## **Archived Information**

# STATE VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION SERVICES (INCLUDING SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT)

<b>Goal:</b> Individuals with disabilities served by the Vocational Rehabilitation State Grant program will achieve high quality employment.	Funding History (\$ in millions)			
	Fiscal Year	Appropriation	Fiscal Year	Appropriation
<b>Legislation:</b> Title I, sections 100-111 and Title VI, Part B of the Rehabilitation Act (29 U.S.C. 720-731, 795), as amended by the Rehabilitation Act Amendments of 1998 (P.L.	1985	\$1,100	2000	\$2,316
105-220), as further amended by technical amendments in the Reading Excellence Act	1990	\$1,552	2001	\$2,376
(P.L. 105-277) and the Carl D. Perkins Vocational and Applied Technology Education Act Amendments of 1998 (P.L. 105-332).	1995	\$2,080	2002 (Requested)	\$2,455

#### **Program Description**

Vocational Rehabilitation State Grants: The Vocational Rehabilitation (VR) State Grants program authorizes formula grants to assist states in providing VR services to individuals with disabilities so that they may prepare for and engage in gainful employment consistent with their strengths, resources, priorities, concerns, abilities, capabilities, interests, and informed choice.

The Supported Employment State Grants Program supplements the VR State Grants Program by authorizing formula grants to assist states in developing and implementing collaborative programs with appropriate public agencies and private nonprofit organizations to provide supported employment services to individuals with the most significant disabilities who require these services to achieve or retain competitive employment outcomes.

The VR State Grants program provides financial assistance to states to cover the cost of direct services and program administration. The authorizing legislation requires an increase in funding equal to the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index for Urban Consumers (CPIU) over the past year. An allotment formula that takes into account population and per capita income is used to distribute funds among the states. The state matching requirement is 21.3 percent, except the state share is 50 percent for the cost of construction of a facility for community rehabilitation program purposes. States are required to maintain the level of state expenditures made under the state plan from non-Federal sources at least at the level spent during the fiscal year 2 years earlier. States may carry over unobligated Federal funds for an additional year, if a state has met all matching requirements for the fiscal year in which funds were appropriated.

The VR State Grants program provides a wide range of services, vocational evaluation, counseling, mental and physical restoration, education, vocational training, job placement, rehabilitation technology, and supported employment services, designed to help persons with disabilities prepare for and engage in gainful employment to the extent of their capabilities. Individuals with a physical or mental impairment that results in a substantial impediment to employment who can benefit in terms of an employment outcome and require VR services are eligible for assistance. Individuals who have a disability or are blind as determined pursuant to title II or title XVI of the Social Security Act are presumed to be eligible for VR services unless the designated state unit involved can demonstrate by clear and convincing evidence that such individual is incapable of benefiting in terms of an employment outcome from VR services due to the severity of the disability of the individual.

The requirements pertaining to individuals with an employment goal of supported employment are the same in both the Title I and Title VI-B program. Individuals with the most significant disabilities can receive supported employment services under either Title I or Title VI-B. However, Title VI-B funds can only be used to provide supported employment services and are essentially used to supplement Title I funds.

### **Program Performance**

OBJECTIVE 1: ENSURE THAT INDIVIDUALS WITH DISABILITIES WHO ARE SERVED BY THE VOCATIONAL REHABILITATION (VR) STATE GRANT PROGRAM ACHIEVE EMPLOYMENT CONSISTENT WITH THEIR PARTICULAR STRENGTHS, RESOURCES, ABILITIES, CAPABILITIES, AND INTERESTS.

LIVII LO I	EMPLOTMENT CONSISTENT WITH THEIR PARTICULAR STRENOTHS, RESOURCES, ADILITIES, CAPABILITIES, AND INTERESTS.						
Indicat	Indicator 1.1 Number achieving employment: The number of individuals with disabilities who achieve employment will increase by at least 1 percent annually.						
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
The number of individuals who achieved an employment outcome		d an employment outcome	Status: The 2000 data are expected to be available by	Source: Rehabilitation Services Administration (RSA)			
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	April 2001. We expect the data to show that we have	state data from the R-113.			
1997:	211,503		achieved our target.	Frequency: Annually.			
1998:	223,668 (5.8%)*			Next collection update: December 2000.			
1999:	231,714 (3.6%)*	215,770	<b>Explanation:</b> FY 1999 target was surpassed in FY 1998.	Date to be reported: Summer 2001.			
2000:	Data Available Summer	234,030	There was a significant increase in the number of	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED attestation			
	2001		individuals who achieved employment outcomes in FYs 1998 and 1999. We have revised the targets for 2000	process and ED Standards for Evaluating Program			
2001: 236,370		-	and 2001 based on the performance in 1998 and 1999.	Performance Data.			
2002: 238,730  *Note: The number in parentheses indicates the percent change in the number of individuals achieving an employment outcome from the previous year.			and 2001 based on the performance in 1998 and 1999.	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Appropriate crosschecks and edits to verify and validate the quality of these data are in place but are not well documented. Written procedures will be developed for the collection, cleaning, and analysis of data.			
Indicat	or 1.2 Percentage of indivi	iduals obtaining employn	nent: The percentage of all persons served who obtain	in employment will increase.			
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
Percento	nge obtaining employment		<b>Status:</b> The 2000 data are expected to be available by	<b>Source:</b> RSA state data from the R-113.			
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	April 2001, and are expected to show that the target has	Frequency: Annually.			
1997:	61.2%		been achieved.	Next collection update: December 2000.			
1998:	62.2%		<b>Explanation:</b> From fiscal years 1994 – 1997, the	Date to be reported: Summer 2001.			
1999:	62.5%	61%	percentage of individuals receiving services who	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED attestation			
2000:	Data Available Summer 2001	62.7%	obtained employment remained steady at around 61 percent. In FYs 1998 and 1999, the percentage of	process and ED Standards for Evaluating Program Performance Data.			
2001:		63%	individuals who obtained an employment outcome	Limitations of Data and Dlamad Immunoconstan			
2002:		63.2%	increased to 62 percent, exceeding our 1999 and 2000 targets for this indicator. In 1999, we established new 2000 and 2001 targets for this indicator based on performance in 1998.	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Same as discussed under Indicator 1.1.			

Indicator 1.3 Percentage of individuals obtaining competitive employment: Of individuals obtaining employment, the percentage who obtain competitive employment will increase. Among individuals with significant disabilities obtaining employment, the percentage obtaining competitive employment will increase

increase.				
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of all individuals with disabilities who obtained competitive employment		Status: The 2000 data are expected to be available by June 2001. We expect the data to	<b>Source:</b> RSA state data from the R-911. <i>Frequency:</i> Annually.	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	show the target has been met.	Next collection update: January 2001.
1997:	81.2% (171,755)*		show the target has been met.	Date to be reported: June 2001.
1998:	80.0% (179,027)*		<b>Explanation:</b> In September 1997, the Federal	Same to be reported valle 2001.
1999:	83.1% (192,595)*	82.3%	minimum wage increased from \$4.75 to \$5.15.	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED
2000:	Data Available 6/01	82.5%	Because, under this program, individuals must be	attestation process and ED Standards for
2001:		82.7%	earning at least the minimum wage to meet, in	Evaluating Program Performance Data.
2002:		82.9%	part, the criteria for competitive employment, the	
Percentage of individuals with significant disabilities who obtained competitive employment		change in the minimum wage has affected performance on this indicator. In FY 1999 the minimum wage remained constant, thus allowing	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Accuracy/consistency of reporting is contingent upon counselors'	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	time for the wages of VR consumers (with or	interpretations of definitions. Timeliness is
1997:	79.1% (134,685)*		without significant disabilities) to increase to	dependent upon submittal of clean data from 80
1998:	78.7% (145,244)*		minimum wage levels. Despite the marked	grantees (respondents). Limited staff resources
1999:	82.1% (161,268)*	80.0%	increase in performance in 1999, we have not	affect ability to check data for reasonableness
2000:	Data Available 6/01	80.5%	adjusted targets to this high level because we do	and publish data quickly.
2001:		80.7%	not know if the program will be able to sustain	
2002:		80.9%	this high level of performance.	Written procedures will be developed for the
	number in parentheses indicates the nt outcomes.	e actual number of competitive		collection, cleaning, and analysis of data.  Publication of final regulations implementing the Standards and Indicators under section 106 of the Rehabilitation Act in June of 2000 provided method to ensure timeliness of data reporting of the part of 80 grantees. Steps will also be taken

to improve reasonableness checks of data.

Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
Median rat	tio for general and combined agencie	P.S.	Status: The 1999 target was not met.	<b>Source:</b> RSA state data from the R-911.	
Year	Year Actual Performance Performance Target			Department of Labor data on state average	
1997:	0.56		<b>Explanation:</b> The 2000 data are expected to be	hourly wage.	
1998:	0.56		available by June 2001. Data on actual	Frequency: Annually.	
1999:	0.56	0.57	performance for fiscal years 1997 and 1998 were	Next collection update: January 2001.	
2000:	Data Available June 2001	0.57	corrected to include data from both agencies for	Date to be reported: June 2001.	
2001:		0.57	the blind and general/combined agencies.		
2002:		0.58	Targets for 2000 and 2001 have been adjusted accordingly.	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED attestation process and ED <u>Standards for Evaluating Program Performance Data</u> .	
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Same limitations and planned improvements reported under 1.3 apply to this indicator. In addition, the data for this indicator are limited by the fact that the required comparison involves numbers reported from tw different sets of state-reported data.	
	1.5 Own income as primary su support will increase.	pport: The percentage of indivi	duals who report upon obtaining employmen	t that their own income is their primary	
	Targets and Perform		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality	
	e of individuals who report upon obta	ining employment that their own	Status: The 2000 data are expected to be	<b>Source:</b> RSA state data from the R-911.	
	heir primary source of support		available by June 2001. We expect the data to	Frequency: Annually.	
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	show that the target has been achieved.	Next collection update: January 2001.	
1997:	74.6% (157,705)*			Date to be reported: June 2001.	
1998:	75.8% (169,162)*		<b>Explanation:</b> The 1999 data show a decline		
1999:	74.5% (172,628)*	74.5%	from 75.8 percent, in 1998, to 74.5 percent. The	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED	
2000:	Data Available 6/01	75%	1999 figure met the target for 2000. The target	attestation process and ED <u>Standards for</u>	
2001:		75%	for 2001 has been adjusted based on performance	Evaluating Program Performance Data.	
2002:		75.2%	in 1999. In addition, data for actual performance	I to the second	
*Note: The number in parentheses indicates the actual number of individuals whose own income is their primary source of support.			for 1997 and 1998 have been changed to reflect updated databases for those years.	Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: Same as discussed under Indicator 1.3.	

Indicator 1.		ty-five percent of individuals o	btaining competitive employment will maint	ain employment and earnings 12 months
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality
Percentage of	consumers in the VR longitudinal s	tudy sample obtaining competitive	Status: FY 1999 target was exceeded.	<b>Source:</b> VR Longitudinal Study for 1996-1999.
employment w	ho maintain employment and earni	ngs 12 months after closure		The Department is in the process of developing a
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> Actual performance data cover an	standard annual data collection mechanism for
1996-1997:	85%		18-24-month period. We expect future	this indicator that will include data from all state
1998-1999:	86%	85%	performance to be at the 85 percent level.	VR agencies. Future data will be available in
1999-2000:	Data Available 2/03	85%	However, the Longitudinal Study of the VR	2002.
2000-2001:		85%	Program is ending and we will not have a new	Frequency: Future data will be provided
2001-2002:		85%	data source until FY 2002.	annually.  Next collection update: December 2002.  Date to be reported: February 2003.  Validation Procedure: Rigorous data collection design was developed by contractor and approved by OMB. Several quality control mechanisms are in place.
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: The longitudinal study sample is nationally representative, but it does not include all VR consumers. The Longitudinal study was not designed to provide fiscal year cohorts.

Indica	Indicator 1.7 Satisfaction with employment: At least 75 percent of VR consumers will report they are satisfied with their employment outcome.					
	Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality		
	Percentage of consumers in the VR longitudinal study sample who reported they were very or mostly satisfied with their employment outcome		Status: No 1999 data, but FY 1997-1998 performance exceeded the 1999 target.	<b>Source:</b> VR Longitudinal Study for 1995-1998. The Department is in the process of developing a		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets		standard data collection mechanism for this		
1996:	72%		<b>Explanation:</b> Actual performance data cover an	indicator.		
1998:	76%		18-24-month period. We expect future	Frequency: Future data will be provided as		
1999:	No data available	At least 75%	performance to be at least at the 75 percent level.	needed.		
2000:	No Data Available	At least 75%	However, the Longitudinal Study of the VR	Next collection update: To be determined.		
2001:		At least 75%	Program is ending and we do not as yet have a	Date to be reported: To be determined.		
2002:			new system in place to collect this data on a routine basis.	Validation Procedure: Rigorous data collection design was developed by contractor and approved by OMB. Several quality control mechanisms are in place.		
				Limitations of Data and Planned Improvements: The longitudinal study sample is nationally representative, but it does not include all VR consumers. The Longitudinal study was not designed to provide fiscal year cohorts.		

#### OBJECTIVE 2: RSA WILL HELP STATES IMPROVE SERVICES AND OUTCOMES FOR CONSUMERS.

Indicator 2.1 Availability and use of data: The time required by RSA to produce an accessible national database will decrease until it reaches 6 months after the							
close of	close of the fiscal year.						
Targets and Performance Data			Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
Number	Number of months after the close of the fiscal year at which time the database was		Status: Positive movement toward target.	Source: RSA Central Office records, 1998.			
availabl	available			Frequency: Annually.			
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	<b>Explanation:</b> Implementation of the VR	Next collection update: September 2001.			
1997:	14.5		standards and indicators required by Section 106	Date to be reported: September 2001.			
1998:	14		of the Rehabilitation Act will provide an				
1999:	13	No target set	incentive for states to report data in a timely	Validation Procedure: Reviewed by			
2000:	Data Available 8/01	9 months	manner.	Department staff. No formal verification			
2001:		6 months		procedure applied.			
2002:		6 months	7	Title of the last			
				Limitations of Data and Planned			
				Improvements: None.			

OBJECTIVE 3: INCREASE THE NUMBER OF INDIVIDUALS WITH THE MOST SIGNIFICANT DISABILITIES WHO HAVE RECEIVED SUPPORTED EMPLOYMENT SERVICES BUT ACHIEVE COMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT OUTCOMES.

Indicator 3.1 Percentage of individuals with a supported employment goal achieving competitive employment: The percentage of individuals with a supported employment goal who achieve a competitive employment outcome (including supported employment outcomes in which the individual receives the minimum wage or better) will continue to increase.

wage o	wage or better) will continue to increase.					
Targets and Performance Data		Assessment of Progress	Sources and Data Quality			
Percentage of individuals with a supported employment goal who achieved a		Status: The 2000 data are expected to be	Source: Rehabilitation Services Administration			
compet	itive employment outcome		available by June 2001. We expect the data to	(RSA) state data from the R-911.		
Year	Actual Performance	Performance Targets	show that the target has been met.	Frequency: Annually.		
1997:	69.6% (14,605)*			Next collection update: January 2001.		
1998:	69.1% (16,107)*		<b>Explanation</b> : In September 1997, the Federal	Date to be reported: June 2001.		
1999:	73.3% (17,229)*	71.0%	minimum wage increased from \$4.75 to \$5.15.			
2000:	Data Available 6/01	71.5%	Because, under this program, individuals must be	Validation Procedure: Verified by ED		
2001:		71.7%	earning at least the minimum wage to meet, in	attestation process and ED Standards for		
2002:		71.9%	part, the criteria for competitive employment, the	Evaluating Program Performance Data.		
*Note: The number in parenthesis indicates the actual number of individuals with a		change in the minimum wage has affected				
supported employment goal who achieved a competitive employment outcome.		performance on this indicator. In FY 1999 the	Limitations of Data and Planned			
		minimum wage remained constant, thus allowing	Improvements: Same as discussed under			
		time for the wages of VR consumers to increase	Indicator 1.3.			
		to minimum wage levels. Despite the marked				
			increase in performance in 1999, we have not			
			adjusted targets to this high level because we do			
		not know if the program will be able to sustain				
			this high level of performance.			