subpart, except for those affected facilities specified in paragraphs (b)(3) and (b)(4) of this section.

- (3) The owner or operator of each specified affected facility in table 6 of this subpart must achieve the increments of progress according to the schedule in table 6 of this subpart.
- (4) For affected facilities that are subject to the schedule requirements of paragraph (b)(1) or (b)(2) of this section, the owner or operator (or the State air pollution control authority) may submit for approval alternative dates for achieving increments 2, 3, and 4. The owner or operator (or the State air pollution control authority) that is submitting these alternative dates must meet the reporting requirements of §62.14109(m).
- (c) The owner or operator of an affected facility that has ceased operation but will reopen prior to the applicable final compliance date specified in paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(4) of this section must meet the same compliance dates and increments of progress specified in paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(4) of this section.
- (d) The owner or operator of an affected facility that has ceased or ceases operation of an affected facility and restarts the affected facility after the compliance dates specified in paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(4) of this section must comply with the emission limits, requirements for combustor operating practices, and operator training and certification requirements of this subpart upon the date the affected facility restarts. The initial performance tests required by §62.14109(c) must be conducted within 180 days after the date the unit restarts.
- (e) The owner or operator of an affected facility that will be de-rated prior to the applicable final compliance date instead of complying with the emission limits of this subpart must meet the same increments of progress and achieve the de-rating by the final compliance date (specified in paragraphs (b)(1) through (b)(4) of this section) that would be applicable to the affected facility if it did not de-rate. The owner or operator of an affected facility that will be de-rated must meet the reporting requirements of \$62.14109k. After de-rating is accom-

plished, the municipal waste combustor affected facility is no longer subject to this subpart.

#### § 62.14109 Reporting and recordkeeping and compliance and performance testing.

- (a) The owner or operator of an affected facility must comply with the reporting and recordkeeping provisions listed in 40 CFR 60.59b of subpart Eb, except as provided in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) of this section.
- (1) The siting requirements under 40 CFR 60.59b(a), (b)(5), and (d)(11) of subpart Eb and the notification of construction requirements under 40 CFR 60.59b(b) and (c) of subpart Eb do not apply.
- (2) 40 CFR 60.54b, 60.56b, and 60.58b(g)(5)(iii) of subpart Eb do not apply to this subpart (see §§ 62.14105 and 62.14107 of this subpart).
- (b) The owner or operator of an affected facility must comply with the compliance and performance testing methods and procedures listed in 40 CFR 60.58b of subpart Eb, except as provided in paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section.
- (c) The initial performance test must be completed within 180 days after the date of final compliance specified in §62.14108, rather than the date for the initial performance test specified in 40 CFR 60.58b of subpart Eb.
- (d) The owner or operator of an affected facility may follow the alternative performance testing schedule for dioxin/furan emissions specified in paragraph (d)(1) of this section.
- (1) If all performance tests for all affected facilities at the MWC plant over a 2-year period indicate that dioxin/ furan emissions are less than or equal to 15 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter total mass, corrected to 7 percent oxygen for all affected facilities located within a municipal waste combustor plant, the owner or operator of the municipal waste combustor plant may elect to conduct annual performance tests for one affected facility (i.e., unit) per year at the municipal waste combustor plant. At a minimum, a performance test for dioxin/furan emissions shall be conducted annually (no

## § 62.14109

more than 12 months following the previous performance test) for one affected facility at the municipal waste combustor plant. Each year a different affected facility at the municipal waste combustor plant shall be tested, and the affected facilities at the plant shall be tested in sequence (e.g., unit 1, unit 2, unit 3, as applicable). If each annual performance test continues to indicate a dioxin/furan emission level less than or equal to 15 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter (total mass), the owner or operator may continue conducting a performance test on only one affected facility per year. If any annual performance test indicates a dioxin/furan emission level greater than nanograms per dry standrd cubic meter (total mass), performance tests thereafter shall be conducted annually on all affected facilities at the plant until and unless all annual performance tests for all affected facilities at the plant over a 2-year period indicate a dioxin/furan emission level less than or equal to 15 nanograms per dry standard cubic meter (total mass).

- (2) The owner or operator who is following the alternative performance testing schedule for dioxin/furan emissions specified in paragraph (d)(1) of this section may choose an alternative testing sequence (e.g., unit 1, 3, 2, 4) for affected facilities at the municipal waste combustor plant. The owner or operator must submit a request to EPA for approval of the alternative testing sequence. After approval, the alternative testing sequence is effective until a different testing sequence is received and approved by EPA.
- (e) The owner or operator of an affected facility that is taking longer than 1 year after the date of publication of this subpart FFF final rule to comply with the emission limits of this subpart must submit notification to the EPA Regional Office within 10 business days of completing each increment. Each notification must indicate which increment of progress specified in §62.14108(a)(1) through (a)(5) has been achieved. The notification must be signed by the owner or operator of the affected facility.
- (f) The owner or operator of an affected facility that is taking longer than 1 year after the date of publica-

tion of this subpart FFF to comply with the emission limits of this subpart who fails to meet any increment of progress specified in §62.14108(a)(1) through (a)(5) according to the applicable schedule in §62.14108 must submit notification to the EPA Regional Office within 10 business days of the applicable date in §62.14108 that the owner or operator failed to meet the increment.

- (g) The owner or operator of an affected facility that is taking longer than 1 year after the date of publication of this subpart FFF to comply with the emission limits of this subpart must submit a final control plan by the date specified in §62.14108(b) with the notification required by §62.14109(e). The final control plan must, at a minimum, include a description of the air pollution control devices or process changes that will be employed for each unit to comply with the emission limits and other requirements of this subpart.
- (h) The owner or operator of an affected facility that is taking longer than 1 year after the date of publication of this subpart FFF to comply with the emission limits of this subpart must submit a signed copy of the contract or contracts awarded according to the requirements of §62.14108(a)(2) with the notification required by §62.14109(e).
- (i) The owner or operator of an affected facility that is taking longer than 1 year after the date of publication of this subpart FFF to comply with the emission limits of this subpart must keep on site a copy of the final control plan required by §62.14109(g).
- (j) The owner or operator of an affected facility that plans to cease operation of the affected facility on or before December 19, 2000 rather than comply with the emission limits of this subpart by the applicable compliance date specified in §62.14108 must submit a notification by the date specified for the final control plan according to the schedule specified in paragraphs §62.14108(b)(1) through (b)(4), as applicable. (Affected facilities that cease operation on or before December 19, 2000 rather than comply with the emission

## **Environmental Protection Agency**

limits of this subpart by the compliance date specified in §62.14108 are not required to submit a final control plan.) The notification must state the date by which the affected facility will cease operation. If the cease operation date is later than 1 year after the date of publication of this subpart FFF, the owner or operator must enter into a legally binding closure agreement with EPA by the date the final control plan is due. The agreement must specify the date by which operation will cease.

- (k) The owner or operator of an affected facility that plans to de-rate the affected facility on or before December 19, 2000 rather than comply with the emission limits of this subpart by the compliance date specified in §62.14108 must submit a final control plan as required by paragraph (g) of this section and submit notification of increments of progress as required by paragraphs (e) and (f) of this section and §62.14108(e) of this subpart.
- (1) The final control plan must, at a minimum, include the information in paragraphs (k)(1)(i) and (k)(1)(ii) of this section rather than the information in paragraph (g) of this section.
- (i) A description of the physical changes that will be made to accomplish the de-rating.
- (ii) Calculations of the current maximum combustion capacity and the planned maximum combustion capacity after the de-rating. (See the procedures specified in 40 CFR 60.58b(j) of

subpart Eb for calculating municipal waste combustor unit capacity.)

(2) The owner or operator must submit a signed copy of the contract or contracts awarded to initiate the derating with the notification required by paragraph (e) of this section.

(I) The owner or operator of an affected facility that is ceasing operation more than 1 year following the date of publication of this subpart FFF must submit performance test results for dioxin/furan emissions conducted during or after 1990 for each affected facility by the date 1 year after the date of publication of this subpart FFF. The performance test shall be conducted according to the procedure in paragraph (b) of this section.

(m) The owner or operator (or the State air pollution control authority) that is submitting alternative dates for increments 2, 3, and 4 according to §62.14108(b)(4) must submit the alternative dates by the date specified for the final control plan according to the schedule specified in paragraphs §62.14108 (b)(1) and (b)(2), as applicable. The owner or operator (or the State air pollution control authority) must submit a justification if any of the alternative dates are later than the increment dates in tables 4 or 5 of this subpart. The owner or operator must also submit the alternative dates and justification to the State.

[63 FR 63202, Nov. 12, 1998; 64 FR 17219, Apr. 8, 1999]

TABLE 1 TO SUBPART FFF OF PART 62—MUNICIPAL WASTE COMBUSTOR UNITS (MWC UNITS) EXCLUDED FROM SUBPART FFF <sup>1</sup>

| State     | MWC units   |  |  |  |  |
|-----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Alabama   | Existing facilities with an MWC unit capacity greater than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste at the following MWC sites:                            |  |  |  |  |
|           | (a) Solid Waste Disposal Authority of the City of Huntsville, Alabama.  |  |  |  |  |
| Florida   | Existing MWC units with capacity to combust more than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste.  |  |  |  |  |
| Georgia   | Existing facilities with a MWC unit capacity greater than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste at the following MWC sites:                             |  |  |  |  |
|           | (a) Savannah Energy Systems Company, Savannah, Georgia.   |  |  |  |  |
| Illinois  | Existing MWC units located at Robbins Resource Recovery Center, Robbins, Illinois.  |  |  |  |  |
| Maine     | Existing facilities with an MWC unit capacity greater than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste at the following MWC sites:                            |  |  |  |  |
|           | (a) Penobscot Energy Recovery Company, Orrington, Maine.  |  |  |  |  |
|           | (b) Maine Energy Recovery Company, Biddeford, Maine.  |  |  |  |  |
|           | (c) Regional Waste Systems, Inc., Portland, Maine.  |  |  |  |  |
| Maryland  | Existing MWC facilities with an MWC unit capacity greater than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste.   |  |  |  |  |
| Minnesota | All MWC units with unit capacities greater than 93.75 million British thermal units per hour on a heat input basis (250 tons per day) located in Minnesota. |  |  |  |  |
| New York  | Existing MWC units with capacity to combust more than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste   |  |  |  |  |

## 40 CFR Ch. I (7-1-04 Edition)

| State          | MWC units  |
|----------------|--|
| Oklahoma       | Existing MWC facilities with an MWC unit capacity greater than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste at the following MWC site:  |
|                | Ogden-Martin Systems of Tulsa, Incorporated, 2122 South Yukon Avenue, Tulsa, Oklahoma.   |
| Oregon         | Existing facilities at the following MWC sites:  |
|                | (a) Ogden Martin Systems, Marion County, Oregon.   |
|                | (b) Coos County, Coos Bay, Oregon.   |
| Pennsylvania   | Existing MWC facilities with an MWC unit capacity greater than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste at the following MWC site:  |
|                | (a) American Ref-fuel of Delaware Valley, LP (formerly Delaware County Resource Recovery facility), City of Chester, PA.   |
|                | <ul> <li>(b) Harrisburg Materials, Energy, Recycling and Recovery Facility, City of Harrisburg, PA.</li> <li>(c) Lancaster County Solid Waste Management Authority, Conoy Township, Lancaster County, PA.</li> <li>(d) Montenay Montgomery Limited Partnership, Plymouth Township, Montgomery County, PA.</li> </ul> |
|                | (e) Wheelabrator Falls, Inc., Falls Township, Bucks County, PA. (f) York County Solid Waste and Refuse Authority, York, PA.  |
| South Carolina | Existing facilities with a MWC unit capacity greater than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste at the following MWC sites:  |
|                | (a) Foster Wheeler Charleston Resource Recovery Facility, Charleston, South Carolina.  |
| Tennessee      | Existing MWC units with capacity to combust more than 250 tons per day of municipal solid waste.   |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Notwithstanding the exclusions in table 1 of this subpart, this subpart applies to affected facilities not regulated by an EPA approved and currently effective State or Tribal plan.

[63 FR 63202, Nov. 12, 1998, as amended at 65 FR 33468, May 24, 2000]

TABLE 2 TO SUBPART FFF OF PART 62—NITROGEN OXIDES REQUIREMENTS FOR AFFECTED FACILITIES

| Municipal waste combustor technology |      |  |  |  |
|--------------------------------------|------|--|--|--|
| Mass burn waterwall                  | 205. |  |  |  |
| Mass burn rotary waterwall           |      |  |  |  |
| Refuse-derived fuel combustor        |      |  |  |  |
| Fluidized bed combustor              |      |  |  |  |
| Mass burn refractory combustors      |      |  |  |  |

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Corrected to 7 percent oxygen, dry basis.

Table 3 to Subpart FFF of Part 62—Municipal Waste Combustor Operating REQUIREMENTS

| Municipal waste combustor technology                                | Carbon mon-<br>oxide emis-<br>sions level<br>(parts per mil-<br>lion by vol-<br>ume) a | Averaging time (hrs) b |
|---|--|------------------------|
| Mass burn waterwall   | 100  | 4                      |
| Mass burn refractory  | 100  | 4                      |
| Mass burn rotary refractory   | 100  | 24                     |
| Mass burn rotary waterwall  | 250  | 24                     |
| Modular starved air   | 50   | 4                      |
| Modular excess air  | 50   | 4                      |
| Refuse-derived fuel stoker  | 200  | 24                     |
| Bubbling fluidized bed combustor                                    | 100  | 4                      |
| Circulating fluidized bed combustor                                 | 100  | 4                      |
| Pulverized coal/refuse-derived fuel mixed fuel-fired combustor      | 150  | 4                      |
| Spreader stoker coal/refuse-derived fuel mixed fuel-fired combustor | 200  | 24                     |

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Measured at the combustor outlet in conjunction with a measurement of oxygen concentration, corrected to 7 percent oxygen, dry basis. Calculated as an arithmetic average.
 <sup>b</sup> Averaging times are 4-hour or 24-hour block averages.

## **Environmental Protection Agency**

TABLE 4 TO SUBPART FFF OF PART 62—GENERIC COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE AND INCREMENTS OF PROGRESS (PRE-1987 MWCS) A B

| Affected facilities   | Increment 1<br>Submit final con-<br>trol plan | Increment 2<br>Award con-<br>tracts | Increment 3<br>Begin on-site<br>construction | Increment 4<br>Complete on-<br>site construc-<br>tion | Increment 5<br>Final compli-<br>ance |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|--------------------------------------|
| Affected facilities that commenced construction, modification, or reconstruction on or before June 26, 1987 (All pollutants). | January 11, 1999                              | 05/18/99                            | 11/16/99                                     | 11/19/00  | 12/19/00                             |

TABLE 5 TO SUBPART FFF OF PART 62—GENERIC COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES AND INCREMENTS OF PROGRESS

[Post-1987 MWCs]ab

| Affected facilities   | Increment 1 Submit final control plan | Increment 2<br>Award<br>contracts | Increment 3<br>Begin on-<br>site con-<br>struction | Increment 4<br>Complete<br>on-site con-<br>struction | Increment<br>5<br>Final com-<br>pliance              |
|---|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Affected facilities that commenced construction modification, or reconstruction after June 26, 1987:  1. Emission limits for Hg, dioxin/furan | NA°                                   | NA°                               | NA°  | NA°  | 11/12/99<br>or 1 year<br>after<br>permit<br>issuanc- |
| 2. Emission limits for $\text{SO}_2,$ HCl, PM, Pb, Cd, opacity CO, $\text{NO}_X.$   | Janu-<br>ary<br>11,<br>1999.          | 05/18/99                          | 11/16/99   | 11/19/00   | 12/19/00.  |

 $[63\; FR\; 63202,\; Nov.\; 12,\; 1998,\; as\; amended\; at\; 65\; FR\; 33468,\; May\; 24,\; 2000]$ 

TABLE 6 TO SUBPART FFF OF PART 62—SITE-SPECIFIC COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES AND INCREMENTS OF PROGRESS A

| Affected facilities at the following MWC sites | City, State                           | Increment 1<br>Submit final<br>control plan | Increment 2<br>Award contracts | Increment 3 Begin on-site construction | Increment 4<br>Complete<br>on-site con-<br>struction | Increment 5<br>Final com-<br>pliance c |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Stanislaus Resource<br>Recovery Facility.      | Crows Landing,<br>California.         | January 11,<br>1999.                        | 01/19/00                       | 05/19/00                               | 11/19/00   | 12/19/00                               |
| Southeast Resource<br>Recovery Facility.       | Long Beach, Cali-<br>fornia.          | January 11,<br>1999.                        | 04/30/99                       | 10/31/99                               | 04/30/00   | 12/19/00                               |
| All large MWC units                            | Maine                                 | January 11,<br>1999.                        | 01/01/99                       | 07/01/99                               | 09/01/00   | 12/19/00                               |
| Baltimore Resco                                | Baltimore, Maryland                   | January 11,                                 | January 11,<br>1999.           | January 11,                            | 09/01/00   | 12/19/00                               |
| All large MWC units                            | New Jersey b                          | January 11,                                 | 05/18/99                       | 11/14/99                               | 11/19/00   | 12/19/00                               |
| American Ref-Fuel                              | Delaware County,<br>Pennsylvania.     | 11/01/98                                    | 05/18/99                       | 11/14/99                               | 11/19/00   | 12/19/00                               |
| Montenay Energy<br>Resource.                   | Montgomery Coun-<br>ty, Pennsylvania. | 11/01/98                                    | 05/18/99                       | 11/14/99                               | 11/19/00   | 12/19/00                               |

a Table 4 or 5 of this subpart applies to MWC units subject to the Federal plan except those with site-specific compliance schedules shown in Table 6 of this subpart.

b As an alternative to this schedule, the owner or operator may close the affected facility by December 19, 2000, complete the retrofit while the affected facility is closed, and achieve final compliance upon restarting. See §§ 62.14108(c), 62.14108(d), and 62.14109(i) of this subpart.

a Table 4 or 5 of this subpart applies to MWC units subject to the Federal plan except those with site-specific compliance schedules shown in table 6 of this subpart.

b As an alternative to this schedule, the unit may close by December 19, 2000, complete retrofit while closed, and achieve final compliance upon restarting. See §§ 62.14108(c), 62.14108(d), and 62.14109(i) of this subpart.

c Because final compliance is achieved in 1 year, no increments of progress are required.

d Permit issuance is issuance of a revised construction permit or revised operating permit, if a permit modification is required to retrofit controls.

c Final compliance must be achieved no later than December 19, 2000, even if the date "1 year after permit issuance" exceeds December 19, 2000.

## § 62.14350

| Affected facilities at the following MWC sites  | City, State                 | Increment 1<br>Submit final<br>control plan | Increment 2<br>Award contracts | Increment 3 Begin on-site construction | Increment 4<br>Complete<br>on-site con-<br>struction | Increment 5<br>Final com-<br>pliance c |
|---|-----------------------------|---|--------------------------------|--|--|--|
| I–95 Energy/Re-<br>source Recovery<br>Facility. | Lorton, Virginia            | January 11,<br>1999.                        | 10/15/99                       | 03/01/00                               | 11/19/00   | 12/19/00                               |
| New Hanover Coun-<br>ty, Unit 3A.               | Wilmington, North Carolina. | 09/15/99                                    | 03/01/00                       | 07/01/00                               | 11/19/00   | 12/19/00                               |

a These schedules have been reviewed and determined to be acceptable by EPA.

b This schedule applies to HC1 SO<sub>2</sub>, PM, Pb, Cd, CO, and NO<sub>X</sub>. However, owners and operators of large MWC units in New Jersey have the option of reserving the portion of their control plan that addresses NO<sub>X</sub>. Owners and operators must submit the reserved portion to EPA by December 15, 1999.

c The owner or operator of an affected facility that began construction, modification, or reconstruction after June 26, 1987 must achieve final compliance with the mercury and dioxins/furans limits within 1 year after promulgation of subpart FFF (i.e., by 11/12/99) or 1 year after permit issuance. Permit issuance is issuance of a revised construction permit or revised operating permit if a permit modification is required to retrofit controls. Final compliance must be achieved no later than December 19, 2000, even if the date "1 year after permit issuance" exceeds December 19, 2000.

[63 FR 63202, Nov. 12, 1998; 64 FR 17219, Apr. 8, 1999, as amended at 65 FR 33469, May 24, 2000]

Subpart GGG-Federal Plan Requirements for Municipal Solid Waste Landfills That Commenced Construction Prior to May 30, 1991 and Have Not Been Modified or Reconstructed Since May 30, 1991

Source: 64 FR 60703, Nov. 8, 1999, unless otherwise noted.

# §62.14350 Scope and delegation of au-

(a) This subpart contains emission requirements and compliance schedules for the control of designated pollutants from certain municipal solid waste landfills in accordance with section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR part 60, subpart B. This municipal solid waste landfills Federal plan applies to each designated facility as defined in §62.14352 of this subpart that is not covered by an EPA approved and currently effective State or Tribal plan.

(b) The following authorities shall be retained by the Administrator and not transferred to the State or Tribe upon delegation of authority to the State or Tribe to implement and enforce the Federal plan pursuant to sections 101(a)(3) and 111 of the Clean Air Act:

(1) Approval of alternative methods to determine site-specific NMOC concentration (C<sub>NMOC</sub>) or site-specific methane generation rate constant (k) used in calculating the annual NMOC emission rate (as provided in 40 CFR 60.754(a)(5) of subpart WWW),

(2) Alternative emission standards,

- (3) Major alternatives 1 to test methods.
- (4) Major alternatives to monitoring, or
  - (5) Waivers of recordkeeping.

## § 62.14351 Definitions.

Terms used but not defined in this subpart have the meaning given them in the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR part 60, subparts A, B, and WWW.

Achieve final compliance means to connect and operate the collection and control system as specified in the final control plan. Within 180 days after the date the landfill is required to achieve final compliance, the initial performance test must be conducted.

Award contract means the MSW landfill owner or operator enters into legally binding agreements or contractual obligations that cannot be canceled or modified without substantial financial loss to the MSW landfill owner or operator. The MSW landfill owner or operator may award a number of contracts to install the collection and control system. To meet this increment of progress, the MSW landfill owner or operator must award a contract or contracts to initiate on-site

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Major changes to test methods or to monitoring are modifications made to a federally enforceable test method or to a federal monitoring requirement. These changes would involve the use of unproven technology or procedures or an entirely new method (which is sometimes necessary when the required test method or monitoring requirement is unsuitable).