Archived Information

NATIONAL WRITING PROJECT (NWP)

Goal: To improve the quality of student writing and learning, and the teaching of writing in the nation's classrooms.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
Legislation: Title X, Part K of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act (ESEA) of	1985	\$0	2000	\$9
1965, as amended by the Improving America's Schools Act of 1994 (20 U.S.C. 8332).	1990	\$0	2001	\$10
	1995	\$3	2002 (Requested)	\$0

Program Description

The U.S. Department of Education provides funds to the National Writing Project (NWP), a nonprofit educational organization whose mission is to improve writing and learning in our nation's schools. The NWP supports K-16 teacher professional development programs that focus on improving the teaching of writing. It also supports classroom-based research documenting the effectiveness of the NWP in improving student performance.

The NWP contracts with numerous institutions of higher education and nonprofit education providers to operate locally based professional development programs for teachers. The NWP served over 100,000 teachers and administrators at 167 sites across the country in 2000, and has served over 2 million teachers and administrators since its inception in 1974. The cost to the Federal government per teacher training hour is less than \$1; almost \$7 in matching funds are leveraged from each Federal dollar.

The NWP uses a teachers-teaching-teachers model of professional development. In this model, classroom teachers demonstrate to their peers their most successful practices for teaching reading and writing effectively. The core of the NWP model is the invitational summer institute, where for 5 weeks each summer, exemplary teachers meet at local sites to examine their own classroom practice, conduct research, develop their writing skills, and learn from each other. These teachers then become leaders who return to their schools and communities to provide local workshops and inservice programs for teachers, students, and parents.

The NWP has created three special focus networks, centering on urban sites, rural sites, and English-language learners. The NWP also partners with other national programs and education organizations (e.g., *America Reads*, the *Focus on Standards* project) to enhance services provided to disadvantaged children.

For more information, please visit the program Web site at: http://www.writingproject.org/

Program Performance

OBJECTIVE 1: SUPPORT AND PROMOTE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF TEACHER TRAINING PROGRAMS DESIGNED TO IMPROVE THE WRITING SKILLS OF STUDENTS AND TEACHERS.

Indicator 1.1 Teacher satisfaction: Each year, National Writing Project (NWP) teacher participants and teacher leaders will rate the program as good or							
excellent and will affirm that the NWP has had a positive impact on their teaching practice.							
	Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
Particip	Participant Rating		Status: Target exceeded.	Sources: NWP Site Survey prepared by			
Year	ar Actual Performance Performance Targets			Inverness Research Associates.			
1999:	95%*	91%#	75%	Explanation: Participant rating: Data gathered	Frequency: Annually.		
2000:	98%*	#	75%	at summer 2000 institutes showed 98 percent of	Next collection update: 6/01 (Impact on		
2001:		#	90%	new teacher participants rated the NWP program	Practice), 10/01 (Participant Rating).		
2002:		#		as good or excellent. The 2000 Inverness data	Date to be reported: 11/01 (both).		
Impact on Practice				collection found a higher percentage of good or excellent ratings than projected. In 2000, the	Validation Procedure: Inverness data collected		
1999:	No data available*	90% #	75%	NWP served over 100,000 teachers in 167 sites.	before ED Standards for Evaluating Program		
2000:	Data Available by	#		1 100 served over 100,000 teachers in 107 sites.	Performance Data developed; Inverness uses the		
	8/15/01		75%	Impact on Practice: A random sample of teachers	NSF model for collection/verification.		
2001:		#		from the summer 2000 institutes will be			
2002:		#		surveyed in 6/01 to measure impact on practice.	Limitations of Data and Planned		
* Inveri	* Inverness data.			Improvements: Data for impact on practice to			
# Voices in the Field survey, discontinued after 1999 and replaced by the Inverness			and replaced by the Inverness		be collected by Inverness in 6/01.		
surveys, which offer more objective, consistent methodology.							

Indicator 1.2 Improved student writing: Students taught by National Writing Project (NWP) teachers will show improved writing skills.						
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
Writing Assessment			Status: Baseline established during pilot year.	Source: Academy for Educational Development.		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		Frequency: Annually.		
1999:	No Data Available	No target set	Explanation: Assessment in design phase	Next collection update: 6/01.		
2000:	3 rd grade	Baseline established.	during 1999, with first available data in fall	Date to be reported: 11/01.		
	Rhetorical effectiveness 85%		2000. Study documents a cohort of students			
	Conventions 66%		annually using a pre-and post-assessment design.	Validation Procedure: Data to be supplied by		
			Data from first-year results show percent of	the Academy for Educational Development.		
	4 th grade		students who reached 1) adequate or strong	Validation procedure to be determined.		
	Rhetorical effectiveness 96%		achievement for rhetorical effectiveness and 2)			
	Conventions 82%		general or clear control of writing conventions	Limitations of Data and Planned		
2001:		3 rd grade	by post-assessment.	Improvements: Each year's assessment		
2002:		Rhetorical effectiveness 75%		involves a new set of students, teachers, and		
2002.		Conventions 75%	Data collected at 25 third- and fourth-grade	sites. Assessment measures performance in		
			classrooms at 25 sites in four states: Mississippi,	persuasive writing, an exceptionally challenging		
		4 th grade	Oklahoma, Pennsylvania, and California. At 17	skill for third- and fourth-graders, making		
		Rhetorical effectiveness 75%	of 25 sample sites, at least 50 percent of student	targeting for a different cohort each time less		
		Conventions 75%	population eligible for free or reduced lunch.	certain. Sample size for the second-year		
				assessment will increase to 30 sites (from 25).		
			Second-year data to be collected at 30 sites in			
			five states (Mississippi, Oklahoma,			
			Pennsylvania, California, and Kentucky).			