	32,222	29,048	6,718 33,354
under 18 years Related children	65,802	10,196	6,349	13,684	775,71	26,517	10,294	10,537	10,494	8,437	4,255	11,760	14,333	8,967
5 to 17 years Other family members	49,800 68,957	7,526 4,139	4,605 2,297	10,137 6,562	12,891 9,403	19,453 16,502	7,605	7,795	7,762	5,903 6,187	2,925	8,294 9,569		10,122 11,839 8 265
onceated individuals, Total Male Female	18,872 7,890 10,981	4,820 1,607 3,212	2,841 1,042 1,798	6,832 2,206 4,626	8,284 2,679 5,604	10,333 3,514 6,819	4,839 1,620 3,219	5,026 1,620 3,406	5,018 1,613 3,405	10,506 3,441 7.065	7,290	4,178	7,100	7,813 2,521
Persons in families with											21010	2,815	4,816	5,291
male head, total 65 years and over Head Related children	167,227 12,842 48,470	10,877 1,035 2,787	6,064 409 1,521	17,085 1,820 4,483	24,596 2,906 6,502	44,117 5,063 11,731	11,129 1,072 2,826	11,129 1,072 2,826	11,296 1,078 2,856	13,175 3,490 4,672	5,371 1,165 1,835	20,642 5,203		21,514 2,504
under 18 years Related children	59,345	4,809	2,702	7,240	10,248	1: ,113	4,908	4,908	910'5	3,394	1,528	5 397		5,676
5 to 17 years Other family members	41,618 63,412	3,946 3,310	1,977	5,247 5,361	7,364	13,′47 14,:73	3,625 3,395	3,625 3,395	3,709	2,269	1,086	3,585	7,682	9,014 6,486
Persons in families with	23,245	8 563	400	9							800	0661		6,824
65 years and over	1,784	208	109	318	12,332 437	14,938 718	8,506 210	8,990 219	8,746	8,972	4,731		10,931	1.841
Related children	717	165.12	1,332	2,953	3,446	4,306	2,393	2,497	2,400	2,851	1,565	662 3,639	334	391
under 18 years Related children	10,458	5,387	3,647	6,544	7,329	8,403	5,387	5,630	5,478	5,043	2,727	6.363		3, 291
5 to 17 years	8,182	3,980	2,628	4,890	5,927	6,406	3,980	4,170	4,056	3.634	1 020			7,108
כמובד ימודדל וומוספנצ	5,545	825	455	1,201	1,557	2,229	826	864	898	1.078	1,027	4, 709	4,988	5,359
									! !	>	439	1,579	1,262	1,441

1,441

TABLE D1. Selected Characteristics of Persons by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1975)

			Scaling	Scaling of Ourrent Measure	ent Meas	ıre	Simplifications of Current Meas	plifications Current Measure	0	Single-dollar Outoffs	ollar	Relative	Measures	
Family Status and Race and Sex of Head	All Income Levels	Current Poverty Measure	758	1258	150%	2008	Nonfarm	Male Nonfarm	Weighted Male Nonfarm	High	MOI	50% U.S. Median Unadj.	3 I	50% 4-pers. Family Med- ian, Adj.
WHITE														
All Persons, total 65 years and over	182,355 19,206	16,310 2,642	9,470 1,119	24,061 4,492	32,689 6,234	53,336 9,228	16,550 2,686	18,814 2,716	16,886 2,720	24,558 7,777	12,815 4,247	26,773 6,628	26,261 4,748	29,364 5,611
In families, total Head Related children	166,103 49,451	12,537 3,482	7,301 2,018	18,558 5,236	25,902 7,297	44,704 12,565	12,760 3,547	12,967 3,627	12,961 3,595	15,759 5,654	6,882	23,541 8,005	19,514 5,000	23,003 6,483
under 18 years	55,315	6,178	3,705	8,647	11,660	19,221	6,262	9,390	6,364	5,273	2,598	7,486	6,063	10,090
5 to 17 years Other family members	41,998 61,337	4,483 2,876	2,603 1,578	6,250	8,425 6,941	13,939 12,918	4,549 2,951	4,643 2,971	4,620 3,002	3,567 4,832	1,734	5,127 7,601	6,558 4,952	7,585
Unrelated individuals, Total Male Female	16,252 6,544 9,708	3,773 1,200 2,573	2,169 759 1,410	5,502 1,668 3,834	6,787 2,053 4,734	8,632 2,774 5,858	3,790 1,211 2,579	3,946 1,211 2,736	3,945 1,211 2,734	8,799 2,720 6,079	5,934 1,690 4,244	3,231 1,005 2,226	5,737 1,734 4,003	6,361 1,825 4,436
Persons in families with male head, total 65 years and over Head	150,645 11,896 44,238	8,262 841 2,185	4,553 330 1,193	13,044 1,594 3,579	19,343 2,473 5,300	36,235 4,492 9,906	8,481 878 2,248	8,481 878 2,248	8,625 886 2,281	10,767 3,078 3,949	4,276 989 1,507	17,008 4,708 6,203	13,867 1,689 3,792	16,768 2,122 4,598
under 18 years Related children	49,038	3,608	1,942	5,295	7,817	14,608	3,591	3,591	3,671	2,559	1,127	4,075	5,605	6,779
5 to 17 years Other family members	36,877 57,369	2,549	1,387	3,766 4,175	5,530 6,226	10,389 11,721	2,615 2,642	2,615 2,642	2,666	1,659	778	2,633 6,730	4,010	4,801 5,391
Persons in families with female head, total 65 years and over Head Related children	15,458 1,436 5,212	4,275 103 1,297	2,748 44 825	5,515 158 1,661	6,559 242 1,997	8,470 475 2,659	4,279 105 1,299	4,506 110 1,379	4,336 108 1,314	4,993 286 1,705	2,605 103 822	6,533 453 2,242	5,647 161 1,707	6,235 204 1,885
under 18 years Related children	6,278	2,671	1,762	3,353	3,847	4,618	2,671	2,799	2,693	2,715	1,472	3,421	3,418	3,711
5 to 17 years Other family members	5,021 3,969	1,934	1,216 161	2,484	2,895 715	3,550 1,197	1,934 309	2,029 328	1,953 328	1,908	955 212	2,494 871	2,548 522	2,784 639

TABLE D1. Selected Characteristics of Persons by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974

(Numbers in thousands. Persons as of March 1975)

			1 100	9			Simplifications	cations		Single-dollar	ollar			
			Scal Ind		Scaling of Current Measure	Tre	or curre	or current measure	0	Cutoffs		Relative		
Family Status and Race	ALL	Poverty						Ma o	Weighted			50% U.S.		50% 4-pers.
and Sex of Head	Levels	Measure	758	1258	150%	2008	Nonfarm	Nonfarm	Nonfarm	High	Low	Umadj.	ian, Adj.	Family Med- ian, Adi.
BLACK														
All Persons, total 65 years and over	23,704	7,495	<b>4,</b> 757 286	9,841 912	11,669	14,780	7,490	7,693	7,638	7,911	4,271 722	8,937 971	10,172 954	11,018
In families, total Head Related children	21,396 5,498	6,494 1,630	4,147	8,621 2,056	10,294 2,474	13,223	6,527 1,535	6,699	6,651 1,563	5,948 1,749	3,025 921	8,065 2,277	, 8,919 2,136	9,685 2,323
under 18 years Related children	9,388	3,824	2,520	4,854	5,583	6,802	3,840	3,937	3,917	2,991	1,571	4,025	4,961	5,325
5 to 17 years Other family members	7,000	2,901 1,140	1,906	3,677	4,220	5,146 3,220	2,915 1,152	2,998 1,169	2,985 1,171	2,211 1,208	1,121 533	2,996 1,763	3,771 1,803	4,030
Unrelated individuals,														
Total Male Female	2,347 1,171 1,176	961 351 611	610 238 372	1,219 463 756	1,374 546 828	1,557 646 910	963 353 611	994 353 641	987 346 641	1,563 628 935.	1,246 459 787	872 311 562	1,252 476 777	1,332 519 813
Persons in families with														
nwle head, total 65 years and over Head	13,833 821 3,658	2,300 179 506	1,308 72 290	3,973 295 806	4,669 394 1,071	6,925 517 1,611	2,333 175 511	2,333 175 511	2,347 173 509	2,076 376 643	936 164 294	3,154 452 929	3,771	4,227
Related children								}	)	:	5	676	42 <i>/</i>	963
under 18 years Related children	5,293	1,146	653	1,724	2,169	3,083	1,162	1,162	1,184	703	330	1,133	1,809	1,996
5 to 17 years Other family members	3,905 4,982	885 848	506 365	1,320	1,643	2,346 2,231	868 659	898 659	920 653	515 731	246 313	821 1,092	1,379	1,516
Persons in families with														
funale head, total	7,923	4,194	2,840	5,048	5,625	6,297	4,194	4,367	4,304	3,872	2,089	4,911	5.109	2 450
liead	1,940	1,024	69 691	1.249	1.403	236	103	1.082	105	160	73	202	167	180
Related children						600/4		70011	1,000	1,100	/70	1,348	1,279	1,360
under 18 years Related children	4,095	2,678	1,868	3,129	3,414	3,719	2,678	2,775	2,733	2,289	1,242	2,892	3,171	3,329
5 to 17 years	3,095	2,016	1,400	2,397	2,577	2,800	2,016	2,100	2,065	1,696	875	2.176	, 101	
Other family members	1,488	493	281	670	808	686	493	509	517	477	220	670	669	<b>6,</b> 515 769

TABLE D2. Selected Characteristics of Families by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974

(Numbers in thousands. Families as of March 1975)

	50% 4-pers. Family Median		,	8,96/	6,144	1,726		8,967	3,193	1,360	1,640	1,496	687	871			620 0	3,997	4,976	1,607	1,298	975	574	/15		500	2,709	6,258	1,742	1,680	1,217	20.
Measures	50% U.S. 50% U.S. Median Family Med- Unadi, ian Adi.		196 6	1,781	5,300	1,422		7,781	2,743	1,112	1,431	474	603	677			7 781	3,389	4,392	1,394	1,149	870	506	7		187 7	2,238	5,544	1,554	1,463	6/0,1	•
Relative	50% U.S. Median Unadi.		10 804	1.453	6,002	3,439		10,894	6,039	2,034	200,1	(2/2	387	384	•		10.894	6,858	4,036	1,701	1,083	653	336	707		10.894	5,432	5,462	2,176	278	477	
ollar	Low		400	579	2,005	816	,	3,400	1,814	7.54 8.07	400	215	115	125			3.400	1,913	1,487	029	423	196	114	3		3,400	1,336	2,064	877	26.0	156	:
Single-dollar Cutoffs	High		7.523	1,046	4,148	2,330		1,523	7,104	1,0/2	874	496	270	248			7,523	4,618	2,905	1,230	791	470	243	)		7,523	3,585	3,939	1,562	636	345	
	Weighted Male Nonfarm		5,256	744	3,721	791	i	9,256	1,/34 596	9 g	874	637	421	280			5,256	2,078	3,178	966	811	625	373 373	) )		5,256	1,299	3,957	1,087	77.	503	
Simplifications of Current Measure	Male Nonfarm		5.323	756	3,776	791	,	5,323	1,001	1.026	869	639	415	572			5,323	2,091	3,232	1,033	838	624	375	1		5,323	1,292	4,031	1,147	784	506	
Simplifications of Current Meas	Nonfarm		5.179	734	3,660	785	, ,	9,1,0	1,/40	976	851	630	413	569			5,179	2,048	3,131	886	807	613	356 356	}		5,179	1,270	3,909	1,093	764	499	:
ure	2008		16.030	1,884	10,039	3,316	,	16,036	679,0	2,793	2,758	2,022	1,273	1,363			16,036	7,640	8,397	2,749	2,359	1,621	942 726			16,036	5,385	10,691	3,016	2,184	1,276	
Scaling of Current Measure	150%		9.948	1,246	6,722	1,980	0	מיל מיל מיל מיל מיל	200,1	1,00	1,634	1,196	772	955			9.948	4,531	5,417	1,759	1,416	1,054	627 561	•		9,948	3,099	6,848	1,936	1,336	860	
of Ourr	1258		7.437	1,024	5,063	1,350	,	1,43/	170'7	1,02,	1,240	874	582	746			7.437	3,232	4,204	1,326	1,095	840	484	}		7,437	2,126	5,310	1,479	1,029	674	
Scaling	758		3.052	507	2,224	322	6	3,052	956	• 17 9 2 19	539	388	249	328			3.052	1,113	1,940	618	522	374	232	1		3,052	610	2,442	169	465	315	
	Current Poverty Measure		5, 109	733	3,616	760		207,4	1,709 559	676	844	616	412	260			5,109	2,010	3,499	479	199	. 605	363 353	1		5,109	1,234	3,875	1,088	749	497	
	All Income Levels		54,712	4,225	43,454	8,034		27,720	6 429	12,137	11,002	6,313	3,005	2,432			55,712	30,692	25,021	10,317	7,767	4,008	1,805			55,712	24,381	31,331	11,470	5,432	2,416	
	Selected Characteristics	ALL FAMILIES	Age of Head	Under 25 years	25 to 64 years	65 years and over	Size of Family		2 persons	read of years and over				7 persons or more		Presence of Melated Children 5-17	Total	No children	With children	l child	2 children	3 children	4 children 5 children or more		Presence of Related	Total	No children	With children	1 child			

TABLE D2. Selected Characteristics of Families by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974

(Numbers in thousands. Families as of March 1975)

				,	1		Simplifications	ations		Single-dollar	llar			
		(	Scaring	scaling of current measure	ant Measu		or currer	of Current Measure		Outoffs		Relative	Measures	
	ALL	Poverty						Wale	Weighted			50% U.S.	50% U.S. 50% U.S.	508 4-pers.
Selected Characteristics	Levels	Measure	758	1258	150%	2008	Nonfarm	Nonfarm	Nonfarm	High	LOW	Unadj.	ian, Adj.	Family Med- ian, Adi
ALL FAMILIES														
Employment Status of Head														
Total	55,712	5,109	3,052	7,437	9,948	16,036	5,179	5,323	5,256	7,523	3,400	10.894	7.781	6 967
In civilian labor force Employed	43,216	2,497	1,512	3,794	5,315	7,451	2,550	2,626	2,595	3,188	1,454	4,969	4,026	4,719
Unemployed	2,797	449	305	638	816	1,209	449	459	458	515	4,410 269	3,359 759	3,968 667	752
Not in civilian labor force	12,497	2,611	1,540	3,642	4,632	9,585	2,629	2,697	2,661	4,335	1,946	5,924	3,755	4,247
Work Experience of Head														,
Total	55,712	5,109	3,052	7,437	9,948	16,036	5,179	5,323	5,256	7,523	3,400	10,894	7.781	6 967
Worked last year	45,106	2,691	1,620	4,078	5,720	10,081	2,752	2,843	2,801	3,542	1,586	5,510	4.319	5,085
50~52 weeks	35,601	1,180	664	1,903	2,878	5,969	1,224	1,267	1,248	1,555	699	2,644	2.054	2,003
Full time	34,195	980	544	1,618	2,506	5,391	1,010	1,041	1,033	1,235	238	2,160	1,755	2 145
1-49 weeks	9,545	1,511	926	2,175	2,843	4,121	1,529	1,575	1,552	1,986	918	2,866	2,266	2,591
Reason for working													)	16517
part year	1	,												
Unemployed	4,317	643	370	949	1,260	1,911	<b>e</b> 51	899	099	692	317	1,177	766	1 140
Other	5,228	898	586	1,225	1,532	2,210	877	907	892	1,218	909	1,689	1.271	1,140
Did not work	9,639	2,390	1,419	3,270	4,059	5,595	2,400	2,453	2,425	3,917	1,805	5,238	3,360	3 730
Head in Armed Forces	927	27	13	68	169	360	27	27	30	65	6	146	102	143
Type of Income														
Earned income	49,529	3,172	1,876	4,835	6,768	11,777	3,236	3,342	3,295	4,223	1.820	6.629	לוו 5	, ,
Social Security Dividends interest	12,162	1,220	547	2,054	2,953	4,040	1,245	1,266	1,267	2,974	1,029	4,415	2,157	2,604
and rent	27,243	681	421	1,173	1.779	3,952	711	727	719	1 669	995	נסנ		
Public assistance	4,359	2,043	1,196	2,589	2,979	3,478	2.048	2.119	2.078	248	200	79/12	1,249	1,532
Other transfer income $\underline{a}/$ Private pensions,	10,296	513	257	900	1,389	2,552	519	530	537	907	252	1,543	2,64/ 958	2,848 1,188
alimony, etc.	6,581	547	337	810	1,096	1,936	547	576	559	888	341	1,313	847	985

 $\underline{a}/\mathrm{Includes}$  unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans' payments.

TABLE D2. Selected Characteristics of Families by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974

(576)	
March ]	
as of	
Families	
thousands.	
ន	
(Numbers	

							Simplific	Simplifications		Single-dollar	llar			
	;		Scaling	Scaling of Current Measure	nt Measu	l	of Ourren	t Measure		Cutoffs		Relative		
anitaina to	All Income	Current Poverty	758	1258	1508	2008	Nonfarm	Male Nonfarm	weignted Male Nonfarm	Hiah	30 <u>1</u>	Median	50% U.S. Family Med-	Fami
FAMILIES WITH MALE HEAD													•	rail, Ad).
Age of Head Total	48,470	2,797	1,921	4,483	6,502	11,731	2,826	2,826	2,856	4,672	1,835	7,255	4,753	5.676
Under 25 years	3,482	287	176	202	969	1,258	288	288	296	516	198	855	526	605
25 to 64 years 65 years and over	38,063	1,854 616	1,093 291	2,849	4,118	7,617 2,056	1,898 640	1,898 640	1,916 643	2,138 2,018	949 688	3,407 2,942	3,034	3,613
Size of Family														
	48.470	2.757	1.521	4.483	6.502	11.731	2.826	2,826	2,856	4.672	1,835	7.255	4.753	263 3
2 persons	17.599	957	472	1,672	2,430	4,267	991	166	166	2,938	1,087	4.497	1,763	0,0,0
	5,649	469	176	912	1,389	2,414	492	492	492	1,834	979	2,695	964	1,187
	10,290	424	252	9/9	979	1,783	430	430	424	726	297	1,169	730	855
	9,997	421	266	208	1,039	2,051	427	427	449	449	199	260	741	917
	5,758	339	200	521	708 207	1/5/1	25.	7 c	96.5	766	114	410	262	694
6 persons	2,88/	242	139	527	טגנ הור	0.00	379	240 976,	386	138	٠ د د	230	398	459
argii io suos rad /	2, 139	2/2	767	3	4	2111	<b>`</b>	3	Š	3	3	077	600	639
Presence of Related Children 5-17														
Total	48,470	2,757	1,921	4,483	6,502	11,731	2,826	2,826	2,856	4,672	1,835	7,255	4,753	5,676
No children	27,559	1,380	721	2,402	3,519	6,201	1,416	1,416	1,423	3,984	1,328	5,532	2,542	3,049
with child	8,526	392	235	7007	906	1.887	401	401	404	457	2 6	1,728	2,212	2,627
2 children	6,612	340	219	522	192	1,936	347	347	321	285	143	451	553	900
3 children	3,379	292	166	445	595	1,102	300	300	306	188	78	289	469	533
4 children 5 children or more	1,490	166 189	93	245 266	354	654	170	170	170 202	84 75	<b>4</b> 2 39	132 123	2 <b>6</b> 3 280	314
Presence of Related													<u> </u>	
Total	48.470	2.757	1.521	4.483	6,502	11,731	2,826	2,826	2.856	4.672	1.835	7.255	4 753	ì
No children	22,061	1,041	520	1,812	2,654	4,685	1,076	1,076	1,080	3,091	1,135	4.718	1.912	9,6,6
With children	26,409	1,716	1,001	2,671	3,848	7,046	1,750	1,750	1,776	1,581	700	2,537	2,841	3,372
l child	9,467	419	254	699	8 8 8	1,773	424	424	414	640	. 283	1,034	71.5	846
2 children	8,917	413	258	\$ 5	1,031	2,037	420	420	440	427	184	710	741	914
3 children	1,6/3	200	122	24.5	/83 405	676'T	785	785	383	C 7	17.1	408	581	681
5 children or more	1,361	230	151	416	558	831	296	366	308	119	2 5	186	361 440	433
													2	433

TABLE D2. Selected Characteristics of Families by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March 1975)

							Cimel Figure :			,				
	į		Scaling	Scaling of Current Measure	ent Meas	ure	of Currer	of Current Measure		Single-dollar Cutoffs	ı tar	Relative	Relative Measures	
Selected Characteristics	Income Levels	Poverty Measure	758	1258	150%	2008	Nonfarm	Male Nonfarm	Weighted Male Nonfarm	1	Low	50% U.S. Median Unadi.	50% U.S. Family Med-	508 Fami
FAMILIES WITH MALE HEAD														rail, Ad].
Employment Status of Head														
Total	48,470		1,521	4,483	5,502	11,731	2,826	2,826	2,856	4.672	1.835	7 255	75.	
In civilian tabor force Employed	36,281	1,656	983	2,647	3,888	7,884	1,708	1,708	1,732	2,110	918	3,460	2,831	3,676
Unemployed Not in civilian	2,405		144	390	537	888	1,468 240	1,468 240	1,488 244	1,837 273	803 115	2,987 473	2,416 416	2,898
labor force	9,189	1,101	538	1,836	2,614	4,247	1,118	1,118	1,124	2,563	917	3,795	1.922	
Work Experience of Head													776	7,302
Total	48,470		1,521	4,483	6,502	11,731	2.826	2.826	2,856	CL3 4	1 0 25	, ,		
Worked last year	40,820	~	1,013	2,776	4,101	7,881	1,804	1,804	1,826	2,3,4	2,435	7,255	4,753	2,676
50-52 weeks	32,883		510	1,442	2,250	4,955	926	926	935	1,131	513	3,808	2,966	3,557
1-49 upoks	31,836	785	453	1,294	2,040	4,595	814	814	825	945	439	1,662	1,366	1,917
Reason for working	16611		203	1,334	1,851	2,926	878	878	891	1,183	460	1,842	1,401	1,727
part year														2
Unemployed	3,817		240	681	944	1,517	455	455	462	513	185	0.45	į	
Did not work	6.721	414 986	263	652	907	1,409	424	424	429	670	276	997	684	834
Head in Armed Forces	927		13	4,017 89	169	360	272	995 27	1,000 30	2,293 65	853 9	3,301	1,685	1,976
Type of Income	,	,										2	707	143
Earned income	44.054	1.992	1.137	3 216	N 76. N	670			;					
Social Security Dividends, interest,	9,725	828	358	1,480	2,206	3,742	852	2,055 652	2,078 857	2,716 2,355	1,084	4,513 3,516	3,436 1,565	4,138
and rent	25,104	539	310	955	1.480	3, 383	2,47	653	5	000	•		•	77617
Public assistance	1,982	870	271	840	1,049	1,395	575	20/ 575	790	1,398	440	2,344	1,017	1,256
Other transfer income $\underline{a}$ /Private pensions,	9,205	389	191	692	1,112	2,110	395	395	411	989 986	184	980 1,211	879 742	896
alimony, etc.	4,883	168	78	291	445	1 088	1,60	1,00	ć		į		1	000
•					-	7,000	907	807	1/3	401	103	833	302	371
a/ Includes unemployment and workmen's comper	nd workme.	n's compen	sation.	mont omplous socie	+ 0000		F 1 1							

a/ Includes unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans's payments.

TABLE D2. Selected Characteristics of Families by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March 1975)

			Scaling	Scaling of Current Measure	nt Measu		Simplifications of Current Measure	ations t Measure		Single-dollar Cutoffs	llar	Relative	Measures	
Selected Characteristics	All Income Levels	Current Poverty Measure	758	1258	150%	2008	Nonfarm	Male Nonfarm	Weighte Male Nonfarm	High	LOW	50% U.S. Median Unadj.	50% U.S. 50% U.S. Median Family Med- Umadj, ian, Adj,	50% 4-pers. Family Med- ian, Adj.
FAMILIES WITH FEMALE HEAD														
Age of Head Total Under 25 years 25 to 64 years 65 years and over	7,242 743 5,391 1,108	2,351 446 1,761	1,532 330 1,130	2,953 519 2,214 220	3,446 550 2,604 293	4,306 627 3,219 460	2,353 446 1,761 145	2,497 468 1,878 151	2,400 448 1,804 148	2,851 530 2,009 312	1,565 381 1,056 128	3,639 597 2,595 447	3,028 524 2,276 229	3,291 540 2,484 267
Size of Pamily Total  2 persons Head 65 years and over 3 persons 4 persons 5 persons 6 persons 7 persons	7,242 3,224 780 1,847 1,005 595 318	2,351 748 91 546 423 277 167	1,532 466 38 363 269 188 110	2,953 949 145 697 532 354 202 219	3,446 1,158 1,158 823 823 595 395 238	4,306 1,582 1,582 1,059 757 451 274 293	2,353 749 92 546 421 277 167	2,497 810 95 597 442 286 169	2,400 763 94 565 425 279 173	2,851 1,226 245 745 425 230 115	1,565 107 107 431 201 102 41 65	3,639 1,582 339 905 537 301 157	3,028 980 148 721 540 362 205	3,291 1,082 173 785 579 385 229 231
Presence of Related Children 5-17 Total No children With children 1 child 2 children 3 children 4 children 5 children	7,242 3,133 4,109 1,791 1,156 629 315	2,351 630 1,721 587 459 313 197 164	1,532 392 1,140 384 303 208 144 100	2,953 831 2,123 722 573 395 240	3,446 1,013 2,434 850 655 459 263	4,346 1,859 2,947 1,182 620 280 214	2,353 631 1,721 987 459 313 197	2,497 675 1,822 633 490 325 205	2,400 655 1,745 592 460 319 203	2,851 1,035 1,817 773 506 282 160	1,565 585 980 466 280 118 72 45	3,639 1,326 2,313 973 632 364 205	3,028 847 2,181 7,48 596 401 243 193	3,291 2,343 2,343 807 807 443 260
Presence of Related Children Under 18 Total No children With children 1 child 2 children 3 children 4 children 5 children 5 children	7,242 2,320 4,922 2,003 1,368 427 365	2,351 193 2,159 669 562 381 271 275	1,532 90 1,442 437 366 249 193	2,953 314 2,639 810 698 490 331	3,446 3,001 3,001 790 552 365 338	4,306 700 3,806 1,243 989 624 396 394	2,393 194 2,159 669 669 562 381 271	2,497 216 2,281 723 597 402 277	2,400 2,180 2,180 672 563 383 277 285	2,851 494 2,357 923 668 361 225 182	1,565 202 1,364 595 407 177 89	3,639 714 2,925 1,143 789 471 278 245	3,028 2,703 839 722 498 334	3,291 403 2,886 896 766 537 353 334

TABLE D2. Selected Characteristics of Families by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Families as of March 1975)

	1		Scaling	Scaling of Current Measure	unt Measu	ıre	Simplifications of Current Meas	Simplifications of Current Measure		Single-dollar Outoffs	ollar	Relative	Relative Mean	
Selected Characteristics	Income Levels	Current Poverty Measure	758	125%	150%	2008	Nonfarm	Male Nonfarm	Weighted Male Nonfarm	High	30,	50% U.S. Median	Family Med-	508 U.S. 508 4-pers. Family Med-Family Med-
FAMILIES WITH FEMALE HEAD												on on	ian, Adj.	ian, Adj.
Employment Status of Head														
Total In civilian labor force Employed Unemployed	7,242 3,934 3,542 392	2,351 841 632 209	1,532 530 369 161	2,953 1,147 899 248	3,446 1,428 1,148	4,306 1,967 1,050	2,353 842 634	2,497 918 699	2,400 863 649	2,851 1,078 837	1,965 536 · 382	3,639 1,509 1,223	3,028 1,195 944	3, 291 1, 346
Not in civilian labor force	3,308	1,510	1,002	1,806	2,018	2,339	1,510	1,579	214	242	154	286	251	772
Work Experience of Head											1,063	2,130	1,834	1,945
Total Worked last year 50-52 weeks	7,242 4,326 2.715	2,351 947 296	1,532 607	2,953	3,446	4,306	2,393	2,497	2,400	2,851	1,565	3,639	3,028	3,291
Full time 1-49 weeks	2,359	195 650	26.25	324 841	466 992	796	198 198 198	341 227 607	313 208	424	156	678 498	488 345	1,528 577 419
Reason for working part year							8	60	100	803	457	1,025	865	952
Unemployed	500 1,108	196 454	130	268	317	384	196	214	199	256	133	332	277	
Did not work	2,916	1,405	925	1,652	1,826	2,109	1,405	1,458	1,425	548 1,623	325 952	692	587	506 646
Type of Income														1,763
Earned income	5,475	1,180	739	1.618	2,005	316 6			;					
Social Security Dividends, interest	2,437	392	189	574	747	1,107	393	1,28/	1,217 410	1,507 619	735 253	2,117	1,681	1,888
and rent Public assistance	2,139	142	112	217	299	569	144	160	152	271	129	3 7	760	682
Other transfer income a/ Private pensions.	1,098	124	974	1, /49 208	1,930 277	2,133 441	1,473 124	1,544 136	1,495	1,607	869	1,900	232 1,769	276 1,880
alimony, etc.	1,698	379	299	519	651	888	379	408	385	487	330	775	215	258
a/ Includes unemployment and workmen's compen	xd workmen	u-s compens	sation, g	overnmen(	: employe	≫e pensic	sation, government employee pensions, and veterang	Loncroton		ì	877	089	545	614

0

TABLE D3. Selected Characteristics of Unrelated Individuals 14 Years Old and Over by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Unrelated individuals as of March 1975)

			Scaling	of Ourre	Scaling of Current Measure	re	Simplifications of Current Meas	Simplifications of Current Measure		Single-dollar Cutoffs	ollar	Relative	Moaguros	
	All Income	Current Poverty						Male	Weighted Male			50% U.S. Median	50% U.S. 50% U.S. Median Family Med-	50% 4-pers.
Selected Characteristics	Levels	Measure	758	125%	150%	2008	Nonfarm	Nonfarm	Nonfarm	High	LOW	Unadj.	ian, Adj.	
ALL UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS														
Age														
Total	18,872	4,820	2,641	6,823	8,284	10,333	4,839	5,026	5,018	10,506	7,290	4,178	7,100	7 813
14 to 24 years	2,965	948	743	1,251	1,421	1,791	951	1,000	1,000	1,800	1,236	861	1,273	1 358
25 to 64 years	9,405	1,807	1,190	2,346	2,787	3,781	1,815	1,926	1,926	3,703	2,376	1,513	2,424	2,632
65 years and over	6,502	2,065	806	3,236	4,075	4,842	2,073	2,100	2,092	5,004	3,678	1,803	3,403	3,823
Employment Status														
Total	18,872	4,820	2,841	6,832	8,284	10,333	4,839	5,026	5,018	10,506	7,290	4,178	7.100	7 013
In civilian labor force	10,590	1,576	1,092	2,181	2,674	3,794	1,587	1,674	1,673	3,845	2,219	1,373	2,259	7,613
Employed	9,660	1,274	872	1,808	2,253	3,242	1,286	1,358	1,357	3,297	1,847	1,105	1,875	2,089
Undemployed Not in civilian	930	302	770	3/4	775	795	302	316	316	548	372	268	384	404
labor force	8,282	3,244	1,748	4,651	5,610	6,539	3,252	3,352	3,346	6,662	5,071	2,806	4.841	5 371
Work Poperience													•	3,341
metal	000	•		0,00	700	נגני טו	•	,	6			,		
Worked last year	11,475	1,620	1,841	0,832	3,000	4 241	1,839	0,026 1,026	3,018	10,506	7,290	4,178	7,100	7,813
50-52 weeks	7.468		301	808	20, 0	727	9/0/7	700,1	10011	1,312	4/4/2	1,412	2,511	2,791
Full time	6,628	303	179	474	629	1,194	312	337	337	1,244	483	736	821	975
1-49 weeks	4,006	1,180	770	1,608	1,926	2,507	1,182	1,240	1,240	2,507	1,641	900	1 550	587
Reason for working										•			60014	/18/1
part year														
Unemployed	1,428	346	207	489	291	807	348	365	365	814	493	284	206	553
Other	2,578	834	563		1,335	1,700	834	875	875	1,693	1,149	715	1,153	1.263
Uld not work	7,293	3,130	1,767		5,267	6,044	3,136	3,215	3,206	6,153	.4,810	2,763	4,583	5,005
THE WILLIAM FORCES	2	r	4	0	0.7	CT .	*	<del>.</del>	4	<b>†</b>	٥	m	9	16
Type of Income														
Earned income	11,609	1,690	1,068	2,424	3,021	4,308	1,705	1,813	1,813	4,371	2.482	1.418	2 531	
Social Security	6,982		833	3,463	4,387	5,283	2,158	2,234	2,226	5,442	3,910	1,788	3.652	7,811
Dividends, interest,														1171,
and rent	8,014	1,054	561	1,717	2,378	3,296	1,066	1,118	1,116	3,414	1,973	874	1,834	2.164
Public assistance	1,656		206	1,322	1,504	1,562	1,041	1,074	1,074	1,588	1,395	827	1,394	107/2
Other transfer income a/	2,588	329	157	999	933	1,293	329	383	383	1,318	750	273	720	842
Frivate pensions,	000 6	360	7.10	, n	306		6	ć	ć	,	į			1
· - / - / - /	7,003	2	177	r n	02/	1,001	200	388	388	1,140	265	289	582	929
a/ includes unemployment and workmen's compensation, government employee pensions, and veterans' payments	and workme	en's compe	nsation,	governme	ntemplo	yee pens	ions, and	veterans	, payments					

TMBLE D3. Selected Characteristics of Unrelated Individuals 14 Years Old and Over by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Unrelated individuals as of March 1975)

	ווע	4	Scaling	Scaling of Ourrent Measure	ant Meas	ne Ine	Simplifications of Current Meas	Simplifications of Current Measure		Single-dollar	ollar			
Selected Characteristics	Income	Poverty Measure	758	1258	150%	2008	Nonfarm	Male	Weighted Male Nonfarm	46		50% U.S.	50% U.S. 50% U.S. Wedian Family Med-	50% 4-pers.
MALE UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS	ro.									il de la company	3	Chadj.	ian, Adj.	ian, Adj.
Age														
local	7,890	1,607	1,042	2,206	2,679	3,914	1,820	1,620	1.613	3 441	,			
25 to 64 years	4,784	772	336	909	117	951	449	449	449	923	580	1,363	2,284	2,521
65 years and over	1,455	390	190	290	766	1,890 933	394	394	777	1,544	965	550 627	622 1,034	678 1.127
Employment Status								;	ş	*	6/4	340	629	716
To civilian lates of	7,890	1,607	1,042	2,206	2,679	3.914	1.620	1 630	, (2)	;				
Employed	5,608	757	529	1,040	1,285	1,800	767	767	765	3,441	2,218	1,363	2,284	2,521
Unemployed	610	179	131	217	1,032	1,495	588	288	286	1,424	790,7	645 495	1,070	1,192
labor forms				ì	3	2	6/1	6/ <b>1</b>	179	330	212	193	224	951
Taron Torce	2,286	851	513	1,167	1,395	1,874	854	854	848	1 606		i	•	147
Work Experience					•			;	2	7,000	1,216	715	1,214	1,329
Total	7.890	1.607		,	į	;								
Worked last year	5,980	817	515	2,206	2,679	3,914	1,620	1,620	1,613	3,441	2.218	1 363	, , ,	
50-52 weeks	3,810	249	145	379	494	797	827	827	827	1,952	1,110	672	1,192	2,521
rui time	3,511	184	101	274	361	618	192	192	259	754	362	201	396	1,336
Reason for working	7,180	896	370	178	946	1,250	268	268	7.7 268	1.198	259	140	288	438 320
part year											•	4/1	797	897
Unemployed	889	189	123	177	235	1,60	00.	;						
Other Did not the	1,281	379	247	507	610	7 68 2 68	270	687	189	444	265	159		į
In Armed Forces	1, /9/	786	526	1,043	1,224	1,424	789	789	282	7, 7, 7, 0, 7,	483	312	516	579
	707	4	~	9	16	49	4	4	4	47	1,103	889		1,169
Type of Income										!	,	•,	٥	16
Earned income	6.111	718			:									
Social Security	1,686	467	209	1,160	939	2,894	826	826	826	2,002	1,113	671	701 1	
ord work, interest,					}	()	•	4/4	467	1,192	802	372	781	1,349
and rent Public assistance	2,801	259	168	391	527	176	698	26.9	260	סטר		<u>!</u>	10/	880
Other transfer income a/	1 245	4/7	155	346	406	834	274	274	274	000	217	209	418	000
Private pensions,		C F.T	:	258	374	524	143	143	143	511	337 262	231	357	380
alimony, etc.	733	95	53	165	213	332	90	į	į		<b>!</b>	7	2/6	335
a/ Includes unemployment and worldnen's company	d workmen!	S Contrenes	001.15		7	700	č	35	92	344	164	89	174	į
			9, 111, 111,	VCI IUIKUIL	entoroùe	rension	is, and ve	descriptions and veterans' payments.	ayments.				•	194


TABLE D3. Selected Characteristics of Unrelated Individuals 14 Years Old and Over by Alternative Measures of Poverty in 1974 (Numbers in thousands. Unrelated individuals as of March 1975)

							1000							
			Scaling	Scaling of Ourrent Measure	ent Measu	re Fe	simplifications of Current Meas	sumplifications of Current Measure		Single-collar Cutoffs	orrar	Relative	Relative Measures	
Selected Characteristics	All Income Levels	Current Poverty Measure	758	1258	1508	2008	Nonfarm	Male Nonfarm	Weighted Male Nonfarm	High	LOW	50% U.S. Median Umadj.	50% U.S. 50% U.S. Wedian Family Med- Unadj. ian, Adj.	50% 4-pers. Family Med-
FEMALE UNRELATED INDIVIDUALS	SI							_						
Age	10.981	3.212	1,798	4.626	5.604	6.819	3.219	3.406	3.405	7.065	5.072	2.815	4.816	5 201
14 to 24 years	1,313	503	407	644	710	840	503	552	552	877	959	465	651	7,291
25 to 64 years	4,621	1,035	674	1,336	1,585	2,870	1,038	1,148	1,148	2,159	1,411	887	1,390	1,504
65 years and over	5,047	1,675	717	2,645	3,309	3,909	1,678	1,706	1,704	4,030	3,004	1,463	2,774	3,107
Employment Status														
Total	10,981	3,212	1,798	4,626	5,604	6,819	3,219	3,406	3,405	7,065	5,072	2,815	4,816	5,291
In civilian labor force	4,986	818	563	1,142	7,389	ללע, ו נוני	179	90 E	908	2,090	1,21/	725	1,189	1,300
Employed	4,666	96.5	2/4 2/5	286	177,1	1,/4/ 200	96.	5,5	2/0	1,8/3	1,057	610	.1,030	1,137
Unemproyed		123	ָ מַלְ	106	601	707	173	13/	157	777	100	115	160	163
Not in civilian labor force	5,835 5,895	2,393	1,235	3,484	4,215	4,865	2,398	6647	76817	6/6/4	3,855	7,090	3,627	3,992
Work Experience														
Total	10,981	3,212	1,798	4,626	5,604	6,819	3,219	3,406	3,405	7,065	5,072	2,815	4,816	5,291
Worked last year	6,485	898	557	1,258	1,561	2,200	871	980	086	2,360	1,364	741	1,318	1,456
50-52 weeks	3,659	256	157	429	583	943	728	309	309	1,051	471	212	456	536
Full time	3,117	119	77	500	533	576	120	143	145	999	225	96	216	267
1-49 weeks	1,827	612	400	830	980	1,257	614	671	671	1,309	893	529	863	919
Reason for working														
part year	00.3	721	Č	otc	720	340	160	376	32.1	17.0	ניני		ě	
orbar Orbar	1 298	455	316	617	2,5	5	455	496	496	4 6	777	176	977	235
Did not work	5,496	2,344	1,241	3,368	4,043	8,619	2,347	2,426	2,424	4,705	3,708	2,074	3,497	3.836
1													•	
Type of theome														
Earned Income	5,499	874	557	1,264	1,567	2,204	879	986	986	2,369	1,369	746	1.324	1 461
Social Security	5,296	1,079	625	2,731	3,448	4,124	1,684	1,760	1,759	4,249	3,108	1,415	2,871	3,231
DIVIDENCE, INCERESC,	5,212	795	394	1,325	1,852	2,528	767	849	848	2.656	1.562	999	1 415	, 65
Public assistance	1,188	765	391	926	1,097	1,158	767	800	800	1,158	1,038	969	986	1,061
Other transfer income a/ Private pensions,	1,342	917	08	404	666	0//	710	239	239	80/	4. 88	170	444	208
alimony, etc.	1,336	266	163	389	523	749	566	293	293	962	435 1	221	408	463

TABLE D4. Persons by Alternative Poverty Definitions by State, 1969

	Fers.	Ę.						عر		_	•			
	508 4-pers.	ian, k	44,278	1,295 53 427	3,633	458 335 107 191 1,780	1,425 129 156 1,852 862	565 492 1,089 1,392 248	630 721 1,336 1,662	1,117 163 340 76	958 359 3,315 1,546	1,805 738 408 2,167	907 220 1,277 3,248	94 1,140 553 607 785
owige and w	. 50% U.S. Family Med	ian, Adj.	38,977	1,161 46 376	705 3,184	388 294 97 171 1,563	1,271 113 136 1,597 739	481 426 982 1,259 206	553 714 1,171 588 924	989 142 295 64 110	847 319 2,985 1,376 139	1,565 660 355 1,852 155	832 175 1,133 2,882 179	80 1,002 479 542 635
Relative	50% U.S. Median	Unadj.	34,637	1,027	688 2,792	336 267 70 148 1,513	1,123 82 124 1,401 676	461 400 914 1,070 172	472 616 1,033 546 872	923 117 285 55 97	753 270 2,526 1,241 133	1,378 609 329 1,627 134	696 159 1,052 2,462 153	65 867 442 503 511
ollar		ξğ	27,406	32 255	488 2,388	276 234 60 127 1,162	813 73 95 1,217 548	370 330 685 830 133	381 547 886 453 657	740 95 230 48 83	585 188 2,115 842 86	1,160 479 281 1,324 120	502 120 746 1,796 122	55 632 391 381 478
Single-dollar		High	31,777	849 35 291	2,784	321 272 69 145 1,353	935 82 112 1,389 630	436 381 780 937 156	448 637 1,009 525 747	852 117 262 . 54	699 225 2,452 992 109	1,322 560 324 1,540 136	579 142 867 2,107 140	64 738 455 443 565
ę:		Nonfarm	28,066	882 33 270	2,233	276 207 63 130 1,128	956 77 91 1,155 506	327 314 752 972 127	390 483 839 424 779	715 93 210 42 74	575 236 2,075 999 99	1,102 473 251 1,280 115	621 123 856 2,071 125	53 700 339 403 448
Simplifications of Current Measure	Male	Nonfarm	28,141	884 33 268	2,238	275 208 64 130 1,129	960 77 91 1,152 508	328 312 753 973 129	388 - 487 839 423 781	717 95 210 42 74	582 235 2,104 1,003	1,101 476 253 1,286 115	627 122 858 2,071 123	54 705 342 402 446
Simplifications of Current Meas		Nonfarm	27,719	876 32 266 526	2,187	273 204 62 128 1,114	951 76 91 1,133 497	326 306 747 967 128	384 475 826 418 776	706 93 208 41 72	565 233 2,043 994 98	1,080 467 249 1,260 112	623 122 852 2,050 122	53 697 334 398 440
l en		2008	71,807	1,811 87 701	5,917	767 634 169 294 2,802	2,100 220 282 3,046 1,576	1,001 830 1,570 1,904 437	1,067 1,552 2,401 1,265 1,395	1,794 281 599 138 250	1,736 520 5,472 2,337 278	3,245 1,160 700 3,927 307	1,288 320 1,915 4,880	169 1,767 966 920 1,398
Scaling of Current Measure		150%	48,029	1,361 59 464 832	L.	495 369 115 207 1,932	1,528 138 173 1,976 963	624 537 1,159 1,473 273	697 903 1,484 772 1,110	1,223 179 373 85 140	1,052 384 3,600 1,657	1,987 799 442 2,393 189	964 217 1,374 3,491 240	107 1,223 601 654 825
of Curre		1728	37,522	1,120 46 362 684	3,051	368 283 91 168 1,505	1,233 108 130 1,543 710	452 407 950 1,225 197	537 681 1,129 564 951	946 135 281 61 108	814 311 2,826 1,321 131	1,499 637 335: 1,777 150	799 163 1,097 2,781	74 965 459 521 608
Scaling		108	18,780	607 25 791 871	1,505	168 148 36 99 767	661 48 50 786 324	195 198 526 692 80	274 308 308 568 590	457 57 135 28 47	356 171 1,344 678 58	752 296 165 815 79	488 79 583 1,351 77	32 479 218 286 292
	Current Poverty	Medsure	27,397	867 32 266 517	2,181	269 204 62 128 1,113	943 76 88 1,124 486	312 298 727 961 127	382 475 819 398 764	691 92 199 41 71	564 232 2,037 975 94	1,066 457 246 1,254 112	614 114 837 2,034 121	58 688 330 396 422
	All Income	STANATA	197,810	3,376 278 1,728	19,389	2,135 2,952 534 720 6,625	4,465 734 696 10,835 5,061	2,747 . 2,161 3,134 3,547 957	3,813 5,507 8,695 3,711 2,166	4,558 675 1,441 480 715	7,042 993 17,824 4,891 594	10,424 2,469 2,040 11,531	2,481 643 3,833 10,885 1,038	431 4,452 3,299 1,709 4,292
			United States Total	Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas	California	Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida	Georgia Hawaii Idaho ; Illinois ; Indiana	Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Malne	Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi	Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire	New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota	Onio Oklaboma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island	South Carolina South Dakota Ternessee Texas Utah	Vermont Virginia Washington West Virginia Kisconsin

			Scaling	Scaling of Current Measure	int Measu	1	Simplific of Curren	Simplifications of Current Measure		Single-dollar Outoffs	1	Relative A	Measure 50% II.S.	504 4-pers.
( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	All Income Levels	Ourrent Poverty Measure	758	1258	150%		Nonfarm	Male Nonfarm	Weignced Male Nonfarm	High	LOW	Median Unadj.	Family Median, Adj	Family Median, Adj.
United States, Total	52,324	7,930	5,381	11,048	14,378	22,038	8,030	8,148	8,175	5,930	4,983	8,168	11,456	13,168
Alabama	936		201	361	435	895	288	291	292	203	174	772	373	412
Alaska	84		۲ (9	14	150	231	e 28	e 88	. K	» 09	53	84	120	138
Ar iozna Arkansas Cal i fornia	500	161	123	210 857	253 1,11 <b>4</b>	323 1,734	163 620	166 632	167 634	129	107 392	171 655	217 889	236 1,021
California	595		49		. 147	236	88	80	85	55	45	6.5	113	137
Connecticut Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia	763 147 168 168	39	38 10 29	76 29 54 54	103 37 67 542	192 54 96 787	52 19 315	20 20 319	53 19 321	44 11 32 238	27 207 207	328	30 30 439 439	34 62 502
Florida	66C/T .	•			. 6	999	313	316	317	218	187	305	419	430
Georgia Hawaii	1,233		14		44	828	52	22	23	16	41 4	21 25	36	4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4
Idaho Illinois	2,828	307	218	435	581 777	933 484	309 123	314 126	318	₹ <b>₹</b>	506 80 80	315	450 199	530 243
Indiana	1,3/2		()				, ,		9,	ď	47	84	121	149
Iowa	734 563	4 74 3 73	4.4	103	142	235	57.5	5.5	28.6	<b>.</b> 22.5	35.	75	108	137
Kentucky	940		154				330	332	335	248	219	321	426	474
Louisiana Maine	1,056		24.2				36	37	36	56	21	39	61	74
Maryland	1,018		79				113	114	116	88 68 68	73	116	166 191	192 227
Massachusetts	2,45		151					226	228	071	146	225	331	386
Minnesota Mississippi	1,047	7 97 0 262	20¢	330	380	464		708 708 708	700 700 700	205	176	262		366
Missouri	1,18		112				181	184	, 185 26	139	106	184	259 45	306 53
Montana	98									4,	32	59		98
Nevada Nevada New Hampshire	125	25 11 16 16		7 1.7 0 28	37	7.5				12	10	11		34.
New Jersey	1,79							157	155		88	168	242	772
New Mexico New York	4,34				۲,	7		581	576		348	283	825	949
North Carolina North Dakota	1,316	16 309	210 18	0 419 8 42	522	717	2 25	32	318	213	178	34	435 45	487 51
Ohio	2,81				585	1,024	289	296	298	226	198	302	436	519
Oktahoma Oregon	, y					•		19 3			3,	99		106
Pennsylvania Rhode Island	2,893 229	93 31.7 29 28	195 20	480		-		3 2			77	28		600 46
South Carolina	נג							222		159	136	208		313
South Dakota Tennessee	1,0,1	189 32	168	334	4 422	584	257	259	258	183	152	258	345	392
Texas Utah	9, 9, 9,					-		32		23	19	31		1,03/
Vermont	17.									159				86
Washington	880	78 78	4.8	111	154	273	79	811	80	63 92	12 57	89 116	116	140
Wisconsin	1,1									83				212
Wycarus														;









FIGURE 1 School-Age Children: Poverty Rate and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1974






SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Gurrant Population Survey.


FIGURE 2 Elderly Persons: Poverty Rate and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1974






SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.


FIGURE 3 Black Persons: Poverty Rate and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1974






SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March 1975 Current Population Survey.

FIGURE 4 Persons in Female-Headed Families: Poverty Rate and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, 1974



SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March Current Population Survey for 1968, 1970, 1972, 1974, and 1975.

FIGURE 5 Number of Persons in Poverty Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, for Selected Years, 1967-1974



SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the March Current Population Survey for 1968, 1970, 1972, 1974, and 1975.

FIGURE 6 Percent of Persons in Poverty Under Alternative Poverty Definitions, for Selected Years, 1967-1974




FIGURE 7 Poverty Rates for Related Children 5 to 17 Years and Persons 65 years and over Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions 1967 and 1974




FIGURE 8 Elderly as a Percent of All Poverty Persons Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions 1967-1974




FIGURE 9 Persons in Families with a Female Head as a Percent of All Poverty Persons Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions 1967-1974





FIGURE 10 Families with Public Assistance Poverty Rates and Percent of the Poverty Population Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions 1967 and 1974



SOURCE: Special tabulations by the Census Bureau from the 1:100 Sample of the 1970 Census of Population

FIGURE 13 Regional Share of Poor School-Aged Children Under Alternative Poverty Definitions as a Ratio of Regional Share Under Current Poverty Definition, 1969




FIGURE 11 Families with Earnings as a Percent of All Poverty Families Under Selected Alternative Poverty Definitions 1967-1974