

**TO ASSURE THE FREE  
APPROPRIATE PUBLIC EDUCATION OF  
ALL CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES**

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Section 618

Twenty-fourth Annual Report to Congress  
on the  
Implementation of the  
Individuals with Disabilities Education Act

U.S. Department of Education

2002

---

## **DISCRIMINATION PROHIBITED**

No person in the United States shall, on the grounds of race, color, or national origin, be excluded from participating in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance, or be so treated on the basis of sex under most education programs or activities receiving Federal assistance.

No otherwise qualified individual with disabilities in the United States shall, solely by reason of his disability, be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance.

---

**TO ASSURE THE FREE  
APPROPRIATE PUBLIC EDUCATION OF  
ALL CHILDREN WITH DISABILITIES**

Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Section 618

Twenty-fourth Annual Report to Congress  
on the  
Implementation of the  
Individuals with Disabilities Education Act

U.S. Department of Education

2002



This report was produced under U.S. Department of Education Contract No. HS97020001 with Westat. Judith Holt served as the contracting officer's technical representative.

**U.S. Department of Education**

Rod Paige  
*Secretary*

**Office of Special Education and Rehabilitative Services (OSERS)**

Robert H. Pasternack  
*Assistant Secretary*

**Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP)**

Stephanie Smith Lee  
*Director*

2002

This book is in the public domain. Authorization to reproduce it in whole or in part is granted. While permission to reprint this report is not necessary, the citation should be U.S. Department of Education, Twenty-fourth annual report to Congress on the implementation of the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, Washington, D.C., 2001.

To order copies of this report,

write to: ED Pubs, Education Publications Center, U.S. Department of Education, P.O. Box 1398, Jessup, MD 20794-1398;

or fax your request to: (301) 470-1244;

or e-mail your request to: [edpubs@inet.ed.gov](mailto:edpubs@inet.ed.gov);

or call in your request toll-free: 1-877-433-7827 (1-877-4-ED-PUBS). If 877 service is not yet available in your area, call 1-800-872-5327 (1-800-USA-LEARN). Those who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) or a teletypewriter (TTY), should call 1-800-437-0083;

or order online at [www.ed.gov/pubs/edpubs.html](http://www.ed.gov/pubs/edpubs.html).

This report is also available on the Department's web site at:  
[www.ed.gov/offices/OSERS/OSEP](http://www.ed.gov/offices/OSERS/OSEP).

On request, this publication is available in alternative formats, such as Braille, large print, audiotape, or computer diskette. For more information, please contact the Department's Alternate Format Center (202) 205-8113.

---

# Contents

	<u>Page</u>
<b>EXECUTIVE SUMMARY</b> .....	xxi
<b>I. CONTEXT/ENVIRONMENT</b>	
Special Education Teacher Quality .....	I-1
Study Methods.....	I-1
Limitations of SPeNSE for Measuring Teacher Quality.....	I-2
Correlates of Teacher Quality .....	I-3
An Aggregate Teacher-Quality Measure .....	I-8
Next Steps: Testing the Model Using Student Achievement Data .....	I-9
Summary .....	I-10
What Are We Spending on Special Education Services in the United States, 1999-2000?.....	I-19
A Conceptual Framework for Analyzing Special Education Spending.....	I-19
Total Spending on Students With Disabilities.....	I-20
Allocation of Special Education Expenditures.....	I-27
Allocation and Use of Federal Funds .....	I-32
Future Reports .....	I-35
Children With Disabilities in Low-Income Families: An Analysis of Data From the ECLS-K.....	I-37
Poverty and Child Development.....	I-38
Summary of the Literature Review.....	I-44
Methods.....	I-45
Results.....	I-46
Discussion.....	I-52
Use of the Developmental Delay Classification for Children Ages 3 Through 9.....	I-57
Changes in Numbers of Children Served.....	I-61
Summary .....	I-63
<b>II. STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS</b>	
Infants and Toddlers Served Under IDEA .....	II-1
The Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part C.....	II-1
Race/Ethnicity of Infants and Toddlers Served .....	II-3
Early Intervention Service Settings for Infants and Toddlers With Disabilities.....	II-4

Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Transition to Part B.....	II-6
Summary .....	II-6
Preschoolers Served Under IDEA.....	II-9
Number of Preschoolers Receiving Special Education Services .....	II-9
Disability Categories of Preschool Children Served Under IDEA .....	II-10
Educational Environments for Preschoolers With Disabilities .....	II-12
Educational Environments by Race/Ethnicity .....	II-12
Race/Ethnicity of Preschoolers Served Under IDEA.....	II-13
Disability Distribution by Race/Ethnicity .....	II-14
Summary .....	II-15
Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA.....	II-19
Students Served Under IDEA by Disability Category .....	II-19
Age-Group Distribution .....	II-21
Race/Ethnicity .....	II-21
Summary .....	II-24
A Changing Population: Individual and Household Characteristics of High School Students With Disabilities.....	II-25
Students' Disability Profiles .....	II-27
Students' Demographic Characteristics.....	II-29
Characteristics of Students' Households.....	II-30
Differences in Rates of Change Between Disability Categories .....	II-36
Conclusion .....	II-43

**III. PROGRAMS AND SERVICES**

Ensuring an Adequate Supply of High-Quality, School-Based Speech-Language Pathologists.....	III-1
Issues of Quantity .....	III-2
Issues of Quality.....	III-7
Summary and Implications.....	III-13
Social Adaptation and Problem Behaviors of Elementary and Middle School Students Receiving Special Education .....	III-17
Social Skills and Social Adaptation.....	III-19
Problem Behaviors and Disciplinary Actions.....	III-27
Conclusion .....	III-38

Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Educational Environments for Students With Disabilities .....	III-43
Trends in the Data .....	III-44
Factors Associated With Different Educational Environments .....	III-44
Summary .....	III-47
Study of State and Local Implementation and Impact of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act: A View From the Field of District Implementation .....	III-49
Introduction .....	III-49
The Analytic Design of Focus Study I .....	III-50
Focus Study I Results .....	III-53
Factors Affecting the Progress of District Implementation .....	III-62
Summary .....	III-65
 <b>IV. RESULTS</b>	
High School Graduation Among Students With Disabilities .....	IV-1
Graduation and Dropout Rates for Students With Disabilities .....	IV-1
Graduation and Dropout Rates by Disability .....	IV-2
Graduation and Dropout Rates by Race/Ethnicity .....	IV-6
Summary .....	IV-7
Results Experienced by Children and Families 1 Year After Beginning Early Intervention .....	IV-11
Progression of Children Through Services .....	IV-12
Results for Children .....	IV-15
Results for Families .....	IV-26
Conclusion .....	IV-33
State Improvement and Monitoring .....	IV-37
Services to Infants and Toddlers Under Part C .....	IV-44
Services to Children and Youth Under Part B .....	IV-47



**Contents** (continued)

Page

**Appendices**

**Appendix A.** Data Tables

Section A. Child Count Tables

Table AA1	Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group, During the 2000-01 School Year.....	A-1
Table AA2	Number of Children Ages 3-5 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year .....	A-2
Table AA3	Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year .....	A-5
Table AA4	Number of Children Ages 6-11 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year .....	A-8
Table AA5	Number of Children Ages 12-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year.....	A-11
Table AA6	Number of Children Ages 18-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year.....	A-14
Table AA7	Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability and Age, During the 2000-01 School Year .....	A-17
Table AA8	Number of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age, During the 2000-01 School Year.....	A-18
Table AA9	Number of Children Served Under IDEA by Disability and Age Group, During School Years 1991-92 Through 2000-01.....	A-22

Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Table AA10	Percentage (Based on 2000 Census Population) of Children Served Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group, During the 2000-01 School Year.....A-25
Table AA11	Percentage (Based on 2000 Census Population) of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year.....A-26
Table AA12	Percentage (Based on 2000 Census Population) of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year.....A-29
Table AA13	Percentage (Based on Estimated Enrollment) of Children Ages 6-17 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year.....A-32
Table AA14	Racial/Ethnic Composition (Number and Percentage) of Students Ages 3-5 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year .....A-35
Table AA15	Racial/Ethnic Composition (Number and Percentage) of Students Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 2000-01 School Year.....A-63
Table AA16	Percentage (Based on 2000 Census Population) of Children Ages 3-5 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Race/Ethnicity, During the 2000-01 School Year.....A-91
Table AA17	Percentage (Based on 2000 Census Population) of Children Ages 6-21 Served Under IDEA, Part B by Race/Ethnicity, During the 2000-01 School Year.....A-92

**Contents** (continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Section B. Educational Environments Tables		
Table AB1	Number and Percentage of Children Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....	A-107
Table AB2	Number and Percentage of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1999-2000 School Year .....	A-109
Table AB3	Number and Percentage of Children Ages 6-11 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1999-2000 School Year .....	A-137
Table AB4	Number and Percentage of Children Ages 12-17 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1999-2000 School Year .....	A-165
Table AB5	Number and Percentage of Children Ages 18-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During the 1999-2000 School Year .....	A-191
Table AB6	Number of Children Ages 3-21 Served in Correctional Facilities and Parent-Initiated Private Schools Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....	A-217
Table AB7	Number of Children Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Age Group, During School Years 1990-91 Through 1999-2000.....	A-218
Table AB8	Number of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B by Disability, During School Years 1990-91 Through 1999-2000.....	A-220

Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Table AB9	Racial/Ethnic Composition (Number and Percentage) of Children Ages 3-5 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....A-224
Table AB10	Racial/Ethnic Composition (Number and Percentage) of Children Ages 6-21 Served in Different Educational Environments Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....A-242
Table AB11	Number of Children Ages 3-21 Served in Correctional Facilities and Parent-Initiated Private Schools by Race/Ethnicity Under IDEA, Part B, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....A-264

Section C. Personnel Tables

Table AC1	Total Number of Teachers Employed (in Full-Time Equivalency) To Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth Ages 3-5 With Disabilities, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....A-269
Table AC2	Total Number of Teachers Employed (in Full-Time Equivalency) To Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth Ages 6-21 With Disabilities, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....A-270
Table AC3	Number and Type of Other Personnel Employed (in Full-Time Equivalency) To Provide Special Education and Related Services for Children and Youth Ages 3-21 With Disabilities by Personnel Category, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....A-271

**Contents** (continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Section D. Exiting Tables		
Table AD1	Number of Students Age 14 and Older Exiting Special Education, During the 1999-2000 School Year .....	A-281
Table AD2	Number of Students With Disabilities Exiting Special Education by Age Year, During the 1999-2000 School Year .....	A-307
Table AD3	Number of Students With Disabilities Exiting School by Graduation With a Diploma, Graduation With a Certificate, and Reached Maximum Age by Age, During School Years 1990-91 Through 1999-2000.....	A-320
Table AD4	Racial/Ethnic Composition (Number and Percentage) of Children Ages 14-21 <sup>+</sup> Exiting Special Education, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....	A-321
Section E. Discipline Tables		
Table AE1	Number of Children With Disabilities Subject to Unilateral Removal by School Personnel for Drug or Weapon Offenses, Removal Based on a Hearing Officer Determination Regarding Likely Injury, or Long-Term Suspension/Expulsion, During the 1999-2000 School Year .....	A-339
Table AE2	Percentage of Children (Based on Child Count) With Disabilities Subject to Unilateral Removal by School Personnel for Drug or Weapon Offenses, Removal Based on a Hearing Officer Determination Regarding Likely Injury, or Long-Term Suspension/Expulsion, During the 1999-2000 School Year .....	A-353

Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Table AE3	Number of Children With Disabilities Subject to Unilateral Removal by School Personnel for Drug or Weapon Offenses, Removal Based on a Hearing Officer Determination Regarding Likely Injury, or Long-Term Suspension/Expulsion by Race/Ethnicity, During the 1999-2000 School Year.....A-367
Table AE4	Percentage of Racial/Ethnic Group (Based on Child Count) With Disabilities Subject to Unilateral Removal by School Personnel for Drug or Weapon Offenses, Removal Based on a Hearing Officer Determination Regarding Likely Injury, or Long-Term Suspension/Expulsion by Race/Ethnicity, During the 1999-2000 School Year .....A-372
Section F. Population and Enrollment Tables	
Table AF1	2000 Census Population and Estimated Resident Population for 1990 and 1999 for Children Ages 3-21 .....A-377
Table AF2	2000 Census Population and Estimated Resident Population for 1990 and 1999 for Children Ages Birth Through 2.....A-378
Table AF3	2000 Census Population and Estimated Resident Population for 1990 and 1999 for Children Ages 3-5 .....A-379
Table AF4	2000 Census Population and Estimated Resident Population for 1990 and 1999 for Children Ages 6-17 .....A-380
Table AF5	2000 Census Population and Estimated Resident Population for 1990 and 1999 for Children Ages 18-21 .....A-381

**Contents** (continued)

		<u>Page</u>
Table AF6	2000 Census Population (Number and Percentage) for Children Ages Birth Through 2 by Race/Ethnicity, for the Reporting Year 2000-01 .....	A-382
Table AF7	2000 Census Population (Number and Percentage) for Children Ages 3-5 by Race/Ethnicity, for the School Year 2000-01 .....	A-384
Table AF8	2000 Census Population (Number and Percentage) for Children Ages 6-21 by Race/Ethnicity, for the School Year 2000-01 .....	A-386
Table AF9	Enrollment for Students in Grades Pre-Kindergarten Through Twelve.....	A-388
Section G. Financial Table		
Table AG1	State Grant Awards Under Parts B and C of IDEA.....	A-389
Section H. Early Intervention Tables		
Table AH1	Number of Infants and Toddlers Receiving Early Intervention Services, December 1, 2000.....	A-390
Table AH2	Number of At-Risk Infants and Toddlers Receiving Early Intervention Services (Duplicated Count), December 1, 2000 .....	A-391
Table AH3	Early Intervention Services on IFSPs Provided to Infants, Toddlers, and Their Families in Accordance With Part C, December 1, 1999.....	A-392
Table AH4	Number and Type of Personnel Employed and Contracted To Provide Early Intervention Services to Infants and Toddlers With Disabilities and Their Families, December 1, 1999.....	A-395

Contents (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Table AH5	Number of Infants and Toddlers Ages Birth Through 2 Served in Different Early Intervention Settings Under Part C, December 1, 1999.....A-399
Table AH6	Number of Infants and Toddlers Ages Birth Through 2 Exiting Part C Programs, During the 1999-2000 Reporting Year.....A-401
Table AH7	Racial/Ethnic Composition (Number and Percentage) of Infants and Toddlers Ages Birth Through 2 Served Under IDEA, Part C, December 1, 2000 .....A-403
Table AH8	Racial/Ethnic Composition (Number and Percentage) of At-Risk Infants and Toddlers Ages Birth Through 2 Served Under IDEA, Part C, December 1, 2000 .....A-405
Table AH9	Percentage (Based on 2000 Census Population) of Infants and Toddlers Ages Birth Through 2 Served Under IDEA, Part C by Race/Ethnicity, December 1, 2000.....A-407
Table AH10	Number and Percentage of Infants and Toddlers Ages Birth Through Age 2 by Early Intervention Services Provided by Race/Ethnicity, December 1, 1999 .....A-408
Table AH11	Racial/Ethnic Composition (Number and Percentage) of Infants and Toddlers With Disabilities and Their Families Served Under Part C by Program Settings in Accordance With Part C, December 1, 1999 .....A-438
Table AH12	Racial/Ethnic Composition (Number and Percentage) of Infants and Toddlers Ages Birth Through 2 Exiting Part C Programs, During the 1999-2000 Reporting Period.....A-454



---

## List of Tables

		<u>Page</u>
Table I-1	Variables Included in the Five Teacher-Quality Factors.....	I-4
Table I-2	Demographic Variables for All Kindergartners With IEPs.....	I-47
Table I-3	Demographic Variables for Kindergartners With IEPs by Poverty Status .....	I-49
Table I-4	Cognitive Assessment Scores and Social Skills Ratings by Poverty Status .....	I-50
Table I-5	Total Number of 6-, 7-, 8-, and 9-Year-Old Students With Disabilities and Number With Developmental Delay for School Years 1999-2000 and 2000-01 .....	I-62
Table II-1	Percentage of Preschoolers Receiving Services During 2000-01 .....	II-11
Table II-2	Preschool Educational Environments by Race/Ethnicity, 1999-2000 .....	II-13
Table II-3	Disability Distribution by Race/Ethnicity for Preschoolers Served in 2000-01.....	II-15
Table II-4	Number of Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA, During 1991-92 and 2000-01 .....	II-20
Table II-5	Percentage of Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA by Disability and Race/Ethnicity, During the 2000-01 School Year.....	II-22
Table II-6	Disability Category Distribution of Youth With Disabilities, 1987 and 2000.....	II-26
Table II-7	Changes in Age at First Identification of and Service for Disability, by Cohort .....	II-29
Table II-8	Changes in the Gender and Racial/Ethnic Distributions of Students With Disabilities and Students in the General Population.....	II-31

**List of Tables** (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Table II-9	Changes in Household Composition of Youth With Disabilities and Youth in the General Population ..... II-33
Table II-10	Changes in Household Socioeconomic Status of Youth With Disabilities and Youth in the General Population ..... II-34
Table II-11	Changes in Disability Profiles of Youth With Disabilities, by Cohort and Disability Category ..... II-37
Table II-12	Changes in Racial/Ethnic Backgrounds and Language Use, by Cohort and Disability Category ..... II-39
Table II-13	Changes in Household and Parent Characteristics, by Cohort and Disability Category ..... II-41
Table III-1	Percent of School-Based Speech-Language Pathologists Serving Students With Different Speech-Language Impairments ..... III-5
Table III-2	Speech-Language Pathologists' Perceived Areas of Strengths and Needs ..... III-11
Table III-3	Social Skills Ratings of Students With Disabilities ..... III-21
Table III-4	Students' Social Skills, by Age and Gender ..... III-24
Table III-5	Students' Social Skills, by Income and Race/Ethnicity ..... III-26
Table III-6	Teacher Ratings of Problem Behaviors, by Disability Category ..... III-32
Table III-7	Parent Reports of Suspensions and Expulsions, by Disability Category ..... III-34
Table III-8	Teacher Ratings of Problem Behaviors, by Age and Gender ..... III-35
Table III-9	Parent Ratings of Suspensions and Expulsions, by Age and Gender ..... III-36
Table III-10	Teacher Ratings of Problem Behaviors, Suspensions, and Expulsions, by Income and Ethnicity ..... III-37

**List of Tables** (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Table III-11 Percentage of Students Ages 6 Through 21 With Disabilities by Race/Ethnicity Served in Different Educational Environments: 1999-2000 .....	III-45
Table III-12 Percentage of Students Ages 6 Through 21 With Disabilities Served in Different Educational Environments: 1999-2000 .....	III-47
Table IV-1 Number and Percentage of Students Age 14 and Older With Disabilities Graduating With a Standard Diploma or Dropping Out, 1999-2000.....	IV-5
Table IV-2 Change in the Percentage of Students Age 14 and Older With Disabilities Graduating With a Standard Diploma or Dropping Out, 1995-96 and 1999-2000.....	IV-6
Table IV-3 Number and Percentage of Students Age 14 and Older With Disabilities Graduating With a Standard Diploma or Dropping Out, by Race/Ethnicity, 1999-2000 .....	IV-7
Table IV-4 Functional Characteristics of Children Entering Early Intervention and a Year Later by Age Group at Entry .....	IV-16
Table IV-5 Health Status of Children Entering Early Intervention and a Year Later by Age Group at Entry.....	IV-18
Table IV-6 Behaviors of Children Entering Early Intervention and a Year Later by Age Group at Entry.....	IV-21
Table IV-7 Milestone Attainment in Motor and Self-Help Domains of Children Entering Early Intervention and a Year Later by Age Group at Entry to Early Intervention Services .....	IV-24
Table IV-8 Milestone Attainment in Communication and Cognition Domains of Children Entering Early Intervention and a Year Later by Age Group at Entry to Early Intervention Services .....	IV-25
Table IV-9 Families' Satisfaction With Their Early Intervention Services at Entry and a Year Later.....	IV-28

**List of Tables** (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Table IV-10 Families' Perceptions of Their Parenting and Their Ability To Work With Professionals at Entry and a Year Later .....	IV-29
Table IV-11 Families' Perceptions of Their Family and Community Support at Entry and a Year Later .....	IV-31
Table IV-12 Families' Perceptions of the Impact of Early Intervention on the Child and Family and Their Quality of Life at Entry and a Year Later.....	IV-32
Table IV-13 Schedule of States' Continuous Improvement Monitoring Process.....	IV-38
Table A-1 State Reporting Patterns for IDEA, Part B Child Count Data 2000-01, Other Data 1999-2000 .....	A-475

---

## List of Figures

	<u>Page</u>
Figure I-1 Total Spending on Students With Disabilities Who Are Eligible for Special Education Services in the United States, 1999-2000 .....	I-21
Figure I-2 Calculation of Additional Expenditure on a Student With a Disability, 1999-2000 .....	I-22
Figure I-3 Changes in Special Education Spending Per Pupil Over Time (Excluding Other Special Needs Program Spending).....	I-24
Figure I-4 Ratio of Spending Per Special and Regular Education Student Over Time (Including Other Special Needs Program Spending) .....	I-26
Figure I-5 Allocation of Special Education Expenditures, 1999-2000.....	I-28
Figure I-6 Per Pupil Special Education Spending, 1999-2000.....	I-31
Figure I-7 Assessment, Evaluation, and IEP-Related Expenditures, 1999-2000 .....	I-33
Figure I-8 Allocation of Special Education Funds by Function, 1999-2000.....	I-34
Figure II-1 Number of Infants and Toddlers Served Under IDEA, Part C, 1994 Through 2000.....	II-2
Figure II-2 Race/Ethnicity: National Versus Part C Percentages .....	II-4
Figure II-3 Part C Settings, 1995 Through 1999.....	II-5
Figure II-4 Number of Preschoolers Receiving Services Under IDEA During the 1992-93, 1996-97, and 2000-01 School Years.....	II-10
Figure II-5 Race/Ethnicity: National Versus Part B Percentages .....	II-14
Figure III-1 Distribution of School-Based Speech-Language Pathologists by Age .....	III-3
Figure III-2 Percent of ASHA-Certified Speech-Language Pathologists by Years of Experience .....	III-10

**List of Figures** (continued)

	<u>Page</u>
Figure III-3 Comparison of Teacher and Parent Ratings of Social Skills, by Disability/Impairment Category .....	III-22
Figure III-4 Teacher Reports of Problem Behaviors, Suspensions, and Expulsions Among Students Receiving Special Education .....	III-29
Figure IV-1 Percentage of Students Age 14 and Older Graduating With a Standard Diploma, 1995-96 to 1999-2000.....	IV-3
Figure IV-2 Percentage of Students Age 14 and Older Dropping Out, 1995-96 to 1999-2000 .....	IV-4
Figure IV-3 Status of Children Less Than 26 Months of Age 12 Months After Initial IFSP .....	IV-13

---

# Executive Summary

## Section I—Context/Environment

The context/environment section includes four modules. The first module presents information from the Study of Personnel Needs in Special Education (SPeNSE). The second provides information from the Special Education Expenditure Project (SEEP). The third module analyzes data from the Early Childhood Longitudinal Study—Kindergarten Class of 1998-99 (ECLS-K) to discuss children with disabilities in low-income families. Developmental delay is the focus of the fourth module.

### *Special Education Teacher Quality*

- SPeNSE was designed to describe the quality of personnel serving students with disabilities and the factors associated with workforce quality.
- SPeNSE data show that the nation's special education teachers, as a group, are highly experienced, averaging 14.3 years of teaching in 1999-2000; 12.3 of those years were spent teaching special education.
- SPeNSE data show that 59% of special education teachers had a master's degree, compared to 49% of regular education teachers.
- SPeNSE data tested five teacher-quality factors: experience, credentials, self-efficacy, professionalism, and selected classroom practices. Experience proved to be the strongest of the factors in this first analysis.

### *What Are We Spending on Special Education Services in the United States, 1999-2000?*

- This module is based on descriptive information derived from SEEP.
- Data from SEEP indicate that the total spending to educate the average student with a disability amounts to \$12,639. Total special education spending alone accounts for 13.9% of the \$360.6 billion total spent on elementary and secondary education in the United States.

- In constant dollars, total spending on special education (excluding Other Special Needs Program Services) has increased from an average of \$9,858 per pupil in 1985-86 to \$12,474 in 1999-2000, an annualized growth rate of 0.7%.
- During the 1999-2000 school year, over 80% of total special education expenditures were allocated to direct instruction and related services.

*Children With Disabilities in Low-Income Families: An Analysis of Data From the ECLS-K*

- Child poverty has implications for the field of special education because it can affect children's health and behavior, as well as their cognitive development and academic achievement.
- A third of mothers of poor children with individualized education programs (IEPs) did not finish high school, compared with 7% of mothers of nonpoor children with IEPs.
- While single parenthood and lower parental educational attainment are by no means found exclusively among poor families, these demographic characteristics are strongly associated with poverty.
- Poverty alone had a negative effect on teacher ratings of approaches to learning.

*Use of the Developmental Delay Classification for Children Ages 3 Through 9*

- The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA) Amendments of 1997 expanded the age range to which developmental delay may apply to cover ages 3 through 9. By 2000-01, 20 states had expanded their age range above age 5, although not necessarily for the entire 6-through-9 age range.
- States report that using developmental delay through age 9 allows for continuity of services throughout the developmental years without a stigmatizing label that may be associated with a specific disability category.
- State-reported data suggest that there has been no surge in the number of children reported to be receiving services under IDEA and that use of the developmental delay option steadily decreases as chronological age increases.



## Section II—Student Characteristics

This section contains information about the characteristics of children and students receiving services under IDEA. The populations reported are children and families entering early intervention, preschoolers, and students ages 6 through 21. Data from the National Longitudinal Transition Study 2 (NLTS2) make up the final module.

### *Infants and Toddlers Served Under IDEA*

- According to the 2000 child count data, 63% of the children served under Part C were classified as White (non-Hispanic); 17% were Hispanic; 16% were Black (non-Hispanic); 4% were Asian/Pacific Islander; and 1% were American Indian/Alaska Native.
- During the 1999-2000 reporting period, states reported that 68% of all early intervention services took place in the home, compared with 63% served in 1998-99 and 53% in 1995-96, before enactment of the IDEA Amendments of 1997.
- During this second year of collecting exit data, states continued to report eligibility for Part B as the most common reason for exit.

### *Preschoolers Served Under IDEA*

- For the 2000-01 school year, states reported serving 599,678 children ages 3 through 5 with disabilities under IDEA, up from 589,134 in 1999-2000.
- Speech or language impairment was the most prevalent disability category, accounting for 55.2% of all preschoolers served in 2000-01.
- White (non-Hispanic) preschoolers were overrepresented in the Part B population. Asian/Pacific Islander and, especially, Hispanic children were underrepresented among the preschoolers served under IDEA.

### *Students Ages 6 Through 21 Served Under IDEA*

- The 5,775,722 students ages 6 through 21 served under Part B represented 8.8% of the U.S. resident population in 2000-01.
- Specific learning disabilities, speech or language impairments, mental retardation, and emotional disturbance continued to account for the majority of students served under IDEA.

- White students made up 62.3% of the students served; 19.8% were Black; 14.5% were Hispanic; 1.9% were Asian/Pacific Islander; and 1.5% were American Indian/Alaska Native.
- In 2000-01, the rank ordering of the top five disability categories was nearly identical for all racial/ethnic groups; however, students from some racial/ethnic groups were overrepresented or underrepresented in specific disability categories when compared with the IDEA student population as a whole.

### *A Changing Population: Individual and Household Characteristics of High School Students With Disabilities*

- This study, which is modeled on NLTS, will follow secondary-school-age students through their mid-20s, collecting data on their secondary school experiences and achievements and how those influenced their postschool outcomes.
- The population of high school students receiving special education in 2001 more closely mirrored the racial/ethnic distribution of the general population than had been true in 1987.
- High-school-age students with disabilities in 2001 were first identified as having a disability or delay significantly earlier than were their peers in 1987.
- The decline in the average age at first identification of a disability that was noted for students with disabilities as a whole resulted from statistically significant reductions in age for students in four disability categories—learning disabilities, emotional disturbance, and orthopedic and other health impairments—ranging from almost 10 to 18 months.

## Section III—Programs and Services

The four modules in the section examine some of the programs and services available within schools for children and youth with disabilities and their families. The first module uses data from SPeNSE to discuss the quantity and quality of school-based speech-language pathologists. The module on social adaptation and problem behaviors of elementary and middle school students receiving special education reports data from the Special Education Elementary Longitudinal Study (SEELS). The next module, educational environments, contains state-reported data on the settings in which children and youth receive services. The last module in this

section describes SLIIDEA (State and Local Implementation and Impact of IDEA) and presents preliminary findings.

### *Ensuring an Adequate Supply of High-Quality, School-Based Speech-Language Pathologists*

- Because so many students with disabilities require speech-language services, ensuring an adequate supply of high-quality speech-language pathologists is crucial to the success of the students served under IDEA.
- Having an adequate supply of school-based speech-language pathologists is as important as the quality of those available because shortages typically force administrators to hire less qualified individuals.
- Speech-language pathologists devoted an average of 7.1 hours per week to completing paperwork, 4.6 hours per week to preparing services, 1.8 to sharing expertise with colleagues, 1.7 to reading background material, and 0.9 hours per week to communicating with parents.
- School-based speech-language pathologists rated their skills in a few areas as relatively low, suggesting a need for professional development in using technology in instruction, accommodating diverse students' needs, supervising paraprofessionals, using literature to address problems, and managing student behavior.

### *Social Adaptation and Problem Behaviors of Elementary and Middle School Students Receiving Special Education*

- The importance of behavior itself as an outcome, as well as its role as a mediating factor in many other important outcome domains, made it a priority area to address in OSEP's national assessment, including SEELS.
- SEELS data showed that students with learning, speech, hearing, vision, and orthopedic impairments received positive marks from parents and teachers in assertion, self-control, and cooperation.
- Social development is a key outcome for many students with disabilities, and concerns about school safety and discipline generally have been increasing in recent years.
- According to parents, 14% of students with disabilities in elementary and middle school had been expelled or suspended at some point in their school careers.

### *Educational Environments for Students With Disabilities*

- In 1999-2000, 95.9% of students with disabilities were served in regular school buildings; of those students, 47.3% were served outside of the regular classroom for less than 21% of the school day.
- Students with low-incidence disabilities are less likely to spend the majority of their school day in the regular classroom, while the majority of students with learning disabilities and speech language impairments are served in the regular classroom.
- It is possible that the differences in placement by race/ethnicity may reflect the disproportional representation of some minority groups in disability categories that are predominantly served in more restrictive settings.

### *Study of State and Local Implementation and Impact of the Individuals With Disabilities Education Act: A View From the Field of District Implementation*

- SLIIDEA's charge is to understand both the implementation and impact of policy changes made in the IDEA Amendments of 1997 at the state, district, and school levels.
- The SLIIDEA study is collecting data over a 5-year period by means of mail surveys at the state, district, and school levels and through focus studies of the implementation of IDEA in selected school districts.
- Districts that showed evidence of use of a comprehensive range of implementation tools, a consistent relationship between stated policies and activities, consistency across stakeholders on the knowledge base and skills required for implementation, and stakeholder satisfaction were more likely to have fewer students living in poverty, be smaller, and be suburban.

## Section IV—Results

This section of the annual report contains three modules. The modules describe high school graduation among students with disabilities, data from the National Early Intervention Longitudinal Study on the results experienced by children and families 1 year after beginning early intervention, and state improvement and monitoring activities.

### *High School Graduation Among Students With Disabilities*

- In 1999-2000, the standard diploma graduation rate for students with disabilities age 14 and older was 56.2%.
- The graduation rate was highest, and the dropout rate lowest, for White and Asian/Pacific Islander students.
- Improvements in the dropout rate took place in almost every disability category, most notably among students with speech/language impairments, specific learning disabilities, orthopedic impairments, hearing impairments, and emotional disturbance.

### *Results Experienced by Children and Families 1 Year After Beginning Early Intervention*

- Children in all age groups are advancing developmentally, with significantly higher percentages of children in each group showing mastery of selected milestones 1 year after entering early intervention.
- Notably, for all four age groups, after 1 year, significantly more families felt confident about their ability to help their child learn and develop.
- Families report that their child's communication and motor skills have improved, and over two thirds of families report that early intervention has had a lot of impact on the child's development.
- Families of nearly all children in early intervention reported that their children had a place to go for regular medical care; there has been no change in this variable since the first year in early intervention.

### *State Improvement and Monitoring*

- OSEP has redesigned its accountability system into a Continuous Improvement Monitoring Process (CIMP) that incorporates strategies designed to foster greater state accountability, increase parental involvement, establish a data-driven process to inform improvement planning, and ensure public awareness and dissemination.
- OSEP is assisting states in completing self-assessments, designing and implementing effective improvement plans, and obtaining data to support program accountability.

- OSEP is working with states to develop procedures leading to effective transition, including the development of shared data systems to track children as they move through transition activities.
- Implementation of the CIMP has increased accountability of states, ensured public involvement and input, focused on processes with the strongest relationship to positive results, created mechanisms for making data-driven decisions, and increased emphasis on improving results for children with disabilities and their families.