Archived Information

NATIONAL INSTITUTE FOR LITERACY

Goal: To provide the adult education and literacy field with the knowledge, resources, and infrastructure necessary to improve the quality of literacy instruction and the	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
achievement of learners.	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
Legislation: Adult Education and Family Literacy Act, Title II of the Workforce	1985	\$0	2000	\$6
Investment Act of 1998, Section 242, P.L. 105-220, as enacted (20 U.S.C. 9252).	1990	\$0	2001	\$7
	1995	\$5	2002 (Requested)	\$7

Program Description

The National Institute for Literacy (NIFL) is an independent Federal organization leading the national effort toward a fully literate nation in the 21st century. Its charter mission is to work toward achieving the National Education Goal that all adults will be literate and able to compete in the workforce. NIFL helps ensure that all adults who need to improve their literacy and basic skills have opportunities to receive high-quality services that lead to success in the family, at work, and in the community by raising their awareness of the services available and by enhancing the capacity and effectiveness of state and local service delivery systems, particularly by promoting coordination among such systems. In carrying out all of its activities, NIFL leverages resources from other sources, involves adult learners in the design and implementation of projects and activities, and encourages collaboration to achieve success.

NIFL's activities have been organized into four areas designed to build capacity for systemic change and improvement in adult education: (1) using the Internet and print products to improve communication; (2) creating performance management systems and content standards to build program quality; (3) providing leadership in the policy and program areas; and (4) developing a research agenda to guide the many public and private efforts to increase adult literacy. Major activities are as follows:

- The Literacy Information and Communications System (LINCS) began in 1994 with the establishment of four listservs on the topics of workplace literacy, family literacy, learning disabilities, and English as a second language. NIFL also launched the LINCS regional hub sites to extend the reach of this project into states and local programs. LINCS includes uniform standards for putting unpublished materials on line, so that previously unavailable information can be shared throughout the literacy community in a single, shared format.
- Equipped for the Future (EFF) was launched in 1994 with planning grants to national, state, and local organizations interested in developing content standards for adult education and literacy services and planning for the implementation of these standards through reform of the teaching and learning process. EFF has four purposes for learning: gaining access to information, expressing ideas and opinions, solving problems and making decisions, and learning how to learn. In the second phase, three roles were also identified—those of citizen, worker, and parent—and these became the focus of additional projects designed to identify key activities adults typically engage in to carry out these roles. In the third phase NIFL has awarded grants to three national consortia to develop content standards for each of the three adult roles (V.1).

For more information, please visit the program Web site at: http://www.NIFL.gov

Program Performance

OBJECTIVE 1: PROVIDE LITERACY INSTRUCTORS, STUDENTS, AND ADMINISTRATORS WITH AN INTERNET-BASED, STATE-OF-THE-ART INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION SYSTEM—THE LITERACY INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATION SYSTEM (LINCS)—THAT IMPROVES THE QUALITY AND INCREASES THE AVAILABILITY OF LITERACY SERVICES.

	Targets and Perform	nance Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Initial data was collected in December	Source: On-line survey of LINCS users.
FY 1999:	No Data Available	No target set	2000 and baseline was set.	Frequency: Ongoing.
FY 2000:	83.7 %	Baseline		Next collection update: 2001.
FY 2001:		88.7% (5% increase over actual	Explanation: This is the percentage of LINCS	Date to be reported: February 2002.
		performance in FY00)	users who rated LINCS' usefulness as excellent	X X X X
FY 2002:		Continuing increase	or very good.	Validation Procedures: None.
				Limitations of Data and Planned
				Improvements: Dependent upon who uses site
ndicator 1			ained to use LINCS will increase.	
	Targets and Perform		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Target was exceeded.	Source: Enrollment data at LINCS training,
FY 1998:	4,900	No target set.		2000.
FY 1999:	6,000	5,880	Explanation: In FY 2000, 10,956 instructors	Frequency: Quarterly
FY 2000:	10,956	5,880	received the performance target set for 5,880	Next collection update: 2001.
FY 2001:		11,504 (5% increase over actual	instructors. This includes hands on and online	Date to be reported: February 2002.
		performance in FY00)	TA and repeated usage of one of LINCS' servers.	Validation Procedures: None.
FY: 2002:		Continuing increase		validation Procedures: None.
				Limitations of Data and Planned
				Improvements: None.
	0	m: By 2002, of those trained thro	ough LINCS, 40 percent will report an expan	ided use of technology and improvement
he quality	of instruction.			
	Targets and Perform		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Incomplete data were collected, but the	Source: Follow up survey of a sample of
FY 1999:	No data available	No target set	data available indicate that the performance	instructors trained to use LINCS.
FY 2000:	Data Available 8/01	40%	target was not met.	Frequency: Annually.
FY 2001:		40%		Next collection update: 2001.
FY 2002:		40%	Explanation: Follow-up comprehensive surveys	Date to be reported: February 2002.
			implemented in limited cases, where states could	Validation Procedures: None.
			bring practitioners back for more in-depth training.	valuation rrocedures; None.
			uanning.	
				Limitations of Data and Planned

OBJECTIVE 2: IMPROVE THE QUALITY OF INSTRUCTION FOR ADULTS WITH LEARNING DISABILITIES, ESPECIALLY IN THE AREA OF READING.

Indicator 2.1 Improving instruction for learning-disabled adults: By 2002, a higher proportion of individuals trained in the use of Bridges to Practice, a set of guidebooks for identifying and serving adults with LD, will report satisfaction with it as a means of improving services and the quality of instruction for LD adults.

	Targets and Performa	nce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Over 6,000 individuals have been trained	Source: Survey of training participants.
FY 1999:	No Data Available	No target set	in the use of <u>Bridges to Practice</u> . Data collected	Frequency: Ongoing with training.
FY 2000:	88%	70%	and analyzed shows that over 88 percent reported	Next collection update: 2001.
FY 2001:		90%	satisfaction with it as a means of both improving	Date to be reported: February 2002.
FY 2002:	1	Continuing increase	services and the quality of instruction.	
				Validation Procedures: None.
			Explanation: Performance targets were	
			exceeded.	Limitations of Data and Planned
				Improvements: None.
T 70 .		34 4 4 4 753		

Indicator 2.2 Training teachers for better reading instruction: The number of teachers trained to use a research-based reading approach will increase annually.

Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Work on this indicator is scheduled to	Source: Data will be from participant
FY 1999:	No Data Available	No target set	begin in FY2002. Baseline will be available at	evaluations.
FY 2000:	No Data Available	No target set.	that time.	Frequency: N/A.
FY 2001:		No target set.		Next collection update: Data will first be
FY 2002:		No target set.	Explanation: None.	collected in 2002.
				Date to be reported: 2003.
				Validation Procedures: To be determined.
				Limitations of Data and Planned
				Improvements: To be determined.

OBJECTIVE 3: EQUIPPED FOR THE FUTURE SYSTEM REFORM PROJECT. DEVELOP CONTENT STANDARDS, PERFORMANCE STANDARDS, AND ASSESSMENTS THAT WILL IMPROVE LITERACY ABILITIES IN A BROAD ARRAY OF SKILL AREAS.

Indicator 3	Indicator 3.1 Expanding the number of practitioners trained to use the EFF standards: The number of teachers trained to use EFF will by 10 percent each year.				
	Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: As originally proposed, the baseline year	Source: Data on the number of practitioners who	
FY 1999:	No data available	No target set	of data on EFF training began in FY 2000.	receive EFF training.	
FY 2000:	760	Baseline		Frequency: Annually.	
FY 2001:		836 (Baseline plus 10%)	Explanation: EFF training began in September	Next collection update: September 2001.	
FY 2002:		940	1999. Thus the baseline period for this indicator	Date to be reported: February 2002.	
			was September 1999 through September 2000.		
				Validation Procedures: None.	
				Limitations of Data and Planned	
				Improvements: None.	

Indicator 3.2 Improving instruction of adult learners: A higher proportion of practitioners who have received more than the introductory training in using the Equipped for the Future framework and standards will report satisfaction with them as a means of providing more effective instruction to adults who come to their programs.

	Targets and Performa	nce Data	Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	Status: Target was exceeded.	Source: Practitioners trained to use EFF.
FY 1999:	No Data Available	70%		Frequency: Annually.
FY 2000:	76%	70%	Explanation: The total percentage of	Next collection update: December 2001.
FY 2001:		81% (5% over actual performance in FY00)	participants who rated the usefulness of EFF standards in their work as 3,4, or 5, on a five-	Date to be reported: February 2002.
FY 2002:		Continuing increase	point scale was 95 percent, with 76 percent ratings at the two highest levels.	Validation Procedures: None.
				Limitations of Data and Planned
				Improvements: None.

OBJECTIVE 4: INCREASE AWARENESS OF THE AVAILABILITY OF LITERACY SERVICES AND THE NEED FOR PUBLIC AND PRIVATE SUPPORT FOR LITERACY EFFORTS.

Indicator 4.1 Recruitment and program support: The number of youth and young adult literacy volunteers (ages 16-24) will increase 20 percent in the 15 cities participating in the NIFL literacy promotion activities.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Baseline of youth and young adult volunteers in the 15 cities		Status: Materials development and training for	Source: Reports from each of the 15 cities to the	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	the campaign has been ongoing. Radio PSAs	National Alliance of Urban Literacy Coalitions
FY 1999:	1,121	No target set	began in all 15 cities in November 1999, and	(NAULC), with funding from the NIFL, will
FY 2000:	2,526	1,345	other materials became available in January	work with the cities to receive the data and report
FY 2001:	N/A	N/A	2000.	to the NIFL.
FY 2002:	N/A	N/A	Explanation: The 2,526 includes the 1,121 youth initially involved as well as the additional 1,405 who became involved in FY2000. This is an increase of 125 percent, and exceeded the performance target.	Frequency: This was a one-time special project related to the America's Promise. NIFL's commitment has now been fulfilled and exceeded. Next collection update: N/A. Date to be reported: N/A. Validation Procedures: None. Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: None.

INDICATOR CHANGES

From Annual Plan (FY 2001)

Adjusted

- Indicator 2.1 (improving instruction for learning-disabled adults) goal of 70 percent of individuals trained in the use of Bridges to Practice changed to "an increasing number".
- Indicator 3.2 (improving instruction of adult learners) goal of 70 percent changed to "an increasing number).

<u>Dropped</u>—None.

New—None.