

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.125

Quality on October 6, 1997 as meeting requirements if section 175(A) of the Clean Air Act and the requirements of EPA's Limited Maintenance Plan option. The Administrator approves the Emissions Inventory contained in the Maintenance Plan as meeting the requirements of section 172(c)(3) of the Clean Air Act.

(j) The Administrator is approving the following elements of the Metropolitan Phoenix PM-10 Nonattainment Area Serious Area PM-10 Plan as contained in *Revised Maricopa Association of Governments 1999 Serious Area Particulate Plan for PM-10 for the Maricopa County Nonattainment Area*, February 2000, submitted February 16, 2000 and *Maricopa County PM-10 Serious Area State Implementation Plan Revision, Agricultural Best Management Practices (BMP)*, ADEQ, June 2000, submitted on June 13, 2001:

(1) 1994 Base year emission inventory pursuant to Clean Air Act section 172(c)(3).

(2) The Provisions for implementing on all significant source categories reasonably available control measures (except for agricultural sources) and best available control measures for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to section Clean Air Act sections 189(a)(1)(c) and 189(b)(1)(b)).

(3) The demonstration of the impracticability of attainment by December 31, 2001 for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 189(b)(1)(A)(ii).

(4) The demonstration of attainment by the most expeditious alternative date practicable for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 189(b)(1)(A)(ii).

(5) The demonstration of reasonable further progress for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 172(c)(2).

(6) The quantitative milestones for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 189(c).

(7) The inclusion of the most stringent measures for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 188(e).

(8) The demonstration that major sources of PM-10 precursors do not contribute significantly to violations for

the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 189(e).

(9) The contingency measures for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 172(c)(9).

(10) The transportation conformity budget for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 176(c).

(11) The provisions for assuring adequate resources, personnel, and legal authority to carry out the plan for the annual and 24-hour PM-10 NAAQS pursuant to Clean Air Act section 110(a)(2)(E)(i).

(k) The Administrator approves the revised Enhanced Vehicle Inspection and Maintenance Program for the Maricopa County carbon monoxide and ozone nonattainment area submitted by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality on July 6, 2001 and April 10, 2002 as meeting the requirements of Clean Air Act sections 182(c)(3) and 187(a)(6) and the requirements for high enhanced inspection and maintenance programs contained in 40 CFR part 51, subpart S.

[38 FR 33373, Dec. 3, 1973, as amended at 48 FR 254, Jan. 4, 1983; 51 FR 3336, Jan. 27, 1986; 51 FR 33750, Sept. 23, 1986; 62 FR 41864, Aug. 4, 1997; 63 FR 28904, May 27, 1998; 63 FR 41350, Aug. 3, 1998; 65 FR 36358, June 8, 2000; 67 FR 48739, July 25, 2002; 68 FR 2914, Jan. 22, 2003]

§ 52.124 Part D disapproval.

(a) The following portions of the Arizona SIP are disapproved because they do not meet the requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act.

(1) The attainment demonstration, conformity and contingency portions of the 1987 Maricopa Association of Governments Carbon Monoxide Plan and 1988 Addendum.

(2) [Reserved]

(b)-(c) [Reserved]

[56 FR 5478, Feb. 11, 1991, as amended at 62 FR 41864, Aug. 4, 1997; 63 FR 41350, Aug. 3, 1998; 65 FR 36358, June 8, 2000; 67 FR 48739, July 25, 2002]

§ 52.125 Control strategy and regulations: Sulfur oxides.

(a)(1) The requirements of subpart G of this chapter are not met since the control strategy does not analyze the impact of smelter fugitive emissions on

§ 52.125

40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-04 Edition)

ambient air quality (except at Hayden, Arizona) in the Central Arizona Intrastate, the Pima Intrastate, and the Southeast Arizona Intrastate (Cochise and Greenlee counties) Regions. Arizona must submit these smelter fugitive emissions control strategies to EPA by August 1, 1984. In addition, the requirements of § 51.281 of this chapter are not met since the plan does not require permanent control of fugitive smelter emissions necessary to attain and maintain the national standards for sulfur oxides. The control strategy for Hayden shows that these controls are required to attain and maintain the national standards, and the fugitive control strategy analyses required above may show that they are required for some or all of the other smelter towns in Arizona. Arizona must submit all fugitive emissions control regulations necessary to attain and maintain the national standards for sulfur oxides to EPA by August 1, 1984. Therefore, the control strategies and regulations for the six smelter areas in the Central Arizona Intrastate, the Pima Intrastate and the Southeast Arizona Intrastate (Cochise and Greenlee counties) Regions are incomplete due to Arizona's failure to address the fugitive emissions problems at copper smelters.

(2) Regulation 7-1-4.1 (copper smelters) of the Arizona Rules and Regulations for Air Pollution Control, as it pertains to existing copper smelters, is disapproved for the Central Arizona Intrastate, Pima Intrastate and Southeast Arizona Intrastate (Cochise and Greenlee counties) Regions.

(b) The requirements of subpart G and § 51.281 of this chapter are not met since the plan does not provide the degree of control necessary to attain and maintain the national standards for sulfur oxides in the Northern Arizona Intrastate Region. Therefore, Regulation 7-1-4.2(C) (fuel burning installations) of the Arizona Rules and Regulations for Air Pollution Control, as it pertains to existing sources, is disapproved in the Northern Arizona Intrastate Region for steam power generating installations having a total rated capacity equal to or greater than 6,500 million B.t.u. per hour.

(c) *Replacement regulation for Regulation 7-1-4.2(C) (Fossil fuel-fired steam*

generators in the Northern Arizona Intrastate Region). (1) This paragraph is applicable to the fossil fuel-fired steam generating equipment designated as Units 1, 2, and 3 at the Navajo Power Plant in the Northern Arizona Intrastate Region (§ 81.270 of this chapter).

(2) No owner or operator of the fossil fuel-fired steam generating equipment to which this paragraph is applicable shall discharge or cause the discharge of sulfur oxides into the atmosphere in excess of the amount prescribed by the following equations:

$$E = 12,245 S \text{ or } e = 1,540 S$$

where:

E = Allowable sulfur oxides emissions (lb./hr.) from all affected units.

e = Allowable sulfur oxides emissions (gm./sec.) from all affected units.

S = Sulfur content, in percent by weight, prior to any pretreatment of the fuel being burned.

(3) For the purposes of this paragraph:

(i) E shall not exceed 21,270 lb./hr. (2,680 gm./sec.).

(ii) If the sum of sulfur oxides emissions from Units 1, 2, and 3 would be less than 3,780 lb./hr. (475 gm./sec.) without the use of emission control equipment, the requirements of paragraphs (2), (4)(i) and (5) of this paragraph (c), shall not apply for the period of time that the emissions remain below this level.

(iii) The applicability of paragraph (c)(2)(ii) of this section may be determined through a sulfur balance utilizing the analyzed sulfur content of the fuel being burned and the total rate of fuel consumption in all affected units.

(4)(i) No owner or operator of the fossil fuel-fired steam generating equipment subject to this paragraph shall discharge or cause the discharge of sulfur oxides into the atmosphere from any affected unit in excess of the amount prescribed by the following equations, except as provided in paragraph (3)(ii) of this paragraph (c).

$$E_1 = 0.333 E \text{ or } e_1 = 0.333 e$$

where:

E = Allowable sulfur oxides emissions (lb./hr.) from all affected units as determined pursuant to paragraph (2) of this paragraph.

Environmental Protection Agency

§ 52.125

e = Allowable sulfur oxides emissions (gm./sec.) from all affected units as determined pursuant to paragraph (2) of this paragraph (c).

E_1 = Allowable sulfur oxides emissions (lb./hr.) from each affected unit.

e_1 = Allowable sulfur oxides emissions (gm./sec.) from each affected unit.

(ii) The owner or operator of the fossil fuel-fired steam generating equipment to which this paragraph is applicable may submit a request to redesignate the allowable emissions specified in paragraph (c)(4)(i) of this section. Such a request shall be submitted no later than December 2, 1974, and shall demonstrate that sulfur oxides emissions on a total plant basis will not exceed those specified in paragraphs (2) and (3)(i) of this paragraph (c). Upon receipt and evaluation of such request, the Administrator shall consider such and if appropriate, redesignate the allowable emissions specified in paragraph (c)(4)(i) of this section.

(5) All sulfur oxides control equipment at the fossil fuel-fired steam generating equipment to which this paragraph is applicable shall be operated at the maximum practicable efficiency at all times, without regard to the allowable sulfur oxides emissions, determined according to paragraph (2) or (3) of this paragraph (c), except as provided in paragraph (3)(ii) of this paragraph (c).

(6) Compliance with this paragraph shall be in accordance with the provisions of § 52.134(a).

(7) The test methods and procedures used to determine compliance with this paragraph shall be those prescribed in § 60.46(c)(2) and (c)(4) of this chapter. The test methods for determining the sulfur content of fuel shall be those specified in § 60.45(c) and (d) of this chapter.

(d)-(e) [Reserved]

(f)(1) Paragraphs B through E of regulation 7-1-4.2 (R9-3-402) (Sulfur Emissions: Fuel Burning Installations) of the Arizona Air Pollution Control Regulations are disapproved because they could allow existing oil fired facilities to use dispersion dependent techniques alone as a means of attaining and maintaining the national ambient air quality standards. The regulation does not assure the attainment and maintenance of the national standards in a

manner which is consistent with the intent of sections 110(a)(2)(B) and 123(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act.

(2) The approval of paragraphs A and F of regulation 7-1-4.2 as to coal fired facilities does not apply to the Salt River Project Agricultural Improvement and Power District-Navajo Generating Station.

(3) Paragraphs B through E of regulation 8-1-4.2 (Sulfur Emissions—Fuel Burning Installations) of the Yuma County Air Pollution Control Regulations are disapproved because they could allow existing facilities to use dispersion dependent techniques alone as a means of attaining and maintaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standards. This regulation does not assure the attainment and maintenance of the national standards in a manner which is consistent with the intent of sections 110(a)(2)(B) and 123(a)(2) of the Clean Air Act.

(g) Section 3, Regulation 3 (Sulfur from Primary Copper Smelters) of the Mohave County Health Department Air Pollution Control Regulations and Regulation 7-3-2.1 (Copper Smelters) of the Pinal-Gila Counties Air Quality Control District are disapproved since Section 36-1706 of the Arizona Revised Statutes grants exclusive jurisdiction to the Arizona Department of Health Services and the State Hearing Board over all existing copper smelters.

(1) The requirements of § 51.13 of this chapter are not met since the plan does not demonstrate that the emission limitations applicable to existing fuel burning equipment producing electrical energy will provide for the attainment and maintenance of the national standards in the Pima Intrastate Region (§ 81.269 of this chapter).

(2) Regulation II: Rule 7A—paragraphs 2 through 5, Emission Limitations Fuel Burning Equipment—Sulfur Dioxide, of the Rules and Regulations of the Pima County Air Pollution Control District are disapproved because they could allow existing facilities to use dispersion dependent techniques along as a means of attaining and maintaining the National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The regulation does not assure the attainment and maintenance of the national standards in a manner which is consistent with the

§ 52.126

intent of section 110(a)(2)(B) of the Clean Air Act.

[37 FR 15081, July 27, 1972]

EDITORIAL NOTE: For FEDERAL REGISTER citations affecting § 52.125, see the List of CFR Sections Affected, which appears in the Finding Aids section of the printed volume and on GPO Access.

§ 52.126 Control strategy and regulations: Particulate matter.

(a) The requirements of subpart G and § 51.281 of this chapter are not met since the plan does not provide the degree of control necessary to attain and maintain the national standards for particulate matter in Gila, Maricopa, Pima, Pinal, and Santa Cruz Counties. Therefore, Regulation 7-1-3.6 (process industries) of the Arizona Rules and Regulations for Air Pollution Control is disapproved for Gila, Maricopa, Pima, Pinal, and Santa Cruz Counties.

(b) *Replacement regulation for Regulation 7-1-3.6 of the Arizona Rules and Regulations for Air Pollution Control (Gila, Maricopa, Pima, Pinal, and Santa Cruz Counties).* (1) No owner or operator of any stationary process source in Gila, Maricopa, Pima, Pinal, or Santa Cruz County shall discharge or cause the discharge of particulate matter into the atmosphere in excess of the hourly rate shown in the following table for the process weight rate identified for such source:

[In pounds per hour]

Process weight rate	Emission rate	Process weight rate	Emission rate
50	0.36	60,000	29.60
100	0.55	80,000	31.19
500	1.53	120,000	33.28
1,000	2.25	160,000	34.85
5,000	6.34	200,000	36.11
10,000	9.73	400,000	40.35
20,000	14.99	1,000,000	46.72

(2) Paragraph (b)(1) of this section shall not apply to incinerators, fuel burning installations, or Portland cement plants having a process weight rate in excess of 250,000 lb/h.

(3) No owner or operator of a Portland cement plant in Gila, Maricopa, Pima, Pinal, or Santa Cruz County with a process weight rate in excess of 250,000 lb/hr shall discharge or cause the discharge of particulate matter into the atmosphere in excess of the

amount specified in § 60.62 of this chapter.

(4) Compliance with this paragraph shall be in accordance with the provisions of § 52.134(a).

(5) The test methods and procedures used to determine compliance with this paragraph are set forth below. The methods referenced are contained in the appendix to part 60 of this chapter. Equivalent methods and procedures may be used if approved by the Administrator.

(i) For each sampling repetition, the average concentration of particulate matter shall be determined by using method 5. Traversing during sampling by method 5 shall be according to method 1. The minimum sampling time shall be 2 hours and the minimum sampling volume shall be 60 ft³(1.70 m³), corrected to standard conditions on a dry basis.

(ii) The volumetric flow rate of the total effluent shall be determined by using method 2 and traversing according to method 1. Gas analysis shall be performed using the integrated sample technique of method 3, and moisture content shall be determined by the condenser technique of method 4.

(iii) All tests shall be conducted while the source is operating at the maximum production or combustion rate at which such source will be operated. During the tests, the source shall burn fuels or combinations of fuels, use raw materials, and maintain process conditions representative of normal operation, and shall operate under such other relevant conditions as the Administrator shall specify.

(c) The requirements of § 51.281 of this chapter are not met since the plan does not contain regulations for Mohave and Yuma Counties in the Mohave-Yuma Intrastate Region or Pinal-Gila Counties in the Central Arizona Intrastate Region which provide enforceable and reproducible test procedures for the determination of compliance with the emission standards. Therefore paragraph C of section 3, regulation 2 (Particulates: Other Sources) of the Mohave County Air Pollution Control Regulations, paragraph B of regulation