

operators is estimated to be \$3,673,500. These figures take into account that none of the affected airplane operators have accomplished the proposed actions.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this action (1) is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291; (2) is not a "significant rule" under DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action has been placed in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend 14 CFR part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 U.S.C. App. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423; 49 U.S.C. 106(g); and 14 CFR 11.89.

§ 39.13 [AMENDED]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Twin Commander Aircraft Corporation:
Docket No. 92-CE-59-AD.

Applicability: Models 500, 500A, 500B, 500S, 500U, 520, 560, 560A, 560E, 560F, 680, 680E, 680F, 680FL, 680FL(P), 680FP, 680T, 680V, 680W, 681, 685, 690, 690A, 690B, 690C, 690D, 695, 695A, 695B, and 720 airplanes (all serial numbers), certificated in any category:

Compliance: Required within the next 50 hours time-in-service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent flap system failure, which could result in loss of control of the airplane, accomplish the following:

(a) Visually inspect the flap system for cables with broken wires or pulleys with worn cable clips in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions section of Twin Commander Service Bulletin (SB) No. 210, dated February 1, 1991. Prior to further flight, replace any damaged parts.

(b) Replace the master cable pulley with a new part of improved design in accordance with the Accomplishment Instructions section of Twin Commander SB No. 210, dated February 1, 1991. The applicable master cable pulley part numbers are referenced in Table I of Twin Commander SB No. 210.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with FAR 21.197 and 21.199 to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

(d) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an equivalent level of safety may be approved by the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. The request shall be forwarded through an appropriate FAA Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region.

Note: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Seattle Aircraft Certification Office, FAA, Northwest Mountain Region.

(e) All persons affected by this directive may obtain copies of the document referred to herein upon request to the Twin Commander Aircraft Corporation, 19003 59th Drive, NE., Arlington, Washington 98223; or may examine this document at the FAA, Central Region, Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, room 1558, 601 E. 12th Street, Kansas City, Missouri 64106.

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on July 12, 1993.

John R. Colomy,

Acting Manager, Small Airplane Directorate,
Aircraft Certification Service.

[FR Doc. 93-17010 Filed 7-16-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-U

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Food and Drug Administration

21 CFR Part 350

[Docket No. 78N-0064]

RIN 0905-AA06

Antiperspirant Drug Products for Over-the-Counter Human Use; Request for Comments; Extension of Comment Period

AGENCY: Food and Drug Administration, HHS.

ACTION: Request for comments; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is extending to September 20, 1993, the period for submission of comments on two citizen petitions (and a comment that disagreed with one of the petitions) requesting that the rulemaking for over-the-counter (OTC) antiperspirant drug products be reopened to include new information on aluminum compounds used in these products (58 FR 15452, March 23, 1993). FDA is taking this action in response to a request to extend the comment period for an additional 60 days to allow more time to assess references provided subsequent to the submission of one of the citizen petitions.

DATES: Written comments by September 20, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Written comments to the Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305), Food and Drug Administration, rm. 1-23, 12420 Parklawn Dr., Rockville, MD 20857.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William E. Gilbertson, Center for Drug Evaluation and Research (HFD-819), Food and Drug Administration, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857, 301-295-8000.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the Federal Register of March 23, 1993 (58 FR 15452), FDA announced an opportunity for public comment on two citizen petitions and a response that disagreed with one of the petitions. The petitions and response concerned issues related to the safety of aluminum-containing and aluminum zirconium-containing antiperspirant drug products. The agency requested public comments in an effort to determine whether further study should be required to assess the safety of aluminum antiperspirants before issuing a final rule for OTC antiperspirant drug products. Interested persons were given until July 21, 1993, to submit comments.

On June 7, 1993, the Cosmetic, Toiletry, and Fragrance Association (CTFA), a trade association representing the personal care products industry, requested a 60-day extension of the comment period to allow adequate time to assess one of the citizen petitions. CTFA pointed out that citations for numerous references in the petition (Ref. 1) were not submitted to the Dockets Management Branch until May 7, 1993 (Ref. 2), and that members of the public could not adequately assess and attempt to reply to the petition until that date. Copies of the references were subsequently provided on May 14, 1993 (Ref. 3).

FDA has carefully considered the request and concurs that some reference citations were not available until May 7, 1993. The agency believes that additional time for comment is in the public interest and will allow for more useful comments to be developed. Thus, the agency considers an extension of the comment period for 60 days to be appropriate.

Interested persons may, on or before September 20, 1993, submit to the Dockets Management Branch (address above) written comments regarding these petitions and the comment on one petition. Three copies of any comments are to be submitted, except that individuals may submit one copy. Comments are to be identified with the docket number found in brackets in the heading of this document and may be accompanied by a supporting memorandum or brief. Received comments may be seen in the office above between 9 a.m. and 4 p.m., Monday through Friday.

References

- (1) Citizen Petition CP3, Docket No. 78N-0064, Dockets Management Branch.
- (2) Comment LET16, Docket No. 78N-0064, Dockets Management Branch.
- (3) Comment SUP2, Docket No. 78N-0064, Dockets Management Branch.

Dated: July 9, 1993.

Michael R. Taylor,

Deputy Commissioner for Policy.

[FR Doc. 93-16981 Filed 7-16-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-01-F

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

27 CFR Part 7

[Notice No. 774; Re: Notice No. 771]

RIN 1512-AA95

Standard of Identity for Malt Liquor (91F-026P)

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), Department of the Treasury.

ACTION: Advance notice of proposed rulemaking; extension of comment period.

SUMMARY: This document extends the comment period for Notice No. 771, an advance notice of proposed rulemaking (ANPRM) published in the *Federal Register* on April 19, 1993. In Notice No. 771, ATF announced it is considering amending regulations issued under the Federal Alcohol Administration Act (FAA Act) to provide a standard of identity for malt liquor. Currently, regulations under the FAA Act do not set forth a standard of identity for malt liquor, or for any other malt beverage product. This advance notice of proposed rulemaking is in response to a petition from a coalition of consumer groups seeking to establish a definite standard of identity for malt liquor.

DATES: Written comments must be received by September 17, 1993.

ADDRESSES: Send written comments to Chief, Wine and Beer Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, P.O. Box 50221, Washington, DC 20091-0221; ATTN: Notice No. 771.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles N. Bacon, Wine and Beer Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Washington, DC 20226, telephone (202)927-8230.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On April 19, 1993, the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms published Notice No. 771, an advance notice of proposed rulemaking in the *Federal Register* (58 FR 21126). ATF requested the public to comment on specific questions relating to the standards of identity for malt beverages. The questions are as follows:

- (1) Should ATF consider establishing a standard of identity for malt liquor? If so, what if any factors relating to production, ingredients, alcoholic content, or other factors should be included in a standard of identity which

would differentiate malt liquor from other malt beverages?

(2) Based on trade and consumer understanding of malt liquor, should a standard of identity for malt liquor contain a maximum or a minimum alcohol content?

(3) If ATF were to consider establishing a standard of identity for malt liquor, should it also consider establishing standards of identity for other classes and types of malt beverages in order to differentiate between the several classes and types, including beer, lager beer, ale, porter, stout, and so forth? Should alcoholic content be considered as a factor in any such standards of identity?

(4) Is the term "liquor" in "malt liquor" deceptive or inappropriate? Should ATF allow continued use of the term "malt liquor" for labeling malt beverages, or should ATF propose to eliminate its use in labeling fermented malt beverages?

The comment period for Notice No. 771 was scheduled to close on July 19, 1993. Prior to the end of the comment period ATF received a request for an extension of the comment period. This request was submitted by the National Association of Beverage Importers, Inc. (NABI). Due to the complexity of the issues raised in Notice No. 771, an extension of an additional 60 days was requested.

In consideration of the request, ATF has determined that in addition to the 90 days already allowed, an extension of an additional 60 days is appropriate. Therefore, the comment period for Notice No. 771 will be extended until September 17, 1993.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this document is Angela R. Shanks, Wine and Beer Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

List of Subjects in 27 CFR Part 7

Advertising, Beer, Consumer protection, Customs duties and inspection, Imports, Labeling.

Authority: This notice is issued under the authority in 27 U.S.C. 205.

Signed: July 12, 1993.

Stephen E. Higgins,

Director.

[FR Doc. 93-17035 Filed 7-16-93; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-31-U